- ▶ Generalization of BFS to handle weighted graphs
- ▶ Directed graph G = (V, E),
- ightharpoonup Weight function  $w: E \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}$
- Weight of path  $p = v_0 \rightarrow v_1 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow v_k$ :

$$w(p) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} w(v_{i-1}, v_i)$$

▶ Shortest-path weight  $u \leadsto v$ 

$$\delta(u,v) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \min\{w(p): u \leadsto v\} & \text{if there exists a path } u \leadsto v \\ \infty & \text{otherwise} \end{array} \right.$$

Shortest-path  $u \rightsquigarrow v$  any path p such that  $w(p) = \delta(u, v)$ 

Single-source shortest path problem (SSSP): find shortest-paths from a given source vertex  $s \in V$  to every vertex  $v \in V$ 

#### Variants:

- Single-destination: find shortest-paths to a given destination vertex (reverse the direction of each edge to become the single-source problem)
- ► Single-pair: find shortest-path from u to v (no way know that's better in worst case than solving single-source)
- All-pairs: find shortest-paths from u to v for all  $u, v \in V$ . (skip, if interested, see algorithms in Chapter 25 of CLRS, 3ed)

Negative-weight edges and well-definedness

- ► Negative-weight edges are OK, as long as no negative-weight cycles reachable from the source.
  - ... otherwise, can always get a shorter path by going around the cycle again.
- ► The shortest path problem is ill-posed in graph with negative-weight cycle
- Bellman-Ford algorithm can detect and report the existence of negative-weight cycle

► Optimal substructure property: subpaths of shortest-paths are shortest-paths.

*Proof.* If some subpath were not a shortest path, could substitute it and create a shorter total path.

Thus, will see greedy and dynamical programming algorithms.

- Notation: d[v]: shortest-path estimate  $\pi[v]$ : predecessor of v
- Output of SSSP algorithms

$$d[v] = \delta(s,v) = \text{shortest-path weight } s \leadsto v$$
 
$$\pi[v] = \text{predecessor of } v \text{ on a shortest path from } s.$$

Two key components of shortest-path algorithms

► Initialization

```
for every vertex v in V
    d[v] = infty
    pi[v] = nil
endfor
d[s] = 0    // s = source vertex
```

Relaxing an edge (u, v): can we improve the shortest-path estimate d[v] by going through u and taking the edge (u, v)?

```
if d[v] > d[u] + w(u,v)
    d[v] = d[u] + w(u,v)
    pi[v] = u
endif
```

#### Basic properties:

1. Triangular inequality

for all 
$$(u,v) \in E$$
,  $\delta(u,v) \le \delta(u,x) + \delta(x,v)$ 

2. Upper-bound property

Always have 
$$d[v] \ge \delta(s, v)$$
 for all  $v$ .  
Once  $d[v] = \delta(s, v)$ , it never changes

3. No-path property

If 
$$\delta(s,v)=\infty$$
, then  $d[v]=\infty$  always

4. Convergence property

If 
$$s \sim u \to v$$
 is a shortest-path, and  $d[u] = \delta(s,u)$ . Then after "Relax  $u \to v$ ",  $d[v] = \delta(s,v)$ 

5. Path relaxation property

Let 
$$p=v_0 \to v_1 \to \cdots \to v_k$$
 be a shortest-path. If we relax in order,  $(v_0,v_1),(v_1,v_2),\ldots,(v_{k-1},v_k)$ , even intermixed with other relaxations, then  $d[v_k]=\delta(v_0,v_k)$