#### OLG model in DGE

# Ch 10.1. Overlapping Generation Models with Idiosyncratic Income Uncertainty

Dynamic General Equilibrium

3/20/2022

- Demographics
- Mouseholds
- Technology
- Government and Social Security
- 5 Households' Optimization Problem
- 6 Stationary Equilibrium
- Calibration
- Computation



- Demographics
- 2 Households
- Technology
- 4 Government and Social Security
- 5 Households' Optimization Problem
- Stationary Equilibrium
- Calibration
- Computation



# **Demographics**

- Born: s = 1 (real-age 21) Retire: s = 45 (real-age 65) Max-live: s = T = 70 (real-age 90)
- N<sub>t</sub>(s): the number of agents of age s at t
   N<sub>t</sub>: total population at t
- $\phi_t^s$ : probability that at t, all agents of age s survive until age s+1 where  $\phi_t^0=1$  and  $\phi_t^T=0$
- $n_t$ : the rate at which the newborn cohort grows in period t

$$N_t(1) = (1 + n_t)N_{t-1}(1)$$

•  $\phi_t^s = \phi^s$  and  $n_t = n$ : constant



- Demographics
- 2 Households
- Technology
- 4 Government and Social Security
- 5 Households' Optimization Problem
- Stationary Equilibrium
- Calibration
- Computation



- Each household comprises one (possibly retired) worker
- Maximize expected utility at the beginning of age 1 in period t

$$\max \sum_{s=1}^{J} \beta^{s-1} (\prod_{j=1}^{s} \phi^{J-1}) E_t[u(c_{t+s-1}^{s}, l_{t+s-1}^{s})]$$

- $\beta > 0$ : discount factor
- Utility function: consumption c, leisure 1 I

$$u(c, 1 - I) = \frac{(c^{\gamma}(1 - I)^{1 - \gamma})^{1 - \eta}}{1 - \eta}$$

•  $\gamma$ : share of consumption in utility,  $1/\eta$ : IES



3/20/2022 6/34

- During working life,  $0 \le I^s \le I^{max}$  for  $s = 1, ..., T^w$ During retirement,  $I^s = 0$  for  $s = T^w + 1, ..., T$
- $\epsilon(s, \theta, e)A_tw_tI_t^s$  = labor income of the s-year-old worker in period t
- $A_t$ : aggregate productivity, grows at the exogenous rate  $g_A$  = economic growth rate of per capita income in steady-state
- $w_t$ : wage per efficiency unit,  $l_t^s$ : working time
- $\epsilon(s, \theta, e) = \theta e \overline{y}^s$ : idiosyncratic productivity
  - **1**  $\theta$ : stochastic and follows finite Markov process  $n_{\theta}$  possible values  $prob(\theta'|\theta)$ : transition probability

    The individual  $\theta$ 's are assumed to be independent across agents and the law of large numbers holds  $\rightarrow$  there is no aggregate uncertainty
  - ② e: education type (high school/college),  $e \in \{e_1, e_2\}$
  - $\bigcirc$   $\overline{y}^s$ : age component, hump-shaped over the life-cycle



3/20/2022 7/34

- tr<sub>t</sub>: All households receive transfers from the government
- $\tau^{I}$ : rate of labor income tax
- $\bullet$   $\tau^P$ : pays social security contribution proportional to labor income
- pen<sub>t</sub>: retired worker receives a pension (independent of individuals' contributions)
- net non-capital income

$$y_t^s = \begin{cases} (1 - \tau_t^I - \tau_t^P) \epsilon(s, \theta, e) A_t w_t I_t^s & s = 1, ..., T^w \\ pen_t & s = T^w + 1, ..., T \end{cases}$$



To express the budget constraint in stationary variables, divide non-stationary individual variables by  $A_t$  ( $\tilde{y_t^s} = y_t^s/A_t$ )

$$(1 + \tau_t^c)\tilde{c}_t^s = \tilde{y}_t^s + (1 - \tau_t^k)(r_t - \delta)\tilde{k}_t^s + \tilde{k}_t^s + R_t^b \tilde{b}_t^s + \tilde{t}_t - (1 + g_A)\tilde{k}_{t+1}^{s+1} - (1 + g_A)\tilde{b}_{t+1}^{s+1}$$

- $k_t^s, b_t^s$ : capital stock, government bonds of s-year-old agent at the beginning of period t
- Household is born without assets and leave no bequests  $k_t^1 = k_t^{T+1} = 0, b_t^1 = b_t^{T+1} = 0$
- Interest income  $r_t$ :  $R_t^b = 1 + r_t$  on capital and govt. bonds
- labor income tax, capital income tax, consumption tax at the rate of  $\tau_t^I, \tau_t^k, \tau_t^c$
- ullet capital depreciation  $\delta k_t^s$  is tax exempt
- $b_t^s \ge 0, k_t^s \ge 0$



3/20/2022

- Demographics
- 2 Households
- Technology
- 4 Government and Social Security
- 5 Households' Optimization Problem
- Stationary Equilibrium
- Calibration
- Computation



# **Technology**

$$Y_t = K_t^{\alpha} (A_t L_t)^{1-\alpha}$$

$$\Pi_t = Y_t - r_t K_t - w_t A_t L_t$$

$$w_t = (1 - \alpha) K_t^{\alpha} (A_t L_t)^{-\alpha}$$

$$r_t = \alpha K_t^{\alpha-1} (A_t L_t)^{1-\alpha}$$

- Demographics
- 2 Households
- Technology
- Government and Social Security
- 5 Households' Optimization Problem
- Stationary Equilibrium
- Calibration
- Computation

# Government and Social Security

- Labor income taxes  $\tau^l$ , capital income tax  $\tau^k$ , consumption tax  $\tau^c$
- ullet Confiscates all accidental bequests  $Beq_t$  and pays aggregate transfers  $Tr_t$
- *G<sub>t</sub>*: total public expenditure
- Pays interest  $r_t^b$  on the accumulated debt  $B_t$
- In each period, the government budget is financed by issuing government debt:

$$Tr_t + G_t + r_t^b B_t - Tax_t - Beq_t = B_{t+1} - Bt$$

where

$$Tax_t = \tau_t^I A_t L_t w_t + \tau_t^k (r_t - \delta) K_t + \tau_t^c C_t$$

Ct : Aggregate consumption



# Government and Social Security

- Pay-as-you-go pensions to the retirees which it finances with the contributions of the workers
- *Pen<sub>t</sub>*: Aggregate pension payments
- The social security budget is assumed to balance

$$Pen_t = \tau_t^p A_t L_t w_t$$

- Demographics
- 2 Households
- Technology
- 4 Government and Social Security
- 5 Households' Optimization Problem
- Stationary Equilibrium
- Calibration
- Computation

# Households' Optimization Problem

- Define households assets  $a_t^s = k_t^s + b_t^s$
- State vector:  $z = (s, \theta, e, a)$ , or  $z_s = (\theta, e, a)$
- Choice variables: c, l, a'
- $V_t(z_s)$ : value function of the s-year-old household in period t

$$V_t(z_s) = max_{c,l,a'}\{u(c,l) + \beta\phi_t^s \sum_{\theta'} prob(\theta'|\theta)V_{t+1}(z_{s+1})\}$$

subject to

$$(1+\tau_t^c)c = y + [1+(1-\tau_t^k)(r_t-\delta)]a + tr - a', a \ge 0$$

with the terminal condition  $V_t(z_{T+1}) = 0$ 



## Households' Optimization Problem

• To formulate this optimization problem in stationary variables, define

$$ilde{V_t} = rac{V_t}{A_t^{\gamma(1-\eta)}}, \; ilde{z_s} = ( heta, e, ilde{a})$$

and 
$$\widetilde{u}(\widetilde{c},1-l)=rac{u(c,l)}{A_{t}^{\gamma(1-\eta)}}=rac{(\widetilde{c}^{\gamma}(1-l)^{1-\gamma})^{1-\eta}}{1-\eta}$$

## Households' Optimization Problem

The Bellman equation can be rewritten in stationary form

$$\tilde{V}_t(\tilde{z_s}) = \max_{\tilde{c},l,\tilde{s}'} \{ \tilde{u}(\tilde{c},l) + (1+g_{\mathcal{A}})^{\gamma(1-\eta)} \beta \phi_t^s \sum_{\theta'} \textit{prob}(\theta'|\theta) \tilde{V}_{t+1}(\tilde{z}_{s+1}) \}$$

with the terminal condition  $ilde{V}_t( ilde{z}_{T+1}) = 0$ 

The budget constraint of the household in stationary variables:

$$(1+\tau_t^c)\tilde{c} = \tilde{y} + [1+(1-\tau_t^k)(r_t-\delta)]\tilde{a} + \tilde{tr} - (1+g_A)\tilde{a}'$$

with

$$\tilde{y} = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} (1 - \tau_t^I - \tau_t^P) \epsilon(s, \theta, e) w_t I & s = 1, ..., T^w \\ p \tilde{e} n_t & s = T^w + 1, ..., T \end{array} \right.$$

4日 > 4日 > 4目 > 4目 > 目 り < ○</p>

- Demographics
- 2 Households
- Technology
- 4 Government and Social Security
- 5 Households' Optimization Problem
- 6 Stationary Equilibrium
- Calibration
- Computation



- Assume that we have already discretized the state space
- Asset space  $\overline{a} \in A = \{\overline{a}_1, \overline{a}_2, ..., \overline{a}_{n_a}\}$
- Let  $f(s, e, \theta, \overline{a})$ : discretized density function associated with the state  $\overline{z} = (s, e, \theta, \overline{a})$
- In order to express the equilibrium in terms of stationary variables,

$$\tilde{X}_t \equiv \frac{X_t}{A_t N_t}$$

for the aggregate variables  $X \in \{Pen, Tr, G, B, Beq, Tax, Y, K, C\}$ In particular,  $\tilde{T}r_t = \tilde{t}r_t, \overline{L}_t = L_t/N_t$ 



In equilibrium,

$$\tilde{L}_t = \sum_{s=1}^{T^w} \sum_{i_{\theta}=1}^{n_{\theta}} \sum_{j=1}^{2} \sum_{i_{\theta}=1}^{n_{\theta}} \epsilon(s, \theta_{i_{\theta}}, e_j, ) I(s, \theta_{i_{\theta}}, e_j, \tilde{a}_{i_{\theta}}) f(s, \theta_{i_{\theta}}, e_j, \tilde{a}_{i_{\theta}})$$

$$\tilde{\Omega}_t = \sum_{s=1}^T \sum_{i_{\theta}=1}^{n_{\theta}} \sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{i_a=1}^{n_a} \tilde{a}_{i_a} f(s, \theta_{i_{\theta}}, e_j, \tilde{a}_{i_a})$$

$$\Omega_t = B_t + K_t$$

- Aggregate effective labor supply is equal to the sum of the individual effective labor supplies
- Aggregate wealth is equal to the sum of individual wealth levels
- In capital market equilibrium



At the beginning of period t+1, the government collects accidental bequests from the s-year old households who do not survive from period t till period t+1

$$\begin{split} Beq_{t+1} &= \sum_{s=1}^{T} \sum_{i_{\theta}=1}^{n_{\theta}} \sum_{j=1}^{2} \sum_{i_{a}=1}^{n_{a}} \\ &(1-\Phi_{t}^{s})[1+(1-\tau_{t+1}^{k})(r_{t+1}-\delta)]\overline{a}'(s,\theta_{i_{\theta}},e_{j},\overline{a}_{i_{a}})f(s,\theta_{i_{\theta}},e_{j},\overline{a}_{i_{a}}) \end{split}$$

where we have used the condition that the after-tax returns on the two assets are equal

$$r_t^b = (1 - \tau_r^k)(r_t - \delta)$$



In equilibrium, the goods markets clear:

$$ilde{Y}_t = ilde{C}_t + ilde{G}_t + (1+g_A)(1+n) ilde{K}_{t+1} - (1-\delta) ilde{K}_t$$

where aggregate consumption is the sum of individual consumptions:

$$C_{t} = \sum_{s=1}^{T} \sum_{i_{0}=1}^{n_{\theta}} \sum_{i_{0}=1}^{2} \sum_{i_{0}=1}^{n_{\theta}} \tilde{c}(s, \theta_{i_{\theta}}, e_{j}, \tilde{a}_{i_{\theta}}) f(s, \theta_{i_{\theta}}, e_{j}, \tilde{a}_{i_{\theta}})$$

- Demographics
- 2 Households
- Technology
- 4 Government and Social Security
- 5 Households' Optimization Problem
- Stationary Equilibrium
- Calibration
- Computation



## Calibration

| Parameter        | Value        |
|------------------|--------------|
| α                | 0.35         |
| δ                | 8.3%         |
| g <sub>A</sub>   | 2.0%         |
| n                | 0.75%        |
| $1/\eta$         | 1/2          |
| $\gamma$         | 0.33         |
| β                | 1.011        |
| $\tau' + \tau^p$ | 28%          |
| $	au^k$          | 36%          |
| $	au^c$          | 5%           |
| G/Y              | 18%          |
| B/Y              | 63%          |
| repl             | 35.2%        |
| $\{e_1, e_2\}$   | {0.57, 1.43} |

#### Calibration

ullet Idiosyncratic productivity shock heta follows a Markov process,

$$\theta' = \rho\theta + \xi$$
 where  $\xi \sim N(0, \sigma_{\xi})$ 

$$ho=0.96, \sigma_{\mathcal{E}}^2=0.045, \sigma_{y_1}=0.38$$
 and mean  $\overline{y}^1$ 

- Discretize the state space  $\Theta = (\theta_1,..,\theta_{n_{\theta}})$  using  $n_{\theta} = 5$
- The states are equally spaced and range from  $-\sigma_{y_1}$  to  $\sigma_{y_1} \to \Theta = (-0.7576, -0.3788, 0.0000, 0.3788, 0.7576)$
- Probability  $v(\theta) = (0.1783, 0.2010, 0.2413, 0.2010, 0.1783)$  respectively for each  $e_i$ , i = 1, 2
- Each  $e_i$ , i = 1, 2 has a share of 50% in each cohort



3/20/2022

#### Calibration

 Transition probabilities are computed using Tauchen's method (Ch 12.2.1 in DGE textbook)

$$Prob(\theta'|\theta) = \begin{pmatrix} 0.7734 & 0.2210 & 0.0056 & 0.0000 & 0.0000 \\ 0.1675 & 0.6268 & 0.2011 & 0.0046 & 0.0000 \\ 0.0037 & 0.1823 & 0.6281 & 0.1823 & 0.0033 \\ 0.0000 & 0.0046 & 0.2011 & 0.6268 & 0.1675 \\ 0.0000 & 0.0000 & 0.0056 & 0.2210 & 0.7734 \end{pmatrix}$$



3/20/2022

- Demographics
- 2 Households
- Technology
- 4 Government and Social Security
- 5 Households' Optimization Problem
- Stationary Equilibrium
- Calibration
- Computation



# Computation - Demographic

• Define the measure of the s-year old in period t

$$\mu_t^s \equiv \frac{N_t(s)}{N_t}, \ \sum_{s=1}^{70} \mu_t^s = 1.0$$

• To compute the stationary measure  $\{\mu^s\}_{s=1}^{70}$ , initialize the mass of the 1-year old,  $\mu^1$  equal to one(mass[0])

$$\mu^{s+1} = \frac{\phi^s}{1+n}\mu^s$$

- The above formula is from dividing both  $N_t(1)=(1+n)N_{t-1}(1)$  and  $N_t(s)=\phi^{s-1}N_{t-1}(s-1)$  by  $N_t$
- Normalize the measures so that their sum is equal to one



# Computation - Labor supply

The first-order condition of the worker respect to his labor supply:

$$\frac{(1-\tau^{I}-\tau^{p})\epsilon(s,\theta,e)w}{1+\tau^{c}} = \frac{1-\gamma}{\gamma}\frac{\tilde{c}}{1-I}$$

After the substitution of  $\tilde{c}$  from the budget constraint of the worker,

$$I = \gamma - \frac{1 - \gamma}{(1 - \tau^I - \tau^P)\epsilon(s, \theta, e)w}([1 + (1 - \tau^k)(r - \delta)]\tilde{a} + \tilde{t}r - (1 + g_A)\tilde{a}')$$

with



## Euler equation residual

- Assessing the accuracy of the optimization:
- To evaluate the accuracy of our policy function approximation, we study the so-called 'Euler residual' which is defined as the percentage deviation of the Euler equation
- For the s-year old worker with wealth level  $\tilde{a}$  and productivity type  $\epsilon(s, \theta, e)$ , the Euler equation residual is defined by:

$$R(\tilde{a}) = 1 - \frac{\tilde{u}_c(\tilde{c}, l)}{\beta \phi^s E\{\tilde{u}_c(\tilde{c}', l')\}}$$

• If  $R(\tilde{a}=0)$ , the Euler equation error is zero and we have a perfect fit



# Euler equation residual

• Euler equation residual for the s-year old worker with wealth level  $\tilde{a}$  and productivity type  $\epsilon(s, \theta, e)$ :

$$R(\tilde{\mathbf{a}}) = 1 - \frac{\tilde{u}_c(\tilde{\mathbf{c}}, 1 - l)}{\beta(1 + r^b)(1 + g_A)^{\gamma(1 - \eta) - 1}\phi^s E\{\tilde{u}_c(\tilde{\mathbf{c}}', 1 - l')\}}$$

derived from

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{u}(\tilde{c}, 1-l)}{\partial \tilde{c}} = (1+g_A)^{\gamma(1-\eta)-1}\beta(1+r^b)\phi^s E\{\frac{\partial \tilde{u}(\tilde{c}, 1-l)}{\partial \tilde{c}'}\}$$



## Aggregate

Compute  $\tilde{\Omega}, \tilde{K}, \tilde{L}, \bar{l}, \tau^p, \tau^l, \tilde{tr}$ 

- ullet For given  $ilde{K}$  and  $ilde{L}$ , we can compute  $ilde{Y}$  and, hence,  $ilde{B}=0.63 ilde{Y}$
- Aggregate wealth  $\tilde{Omega}$ : sum of  $\tilde{a}$  weighted by  $f(s, e, \theta, \tilde{a})$
- ullet Capital market equilibrium:  $ilde{K} = ilde{\Omega} ilde{B}$
- Pension contribution rate  $\tau^p$ : computed with the help of the social security budget



# Aggregate

#### Computation of government transfers:

- ullet government consumption  $ilde{G}=0.18 ilde{Y}$
- accidental bequests Beq
- ullet aggregate consumption  $ilde{\mathcal{C}}$
- total taxes:  $\tilde{Tax} = \tau^I w \tilde{L} + \tau^k (r \delta) \tilde{K} + \tau^c \tilde{C}$
- government transfers: With the help of the steady-state government budget constraint,

$$\tilde{tr} = \tilde{T}r = \tilde{Tax} + [(1+g_A)(1+n) - (1+r^b)]\tilde{B} - \tilde{G}$$

- $\tilde{pen} = repl * w * \bar{l}$
- $au^p = \frac{\tilde{Pen}}{\tilde{wL}}$

