

Massive Superpoly Recovery with Nested Monomial Predictions

Kai Hu, Siwei Sun, Yosuke Todo, Meiqin Wang, Qingju Wang

ASIACRYPT 2021

December 7, 2021

Introduction

- Stream and Block cipher
- Degree Evaluations, Cube Attacks
- Division Properties, Integral Attack

Contribution

- Propose a new technique called monomial prediction, can be regarded as a new language for describing the division properties
- Apply this technique to Degree Evaluations and Cube Attacks

Preliminaries

Boolean Function

Let $f : \mathbb{F}_2^n \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2$ be a Boolean function whose algebraic normal form (ANF) is

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = f(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) = \bigoplus_{\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{F}_2^n} a_{\mathbf{u}} \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} x_i^{u_i}$$

where $a_{\mathbf{u}} \in \mathbb{F}_2$ and

$$\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{u}} = \pi_{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbf{x}) = \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} x_i^{u_i} = \begin{cases} x_i, & \text{if } u_i = 1, \\ 1, & \text{if } u_i = 0, \end{cases}$$

Example 1

Let $f(x_0, x_1) = x_0x_1 \oplus x_0 \oplus 1$, then we have

$$x_0x_1 \rightarrow f, x_0 \rightarrow f, 1 \rightarrow f, x_1 \not\rightarrow f$$

Vectorial Boolean Function

$\mathbf{f} : \mathbb{F}_2^n \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2^m$ be a vectorial Boolean function with $\mathbf{y} = (y_0, y_1, \dots, y_{m-1}) = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) = (f_0(\mathbf{x}), \dots, f_{m-1}(\mathbf{x}))$. For $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$, we use \mathbf{y}^v to denote the product of some coordinates of \mathbf{y} :

$$\mathbf{y}^v = \prod_{i=0}^{m-1} y_i^{v_i} = \prod_{i=0}^{m-1} (f_i(\mathbf{x}))^{v_i}$$

Monomial Prediction

Let $\mathbf{f} : \mathbb{F}_2^{n_0} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2^{n_r}$ be a composite vectorial Boolean function of a sequence of r smaller function $\mathbf{f}^i : \mathbb{F}_2^{n_i} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2^{n_{i+1}}, 0 \leq i \leq r-1$ as

$$\mathbf{f} = \mathbf{f}^{(r-1)} \circ \mathbf{f}^{(r-2)} \circ \dots \circ \mathbf{f}^{(0)} \quad (1)$$

Definition 1 (Monomial Trail)

Let $\mathbf{x}^{(i+1)} = \mathbf{f}^{(i)}(\mathbf{x}^{(i)})$ for $0 \leq i < r$. We call a sequence of monomails $(\pi_{\mathbf{u}^{(0)}}(\mathbf{x}^{(0)}), \pi_{\mathbf{u}^{(1)}}(\mathbf{x}^{(1)}), \dots, \pi_{\mathbf{u}^{(r)}}(\mathbf{x}^{(r)}))$ an r -round monomial trail connecting $\pi_{\mathbf{u}^{(0)}}(\mathbf{x}^{(0)})$ and $\pi_{\mathbf{u}^{(r)}}(\mathbf{x}^{(r)})$ with respect to the composite function $\mathbf{f} = \mathbf{f}^{(r-1)} \circ \mathbf{f}^{(r-2)} \circ \dots \circ \mathbf{f}^{(0)}$ if

$$\pi_{\mathbf{u}^{(0)}}(\mathbf{x}^{(0)}) \rightarrow \pi_{\mathbf{u}^{(1)}}(\mathbf{x}^{(1)}) \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \pi_{\mathbf{u}^{(r)}}(\mathbf{x}^{(r)})$$

If there is at least one monomial trail connecting $\pi_{\mathbf{u}^{(0)}}(\mathbf{x}^{(0)})$ and $\pi_{\mathbf{u}^{(r)}}(\mathbf{x}^{(r)})$, we write $\pi_{\mathbf{u}^{(0)}}(\mathbf{x}^{(0)}) \rightsquigarrow \pi_{\mathbf{u}^{(r)}}(\mathbf{x}^{(r)})$. Otherwise, $\pi_{\mathbf{u}^{(0)}}(\mathbf{x}^{(0)}) \not\rightsquigarrow \pi_{\mathbf{u}^{(r)}}(\mathbf{x}^{(r)})$.

Example 2

Let $\mathbf{z} = (z_0, z_1) = \mathbf{f}^{(0)}(y_0, y_1) = (y_0 y_1, y_0 \oplus y_1)$, $\mathbf{y} = (y_0, y_1) = \mathbf{f}^{(0)}(x_0, x_1, x_2) = (x_0 \oplus x_1 \oplus x_2, x_0 x_1 \oplus x_0 \oplus x_2)$ and $\mathbf{f} = \mathbf{f}^{(0)} \mathbf{f}^{(1)}$. Consider $(x_0, x_1, x_2)^{(1,0,0)} = x_0$

$$(y_0, y_1)^{(0,0)} = 1, (y_0, y_1)^{(1,0)} = y_0 = \underline{x_0} \oplus x_1 \oplus x_2, (y_0, y_1)^{(0,1)} = y_1 = x_0 x_1 \oplus \underline{x_0} \oplus x_2,$$

$$(y_0, y_1)^{(1,1)} = y_0 y_1 = x_0 x_1 x_2 \oplus x_0 x_1 \oplus x_1 x_2 \oplus \underline{x_0} \oplus x_2.$$

Then

$$x_0 \rightarrow y_0, x_0 \rightarrow y_1, x_0 \rightarrow y_0 y_1$$

Similarly

$$(z_0, z_1)^{(0,0)} = 1, (z_0, z_1)^{(1,0)} = z_0 = y_0 y_1, (z_0, z_1)^{(0,1)} = z_1 = y_0 \oplus y_1, (z_0, z_1)^{(1,1)} = z_0 z_1 = 0$$

Then connecting x_0 and monomials of \mathbf{z} :

$$x_0 \rightarrow y_0 \rightarrow z_1, x_0 \rightarrow y_1 \rightarrow z_1, x_0 \rightarrow y_0 y_1 \rightarrow z_0$$

Lemma 1

$\pi_{\mathbf{u}^{(0)}}(\mathbf{x}^{(0)}) \rightsquigarrow \pi_{\mathbf{u}^{(r)}}(\mathbf{x}^{(r)})$. if $\pi_{\mathbf{u}^{(0)}}(\mathbf{x}^{(0)}) \rightarrow \pi_{\mathbf{u}^{(r)}}(\mathbf{x}^{(r)})$, and thus $\pi_{\mathbf{u}^{(0)}}(\mathbf{x}^{(0)}) \not\rightsquigarrow \pi_{\mathbf{u}^{(r)}}(\mathbf{x}^{(r)})$ implies $\pi_{\mathbf{u}^{(0)}}(\mathbf{x}^{(0)}) \not\rightarrow \pi_{\mathbf{u}^{(r)}}(\mathbf{x}^{(r)})$

Considering Example 2, although $x_0 \rightsquigarrow z_1$, we have $x_0 \not\rightarrow z_1$ since

$$z_1 = y_0 \oplus y_1 = \underline{x_0} \oplus x_1 \oplus x_2 \oplus x_0x_1 \oplus \underline{x_0} \oplus x_2 = x_0x_1 \oplus x_1.$$

Definition 2 (Monomial Hull)

For \mathbf{f} with a specific composition sequence, the monomial hull of $\pi_{\mathbf{u}^{(0)}}(\mathbf{x}^{(0)})$ and $\pi_{\mathbf{u}^{(r)}}(\mathbf{x}^{(r)})$, denoted by $\pi_{\mathbf{u}^{(0)}}(\mathbf{x}^{(0)}) \bowtie \pi_{\mathbf{u}^{(r)}}(\mathbf{x}^{(r)})$, is the set of all monomial trails connecting them. The number of trails in the monomial hull is called the **size** of the hull and is denoted by $|\pi_{\mathbf{u}^{(0)}}(\mathbf{x}^{(0)}) \bowtie \pi_{\mathbf{u}^{(r)}}(\mathbf{x}^{(r)})|$.

Example 3

Consider Example 2, the monomial hull of x_0 and z_1 is the set

$$x_0 \bowtie z_1 = \{x_0 \rightarrow y_0 \rightarrow z_1, x_0 \rightarrow y_1 \rightarrow z_1\}$$

Thus the size of $x_0 \bowtie z_1$ is 2. Furthermore, since $x_0 \not\rightarrow z_0 z_1$, $x_0 \bowtie z_0 z_1 = \emptyset$ and $|x_0 \bowtie z_0 z_1| = 0$.

Theorem 1

Let $\mathbf{f} = \mathbf{f}^{(r-1)} \circ \mathbf{f}^{(r-2)} \circ \dots \circ \mathbf{f}^{(0)}$ defined as above. $\pi_{\mathbf{u}^{(0)}}(\mathbf{x}^{(0)}) \rightarrow \pi_{\mathbf{u}^{(r)}}(\mathbf{x}^{(r)})$ if and only if

$$|\pi_{\mathbf{u}^{(0)}}(\mathbf{x}^{(0)}) \bowtie \pi_{\mathbf{u}^{(r)}}(\mathbf{x}^{(r)})| \equiv 1 \pmod{2}.$$

Propation rules

Rule 1(Copy)

Let $\mathbf{x} = (x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})$ and $\mathbf{y} = (x_0, x_0.x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})$ be the input and ouput vector of a Copy function. Consider a monomial of \mathbf{x} as \mathbf{x}^u , the monomial \mathbf{y}^v of \mathbf{y} , $\mathbf{x}^u \rightarrow \mathbf{y}^v$

$$\mathbf{v} = \begin{cases} (0, u_1, \dots, u_{n-1}) \Rightarrow_{copy} (0, 0, u_1, \dots, u_{n-1}), \\ (1, u_1, \dots, u_{n-1}) \Rightarrow_{copy} (1, 0, u_1, \dots, u_{n-1}) \text{ or } (0, 1, u_1, \dots, u_{n-1}) \text{ or } (1, 1, u_1, \dots, u_{n-1}) \end{cases}$$

Rule 2(Xor)

Let $\mathbf{x} = (x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})$ and $\mathbf{y} = (x_0 \oplus x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})$ be the input and ouput vector of a XOR function. Consider a monomial of \mathbf{x} as \mathbf{x}^u , the monomial \mathbf{y}^v of \mathbf{y} , $\mathbf{x}^u \rightarrow \mathbf{y}^v$

$$\mathbf{v} = (u_0 + u_1, \dots, u_{n-1}), (u_0, u - 1) \in \{(0, 0), (0, 1), (1, 0)\}$$

Rule 3(And)

Let $\mathbf{x} = (x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})$ and $\mathbf{y} = (x_0 \wedge x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})$ be the input and output vector of a XOR function. Consider a monomial of \mathbf{x} as \mathbf{x}^u , the monomial \mathbf{y}^v of \mathbf{y} , $\mathbf{x}^u \rightarrow \mathbf{y}^v$

$$\mathbf{v} = (u_0, u_2, \dots, u_{n-1}), (u_0, u-1) \in \{(0, 0), (1, 1)\}$$

Degree Evaluation

The degree of a Boolean function f is defined as follows,

$$\deg(f) = \max_{\pi_{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbf{x}^{(0)}) \rightarrow f} wt(\mathbf{u}^{(0)})$$

- 1 Find a monomial $\pi_{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbf{x}^{(0)}) \rightsquigarrow f$ with $wt(\mathbf{u}) = d$ and prove $\pi_{\hat{\mathbf{u}}}(\mathbf{x}^{(0)}) \not\rightarrow f$ for any $wt(\hat{\mathbf{u}}) > d$
- 2 Compute $|\pi_{\mathbf{u}^{(0)}}(\mathbf{x}^{(0)}) \bowtie f|$, if the value is odd, then the $\deg(f) = d$, else, repeat the process until we find a desired monomial of f .

MILP-based approach to search for the monomials of f . In this MILP model, the objective function of the model is to maximize $wt(\mathbf{u}^{(0)})$.

Cube Attack

For a cipher with a secret key $\mathbf{k} = (k_0, \dots, k_{m-1}) \in \mathbb{F}_2^m$ and a public input $\mathbf{v} = (v_0, \dots, v_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$, a Boolean function $f(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{k})$

$$f(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{k}) = p(\mathbf{v}[\hat{\mathbf{u}}], \mathbf{k}) \cdot \mathbf{v}^u + q(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{k})$$

Let $\mathbb{C}_u = \{\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{F}_2^n : \mathbf{v} \preceq \mathbf{u}, \text{wt}(\mathbf{u}) \leq n\}$,

$$\bigoplus_{\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{C}_u} f(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{k}) = \bigoplus_{\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{C}_u} (p \cdot \mathbf{v}^u + q(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{k})) = p$$

It is easy to check that the superpoly of \mathbb{C}_u is just the coefficient of x^u in the parameterized Boolean function $f(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{k})$

$$p(\mathbf{v}[\hat{\mathbf{u}}], \mathbf{k}) = \text{Coe}(f(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{k}), \mathbf{v}^u).$$

Example

We suppose a stream cipher has input $\mathbf{v} = (v_0, v_1, v_2, v_3) \in \mathbb{F}_2^4$ and $\mathbf{k} = (k_0, k_1, k_2) \in \mathbb{F}_2^3$,

$$f(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{k}) = v_0 v_1 v_2 (v_3 k_0 + k_2) + v_0 v_2 (k_1 k_2) + v_0 + k_0$$

then we chose $\mathbb{C}_u = (v_0, v_1, v_2)$, and calculate

$$\bigoplus_{\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{C}_u} f(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{k}) = \bigoplus_{\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{C}_u} (p \cdot \mathbf{v}^u + q(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{k})) = (v_3 k_0 + k_2)$$

or we chose $\mathbb{C}_u = (v_0, v_2)$, and the superpoly will be

$$v_1(v_3 k_0 + k_2) + k_1 k_2$$

Key-Recovery Attacks with Superpolies

Offline

We have recovered the exact ANF of superpoly $p(\mathbf{f})$ for the cube term x^u (the corresponding cube is denoted by \mathbb{C}_u).

Online

In the online phase, we first call the cipher oracle to encrypt all elements in the cube and get the value of the superpoly with time complexity $2^{wt(u)}$.

Next, we try to obtain some information of the secret key from the equation:

$$p(\mathbf{k}) = \bigoplus_{x \in \mathbb{C}_u} f_{\mathbf{k}}(x).$$

Thank You