

Prove that each of the following problems is NP-hard.

1. Given an undirected graph G , does G contain a simple path that visits all but 374 vertices?

Solution: We prove this problem is NP-hard by a reduction from the undirected Hamiltonian path problem. Given an arbitrary graph G , let H be the graph obtained from G by adding 374 isolated vertices. Call a path in H **almost-Hamiltonian** if it visits all but 374 vertices. I claim that G contains a Hamiltonian path if and only if H contains an almost-Hamiltonian path.

\implies Suppose G has a Hamiltonian path P . Then P is an almost-Hamiltonian path in H , because it misses only the 374 isolated vertices.

\impliedby Suppose H has an almost-Hamiltonian path P . This path must miss all 374 isolated vertices in H , and therefore must visit every vertex in G . Every edge in H , and therefore every edge in P , is also an edge in G . We conclude that P is a Hamiltonian path in G .

Given G , we can easily build H in polynomial time by brute force. ■

2. Given an undirected graph G , does G have a spanning tree in which every node has degree at most 374?

Solution: We prove this problem is NP-hard by a reduction from the undirected Hamiltonian path problem. Given an arbitrary graph G , let H be the graph obtained by attaching a fan of 372 edges to every vertex of G . Call a spanning tree of H **almost-Hamiltonian** if it has maximum degree 374. I claim that G contains a Hamiltonian path if and only if H contains an almost-Hamiltonian spanning tree.

\implies Suppose G has a Hamiltonian path P . Let T be the spanning tree of H obtained by adding every fan edge in H to P . Every vertex v of H is either a leaf of T or a vertex of P . If $v \in P$, then $\deg_P(v) \leq 2$, and therefore $\deg_H(v) = \deg_P(v) + 372 \leq 374$. We conclude that H is an almost-Hamiltonian spanning tree.

\impliedby Suppose H has an almost-Hamiltonian spanning tree T . The leaves of T are precisely the vertices of H with degree 1; these are also precisely the vertices of H that are not vertices of G . Let P be the subtree of T obtained by deleting every leaf of T . Observe that P is a spanning tree of G , and for every vertex $v \in P$, we have $\deg_P(v) = \deg_T(v) - 372 \leq 2$. We conclude that P is a Hamiltonian path in G .

Given G , we can easily build H in polynomial time by brute force. ■

3. Given an undirected graph G , does G have a spanning tree with at most 374 leaves?

Solution: We prove this problem is NP-hard by a reduction from the undirected Hamiltonian path problem.^a Given an arbitrary graph G , let H be the graph obtained from G by adding the following vertices and edges:

- First we add a vertex z with edges to every other vertex in G .
- Then we add 373 vertices $\ell_1, \dots, \ell_{373}$, each with edges to z and nothing else.

Call a spanning tree of H **almost-Hamiltonian** if it has at most 374 leaves. I claim that G contains a Hamiltonian path if and only if H contains an almost-Hamiltonian spanning tree.

\implies Suppose G has a Hamiltonian path P . Suppose P starts at vertex s and ends at vertex t . Let T be subgraph of H obtained by adding the edge tz and all possible edges $z\ell_i$. Then T is a spanning tree of H with exactly 374 leaves, namely s and all 373 new vertices ℓ_i .

\impliedby Suppose H has an almost-Hamiltonian spanning tree T . Every node ℓ_i is a leaf of T , so T must consist of the 373 edges $z\ell_i$ and a simple path from z to some vertex s of G . Let t be the only neighbor of z in T that is not a leaf ℓ_i , and let P be the unique path in T from s to t . This path visits every vertex of G ; in other words, P is a Hamiltonian path in G .

Given G , we can easily build H in polynomial time by brute force. ■

^aAre you noticing a pattern here?