

## 131B HW#1 solution

### 3.7 Multivariate Distributions

1. (a) We have

$$\int_0^1 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 f(x_1, x_2, x_3) dx_1 dx_2 dx_3 = 3c$$

Since the value of this integral must be equal to 1, it follows that  $c = 1/3$ .

(b) For  $0 \leq x_1 \leq 1$  and  $0 \leq x_3 \leq 1$ ,

$$f_{13}(x_1, x_3) = \int_0^1 f(x_1, x_2, x_3) dx_2 = \frac{1}{3}(x_1 + 1 + 3x_3).$$

(c) The marginal joint p.d.f of  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  is

$$f_{12}(x_1, x_2) = \int_0^1 f(x_1, x_2, x_3) dx_3 = \frac{1}{3}(x_1 + 2x_2 + \frac{3}{2}).$$

The conditional p.d.f. of  $X_3$  given that  $X_1 = x_1$  and  $X_2 = x_2$  is

$$g_3(x_3|x_1, x_2) = \frac{f(x_1, x_2, x_3)}{f_{12}(x_1, x_2)} = \frac{x_1 + 2x_2 + 3x_3}{x_1 + 2x_2 + 3/2}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} P\left(X_3 < \frac{1}{2} | X_1 = \frac{1}{4}, X_2 = \frac{3}{4}\right) &= \int_0^{1/2} g_3\left(x_3 | x_1 = \frac{1}{4}, x_2 = \frac{3}{4}\right) dx_3 \\ &= \int_0^{1/2} \left(\frac{7}{13} + \frac{12}{13}x_3\right) dx_3 = \frac{5}{13}. \end{aligned}$$

8. For any given value  $x$  of  $X$ , the random variables  $Y_1, \dots, Y_n$  are i.i.d., each with the p.d.f.  $g(y|x)$ . Therefore, the conditional joint p.d.f. of  $Y_1, \dots, Y_n$  given that  $X = x$  is

$$h(y_1, \dots, y_n|x) = g(y_1|x) \cdots g(y_n|x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{x^n} & \text{for } 0 < y_i < x (i = 1, \dots, n), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

This joint p.d.f. is positive if and only if each  $y_i > 0$  and  $x$  is greater than every  $y_i$ . In other words,  $x$  must be greater than  $m = \max\{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$ .

(a) For  $y_i > 0 (i = 1, \dots, n)$ , the marginal joint p.d.f. of  $Y_1, \dots, Y_n$  is

$$g_0(y_1, \dots, y_n) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x)h(y_1, \dots, y_n|x)dx = \int_m^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \exp(-x)dx = \frac{1}{n!} \exp(-m).$$

(b) For  $y_i > 0 (i = 1, \dots, n)$ , the conditional joint p.d.f. of  $X$  given that  $Y_i = y_i (i = 1, \dots, n)$  is

$$g_1(x|y_1, \dots, y_n) = \frac{f(x)h(y_1, \dots, y_n|x)}{g_0(y_1, \dots, y_n)} = \begin{cases} \exp(-(x-m)) & \text{for } x > m, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

### 3.9 Functions of Two or More Random Variables

6. By Eq. (3.9.2) (with a change in notation),

$$g(z) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(z-t, t)dt \quad \text{for } -\infty < z < \infty$$

However, the integrand is positive only for  $0 \leq z-t \leq t \leq 1$ . Therefore, for  $0 \leq z \leq 1$ , it is positive only for  $z/2 \leq t \leq z$  and we have

$$g(z) = \int_{z/2}^z 2zdt = z^2.$$

For  $1 < z < 2$ , the integrand is positive only for  $z/2 \leq t \leq 1$  and we have

$$g(z) = \int_{z/2}^1 2zdt = z(2-z).$$

18. We need to transform  $(X, Y)$  to  $(Z, W)$ , where  $Z = X/Y$  and  $W = Y$ . The joint p.d.f. of  $(X, Y)$  is

$$f(x, y) = g_1(x|y)f_2(y) = \begin{cases} 3x^2f_2(y)/y^3 & \text{if } 0 < x < y, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The inverse transformation is  $x = zw$  and  $y = w$ . The jacobian is

$$J = \det \begin{pmatrix} w & z \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = w.$$

The joint p.d.f. of  $(Z, W)$  is

$$g(z, w) = f(zw, w)w = 3z^2w^2f_2(w)w/w^3 = 3z^2f_2(w), \quad \text{for } 0 < z < 1.$$

This is clearly factored in the appropriate way to show that  $Z$  and  $W$  are independent. Indeed, if we integrate  $g(z, w)$  over  $w$ , we obtain the marginal p.d.f. of  $Z$ , namely  $g_1(z) = 3z^2$ , for  $0 < z < 1$ .

### 3.11 Supplementary Exercises

16. For  $0 < x < 1$ , the marginal p.d.f of  $X$  is

$$f_1(x) = \int_x^1 2(x+y)dy = 1 + 2x - 3x^2.$$

Therefore,  $P(X < 1/2) = \int_0^{1/2} f_1(x)dx = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{8} = \frac{5}{8}$ .

For  $0 < x < y < 1$ , the conditional p.d.f of  $Y$  given  $X = x$  is

$$g_2(y|x) = \frac{f(x, y)}{f_1(x)} = \frac{2(x+y)}{1+2x-3x^2}.$$

### 4.4 Moments

10. The m.g.f. of  $Z$  is

$$\begin{aligned}\psi_1(t) &= E(\exp(tZ)) = E[\exp(t(2X - 3Y + 4))] \\ &= \exp(4t)E(\exp(2tX)\exp(-3ty)) \\ &= \exp(4t)E(\exp(2tX))E(\exp(-3tY)) \quad \text{Since } X \text{ and } Y \text{ are independent} \\ &= \exp(4t)\psi(2t)\psi(-3t) \\ &= \exp(4t)\exp(4t^2 + 6t)\exp(9t^2 - 9t) \\ &= \exp(13t^2 + t)\end{aligned}$$

### 5.7 The Gamma Distributions

8. For any number  $y > 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}P(Y > y) &= P(X_1 > y, \dots, X_k > y) = P(X_1 > y) \cdots P(X_k > y) \\ &= \exp(-\beta_1 y) \cdots \exp(-\beta_k y) = \exp(-(\beta_1 + \cdots + \beta_k)y),\end{aligned}$$

which is the probability that an exponential random variable with parameter  $\beta_1 + \cdots + \beta_k$  is greater than  $y$ . Hence,  $Y$  has the exponential distribution with parameter  $\beta_1 + \cdots + \beta_k$ .

### 6.5 Supplementary Exercises

9. Let  $X_1, \dots, X_{16}$  be the times required to serve each of the 16 customers, and each  $X_i$  has the exponential distribution with parameter  $1/3$ . According to Theorem 5.7.8,  $E(X_1) = 3$

and  $\text{var}(X_1) = 9$ . Let  $Y = \sum_{k=1}^{16} X_k$  be the total time to serve the 16 customers. The central limit theorem approximation to the distribution of  $Y$  is the normal distribution with mean  $E(Y) = \sum_{k=1}^{16} E(X_k) = 16 \times 3 = 48$  and variance  $\text{var}(Y) = \sum_{k=1}^{16} \text{var}(X_k) = 16 \times 9 = 144$ . The approximate probability that  $Y > 60$  is

$$1 - \Phi\left(\frac{60 - 48}{\sqrt{144}}\right) = 1 - \Phi(1) = 0.1587.$$

## 7.5 Maximum Likelihood Estimators

5. Let  $y = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$ . Then the likelihood function is

$$f_n(x|\theta) = \frac{\exp(-n\theta)\theta^y}{\prod_{i=1}^n (x_i!)}$$

(a) If  $y > 0$  and we let  $L(\theta) = \log f_n(x|\theta)$ , then

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} L(\theta) = -n + \frac{y}{\theta}.$$

The maximum of  $L(\theta)$  will be attained at the value of  $\theta$  for which this derivative is equal to 0. In this way, we find that  $\theta = y/n = \bar{x}_n$ .

(b) If  $y = 0$ , then  $f_n(x|\theta)$  is a decreasing function of  $\theta$ . Since  $\theta = 0$  is not a value in the parameter space, there is no M.L.E.

11. The p.d.f. of each observation can be written as follows:

$$f(x|\theta_1, \theta_2) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\theta_2 - \theta_1} & \text{for } \theta_1 \leq x \leq \theta_2, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Therefore, the likelihood function is

$$f_n(x|\theta_1, \theta_2) = \frac{1}{(\theta_2 - \theta_1)^n}$$

for  $\theta_1 \leq \min\{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \leq \max\{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \leq \theta_2$ , and  $f_n(x|\theta_1, \theta_2) = 0$  otherwise. Hence,  $f_n(x|\theta_1, \theta_2)$  will be a maximum when  $\theta_2 - \theta_1$  is made as small as possible. Since the smallest possible value of  $\theta_2$  is  $\max\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$  and the largest possible value of  $\theta_1$  is  $\min\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ , the M.L.E.'s of  $(\theta_1, \theta_2)$  are  $(\min\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}, \max\{x_1, \dots, x_n\})$ .

12. The likelihood function is  $f_n(x|\theta_1, \dots, \theta_k) = \theta_1^{n_1} \cdots \theta_k^{n_k}$ .

If we let  $L(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_k) = \log f_n(x|\theta_1, \dots, \theta_k)$  and let  $\theta_k = 1 - \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \theta_i$ , then

$$\frac{\partial L(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_k)}{\partial \theta_i} = \frac{n_i}{\theta_i} - \frac{n_k}{\theta_k} \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, k-1.$$

If each of these derivatives is set equal to 0, we obtain the relations

$$\frac{\theta_1}{n_1} = \frac{\theta_2}{n_2} = \dots = \frac{\theta_k}{n_k}.$$

If we let  $\theta_i = \alpha n_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, k$ , then

$$1 = \sum_{i=1}^k \theta_i = \alpha \sum_{i=1}^k n_i = \alpha n$$

Hence  $\alpha = 1/n$ . It follows that  $\hat{\theta}_i = n_i/n$  for  $i = 1, \dots, k$ .

13. It follows from Eq. (5.10.2) (with  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  now replaced by  $x$  and  $y$ ) that the likelihood function is

$$f_n(x, y | \mu_1, \mu_2) \propto \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2(1-\rho^2)} \sum_{i=1}^n \left[ \left( \frac{x_i - \mu_1}{\sigma_1} \right)^2 - 2\rho \left( \frac{x_i - \mu_1}{\sigma_1} \right) \left( \frac{y_i - \mu_2}{\sigma_2} \right) + \left( \frac{y_i - \mu_2}{\sigma_2} \right)^2 \right] \right\}$$

If we let  $L(\mu_1, \mu_2) = \log f(x, y | \mu_1, \mu_2)$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial L(\mu_1, \mu_2)}{\partial \mu_1} &= \frac{1}{1-\rho^2} \left[ \frac{1}{\sigma_1^2} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n x_i - n\mu_1 \right) - \frac{\rho}{\sigma_1 \sigma_2} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n y_i - n\mu_2 \right) \right], \\ \frac{\partial L(\mu_1, \mu_2)}{\partial \mu_2} &= \frac{1}{1-\rho^2} \left[ \frac{1}{\sigma_2^2} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n y_i - n\mu_2 \right) - \frac{\rho}{\sigma_1 \sigma_2} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n x_i - n\mu_1 \right) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

When these derivatives are set equal to 0, the unique solution is  $\mu_1 = \bar{x}_n$  and  $\mu_2 = \bar{y}_n$ . Hence, these values are the M.L.E.'s.

## 7.6 Properties of Maximum Likelihood Estimators

6. The distribution of  $Z = (X - \mu)/\sigma$  will be a standard normal distribution. Therefore,

$$0.95 = P(X < \theta) = P(Z < \frac{\theta - \mu}{\sigma}) = \Phi \left( \frac{\theta - \mu}{\sigma} \right).$$

Hence, from a table of the values of  $\Phi$  it is found that  $(\theta - \mu)/\sigma = 1.645$ . Since  $\theta = \mu + 1.645\sigma$ , it follows that  $\hat{\theta} = \hat{\mu} + 1.645\hat{\sigma}$ . By example 7.5.6, we have

$$\hat{\mu} = \bar{X}_n \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{\sigma} = \left[ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X}_n)^2 \right]^{1/2}.$$

14. For  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , let the random variable  $X_i = 1$  if the monarch butterfly has a special type of marking on its wings and  $X_i = 0$  otherwise. Then  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  form a random sample from the Bernoulli distribution with parameter  $p$ .

a. The number  $n$  of observations is random and has the pdf

$$P(n = k) = \binom{k-1}{4} p^5 (1-p)^{k-5} \quad \text{for } k = 5, 6, \dots$$

The reason is  $n = k$  follows from the fact that there are 4 monarch butterflies with special marking in the first  $k - 1$  captures and the last capture must be a butterfly with special marking. So the probability is  $\binom{k-1}{4} p^4 (1-p)^{k-5} p = \binom{k-1}{4} p^5 (1-p)^{k-5}$ .

Based on the observed values, the likelihood function is

$$p^5 (1-p)^{43-5} \binom{43-1}{4} p^5 (1-p)^{43-5} \propto p^{10} (1-p)^{76}$$

By taking the derivative of log-likelihood function and setting it to 0, we obtain the M.L.E. is  $10/86=5/43$ .

b. The number of observations is random but does not depend on  $p$ . Based on the observed values, the likelihood function is

$$p^3 (1-p)^{58-3}$$

By taking the derivative of log-likelihood function and setting it to 0, we obtain the M.L.E. is  $3/58$ .

Therefore, the M.L.E. of  $p$  is equal to the proportion of butterflies in the sample that have the special marking, regardless of the sampling plan.

## Extra Problems

### 7.1 Statistical Inference

3. The random variables of interest are the observable  $Z_1, Z_2, \dots$ , the times at which successive particles hit the target, and  $\beta$ , the hypothetically observable (parameter) rate of the Poisson process. The hit times occur according to a Poisson process with rate  $\beta$  conditional on  $\beta$ . Other random variables of interest are the observable inter-arrival times  $Y_1 = Z_1$  and  $Y_k = Z_k - Z_{k-1}$  for  $k \geq 2$ .

6. The random variables of interest are the observable number  $X$  of Mexican-American grand jurors and the hypothetically observable (parameter)  $P$ . The conditional distribution of  $X$  given  $P = p$  is the binomial distribution with parameters 220 and  $p$ . Also,  $P$  has the beta distribution with parameters  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ , which have not yet been specified.

## 7.5 Maximum Likelihood Estimators

2. For  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , let the random variable  $X_i = 1$  if the purchases of a certain brand of breakfast cereal are made by women and  $X_i = 0$  if they are made by men. Then  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  form a random sample from the Bernoulli distribution with parameter  $p$ . Base on the observed values  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ , the likelihood function is

$$f_n(x|p) = \prod_{i=1}^n p_i^x (1-p)^{(1-x_i)} = p^{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i} (1-p)^{n-\sum_{i=1}^n x_i}$$

The log-likelihood function is

$$L(p) = \log(f_n(x|p)) = \left( \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \right) \log(p) + \left( n - \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \right) \log(1-p)$$

Let

$$\frac{dL(p)}{dp} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i}{p} - \frac{n - \sum_{i=1}^n x_i}{1-p} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i - np}{p(1-p)} = 0$$

we have  $\hat{p} = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i / n = \bar{x}_n$ . And it can be verified that the second derivative of  $L(p)$  at  $\hat{p}$  is negative, so the M.L.E is  $\bar{x}_n = 58/70 = 29/35$ .

3. It can be seen that  $\frac{dL(p)}{dp} > 0$  for  $p < \bar{x}_n = 58/70$ , which implies  $L(p)$  is increasing for  $1/2 \leq p \leq 2/3$ . The log-likelihood and hence the likelihood function achieves the maximum at  $p = 2/3$ . Namely, the M.L.E  $\hat{p} = 2/3$ .

## 6.5 Supplementary Exercises

9. Let  $Y = \sum_{k=1}^{16} X_k$  be the total time to serve the 16 customers. The  $X_i$ 's are independent and have the exponential distribution with parameter  $1/3$ . The m.g.f of  $Y$  is

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(t) &= E(\exp(tY)) = E[\exp(t(\sum_{k=1}^{16} X_k))] \\ &= \prod_{k=1}^{16} E[\exp(tX_k)] \\ &= \prod_{k=1}^{16} \frac{1/3}{1/3 - t} \quad \text{for } t < 1/3 \\ &= \left( \frac{1/3}{1/3 - t} \right)^{16} \quad \text{for } t < 1/3 \end{aligned}$$

This corresponds to the m.g.f. of the gamma distribution with parameters 16 and 1/3. Thus,

$$P(Y > 60) = \int_{60}^{\infty} \frac{(1/3)^{16}}{\Gamma(16)} x^{15} e^{-x/3} dx = 0.1565.$$