Prove that the following languages are undecidable.

1. ACCEPTILLINI := $\{\langle M \rangle \mid M \text{ accepts the string } ILLINI \}$

Solution: For the sake of argument, suppose there is an algorithm DecideAcceptIllini that correctly decides the language AcceptIllini. Then we can solve the halting problem as follows:

```
DecideHalt(\langle M, w \rangle):

Encode the following Turing machine M':

\underbrace{\frac{M'(x):}{\text{run } M \text{ on input } w}}_{\text{return } \text{True}}

if DecideAcceptIllini(\langle M' \rangle)

\text{return } \text{True}

else

\text{return } \text{False}
```

We prove this reduction correct as follows:

 \implies Suppose M halts on input w.

Then M' accepts every input string x.

In particular, M' accepts the string ILLINI.

So DecideAcceptIllini accepts the encoding $\langle M' \rangle$.

So DecideHalt correctly accepts the encoding $\langle M, w \rangle$.

 \iff Suppose M does not halt on input w.

Then M' diverges on every input string x.

In particular, M' does not accept the string ILLINI.

So DecideAcceptIllini rejects the encoding $\langle M' \rangle$.

So DecideHalt correctly rejects the encoding $\langle M, w \rangle$.

In both cases, DecideHalt is correct. But that's impossible, because Halt is undecidable. We conclude that the algorithm DecideAcceptIllini does not exist.

As usual for undecidablility proofs, this proof invokes four distinct Turing machines:

- The hypothetical algorithm DecideAcceptIllini.
- The new algorithm DecideHalt that we construct in the solution.
- The arbitrary machine *M* whose encoding is part of the input to DECIDEHALT.
- The special machine M' whose encoding DecideHalt constructs (from the encoding of M and w) and then passes to DecideAcceptIllini.

2. AcceptThree := $\{\langle M \rangle \mid M \text{ accepts exactly three strings}\}$

Solution: For the sake of argument, suppose there is an algorithm DecideAcceptThree that correctly decides the language AcceptThree. Then we can solve the halting problem as follows:

```
DECIDEHALT(\langle M, w \rangle):

Encode the following Turing machine M':

\underbrace{\frac{M'(x):}{\text{run } M \text{ on input } w}}_{\text{if } x = \text{ILL or } x = \text{UMIN or } x = \text{ATI return True}

else

\text{return False}

if DecideAcceptThree(\langle M' \rangle)

\text{return True}

else

\text{return True}

else

\text{return True}
```

We prove this reduction correct as follows:

 \implies Suppose *M* halts on input *w*.

Then M' accepts exactly three strings, namely ILL, UMIN, and ATI.

So DecideAcceptThree accepts the encoding $\langle M' \rangle$.

So DecideHalt correctly accepts the encoding $\langle M, w \rangle$.

 \iff Suppose M does not halt on input w.

Then M' diverges on every input string x.

In particular, M' does not accept exactly three strings (because $0 \neq 3$).

So DecideAcceptThree rejects the encoding $\langle M' \rangle$.

So DecideHalt correctly rejects the encoding $\langle M, w \rangle$.

In both cases, DecideHalt is correct. But that's impossible, because Halt is undecidable. We conclude that the algorithm DecideAcceptThree does not exist.

3. AcceptPalindrome := $\{\langle M \rangle \mid M \text{ accepts at least one palindrome}\}$

Solution: For the sake of argument, suppose there is an algorithm DecideAccept-Palindrome that correctly decides the language AcceptPalindrome. Then we can solve the halting problem as follows:

```
DECIDEHALT(\langle M, w \rangle):
Encode the following Turing machine M':

\frac{M'(x):}{\text{run } M \text{ on input } w}

return True

if DecideAcceptPalindrome(\langle M' \rangle)
return True
else
return False
```

We prove this reduction correct as follows:

 \implies Suppose M halts on input w.

Then M' accepts every input string x.

In particular, M' accepts the palindrome ILLINILLI.

So DecideAcceptPalindrome accepts the encoding $\langle M' \rangle$.

So DecideHalt correctly accepts the encoding $\langle M, w \rangle$.

 \iff Suppose M does not halt on input w.

Then M' diverges on every input string x.

In particular, M' does not accept any palindromes.

So DecideAcceptPalindrome rejects the encoding $\langle M' \rangle$.

So DecideHalt correctly rejects the encoding $\langle M, w \rangle$.

In both cases, DecideHalt is correct. But that's impossible, because Halt is undecidable. We conclude that the algorithm DecideAcceptPalindrome does not exist.

Yes, this is *exactly* the same proof as for problem 1.

4. AcceptOnlyPalindromes := $\{\langle M \rangle \mid \text{ Every string accepted by } M \text{ is a palindrome} \}$

Solution: For the sake of argument, suppose there is an algorithm DecideAccept-OnlyPalindromes that correctly decides the language AcceptOnlyPalindromes. Then we can solve the halting problem as follows:

```
DECIDEHALT(\langle M, w \rangle):

Encode the following Turing machine M':

\frac{M'(x):}{\text{run } M \text{ on input } w}

\text{return True}

if DecideAcceptOnlyPalindromes(\langle M' \rangle)

\text{return False}

else

\text{return True}
```

We prove this reduction correct as follows:

 \implies Suppose M halts on input w.

Then M' accepts every input string x.

In particular, M' accepts the non-palindrome ILLINI.

So DecideAcceptOnlyPalindromes rejects the encoding $\langle M' \rangle$.

So DecideHalt correctly accepts the encoding $\langle M, w \rangle$.

 \iff Suppose M does not halt on input w.

Then M' diverges on every input string x.

Thus, vacuously, every string that M' accepts is a palindrome.

So DecideAcceptOnlyPalindromes accepts the encoding $\langle M' \rangle$.

So DecideHalt correctly rejects the encoding $\langle M, w \rangle$.

In both cases, DecideHalt is correct. But that's impossible, because Halt is undecidable. We conclude that the algorithm DecideAcceptOnlyPalindromes does not exist.