NP-Completeness (part 3 of 3)

Outline

- 1. Introduction
- 2. P and NP
- 3. NP-complete (NPC): formal definition
- 4. How to prove a problem is NPC
- 5. How to solve a NPC problem: approximate algorithms

- ▶ The reducibility relation is *transitive*.
- ▶ To prove that a problem $A \in NP$ is NPC, it suffices to prove that some other NPC problem B is polynomially reducible to A:

```
Step 1: choose some known NPC problem B
Step 2: define a polynomial transformation T from B to A
Step 3: show that B \leq_T A
```

▶ Why? the logic is as follows:

```
Since B is NPC, all problems in NP is reducible to B.
Show B is reducible to A.
Then all problems in NP is reducible to A.
Therefore, A is NPC
```

Examples:

- 1. Directed HC \leq_T Undirected HC (Next)
- 2. Subset-Sum \leq_T Job Scheduling (Handout)
- 3. Graph 3-COLOR \leq_T 4-COLOR (Homework #8)
- 4. Subset Sum \leq_T Set Partition (Homework #8)

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathsf{Directed}\;\mathsf{HC}\\ \mathsf{Subset\text{-}Sum}\\ \mathsf{Graph}\;\mathsf{3\text{-}COLOR} \end{array} \right\}\;\mathsf{are}\;\mathsf{NPC} \Longrightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathsf{Undirected}\;\mathsf{HC}\\ \mathsf{Job}\;\mathsf{Scheduling}\\ \mathsf{4\text{-}COLOR}\\ \mathsf{Set}\;\mathsf{Partition} \end{array} \right\}\;\mathsf{are}\;\mathsf{NPC}.$$

Example:

- ► The directed HC is known to be NPC.
- Show that

```
directed HC \leq_T undirected HC
```

Therefore we conclude that the undirected HC is also NPC.

Example, cont'd:

Define transformation:

Let G=(V,E) be a directed graph. Define G to the undirected graph G'=(V',E') by the following transformation T:

- $\underbrace{v \in V}_{(u,v) \in E} \longrightarrow \underbrace{v^1, v^2, v^3 \in V' \text{ and } (v^1, v^2), (v^2, v^3) \in E'}_{(u^3, v^1) \in E'}$
- ► T is polynomial-time computable.
- Show that

$$G$$
 has a HC \iff G' has a HC.

Example, cont'd:

" \Rightarrow " Suppose that G has a directed HC: $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n, v_1$ Then

$$v_1^1, v_1^2, v_1^3, v_1^1, v_2^2, v_2^3, \dots, v_n^1, v_n^2, v_n^3, v_1^1$$

is an undirected HC for G'.

- " \Leftarrow " 1. Suppose that G' has an undirected HC, the three vertices v^1, v^2, v^3 that correspond to one vertex from G must be traversed **consecutively** in the order v^1, v^2, v^3 or v^3, v^2, v^1 , since v^2 cannot be reached from any other vertex in G'.
 - 2. Since the other edges in G' connect vertices with superscripts 1 or 3, if for any one triple the order of the superscripts is 1, 2, 3, then the order is 1, 2, 3 for all triples. Otherwise, it is 3, 2, 1 for all triples.
 - 3. Therefore, we may assume that the undirected HC of G' is

$$\underline{v_{i_1}^1, v_{i_1}^2, v_{i_1}^3}, \underline{v_{i_2}^1, v_{i_2}^2, v_{i_2}^3}, \dots, \underline{v_{i_n}^1, v_{i_n}^2, v_{i_n}^3}, \underline{v_{i_1}^1}.$$

Then

 $v_{i_1}, v_{i_2}, \ldots, v_{i_n}, v_{i_1}$ is a directed HC for G.



IV. How to prove a NP-complete problem

Sketch for Problem 5 of Homework #8: show that

Subset-Sum \leq_T Set-Partition

- \blacktriangleright Let S be an instance of Subset-Sum with $w=\sum_{s\in S}s$ and the target c.
- ▶ Define the set S' (i.e., the transformation T from S to S') as follows:

$$S' = S \cup \{u, v\},\$$

where

$$u = 2w - c$$
, $v = w + c$.

next to show that

S is a Yes-instance of Subset-Sum $\Longleftrightarrow S'$ is a Yes-instance of Set-Partition

Subset sum decision problem: Given a positive integer c, and the set $S=\{s_1,s_2,\ldots,s_n\}$ of positive integers s_i for $i=1,2,\ldots,n$. Assume that $\sum_{i=1}^n s_i \geq c$. Is there a $J\subseteq\{1,2,\ldots,n\}$ such that $\sum_{i\in J} s_i = c$



IV. How to prove a NP-complete problem

Hint for Problem 5 of Homework #8, cont'd':

 $\Longrightarrow \text{Let } J\subseteq S \text{ and the elements in } J \text{ sum to } c. \text{ Then } J\cup \{u\} \text{ sum to } 2w. \text{ Note that the elements in } \overline{J}=S-J \text{ sum to } w-c. \text{ Hence, } \overline{J}\cup \{v\} \text{ also sums to } 2w. \text{ Therefore, } S' \text{ can be partioned into } J\cup \{u\} \text{ and } \overline{J}\cup \{v\} \text{ where both partitions sum to } 2w. \text{ We conclude that a Yes-instance of Subset-Sum transforms to a Yes-instance of Set-Partition.}$

 \longleftarrow Assume S' can be partitioned into two sets, A and $\overline{A} = S' - A$, such that

$$\sum_{x \in A} x = \sum_{x \in \overline{A}} x. \tag{1}$$

Since w+u+v=4w, the sum of the elements in both sets must be equal to 2w. Therefore, u must be in one set and v must be in the other because u+v=3w. Without loss of generality, let $u\in A$. Then

$$2w = \sum_{x \in A} x = u + \sum_{x \in A-u} x = 2w - c + \sum_{x \in A-u} x.$$

It implies that

$$\sum_{a} x = c$$

Thus, we conclude that a Yes-instance of Set-Partition transforms to a Yes-instance of Subset-Sum.



V. How to solve a NPC problem

Example 1: Bin Packing problem

Suppose we have an unlimited number of bins, each of capacity 1, and n objects with sizes s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_n , where $0 < s_i \le 1$.

- ▶ Optimization problem: Determine the smallest number of bins into which objects can be packed and find an optimal packing.
- ▶ Decision problem: Do the objects fit in *k* bins?

Theorem. Bin Packing problem is NPC (reduced from the subset sum).

V: How to solve a NP-complete problem

Approximate algorithm for the Bin Packing

- ► First-fit strategy (greedy):

 places an object in the first bin into which it fits.
- ightharpoonup Example: Objects = $\{0.8, 0.5, 0.4, 0.4, 0.3, 0.2, 0.2, 0.2\}$
- ► *First-fit strategy* solution:

$$\begin{array}{c|cccc} B_1 & B_2 & B_3 & B_4 \\ \hline 0.2 & 0.4 & 0.3 & \\ 0.8 & 0.5 & 0.4 & 0.2 & \\ \end{array}$$

► Optimal packing:

B_1	B_2	B_3
	0.2	0.2
0.2	0.3	0.4
0.8	0.5	0.4

V. How to solve a NP-complete problem

Theorem. Let
$$S = \sum_{i=1}^{n} s_i$$
.

- 1. The optimal number of bins required is at least $\lceil S \rceil$
- 2. The number of bins used by the first-fit strategy is never more than $\lceil 2S \rceil.$

V. How to solve a NP-complete problem

The vertex-cover problem:

- ▶ A vertex-cover of an undirected graph G = (V, E) is a subset set of $V' \subseteq V$ such that if $(u, v) \in E$, then $u \in V'$ (inclusive) or $v \in V'$.
- ▶ In other words, each vertex "covers" its incident edges, and a vertex cover for *G* is a set of vertices that covers all edges in *E*.
- ▶ The size of a vertex cover is the number of vertices in it.
- ▶ Decision problem: determine whether a graph has a vertex cover of a given size *k*
- ▶ Optimization problem: find a vertex cover of minimum size.
- ▶ **Theorem.** The vertex-cover problem is NPC.

V. How to solve a NP-complete problem

 $C = C \cup \{u, v\}$

The vertex-cover problem:

An approximate algorithm $C=\emptyset$ E'=E while $E'\neq\emptyset$ let (u,v) be an arbitrary edge of E'

endwhile return C

▶ **Theorem.** The size of the vertex-cover is no more than twice the size of an optimal vertex cover.

remove from E' every edge incident on either u or v.

VI-V recap

- 1. How to prove a problem is NP-complete 4 case studies
- 2. Approximate algorithms for solving NPC problems: 2 case studies