### **Crowdsourcing and Social Computing**

CS59000, Fall 2018, Monday & Wednesday 4:30-5:45pm, LWSN B134

#### Instructor

Ming Yin

Office: LWSN 2142B

Email: <a href="mingyin@purdue.edu">mingyin@purdue.edu</a>
Office Hours: By Appointment

#### **Course Description**

Crowdsourcing and social computing sits at the intersection of computer science, economics and other social sciences. It concerns developing empirical understandings and designing computational systems and techniques to enable effective interactions between people and machines for solving complex problems. This course surveys the state of the art in this area. Topics of interests include incentive design, workflow design, quality control and intelligent management in crowdsourcing, computer supported collaborative work, and applications in various domains like artificial intelligence and citizen science.

#### **Course Schedule**

Date	Topic	Reading
Aug 20	Introduction & Course	
	overview	
Aug 22	Crowdsourcing: Background and applications	<ul> <li>Required</li> <li>Howe. The Rise of Crowdsourcing. WIRED. June 2006.</li> <li>Howe. Crowdsourcing: A Definition. June 2006.</li> <li>Optional</li> <li>von Ahn et al. reCaptcha: Human-based Character Recognition via Web Security Measures. Science, September 2008</li> <li>von Ahn and Dabbish. Labeling Images with a Computer Game. CHI'04</li> <li>Cooper et al. Predicting Protein Structures with a Multiplayer Online Game. Nature,</li> </ul>
4 27		August 2010.
Aug 27	Crowd workers	Required

Aug 29	Crowdsourcing platform: Amazon Mechanical Turk	<ul> <li>Difallah et al. Demographics and Dynamics of Mechanical Turk Workers. WSDM'18</li> <li>Yin et al. The Communication Network Within the Crowd. WWW'16</li> <li>Optional</li> <li>Martin et al. Being a Turker. CSCW'14</li> <li>Gray et al. The Crowd is a Collaborative Network. CSCW'16</li> <li>Creating a HIT on MTurk No required readings. Bring laptops to class.</li> </ul>
Sep 3	No class (Labor Day)	110 required readings. Dring taptops to class.
Sep 5	Crowdsourcing platform:	Required
Зер 3	Tasks and dynamics	<ul> <li>Difallah et al. The Dynamics of Micro-Task         Crowdsourcing: The Case of Amazon MTurk.         WWW'15</li> <li>Optional</li> </ul>
		Gadiraju et al. A Taxonomy of Microtasks on the Web. HT'14
		Vakharia and Lease. Beyond Mechanical Turk: An Analysis of Paid Crowd Work Platforms. iConference'15
		Jain et al. Understanding Workers,     Developing Effective Tasks, and Enhancing     Marketplace Dynamics: A Study of a Large     Crowdsourcing Marketplace. VLDB     Endowment, March 2017
Sep 10	Crowdsourcing:	Required
	Opportunities and challenges	• Kittur et al. The Future of Crowd Work. CSCW'13
		<u>Optional</u>
		<ul> <li>Quinn and Bederson. Human Computation: A Survey and Taxonomy of a Growing Field. CHI'11</li> </ul>
		Gadiraju et al. Human Beyond the Machine: Challenges and Opportunities of Microtask Crowdsourcing. IEEE Intelligent Systems, July 2015
Sep 12	Incentive design: Financial	Required
	incentives	<ul> <li>Ho et al. Incentivizing High Quality Crowdwork. WWW'15</li> </ul>
		<u>Optional</u>
		<ul> <li>Mason and Watts. Financial Incentives and the "Performance of Crowds". HCOMP'09</li> </ul>

	T	
		• Yin et al. The Effects of Performance-
		Contingent Financial Incentives in Online
		Labor Markets. AAAI'13
		Harris. The Effects of Pay-to-Quit Incentives
0 17	1	on Crowdworker Task Quality. CSCW'15
Sep 17	Incentive design: Other	Required
	incentives	Rogstadius et al. An Assessment of Intrinsic
		and Extrinsic Motivation on Task
		Performance in Crowdsourcing Markets. ICWSM'11
		Shaw et al. Designing Incentives for Inexpert     Human Raters. CSCW'11
		Optional
		Nov et al. Scientists@Home: What Drives the
		Quantity and Quality of Online Citizen
		Science Participation? PLOS ONE, April
		2014
		Feyisetan and Simperl. Social Incentives in
		Paid Collaborative Crowdsourcing. TIST,
		September 2017
Sep 19	Incentive design: Intelligent	Required
	management	• Yin and Chen. Bonus or Not? Learn to
		Reward in Crowdsourcing. IJCAI'15
		<u>Optional</u>
		• Gao and Parameswaran. Finish Them!:
		Pricing Algorithms for Human Computation.
		VLDB Endowment, October 2014
		Feyisetan et al. Improving Paid Microtasks     Adaptive
		through Gamification and Adaptive Furtherance Incentives. WWW'15
Sep 24	Task assignment and	Required
Scp 24	recommendation	Ho and Vaughan. Online Task Assignment in
	1000mmendation	Crowdsourcing Markets. AAAI'12
		Difallah et al. Pick-a-Crowd: Tell Me What
		You Like, and I'll Tell You What To Do.
		WWW'13
		Optional
		• Lin et al. Signals in the Silence: Models of
		Implicit Feedback in a Recommendation
		System for Crowdsourcing. AAAI'14
		Mavridis et al. Using Hierarchical Skills for
		Optimized Task Assignment in Knowledge-
		Intensive Crowdsourcing. WWW'16
Sep 26	Quality assurance: Empirical	Required
	methods	

		Dow et al. Shephearding the Crowd Yields
		Better Work. CSCW'12
		Optional
		Huang and Fu. Enhancing Reliability Using
		Peer Consistency Evaluation in Human
		Computation. CSCW'13
		Sampath et al. Cognitively Inspired Task
		Design to Improve User Performance on
		Crowdsourcing Platforms. CHI'14
		Doroudi et al. Toward a Learning Science for
		Complex Crowdsourcing Tasks. CHI'16
Oct 1	Quality assurance:	Required
	Algorithmic approaches	Whitehill et al. Whose Vote Should Count
		More: Optimal Integration of Labels from
		Labelers of Unknown Expertise. NIPS'09
		Optional  Overse et al. A segment dute quetien ef
		Oyama et al. Accurate Integration of  Crawdoourood Labels Using Workers! Solf
		Crowdsourced Labels Using Workers' Self- Reported Confidence Scores. IJCAI'13
		Sunahase et al. Pairwise HITS: Quality
		Estimation from Pairwise Comparisons in
		Creator-Evaluator Crowdsourcing Process.
		AAAI'17
		Wang et al. Obtaining High-Quality Label by
		Distinguishing between Easy and Hard Items
		in Crowdsourcing. IJCAI'17
Oct 3	Quality assurance: intelligent	Required
	management	• Kamar et al. Combining Human and Machine
		Intelligence in Large-Scale Crowdsourcing.
		AAMAS'12
		Optional  Pragg et al. Optimal Testing for Crowd
		Bragg et al. Optimal Testing for Crowd Workers. AAMAS'16
		Gurari and Grauman. CrowdVerge: Predicting
		If People Will Agree on the Answer to a
		Visual Question. CHI'17
Oct 8	No class (October Break)	,
Oct 10	Final project: Pitch	
Oct 15	Engagement control:	Required
	Empirical methods	• Yu et al. A Comparison of Social, Learning,
		and Financial Strategies on Crowd
		Engagement and Output Quality. CSCW'14
		• Law et al. Curiosity Killed the Cat, but Makes
		Crowdwork Better. CHI'16
		<u>Optional</u>

		T
		<ul> <li>Preist et al. Competing or Aiming to be Average?: Normification as a Means of Engaging Digital Volunteers. CSCW'14</li> <li>Dai et al. And Now for Something Completely Different: Improving Crowdsourcing Workflows with Micro- Diversions. CSCW'15</li> </ul>
Oct 17	Engagement control: Intelligent management	<ul> <li>Required</li> <li>Segal et al. Intervention Strategies for         Increasing Engagement in Crowdsourcing:         Platform, Predictions, and Experiments.         IJCAI'16     </li> <li>Optional</li> </ul>
		Segal et al. Optimizing Interventions via     Offline Policy Evaluation: Studies in Citizen     Science. AAAI'18
Oct 22	Workflow design: Use-case	Required
	specific workflows	Bernstein et al. Soylent: A Word Processor with a Crowd Inside. UIST'10
		Chilton et al. Cascade: Crowdsourcing Taxonomy Creation. CHI'13
		<u>Optional</u>
		Noronha et al. Platemate: Crowdsourcing     Nutritional Analysis from Food Photographs.     UIST'11
		Kim et al. Crowdsourcing Step-by-Step Information Extraction to Enhance Existing How-to Videos. CHI'14
Oct 24	Workflow design: General	Required
	workflows	Little et al. TurKit: Human Computation     Algorithms on Mechanical Turk. UIST'10     Optional
		Kittur et al. CrowdForge: Crowdsourcing Complex Work. UIST'11
		Kulkarni et al. Collaboratively     Crowdsourcing Workflows with Turkomatic.     CSCW'12
Oct 29	Workflow design: Intelligent management	Required      Dai et al. Decision-theoretic Control of     Crowd-sourced Workflows. AAAI'10     Optional     Lin et al. Dynamically Switching between
		Synergistic Workflows for Crowdsourcing. AAAI'12

Oct 31	Beyond independent: Cooperative work examples	<ul> <li>Bragg et al. Crowdsourcing Multi-Label         Classification for Taxonomy Creation.         HCOMP'13</li> <li>Tran-Thanh et al. Crowdsourcing Complex         Workflows under Budget Constraints.         AAAI'15</li> <li>Required</li> <li>Drapeau et al. MicroTalk: Using         Argumentation to Improve Crowdsourcing         Accuracy. HCOMP'16</li> <li>Optinoal</li> </ul>
		<ul> <li>Suzuki et al. Atelier: Repurposing Expert         Crowdsourcing Tasks as Micro-Internships.         CHI'16</li> <li>Chang et al. Revolt: Collaborative         Crowdsourcing for Labeling Machine         Learning Datasets. CHI'17</li> </ul>
Nov 5	Final project: Peer feedback (Session 1)	
Nov 7	Final project: Peer feedback (Session 2)	
Nov 12	Cooperative work: Complex task	<ul> <li>Required</li> <li>Retelny et al. Expert Crowdsourcing with Flash Teams. UIST'14</li> <li>Optional</li> <li>Hahn et al. The Knowledge Accelerator: Big Picture Thinking in Small Pieces. CHI'16</li> <li>Valentine et al. Flash Organizations: Crowdsourcing Complex Work by Structuring Crowds As Organizations. CHI'17</li> </ul>
Nov 14	Cooperative work: Intelligent management	<ul> <li>Required</li> <li>Salehi et al. Huddler: Convening Stable and Familiar Crowd Teams Despite Unpredictable Availability. CSCW'17</li> <li>Optional</li> <li>Singla et al. Learning to Hire Teams. HCOMP'15</li> <li>Zhou et al. In Search of the Dream Team: Temporally Constrained Multi-Armed Bandits for Identifying Effective Team Structures. CHI'18</li> </ul>
Nov 19	Crowd-Powered Systems	<ul> <li>Required</li> <li>Lasecki et al. Real-Time Captioning by Groups of Non-Experts. UIST'12</li> </ul>

		Kokkalis et al. MyriadHub: Efficiently
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
		Scaling Personalized Email Conversations
		with Valet Crowdsourcing. CHI'17
		<u>Optional</u>
		• Vashistha et al. Respeak: A Voice-based,
		Crowd-powered Speech Transcription
		System. CHI'17
		Nguyen et al. An Interpretable Joint Graphical
		Model for Fact-Checking from Crowds.
		AAAI'18
Nov 21	No class (Thanksgiving)	
Nov 26	Crowdsourcing: Future Ideas	Required
		Whiting et al. Crowd Guilds: Worker-led
		Reputation and Feedback on Crowdsourcing
		Platforms. CSCW'17
		<u>Optional</u>
		Morris et al. Subcontracting Microwork.
		CHI'17
		Vaish et al. Crowd Research: Open and
		Scalable University Laboratories. UIST'17
Nov 28	No class (Project day)	
Dec 3	Final project presentation 1	
Dec 5	Final project presentation 2	

### Grading

• Assignment: 10%

Reading responses: 15%Class discussion: 10%Paper presentation: 20%

• Final project: 45% (proposal + pitch: 10%, milestone presentation: 10%, final presentation:

10%, final report: 15%)

## Paper Reading, Presentation, and Discussion

Most classes in this course consist of paper reading, presentation and discussion. Specifically, in a typical class, we will cover 1-2 papers on one topic, and 1-2 students will be assigned to give a presentation on this topic. Responsibility of presenters of one class include:

- Read all required paper(s), and at least one optional paper, for that class.
- After discussing with the instructor (one week before the class), post 2-3 conversation-provoking questions related to the required paper(s) of that class.

- Give a presentation in class, which should review all required paper(s) of that class, and also briefly introduce the optional paper(s) that they have read.
- Lead the discussion in class.

Responsibility of non-presenters of one class include:

- Read all required paper(s) for that class.
- Before class, provide reading responses to all questions that the presenters of that class post.
- Participate in the discussion in class.

#### **Final Project**

Final project serves as an opportunity for students to get hands-on experience in crowdsourcing and social computing research. Projects are open-ended; sample projects include:

- Design and develop crowdsourcing workflows, platforms or systems for innovative use cases.
- Design and conduct online experiments to understand the behavior of crowd workers.
- Propose new methods to improve the efficiency of crowdsourcing processes.
- Understand dynamics in current crowdsourcing or social computing systems through theoretical or empirical data analysis.

Students are also encouraged to connect the final project with their own research.

Students can complete the project either individually or in a group of two. Tasks related to the final project include:

- Submit a project proposal which identifies the problem that the project aims to solve.
- Give a pitch presentation on the project proposal in class.
- Give a milestone presentation on the project progress and get peer feedback.
- Give a final presentation on the project in class, reporting the results of the project.
- Submit a final project report summarizing the project.

More detailed instruction on the final project will be provided through project guidelines.

# **Prerequisites**

Basic programming skills required. Students should be comfortable with at least one programming language (e.g., C, Java, Python, etc.). Knowledge with artificial intelligence and machine learning is welcome.

#### **Required Texts**

No textbook is required for this course.