SI231 - Matrix Computations, Fall 2020-21

Homework Set #1

Name: 闵红旗 Major: EE

Student No.: 2020E8018482005 E-mail: minhq@sari.ac.cn

I. UNDERSTANDING RANK, RANGE SPACE AND NULL SPACE

1) **Solution:**

a) 为了证明 $\mathcal{R}(A^T) \oplus \mathcal{N}(A) = \mathbb{R}^n$,等价于证明 $\mathcal{R}(A^T) \cap \mathcal{N}(A) = 0$ and $\mathcal{R}(A^T) + \mathcal{N}(A) = \mathbb{R}^n$,又因为 $A^T \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$, $\mathcal{R}(A^T) = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid y = Ax, x \in \mathbb{R}^n\}$, $\mathcal{N}(A) = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid Ay = 0\}$,假设存在 $\mathbf{y} \neq \mathbf{0}$,由 $\mathcal{N}(A) = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid Ay = 0\}$ 得rank(A) = n,则只存在唯一 \mathbf{x} 满足y = Ax,所以假设不成立,

又易知 $\mathcal{R}(A^T)$ 和 $\mathcal{N}(A)$ 均包含 $\mathbf{0}$,所以 $\mathcal{R}(A^T) \cap \mathcal{N}(A) = \mathbf{0}$

设rank(A) = k,则 $rank(\mathcal{R}(A^T)) = k$, $dim(\mathcal{R}(A^T)) = k$, $dim(\mathcal{N}(A)) = n - k$,

所以, $dim(\mathcal{R}(A^T)) + dim(\mathcal{N}(A)) = n$,

 $\mathbb{P}\mathcal{R}(A^T) + \mathcal{N}(A) = \mathbb{R}^n.$

综上, $\mathcal{R}(A^T) \oplus \mathcal{N}(A) = \mathbb{R}^n$

$$\mathbf{A} = [\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \cdots, \alpha_s], \mathbf{B} = [\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3, \cdots, \beta_s]$$

于是
$$[A, B] = [\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \cdots, \alpha_s, \beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3, \cdots, \beta_s],$$

$$A + B = [\alpha_1 + \beta_1, \alpha_2 + \beta_2, \alpha_3 + \beta_3, \cdots, \alpha_s + \beta_s],$$

因 $\alpha_i + \beta_i (i = 1, 2, \dots, s)$ 均可由向量组 $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \dots, \alpha_s, \beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3, \dots, \beta_s$ 线性表出,故 $rank(\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{B}) \leq rank([\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}])$

又设**A**,**B**的列空间的极大线性无关组分别为 $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \cdots, \alpha_p$ 和 $\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3, \cdots, \beta_p$,将**A**的极大线性无关组 $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \cdots, \alpha_p$ 扩充成[**A**,**B**]的极大线性无关组,

设为 $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \cdots, \alpha_p, \beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3, \cdots, \beta_w$, 显然 $w \leq q$, 故有

$$rank([\mathbf{A},\mathbf{B}]) = p + w \leq p + q = rank(\mathbf{A}) + rank(\mathbf{B})$$

故 $rank(\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{B}) \le rank(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}) \le rank(\mathbf{A}) + rank(\mathbf{B})$

c) 将 \mathbf{A} , $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{B}$ 按行分块为 $\begin{bmatrix} eta_1 \\ eta_2 \\ \vdots \\ eta_n \end{bmatrix}$, $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{C} = \begin{bmatrix} \gamma_1 \\ \gamma_2 \\ \vdots \\ \gamma_m \end{bmatrix}$, 于是

$$\mathbf{AB} = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1n} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & \cdots & a_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ a_{m1} & a_{m2} & \cdots & a_{mn} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\beta_1} \\ \boldsymbol{\beta_2} \\ \vdots \\ \boldsymbol{\beta_n} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11}\boldsymbol{\beta_1} + a_{12}\boldsymbol{\beta_2} + \cdots + a_{1n}\boldsymbol{\beta_n} \\ a_{21}\boldsymbol{\beta_1} + a_{22}\boldsymbol{\beta_2} + \cdots + a_{2n}\boldsymbol{\beta_n} \\ \vdots \\ a_{m1}\boldsymbol{\beta_1} + a_{m2}\boldsymbol{\beta_2} + \cdots + a_{mn}\boldsymbol{\beta_n} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\gamma_1} \\ \boldsymbol{\gamma_2} \\ \vdots \\ \boldsymbol{\gamma_m} \end{bmatrix}$$

所以**AB**的行向量 $\gamma_m(i=1,2,3,\cdots,m)$ 均可由**B**的行向量线性表出,

 $rank(\mathbf{AB}) < rank(\mathbf{B}).$

同理可证 $rank(\mathbf{AB}) \leq rank(\mathbf{A})$,故有 $rank(\mathbf{AB}) \leq min\{rank(\mathbf{A}), rank(\mathbf{B})\}$.

如果 $rank(\mathbf{AB}) = n$

則 $n \le rank(\mathbf{A}) \le min(m, n), n \le rank(\mathbf{B}) \le min(n, q)$

所以A列满秩,B行满秩

- d) 设**A**的列空间为 $\mathcal{R}(A) = \{ \alpha \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \alpha = Ax_1, x_1 \in \mathbb{R}^n \}$, 设**B**的列空间为 $\mathcal{R}(B) = \{ \beta \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \alpha = Ax_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}^n \}$ $\beta = Ax_2, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}^p$ }, 设**AB**的列空间为 $\mathcal{R}([A \mid B]) = \{ \gamma \in \mathbb{R}^m \mid \gamma = [A \mid B] \ x_3, x_3 \in \mathbb{R}^{n+p} \},$ 易知, $\mathcal{R}([A \mid B])$ 可和 $\mathcal{R}(A) + \mathcal{R}(B)$ 相互线性表示, 所以, $\mathcal{R}([A \mid B]) = \mathcal{R}(A) + \mathcal{R}(B)$
- e) 设**A**的列空间为 $\mathcal{R}(A) = \{ \alpha \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \alpha = Ax_1, x_1 \in \mathbb{R}^n \}$, 设**B**的列空间为 $\mathcal{R}(B) = \{ \beta \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \alpha = Ax_1, x_1 \in \mathbb{R}^n \}$ $\beta = Ax_2, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}^p$ }, 设**AB**的列空间为 $\mathcal{R}([A \mid B]) = \{ \gamma \in \mathbb{R}^m \mid \gamma = [A \mid B] \, x_3, x_3 \in \mathbb{R}^{n+p} \},$ 易知, $\mathcal{R}([A \mid B])$ 可和 $\mathcal{R}(A) + \mathcal{R}(B)$ 相互线性表示, 所以, $\mathcal{R}([A \mid B]) = \mathcal{R}(A) + \mathcal{R}(B)$

II. UNDERSTANDING SPAN, SUBSPACE

1) **Solution:**

a) If $x \in span(S)$ and W is any subspec containing S, then W contains \S (because \S is a linear combination of elements of S). Hence x belongs to the intersection of all such W, which is S'. Thus $span(\mathcal{S}) \subseteq \mathcal{S}.S^{'} \subseteq span(\mathcal{S})$ follows simply from the fact that $span(\mathcal{S})$ is itself one of the subspaces containing S

III. BASIS, DIMENSION AND PROJECTION

1) **Problem 1. Solution:**

- a) The dimension of the space of polynomials having degree n is n+1
- b) The dimension of the space of $n \times n$ symmetric matrices is n(n+1)/2

2) **Problem 2. Solution:**

a) rotation matrix in
$$\mathbb{R}^{2\times 2}$$
 is $\begin{bmatrix} cos\theta & -sin\theta \\ sin\theta & cos\theta \end{bmatrix}$ or $\begin{bmatrix} cos\theta & sin\theta \\ -sin\theta & cos\theta \end{bmatrix}$

a) rotation matrix in
$$\mathbb{R}^{2\times 2}$$
 is $\begin{bmatrix} \cos\theta & -\sin\theta \\ \sin\theta & \cos\theta \end{bmatrix}$ or $\begin{bmatrix} \cos\theta & \sin\theta \\ -\sin\theta & \cos\theta \end{bmatrix}$
b) To rotate x by $\frac{7\pi}{12}$ in anti-clockwise direction,the $\mathbf{R} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos\frac{7\pi}{12} & -\sin\frac{7\pi}{12} \\ \sin\frac{7\pi}{12} & \cos\frac{7\pi}{12} \end{bmatrix}$, so $\mathbf{R}\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos\frac{7\pi}{12} & -\sin\frac{7\pi}{12} \\ \sin\frac{7\pi}{12} & \cos\frac{7\pi}{12} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \cos\frac{\pi}{4} \\ \sin\frac{\pi}{4} \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{R}$

c) $\mathbf{H}\mathbf{x} = (1 - 2\mathbf{u}\mathbf{u})^T\mathbf{x} = (1 - \mathbf{u}\mathbf{u})^T\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{u}\mathbf{u}^T\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{Q}\mathbf{x} - (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{Q}\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{R}\mathbf{x}$ so, $\mathbf{H}\mathbf{x}$ is a reflection of \mathbf{x} with respect to \mathcal{H}_u

IV. DIRECT SUM

1) **Problem 1. Solution:**

a) supposee the column maximal linearly independent subset of \mathcal{V} is $\mathcal{B} = \{\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3, \cdots, \beta_n\}$, because $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}_1 \cup \mathcal{B}_2$ and $\mathcal{B}_1 \cap \mathcal{B}_2 = \emptyset$, so,I can suppose $\mathcal{B}_1 = \{\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3, \cdots, \beta_s\}$ and $\mathcal{B}_2 = \{\beta_{s+1}, \beta_{s+2}, \beta_{s+3}, \cdots, \beta_n\}$ Then $dim(\mathcal{B}_1) + dim(\mathcal{B}_2) = n = dim(\mathcal{B}) = dim(\mathcal{V})$ so $\mathcal{V} = span(\mathcal{B}_1) \oplus span(\mathcal{B}_2)$

2) **Problem 2. Solution:**

a) supposee the column maximal linearly independent subset of $\mathcal V$ is $\{\nu_1,\nu_2,\nu_3,\cdots,\nu_n\}$ and $\mathcal S=\{\nu_1,\nu_2,\nu_3,\cdots,\nu_d\}$ (d< n) $\mathcal T=\{\nu_{d+1},\nu_{d+2},\nu_{d+3},\cdots,\nu_n\}$ Then $dim(\mathcal S)+dim(\mathcal T)=n=dim(\mathcal V)$ so $\mathcal V=span(\mathcal S)\oplus span(\mathcal T)$

V. UNDERSTANDING THE MATRIX NORM

1) Solution:

a) suppose
$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1n} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & \cdots & a_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ a_{m1} & a_{m2} & \cdots & a_{mn} \end{bmatrix}$$
 and $\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_m \end{bmatrix}$ and we have $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i = 1$ so $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11}x_1 + a_{12}x_2 + \cdots + a_{1n}x_n \\ a_{21}x_1 + a_{22}x_2 + \cdots + a_{2n}x_n \\ \vdots \\ a_{m1}x_1 + a_{m2}x_2 + \cdots + a_{mn}x_n \end{bmatrix}$ Then $\|\mathbf{A}\|_1 = \max_{\|\mathbf{x}\|_1 = 1} \|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}\|_1 = \max\{(\sum_{i=1}^m a_{i1})x_1 + (\sum_{i=1}^m a_{i2})x_2 + \cdots + (\sum_{i=1}^m a_{in})x_n\}$

and we know $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i = 1$ to make the formula above be maximum, we should find the maximum $\sum_{i=1}^m a_{ij}$, then let $x_j = 1$ and $x_i = 0$ At last $\|\mathbf{A}\|_1 = \max_{\|\mathbf{x}\|_1 = 1} \|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}\|_1 = the\ largest\ absolute\ column\ sum$

b) suppose
$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1n} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & \cdots & a_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ a_{m1} & a_{m2} & \cdots & a_{mn} \end{bmatrix}$$
 and $\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_m \end{bmatrix}$ and we have $\max_{i=1,2,\cdots,n} |x_i| = 1$ so $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11}x_1 + a_{12}x_2 + \cdots + a_{1n}x_n \\ a_{21}x_1 + a_{22}x_2 + \cdots + a_{2n}x_n \\ \vdots \\ a_{m1}x_1 + a_{m2}x_2 + \cdots + a_{mn}x_n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{j=1}^n a_{1j}x_j \\ \sum_{j=1}^n a_{2j}x_j \\ \vdots \\ \sum_{j=1}^n a_{mj}x_j \end{bmatrix}$ Then $\|\mathbf{A}\|_{\infty} = \max_{\|\mathbf{x}\|_{\infty} = 1} \|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}\|_{\infty} = \max_{i} \{\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}x_j\}$ and we know $\max_{i} |x_i| = 1$ to make the formula above be maximum,

and we know $\max_{i=1,2,\dots,n} |x_i| = 1$ to make the formula above be maximum,

we should let $x_1 = x_2 = \cdots = x_n = 1$

so the maximum $\max_i \{\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} x_j\} = \max_i \{\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}\} = the \ largest \ absolute \ column \ sum$

VI. UNDERSTANDING THE HÖLDER INEQUALITY

- 1) Solution:
 - a) first if $\beta = 0$ $\alpha^{\lambda}\beta^{1-\lambda} \le \lambda\alpha + (1-\lambda)\beta \iff \lambda\alpha \ge 0$, this is clearly established $\text{then if } \beta > 0 \,\, \alpha^{\lambda}\beta^{1-\lambda} \leq \lambda\alpha + (1-\lambda)\beta \,\, \Leftrightarrow \,\, \alpha^{\lambda}\beta^{-\lambda} \leq \lambda\frac{\alpha}{\beta} + (1-\lambda) \,\, \Leftrightarrow \,\, (\frac{\alpha}{\beta})^{\lambda} \leq \lambda\frac{\alpha}{\beta} + (1-\lambda) \,\, (\frac{\alpha}{\beta})^{\lambda} \leq \lambda\frac{\alpha}{\beta} + (1$ $t^{\lambda} \leq \lambda t + (1 - \lambda)$ where $t = \frac{\alpha}{\beta} \geq 0$ so the question is equal to prove $f(t) \ge 0$ Derivative of a function f(t) is $f'(t) = \lambda - \lambda t^{\lambda-1}$, $0 < \lambda < 1$ it's easy to find when $t \in [0,1), f'(t) \ge 0$ and when $t > 1, f'(t) \le 0$ we also find f(1) = 0, so f(t) > 0so $\alpha^{\lambda}\beta^{1-\lambda} \leq \lambda\alpha + (1-\lambda)\beta$
 - b) first we prove when $x>0, y>0, p>0, q>0, \frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}=1$, then $xy\leq \frac{x^p}{p}+\frac{y^q}{q}$ the above is equal to prove $ln(xy) \leq ln(\frac{x^p}{p} + \frac{y^q}{q})$ because x > 0, suppose $f(x) = \ln(x) \Rightarrow f''(x) = -\frac{1}{x^2} < 0 \Rightarrow f(x\Psi)$'s image is raised, then use concavity and convexity definition,we have $f[\lambda x_1 + (1-\lambda)y_1] \ge \lambda f(x_1) + (1-\lambda)f(y_1)$. in the above formula, command $\lambda = \frac{a}{p}, x_1 = x^p, y_1 = y^q$, then $1 - \lambda = 1 - \frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{q}$, so we get $ln(\frac{x^p}{p} + \frac{y^q}{q}) \ge \frac{1}{p}f(x^p) + \frac{1}{q}f(y^q) = ln(xy)$ so we get $xy \le \frac{x^p}{p} + \frac{y^q}{q}$ so $\sum_{i=1}^{n} |\hat{x}_{i} \hat{y}_{i}| \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\frac{1}{p} |\hat{x}_{i}|^{p} + \frac{1}{q} |\hat{y}_{i}|^{q}) = \frac{1}{p} \sum_{i=1}^{n} |\hat{x}_{i}|^{p} + \frac{1}{q} \sum_{i=1}^{n} |\hat{y}_{i}|^{q}$ and bucasue $\hat{x_i} = \frac{x_i}{(\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}}, \hat{y_i} = \frac{y_i}{(\sum_{i=1}^n |y_i|^q)^{\frac{1}{q}}}$

so $\sum_{i=1}^{n} |\hat{x}_i \hat{y}_i| \le \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} |\hat{x}_i|^p + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} |\hat{y}_i|^q = \frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{n} = 1$

c) with the above results, because $\mathbf{x} = \|\mathbf{x}\|_p \, \hat{\mathbf{x}}, \quad \mathbf{y} = \|\mathbf{y}\|_q \, \hat{\mathbf{y}}$ so $\|\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{y}\|_p \|\mathbf{y}\|_q \|\hat{\mathbf{x}}^T \hat{\mathbf{y}}\|_p \|\mathbf{x}\|_p \|\mathbf{y}\|_q \sum_{i=1}^n \|\hat{x}_i \hat{y}_i\|_p \|\mathbf{y}\|_q (\frac{1}{p} \sum_{i=1}^n \|\hat{x}_i\|_p + \frac{1}{q} \sum_{i=1}^n \|\hat{x}_i\|_p \|\hat{\mathbf{y}}\|_q (\frac{1}{p} \sum_{i=1}^n \|\hat{x}_i\|_p + \frac{1}{q} \sum_{i=1}^n \|\hat{x}_i\|_p \|\hat{x$

$$\hat{y_i} \mid^q) = \left\| \mathbf{x} \right\|_p \left\| \mathbf{y} \right\|_q$$

so
$$\|\mathbf{x^Ty}\| \le \|\mathbf{x}\|_p \|\mathbf{y}\|_q$$

d) Let $\mathbf{u} = (u_1, u_1, \cdots, u_n)$ with $u_i = |x_i + y_i|^{p-1}$. Since q(p-1) = p and $\frac{p}{q} = p-1$, we find $\|\mathbf{u}\|_q = (\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i + y_i|^{q(p-1)})^{\frac{1}{q}} = (\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i + y_i|^p)^{\frac{1}{q}} = \|\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}\|_p^{\frac{p}{q}} = \|\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}\|_p^{p-1}$

Using this and the Holder inequality we obtain

$$\|\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}\|_p^p = \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i + y_i|^p \le \sum_{i=1}^n |u_i||x_i| + \sum_{i=1}^n |u_i||y_i|$$

$$\leq \left(\left\|\mathbf{x}\right\|_{p}+\left\|\mathbf{y}\right\|_{p}\right)\left\|\mathbf{u}\right\|_{q} \leq \left(\left\|\mathbf{x}\right\|_{p}+\left\|\mathbf{y}\right\|_{p}\right)\left\|\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{y}\right\|_{p}^{p-1}.$$

so
$$\|\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}\|_{p} \le \|\mathbf{x}\|_{p} + \|\mathbf{y}\|_{p}$$