The rule which was obtained by Mr. Bradlaugh and Mrs. Besant, calling upon the prosecution in the recent trial to show cause “why the judgment roll herein should not be completed by inserting the actual date when the interlocutory judgment was signed and posted, and why the time for the defendants to transcribe the said judgment roll should not be enlarged, and why any further proceedings should not be stayed in the meantime,” came on for hearing in the Court of Queen’s Bench on Friday. The court held that the proceedings had been quite regular, and dismissed the rule with costs.
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