## Causal Inference Using Graphs: Problem Set 1 Solution

1

```
a. X \to Z_1 \to Z_3 \to Z_5 \to Y

X \to Z_2 \to Z_3 \to Z_5 \to Y

X \to Z_1 \to Z_3 \leftarrow Z_4 \to Y

X \to Z_2 \to Z_3 \leftarrow Z_4 \to Y

b. X \to Z_1 \to Z_3 \to Z_5 \to Y

X \to Z_2 \to Z_3 \to Z_5 \to Y

c. Z_5
```

2

```
a. # Set seed:
  set.seed(123)
  # Given quantities:
  n <- 1000
  beta1 <- 1
  delta <- .8
  rho <- .8
  # Number of datasets:
  S <- 10000
  # Vectors for each estimate:
  est1 <- rep(0,S)
  est2 \leftarrow rep(0,S)
  # Simulation:
  for(s in 1:S){
     # Error terms nu & gamma:
     nu1 <- rnorm(n)</pre>
     nu2 <- rnorm(n)</pre>
     gamma1 <- rnorm(n)</pre>
     gamma2 <- rnorm(n)</pre>
     # x's:
     x1 <- gamma1
```

```
x2 \leftarrow delta * x1 + gamma2
  # Error terms epsilon:
  eps1 <- nu1
  eps2 <- rho * eps1 + nu2
  # y's:
  y1 <- beta1*x1 + eps1
  y2 \leftarrow beta1*x2 + eps2
  # Estimates of beta_1:
  est1[s] \leftarrow lm(y2 \sim x2)scoef[2]
  est2[s] \leftarrow lm(y2 \sim x2 + y1)$coef[2]
}
# Average of the 10,000 estimates:
mean(est1)
mean(est2)
# The simple regression y_2 ~ x_2 seems to provide an unbiased estimate
# Explore graphically:
par(mfrow=c(2,1))
hist(est1)
hist(est2)
# Confirms the intuition from the means above
```

b. Conditioning on the collider,  $y_{t-1}$ , opens the backdoor path between  $x_t$  and  $y_t$ , which was previously blocked by the collider itself. Hence, regressing  $y_t$  on  $x_t$  and  $y_{t-1}$  induces bias. If  $y_{t-1}$  does not have a causal effect on the outcome variable (irrelevant variable in the regression), the simulation results invalidate the claim made in most econometrics textbooks.