STATS230-Hw2

Mingyu Du

Problem 1

$$A = LL^{T}$$

$$a_{11} = l_{11}^{2} = 2$$

$$a_{12} = l_{11}l_{21} = -2$$

$$a_{22} = l_{21}^{2} + l_{22}^{2} = 5$$

We can see that $l_{11} = \sqrt{2}$, $l_{21} = -\sqrt{2}$, and $l_{22} = \sqrt{3}$. Thus,

$$L = \left(\begin{array}{cc} \sqrt{2} & 0 \\ -\sqrt{2} & \sqrt{3} \end{array} \right)$$

Problem 2

Assume A is a positive definite matrix with band condition in the sense that $a_{ij} = 0$ when |i - j| > d, and B is its Cholesky decomposition matrix such that $A = BB^T$ and

$$B = \left(\begin{array}{cc} b_{11} & 0^T \\ \bar{b} & B_{22} \end{array}\right),$$

we can obtain $b_{11} = \sqrt{a_{11}}$ and

$$\bar{b} = b_{11}^{-1} (a_{21}, a_{31}, \dots, a_{d+1,1}, 0, \dots, 0)^T,$$

in which $\bar{b}_i = 0$ when i > d. Thus, we have $b_{i1} = 0$ when |i - 1| > d.

Furthermore, B_{22} is the Cholesky decomposition of $A_{22} - \bar{b}\bar{b}^T$.

Denote the first column of A_{22} as \bar{c} and denote the first column of $\bar{b}\bar{b}^T$ as \bar{d} . $\bar{c} = (a_{22}, \dots, a_{d+2,2}, 0, \dots, 0)^T$, so $\bar{c}_i = 0$ when i > d+1. And for the matrix $\bar{b}\bar{b}^T$, we can find that $\bar{d}_i = 0$ when i > d. Denote B_{22} as

$$B_{22} = \left(\begin{array}{cc} b_{22} & 0^T \\ \bar{e} & B_{33} \end{array}\right),\,$$

After solving the equations, we get $b_{22} = \sqrt{a_{22} - \frac{a_{21}^2}{a_{11}}}$ and

$$\bar{e} = b_{22}^{-1} (\bar{c}_2 - \bar{d}_2, \bar{c}_3 - \bar{d}_3, \dots, \bar{c}_{d+1} - \bar{d}_{d+1}, 0, \dots, 0)^T$$

in which $\bar{e}_i = 0$ when i > d. Thus, we get $b_{i2} = 0$ when |i - 2| > d.

We have already made the proof for j = 1, 2. Similarly, this condition also applies for other values of j, so $b_{ij} = 0$ when |i - j| > d.

1

Problem 3

$$\begin{split} \boldsymbol{X}^T \boldsymbol{X} &= (QR)^T QR \\ &= R^T Q^T QR \\ &= R^T R \end{split}$$

Thus, we get

$$X(X^{T}X)^{-1}X^{T} = QR(R^{T}R)^{-1}R^{T}Q^{T}$$

$$= QRR^{-1}(R^{T})^{-1}R^{T}Q^{T}$$

$$= QQ^{T}$$

$$|det(X)| = |det(QR)|$$

$$= |det(Q)||det(R)|$$

$$= |det(R)|$$

in which |det(Q)| = 1 since Q is a matrix with orthonormal columns.

$$det (X^T X) = det (X^T) det (X)$$
$$= det (X) det (X)$$
$$= [det(R)]^2$$

Problem 4

$$AA^{T} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos(\theta) & \sin(\theta) \\ \sin(\theta) & -\cos(\theta) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \cos(\theta) & \sin(\theta) \\ \sin(\theta) & -\cos(\theta) \end{pmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Thus, A is orthogonal.

Solving $|det(A - \lambda I)| = 0$, we can get $\lambda_1 = 1$ and $\lambda_2 = -1$.

For
$$\lambda_1 = 1$$
, solving $(A - \lambda_1 I)v_1 = 0$, we can get $v_1 = \left(1, \frac{\sin\theta}{\cos\theta + 1}\right)^T$.

For
$$\lambda_2 = -1$$
, solving $(A - \lambda_2 I)v_2 = 0$, we can get $v_2 = \left(1, \frac{\sin \theta}{\cos \theta - 1}\right)^T$.

Problem 5

Since $Ov = \lambda v$, we have

$$|\lambda|^2 v^T v = (Ov)^T (Ov)$$
$$= v^T O^T Ov$$
$$= v^T v$$

Thus, $\lambda = \pm 1$

Problem 6

Based on singular value decomposition, $A^{-1} = U\Sigma^{-1}V^T$, where

$$\Sigma^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sigma_1} & & & \\ & \frac{1}{\sigma_2} & & & \\ & & \ddots & & \\ & & & \frac{1}{\sigma_m} \end{pmatrix}$$

Thus,

$$cond_2(A) = ||A||_2 ||A^{-1}||_2$$
$$= max_i \sigma_i \times max_i (\frac{1}{\sigma_i})$$
$$= \frac{\max_i \sigma_i}{\min_i \sigma_i}$$

Problem 7

Simulation from n-dimensional multivariate normal distribution via Cholesky decomposition can be summarized as follows:

- Compute the Cholesky decomposition matrix L such that $\Sigma = LL^T$.
- Generate $\bar{z} \sim N_n(0, I)$.
- Compute $\bar{x} = \bar{\mu} + L\bar{z}$.

I create a function mvn_chol_sim for the simulation.

```
devtools::load_all("./package/")
library(bench)
```

```
N <- 100
n <- 4
# specify the mean vector and covariance matrix (positive definite)
mu <- runif(n)
A <- matrix(runif(n^2)*2-1, ncol = n)
sigma <- t(A) %*% A
# simulation
sim_x <- mvn_chol_sim(N, mu, sigma)
# validation
sample_mean <- apply(sim_x, 1, mean)
sample_cov <- cov(t(sim_x))
mu</pre>
```

[1] 0.06965643 0.92256756 0.07386534 0.59249457

```
sample_mean
```

```
## [1] 0.1830199 0.8957284 -0.1554350 0.6651001
```

sigma

```
## [,1] [,2] [,3] [,4]

## [1,] 1.3829290 -0.1524711 -0.1723242 -0.3758776

## [2,] -0.1524711 0.6691264 -0.2261268 -0.6227764

## [3,] -0.1723242 -0.2261268 1.7406906 -0.3606709

## [4,] -0.3758776 -0.6227764 -0.3606709 1.7185140
```

sample_cov

```
## [,1] [,2] [,3] [,4]

## [1,] 1.34865144 0.181782601 -0.074362319 -0.1748568

## [2,] 0.18178260 1.152637937 -0.007753007 -0.5220989

## [3,] -0.07436232 -0.007753007 2.012658866 -0.4861717

## [4,] -0.17485676 -0.522098911 -0.486171714 0.6148921
```

Problem 8

```
data <- read.csv("homework2_regression.csv")
y <- data[,1]
x <- data[,-1]</pre>
```

The method to obtain OLS estimates of coefficients using QR decomposition can be summarized as follows:

$$\hat{\beta} = (X^T X)^{-1} X^T y$$

$$= ((QR)^T QR)^{-1} (QR)^T y$$

$$= (R^T Q^T QR)^{-1} R^T Q^T y$$

$$= (R^T R)^{-1} R^T Q^T y$$

$$= R^{-1} Q^T y$$

The method to obtain OLS estimates of coefficients using SVD can be summarized as follows: Assume $X = U\Sigma V^T$, we can get $\hat{\beta} = V\Sigma^{-1}U^Ty$.

I create two functions: ols_gr and ols_svd for QR decomposition and SVD respectively:

```
ols_qr(x,y)
```

```
## [,1]

## x1 -0.05006337

## x2 -2.05873307

## x3 -0.88978361

## x4 0.86985616

## x5 3.10461263
```

```
ols_svd(x,y)
               [,1]
##
## [1,] -0.05006337
## [2,] -2.05873307
## [3,] -0.88978361
## [4,] 0.86985616
## [5,] 3.10461263
bench::mark(
  ols_qr(x,y),
  ols_svd(x,y), check = FALSE
## # A tibble: 2 x 6
##
     expression
                              median 'itr/sec' mem_alloc 'gc/sec'
                        min
     <bch:expr>
                   <bch:tm> <bch:tm>
                                          <dbl> <bch:byt>
## 1 ols_qr(x, y)
                                192us
                                          4695.
                                                  118.8KB
                                                              10.7
                      171us
                                135us
                                                   74.3KB
## 2 ols_svd(x, y)
                      123us
                                          6342.
                                                              12.9
```

Based on the benchmark result, SVD is more computationally efficient than QR decomposition.