# **STA 371G: Statistics and Modeling**

Time Series: Autoregressive Models

Mingyuan Zhou McCombs School of Business The University of Texas at Austin

http://mingyuanzhou.github.io/STA371G

# Time Series Regression... Hotel Occupancy Case

In a recent legal case, a Chicago downtown hotel claimed that it had suffered a loss of business due to what was considered an illegal action by a group of hotels that decided to leave the plaintiff out of a hotel directory.

In order to estimate the loss business, the hotel had to predict what its level of business (in terms of occupancy rate) would have been in the absence of the alleged illegal action.

In order to do this, experts testifying on behalf of the hotel use data collected before the period in question and fit a relationship between the hotels occupancy rate and overall occupancy rate in the city of Chicago. This relationship would then be used to predict occupancy rate during the period in question.

### SUMMARY OUTPUT

Regression Statistics						
Multiple R	0.7111011					
R Square	0.5056648					
Adjusted R Squa	0.48801					
Standard Error	7.5055176					
Observations 30						

$$Hotel_t = \beta_0 + \beta_1 Chicago_t + \epsilon_t$$


#### ANOVA

	df	SS	MS	F	Significance F
Regression	1	1613.468442	1613.4684	28.64172598	1.06082E-05
Residual	28	1577.318225	56.332794		
Total	29	3190.786667			

	Coefficients	Standard Error	t Stat	P-value	Lower 95%	Upper 95%
Intercept	16.135666	8.518889357	1.8941044	0.068584205	-1.314487337	33.5858198
ChicagoInd	0.7161318	0.133811486	5.3517965	1.06082E-05	0.442031445	0.990232246

- In the month after the omission from the directory the Chicago occupancy rate was 66. The plaintiff claims that its occupancy rate should have been 16 + 0.71\*66 = 62.
- ▶ It was actually 55!! The difference added up to a big loss!!
- ▶ Under this model, what's the probability for *Hotel*<sub>31</sub> ≤ 55?

A statistician was hired by the directory to access the regression methodology used to justify the claim. As we should know by now, the first thing he looked at was the residual plot...




Looks fine. However...

... this is a *time series regression*, as we are regressing one time series on another.


In this case, we should also check whether or not the residuals show some temporal pattern.

If our model is correct the residuals should look iid normal over time.




Does this look iid to you? Can you guess what does the red line represent?


### Autocorrelation



### Autocorrelation



### **Residual Autocorrelation**



It looks like part of hotel occupancy (y) not explained by the Chicago downtown occupancy (x) is moving down over time. We can try to control for that by adding a trend factor to our model...

$$Hotel_t = \beta_0 + \beta_1 Chicago + \beta_2 t + \epsilon_t$$


### SUMMARY OUTPUT

Regression Statistics						
Multiple R	0.869389917					
R Square	0.755838827					
Adjusted R Squ	0.737752815					
Standard Error	5.37162026					
Observations	30					

#### **ANOVA**


	df	SS	MS	F	Significance F
Regression	2	2411.720453	1205.86	41.79134652	5.41544E-09
Residual	27	779.0662139	28.8543		
Total	29	3190.786667			

	Coefficients	Standard Error	t Stat	P-value	Lower 95%	Upper 95%
Intercept	26.69391108	6.418837165	4.158683	0.000290493	13.52354525	39.8642769
ChicagoInd	0.69523791	0.095849831	7.253408	8.41391E-08	0.498570304	0.89190552
t	-0.596476666	0.113404099	-5.259745	1.51653E-05	-0.82916265	-0.3637907



Much better!! What is the slope of the red line?





### Okay, what happened?!

Well, once we account for the downward trend in the occupancy of the plaintiff, the prediction for the occupancy rate is

$$26 + 0.69 * 66 - 0.59 * 31 = 53.25$$

What do we conclude?

### Take away lessons...

- When regressing a time series on another, always check the residuals as a time series
- What does that mean... plot the residuals over time. If all is well, you should see no patterns, i.e., they should behave like iid normal samples.


### Question

► What if we were interested in predicting the hotel occupancy ten years from now?? We should compute


$$26 + 0.69 * 66 - 0.59 * 150 = -16.96$$

- ▶ Would you trust this prediction? Could you defend it in court?
- Remember: always be careful with extrapolating relationships!

How to model this time series?




Let's first model the trend using  $Y_t = \beta_0 + \beta_1 t + \epsilon_t$ 



### The following summary looks fine

### But let's look at the residual time series and its autocorrelation





$$Y_t = Y_{t-1} + \mu + \epsilon_t$$


- ▶ The time series itself is not random
- ▶ The differences between consecutive times are random


$$Y_t - Y_{t-1} = \mu + \epsilon_t$$

$$Y_t = Y_{t-1} + \mu + \epsilon_t$$
  
Analyzing monthly stock closing prices










Can we do better?

A simple way to model dependence over time in with the autoregressive model of order 1...


$$Y_t = \beta_0 + \beta_1 Y_{t-1} + \epsilon_t$$

- ▶ What is the mean of  $Y_t$  for a given value of  $Y_{t-1}$ ?
- ▶ If the model successfully captures the dependence structure in the data then the residuals should look iid.
- Remember: if our data is collected in time, we should always check for dependence in the residuals...

$$Y_t = \beta_0 + \beta_1 Y_{t-1} + \epsilon_t$$




### **Autocorrelation of Residuals**



Regression Statistics						
R	0.91171					
R Square	0.83121					
Adjusted R Square	0.8289					
Standard Error	4.15447					
Total Number Of Cases	75			Plot A	rea	
	Cle	osing Price [t] =	4.4009 + 0.9020 * CI	osing Price	[t-1]	
ANOVA						
	d.f.	SS	MS	F	p-level	
Regression	1.	6,204.67064	6,204.67064	359.49056	0.E+0	
Residual	73.	1,259.95229	17.25962			
Total	74.	7,464.62293			Chart A	rea
	0 65 1					
	Coefficients	Standard Error	LCL	UCL	t Stat	p-level
Intercept	4.40093	1.99197	0.43093	8.37092	2.20933	0.03029
Closing Price [t-1]	0.90199	0.04757	0.80718	0.99681	18.96024	0.E+0


$$Y_t = \beta_0 + \beta_1 Y_{t-1} + \epsilon_t$$

### corr(e(t),e(t-1)) = -0.211




### **Examples: Temperatures**

Now you need to predict tomorrow's temperature at O'Hare from (Jan-Feb).



Does this look iid? If it is iid, tomorrow's temperatures should not depend on today's... does that make sense?

To see if  $Y_{t-1}$  would be useful for predicting  $Y_t$ , just plot them together and see if there is a relationship.




Correlation between  $Y_t$  and  $Y_{t-1}$  is called autocorrelation.

You need to create a "lagged" variable  $temp_{t-1}$ ... the data looks like this:


t	temp(t)	temp(t-1)
1	42	35
2	41	42
3	50	41
4	19	50
5	19	19
6	20	19

We can plot  $Y_t$  against  $Y_{t-L}$  to see L-period lagged relationships.



- ▶ It appears that the correlation is getting weaker with increasing *L*.
- ► How can we test for this dependence?

Back to the "length of a bolt" example. When things are not related in time we should see...



### Again, the regression tool is our friend here... (Why?)

#### SUMMARY OUTPUT


Regression Statistics						
Multiple R	0.722742583					
R Square	0.522356842					
Adjusted R Sq	0.5138275					
Standard Erro	8.789861051					
Observations	58					


#### ANOVA

	df	SS	MS	F	Significance F
Regression	1	4731.684433	4731.684433	61.24233673	1.49699E-10
Residual	56	4326.652809	77.2616573		
Total	57	9058.337241			


	Coefficients	Standard Error	t Stat	P-value	Lower 95%	Upper 95%
Intercept	6.705800085	2.516614758	2.664611285	0.010050177	1.664414964	11.74718521
X Variable 1	0.723288866	0.092424243	7.825748317	1.49699E-10	0.53814086	0.908436873

### corr(e(t),e(t-1)) = 0.066






Again, looks good...




$$Y_t = \beta_0 + \beta_1 t + \epsilon_t$$




$$Y_t = \beta_0 + \beta_1 Y_{t-1} + \beta_2 t + \epsilon_t$$

$$Y_t = \beta_0 + \beta_1 Y_{t-1} + \beta_2 t + \epsilon_t$$




```
Y_t = \beta_0 + \beta_1 Y_{t-1} + \beta_2 t + \epsilon_t
 Coefficients:
                Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
 (Intercept) -8.03628 1.64254 -4.893 2.22e-06 ***
 Fisher[1:181] 0.71663 0.05235 13.689 < 2e-16 ***
 Time
              0.08209 0.01624 5.054 1.07e-06 ***
 Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
 Residual standard error: 4.045 on 178 degrees of freedom
 Multiple R-squared: 0.9387, Adjusted R-squared: 0.938
  F-statistic: 1363 on 2 and 178 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16
```


# Example: Monthly Boston Armed Robberies Jan.1966-Oct.1975



$$Y_t = \beta_0 + \beta_1 t + \epsilon_t$$



$$Y_t = \beta_0 + \beta_1 Y_{t-1} + \beta_2 t + \epsilon_t$$



Are the model assumptions violated?
Can we do better?


```
Y_t = \beta_0 + \beta_1 Y_{t-1} + \beta_2 t + \epsilon_t
```

```
Coefficients:
```


#### Interpretation?

Let's take the log transformation of the number of armed robberies

#### **Boston Monthly Armed Robberies**



$$Log(Y_t) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 t + \epsilon_t$$



Can we do better?

```
Log(Y_t) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 t + \epsilon_t
  Coefficients:
               Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>ItI)
  (Intercept) 3.7142003 0.0457093 81.26 <2e-16 ***
             0.0218550 0.0006667 32.78 <2e-16 ***
  Time
  Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' '1
  Residual standard error: 0.2467 on 116 degrees of freedom
  Multiple R-squared: 0.9026, Adjusted R-squared: 0.9017
  F-statistic: 1075 on 1 and 116 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16
```


#### Interpretation?

```
Log(Y_t) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 t + \epsilon_t
  Coefficients:
               Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>ItI)
  (Intercept) 3.7142003 0.0457093 81.26 <2e-16 ***
             0.0218550 0.0006667 32.78 <2e-16 ***
  Time
  Signif. codes: 0 '*** 0.001 '** 0.01 '* 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' '1
  Residual standard error: 0.2467 on 116 degrees of freedom
 Multiple R-squared: 0.9026, Adjusted R-squared: 0.9017
  F-statistic: 1075 on 1 and 116 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16
```

#### Interpretation?

The number of Armed Robberies increased by about 2.2% every month!

$$Log(Y_t) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 Log(Y_{t-1}) + \beta_2 t + \epsilon_t$$



Much better!

$$Log(Y_t) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 Log(Y_{t-1}) + \beta_2 t + \epsilon_t$$

#### Coefficients:

Multiple R-squared: 0.9419, Adjusted R-squared: 0.9409 F-statistic: 924.5 on 2 and 114 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16