

**1** (100 PTS.) **Review**

- 1.A.** (50 PTS.) Suppose  $S$  is a set of 111 integers. Prove that there is a subset  $S' \subseteq S$  of at least 11 numbers such that the difference of any two numbers in  $S'$  is a multiple of 11.

**Solution:**

We will use the pigeonhole principle. Let the 111 numbers in  $S$  be  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{111}$ . Note that since  $S$  is a set, the numbers are distinct, that is  $a_i \neq a_j$  for  $1 \leq i < j \leq 111$ .

For  $h \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, 10\}$  let  $S_h = \{a_i \mid a_i \bmod 11 = h\}$  be the set of numbers from  $S$  whose remainder when divided by 11 is  $h$ . Every  $a_i$  is in exactly one  $S_h$  and therefore  $S_0, S_1, \dots, S_{10}$  is a partition of  $S$ . This implies that  $|S| = \sum_{h=0}^{10} |S_h| = 111$ .

We claim that there is an index  $r \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, 10\}$  such that  $|S_r| \geq 11$ . This follows from the pigeon hole principle. A direct argument is the following. If  $|S_h| \leq 10$  for each  $h \in \{0, 1, \dots, 10\}$  then,

$$\sum_{h=0}^{10} |S_h| \leq 11 \times 10 \leq 110$$

but  $\sum_{h=0}^{10} |S_h| = 111$ , a contradiction.

We claim that  $S_r \subseteq S$ , with  $|S_r| \geq 11$  is our desired set. For any two distinct numbers  $a_i, a_j \in S_r$  we have the property that  $a_i \equiv a_j \bmod 11$  ( $a_i \bmod 11$  and  $a_j \bmod 11$  are equal to  $r$ ) which implies that  $a_i - a_j$  is divisible by 11.

*Rubric:* 50 points for a correct proof. Small issues with a proof (such as improperly using modular arithmetic, improperly stating the pigeonhole principle, not fully defining all variables, etc.) will lose anywhere from 5 to 20 points each. Large issues with a proof (such as not letting  $S$  be an arbitrary set, only proving that there is a pair of numbers in  $S'$  whose difference is a multiple of 11, not proving that the size of  $S'$  is at least 11, etc.) will lose anywhere from 25 to 40 points each.

- 1.B.** (50 PTS.) The famous Basque computational arborist Gorka Oihanean has a favorite 26-node binary tree, in which each node is labeled with a letter of the alphabet. Inorder and postorder traversals of his tree visits the nodes in the following orders:

Inorder: *U C N O B I E L Z T F D S H W V Q A X G R J P K M Y*

Postorder: *U C O I E B L F S D H T Z N V A R G J X M K P Y Q W*

List the nodes in Professor Oihanean's tree according to a preorder traversal.

**Solution:**

Preorder: *W N C U Z L B O E I T H D F S Q V Y P X A J G R K M*

*Rubric:* 50 points for a correct answer. -10 points for each misplaced, missing, or repeated letter, but no negative scores. No proof is required. The correct solution is unique. 5 points.

## 2 (100 PTS.) A recurrence.

Consider the recurrence

$$T(n) = \begin{cases} T(\lfloor n/3 \rfloor) + 4T(\lfloor n/6 \rfloor) + n & n \geq 6 \\ 1 & n < 6. \end{cases}$$

Prove by induction that  $T(n) = O(n \log n)$ . (Recall that you need to show that  $T(n) \leq c_1 n \log n + c_2$  for  $n \geq 1$  where  $c_1, c_2 \geq 0$  are some fixed but suitably chosen constants.)

### Solution:

We assume all logarithms are with respect to base 2. This is without loss of generality since only the choice of  $c_1, c_2$  will change by a constant factor for other base values, and the rest of the proof remains the same.

We claim that  $T(n) \leq n \log n + 1$  for all positive integers  $n$  (this is our inductive hypothesis). We prove this by induction on  $n$ . Let  $g(n) = n \log n + 1$ .

For the base of induction, consider the cases  $n = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$ . By definition,  $T(n) = 1$  for  $n = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$ . For  $n \geq 1, g(n) \geq 1$  since  $g(n)$  is an increasing function of  $n$  and  $g(1) = 1$ . Therefore,  $T(n) \leq g(n)$  for  $n = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$ .

For the induction step, let  $n \geq 6$  and suppose that the inductive hypothesis holds for all  $k < n$ . We will show that  $T(n) \leq g(n)$  holds for  $n$ .

By definition,  $T(n) = T(\lfloor n/3 \rfloor) + 4T(\lfloor n/6 \rfloor) + n$ . Since  $\lfloor n/3 \rfloor$  and  $\lfloor n/6 \rfloor$  are smaller than  $n$  when  $n \geq 6$ , applying the inductive hypothesis, we have that

$$T(\lfloor n/3 \rfloor) \leq \lfloor n/3 \rfloor \log(\lfloor n/3 \rfloor) + 1$$

and

$$T(\lfloor n/6 \rfloor) \leq \lfloor n/6 \rfloor \log(\lfloor n/6 \rfloor) + 1.$$

Since the function  $x \rightarrow \log(x)$  is an increasing function of  $x$ , and the  $\lfloor x \rfloor \leq x$  for all  $x$ , we have that  $\lfloor n/3 \rfloor \log(\lfloor n/3 \rfloor) \leq \frac{n}{3} \log(\frac{n}{3})$  and  $\lfloor n/6 \rfloor \log(\lfloor n/6 \rfloor) \leq (n/6) \log(n/6)$ .

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} T(n) &= T(\lfloor n/3 \rfloor) + 4T(\lfloor n/6 \rfloor) + n \\ &\leq (\lfloor n/3 \rfloor \log(\lfloor n/3 \rfloor) + 1) + 4(\lfloor n/6 \rfloor \log(\lfloor n/6 \rfloor) + 1) + n \\ &\leq ((n/3) \log(n/3) + 1) + 4((n/6) \log(n/6) + 1) + n \\ &= (n/3) (\log(n/3) + 2 \log(n/6)) + 5 + n \\ &= (n/3) (3 \log(n/3) - 2) + 5 + n \\ &= n \log(n) + 5 - n \left( \frac{\log(3) - 1}{3} \right) \\ &\leq n \log(n) + 5 - \frac{n}{6} \\ &\leq n \log(n) + 1. \end{aligned}$$

The last inequality is valid because  $n \geq 6$ . This completes the inductive proof.

*Rubric:* Standard induction rubric. Additional problem specific rubric:  $-10$  for removing floor signs for  $T(\cdot)$  instead of for  $c_1 n \log n + c_2$  (as  $T(\cdot)$  is not known to be increasing).  $-10$  each for not stating explicit, or stating incorrect  $c_1, c_2$  values.  $-5$  for only one base case, instead of 5 (as we require  $n/6$  to exist for the  $T(n/6)$  variable in the  $T(n)$  definition).

### 3 (100 PTS.) Languages

Let  $L \subseteq \{0, 1\}^*$  be a language defined recursively as follows:

- (i) The string  $0$  is in  $L$ .
- (ii) For any string  $x$  in  $L$ , the string  $x1$  is also in  $L$ .
- (iii) For any string  $x$  in  $L$ , the string  $1x$  is also in  $L$ .
- (iv) For any strings  $x$  and  $y$  in  $L$ , the string  $x0y$  is also in  $L$ .
- (v) These are the only strings in  $L$ .

Let  $\#_0(w)$  denote the number of times  $0$  appears in string  $w$  and  $\#_1(w)$  denote the number of times  $1$  appears in string  $w$ . You may assume without proof that  $\#_0(xy) = \#_0(x) + \#_0(y)$ , for any strings  $x, y$ .

3.A. (50 PTS.) Prove by induction that every string  $w \in L$  contains an odd number of  $0$ s.

#### Solution:

Let  $w$  be an *arbitrary* string in  $L$ .

Assume that  $\#_0(x)$  is odd for every string  $x \in L$  such that  $|x| < |w|$ .

There are four cases to consider (mirroring the four cases in the definition):

- If  $w = 0$ , then  $\#_0(w) = 1$  which is odd.
- If  $w = x1$  for some string  $x \in L$ , then

$$\#_0(w) = \#_0(x) + \#_0(1) = \#_0(x)$$

$\#_0(x)$  is odd by the inductive hypothesis (since  $|x| < |w|$ ), hence  $\#_0(w)$  is odd.

- If  $w = 1x$  for some string  $x \in L$ , then

$$\#_0(w) = \#_0(1) + \#_0(x) = \#_0(x)$$

$\#_0(x)$  is odd by the inductive hypothesis (since  $|x| < |w|$ ), hence  $\#_0(w)$  is odd.

- Otherwise,  $w = x0y$  for some strings  $x, y \in L$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}\#_0(w) &= \#_0(x) + \#_0(0) + \#_0(y) \\ &= \#_0(x) + 1 + \#_0(y)\end{aligned}$$

Both  $\#_0(x), \#_0(y)$  are odd by the inductive hypothesis (since  $|x| < |w|$  and  $|y| < |w|$ ) and the sum of three odd numbers is always odd, so  $\#_0(w)$  is also odd.

In all four cases, we conclude that  $\#_0(w)$  is odd.

*Rubric:* Standard induction rubric.

- 3.B. (50 PTS.) Let  $L' \subseteq \{0, 1\}^*$  be the language of strings with an odd number of 0s. Prove that  $L = L'$ .

### Solution:

From the previous part, we have that if  $w \in L \Rightarrow w \in L'$ . Hence,  $L \subseteq L'$ .

Now, we will prove that if  $w \in L' \Rightarrow w \in L$ . We will prove by induction on the length of the string  $w$  that if  $\#_0(w)$  is odd, then  $w \in L$ .

*Proof:* Let  $w$  be an arbitrary string such that  $\#_0(w)$  is odd. Assume that every string  $x$  with  $|x| < |w|$  and  $\#_0(x)$  odd belongs to  $L$ . We consider four cases below, and every string  $w$  with  $\#_0(w)$  odd falls into one of these cases.

**Case 1:**  $w$  starts with 1. That is  $w = 1x$  for some string  $x$ . Then, we have  $\#_0(x) = \#_0(w)$ , therefore  $\#_0(x)$  is odd. Since  $|x| < |w|$ , by induction hypothesis, we have that  $x \in L$ . By the second construction rule we have that  $w = 1x$  is also in  $L$ .

**Case 2:**  $w$  ends with 1. That is  $w = x1$  for some string  $x$ . Then, we have  $\#_0(x) = \#_0(w)$ , therefore  $\#_0(x)$  is odd. Since  $|x| < |w|$ , by induction hypothesis, we have that  $x \in L$ . By the third construction rule we have that  $w = x1$  is also in  $L$ .

**Case 3:**  $w$  starts and ends with 0 and  $|w| = 1$ . Then  $w = 0$ . By the first construction rule,  $w \in L$ .

**Case 4:**  $w$  starts and ends with 0 and  $|w| > 1$ . Then  $\#_0(w) \geq 2$ , but since  $\#_0(w)$  is odd, we have that  $\#_0(w) \geq 3$ . Consider the second 0 in  $w$ . Let  $x$  be the prefix of  $w$  till the second 0 in  $w$  (not including it), let  $y$  be the suffix of  $w$  after the second 0. Then  $w = x0y$  where  $\#_0(x) = 1$ . Since  $\#_0(w) \geq 3$  and odd, and  $\#_0(x) = 1$ , we have that  $\#_0(y)$  is odd as well. Since  $|x| < |w|$  and  $|y| < |w|$ , by induction hypothesis, we have that  $x, y \in L$ . By the fourth construction rule we have that  $w = x0y \in L$ . ■

Hence,  $L' \subseteq L$  and  $L \subseteq L'$ . Thus,  $L = L'$ .

Rubric: Standard induction rubric. Additional problem specific rubric: -5 for not proving the if and only if.