

Data Science

Lecture 2: Statistical Analysis / Visualizing Data

Statistical Analysis

Typical Data Science Pipeline



Statistics and Data Science

가

“A data scientist is someone who knows more statistics than a computer scientist and more computer science than a statistician.”

- Josh Blumenstock (Univ. of Washington)

Statistical Data Distributions

Every observed random variable has a particular frequency/probability distribution.

Some distributions occur often in practice/theory:

- The Binomial Distribution (1) p , $1 - p$ trial 2
- The Normal Distribution
- The Power Law Distribution

Binomial Distributions


Experiments consist of n *identical, independent* trials which have two possible outcomes, with probabilities p and $(1-p)$ like heads or tails.

$$P\{X = x\} = \binom{n}{x} p^x (1-p)^{n-x}$$


Properties of Binomial Distributions

Discrete, but bell (or half-bell) shaped

Coin flips: $p=0.5$ $n=200$



Lightbulb burnouts: $p=0.001$ $n=1000$



The distribution is a function of n and p .

The Normal Distribution

The bell-shaped distribution of height, IQ, etc.

Completely parameterized by mean and standard deviation:

$$f(x; \mu, \sigma^2) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} e^{-(x-\mu)^2 / 2\sigma^2}$$

Not all bell-shaped distributions are normal but it is generally a reasonable start.

Interpreting the Normal Distribution


Tight bounds on probability follow for **Z-scores** from normally distributed random variables:

IQ is normally distributed, with mean 100 and standard deviation 15.

Thus about 2.5% of people have IQs above 130.

가 130

2.5%



Power Law Distributions

Power laws are defined $P(X = x) = cx^{-\alpha}$ for exponent α and normalization constant c .

They do not cluster around a mean like a normal distribution, instead having very large values rarely but consistently.

They define 80-20 rules: 20% of the X get 80% of the Y .

- 20 가 80 가 .
- 20 가 80 가 .

City Population Yield Power Laws

The average big US city has population 165,719. Even with a huge standard deviation of 410,730, New York city with 8,008,278 people is too many sigma away from the mean.



. Power Laws

Power laws arise when the rich get richer.

Linear and Log-Log Plots for City Pop

Straight lines on log-log plots say power law.

The biggest values are out of scale on linear plots.




Many Distributions are Power Laws

incoming links가

- Internet sites with x inlinks.
- Frequency of earthquakes at x on the Richter scale
- Words used with a relative frequency of x
- Wars which kill x people

Power laws show as straight lines on log value, log frequency plots.



When is an Observation Meaningful?

Computational analysis readily finds patterns and correlations in large data sets.

But when is a pattern significant?

Sufficiently strong correlations on large data sets may seem ``obviously'' significant, but the issues are often quite subtle.

Comparing Population Means

observation


The T-test evaluates whether the population means of two samples are different.

Sample the IQs of 20 men and 20 women. Is one group smarter on average?




Certainly the sample means will differ, but is this difference significant?

Differences in Distributions

It becomes easier to distinguish two distributions as the means move apart...



... or the variance decreases:



가

The T-Test

Two means differ significantly if:


- The mean difference is relatively large
- The standard deviations are small enough
- The samples are large enough

Welch's t-statistic is:

$$t = \frac{\bar{x}_1 - \bar{x}_2}{\sqrt{\frac{s_1^2}{n_1} + \frac{s_2^2}{n_2}}}$$

where s^2 is the sample variance.

Significance is looked up in a table.



The Kolmogorov-Smirnov Test

cdfs


The KS-test quantifies the difference between two probability distributions by the maximum y-distance gap between the two cdfs.

The max distance between two cdfs is

$$D(C_1, C_2) = \max_{-\infty \leq x \leq \infty} |C_1(x) - C_2(x)|$$

two distributions differ at the significance level of α when:

$$D(C_1, C_2) > c(\alpha) \sqrt{\frac{n_1 + n_2}{n_1 n_2}}$$



Permutation Tests and P-values


observation

Traditional statistical tests evaluate whether two samples came from the same distribution.

Many have subtleties (e.g. one- vs. two-sided tests, distributional assumptions, etc.)

Permutation tests allow a more general, more computationally idiot-proof way to establish significance.

observation - pvalue. (0.05=5%)
5%



Permutation Tests and P-values



If your hypothesis is supported by the data, then randomly shuffled data sets should be less likely to support it.

The ranking of the real test statistic among the shuffled test statistics gives a p-value.

You need statistic on your model you believe is interesting, e.g. correlation, std. error, etc.

Significance of a Permutation Test

The rank of the real data among the random permutations determines significance:



The Central Limit Theorem

가

C-LT

- A random variable defined as the average of a large #of independent and identically distributed(i.i.d.) random variables is itself approximately normally distributed.
- If x_1, \dots, x_n are r.v. with μ and σ^2 , and if n is large:
 $Z = 1/n (x_1 + \dots + x_n)$ is approx. normally distributed

Significance of central limit theorem

- If n gets large, $\text{Binomial}(n, p) \sim \text{Normal}(np, np(1-p))$




2

n

.

CLT)

가



Significance of central limit theorem

- It implies that probabilistic and statistical methods that work for normal distributions can be applicable to many problems involving other types of distributions.

. CLT :)


Statistical Hypothesis Testing

Deep

pass

- Example: Flipping a Coin – when speculating the coin is not fair.
 - **null hypothesis (H_0)**: coin is fair, i.e., $p = 0.5$
 - **alternative hypothesis (H_1)**: coin is not fair.
- We use statistics to decide whether we can reject H_0 as false or not.
 - In particular, flipping the coin n times and counting the #of heads X .
 - Each coin flip is a **Bernoulli** trial, meaning X is a **Binomial**(n, p).
 - Due to CLT, X can be approximated by **Normal**($np, np(1-p)$).
 - Choose *significance* level– how willing to make a *type I error* (FP)
 - *Typical choices: 5% or 1%*

```
normal_two_sided_bounds(0.95, 500, 15.81) (469.01026640487555, 530.9897335951244)  
normal_two_sided_bounds(0.99, 500, 15.81) (459.27260472187146, 540.7273952781286)
```



Types of errors

Table of error types		Null hypothesis (H_0) is	
		True	False
Decision About Null Hypothesis (H_0)	Reject	Type I error (False Positive)	Correct inference (True Positive)
	Fail to reject	Correct inference (True Negative)	Type II error (False Negative)

Type I error is detecting an effect that is not present, while a type II error is failing to detect an effect that is present.

Statistical Hypothesis Testing w/ p-value

- P-value: probability (assuming H_0 is true) of seeing a value at least as extreme as the one we actually observed.
 - Typical choices of significance level: 0.05 or 0.01
 - If $X = 530$, p-value = 0.062, if $X = 532$, p-value = 0.0463
-

Example: Running an A/B Test


- You are trying to get people to click on advertisements.
 - VP of ads. wants your help choosing between two ads A and B.
 - You run an experiment by randomly showing site visitors one of the two ads and tracking how many people click on each ad.
 - Let N_A =#of people seen A, n_A =#of people click on A, p_A =probability of someone clicking A.
 - Then, n_A/N_A is approx. $Normal(p_A, p_A(1-p_A)/N_A)$.
 - Similarly, n_B/N_B is approx. $Normal(p_B, p_B(1-p_B)/N_B)$.
-

Example: Running an A/B Test (cont'd)

- Then, n_A/N_A is approx. $Normal(p_A, p_A(1-p_A)/N_A)$.
 - Similarly, n_B/N_B is approx. $Normal(p_B, p_B(1-p_B)/N_B)$.
 - The two normals are independent, thus their difference should also be normal
 - Perform hypothesis test w/ $H_0 - p_A$ and p_B are the same
 - Suppose you have 1000, 200, 1000, 150 for N_A , n_A , N_B , n_B , respectively. And you set significance level for p-value as 0.05.
 - Can you reject the null hypothesis?
-

```
a_b_test_statistic(1000, 200, 1000, 180) -1.1403464899
```


```
a_b_test_statistic(1000, 200, 1000, 150) -2.9488391231
```



Visualizing Data

가 (,)
a b ,
()

Typical Data Science Pipeline



Exploratory Data Analysis

“The greatest value of a picture is when it forces us to notice what we never expected to see.”



John Tukey

Exploratory Data Analysis

Looking carefully at your data is important:

- to identify mistakes in collection/processing
- to find violations of statistical assumptions
- to observe patterns in the data
- to make hypothesis.

Feeding unvisualized data to a machine learning algorithm is asking for trouble.

Anscombe's Quartet





4 x,y (10) . mean
visualize 가 .

All four data sets have exactly the same mean, variance, correlation, and regression line:

	I		II		III		IV	
	x	y	x	y	x	y	x	y
	10.0	8.04	10.0	9.14	10.0	7.46	8.0	6.58
	8.0	6.95	8.0	8.14	8.0	6.77	8.0	5.76
	13.0	7.58	13.0	8.74	13.0	12.74	8.0	7.71
	9.0	8.81	9.0	8.77	9.0	7.11	8.0	8.84
	11.0	8.33	11.0	9.26	11.0	7.81	8.0	8.47
	14.0	9.96	14.0	8.10	14.0	8.84	8.0	7.04
	6.0	7.24	6.0	6.13	6.0	6.08	8.0	5.25
	4.0	4.26	4.0	3.10	4.0	5.39	19.0	12.50
	12.0	10.84	12.0	9.13	12.0	8.15	8.0	5.56
	7.0	4.82	7.0	7.26	7.0	6.42	8.0	7.91
	5.0	5.68	5.0	4.74	5.0	5.73	8.0	6.89
mean	9.0	7.5	9.0	7.5	9.0	7.5	9.0	7.5
var.	10.0	3.75	10.0	3.75	10.0	3.75	10.0	3.75
corr.		0.816		0.816		0.816		0.816

Plotting Anscombe's Quartet

All four data sets have exactly the same mean, variance, correlation, and regression line:



Mapping Data to Image

Most
Efficient



Least
Efficient

Position



Length



Slope



Angle



Area



Intensity



Color



Shape



Quantitative

height/weight


Ordinal

small, medium, large


Nominal

X


Most Effective





Position




Length



Less Effective



Least Effective



Order These Values



가

-

,

INTENSITY가

.



Perceived as Ordered

Brightness



Saturation



Hue: not as much



Examples: Not Effective

3D















Figure 5.2 Mean prevalence rates of *Cryptosporidium* oocysts by animal species.

Examples: Not Effective



Examples: Much Better



Tufte's Design Principle


Distinguishing good/bad visualizations requires a design aesthetic, and a vocabulary to talk about data representations:

- Maximize data ink-ratio
 - Minimize lie factor
 - Minimize chartjunk
 - Use proper scales and clear labeling
-

Maximize Data-Ink Ratio

$$\text{Data-Ink Ratio} = \frac{\text{Data ink}}{\text{Total ink used in graphic}}$$


Data - Ink Ratio > 3D ? ? Data ink / 1




Maximize Data-Ink Ratio

$$\text{Data-Ink Ratio} = \frac{\text{Data ink}}{\text{Total ink used in graphic}}$$

가 !



■ 0-\$24,999 ■ \$25,000+ ■ 0-\$24,999 ■ \$25,000+



■ 0-\$24,999 ■ \$25,000+ ■ 0-\$24,999 ■ \$25,000+

The Lie Factor

Size of effect shown in graphic

Size of effect in data


= 1

visualization

THE SHRINKING FAMILY DOCTOR In California


Percentage of Doctors Devoted Solely to Family Practice

1964	1975	1990
27%	16.0%	12.0%




IN THE BARREL...

Price per bbl. of
light crude, leaving
Saudi Arabia
on Jan. 1



U.S. SmartPhone Marketshare

- RIM
- Apple
- Palm
- Motorola
- Nokia
- Other



19.5




가


Lie - Factor

Source: Gartner for

U.S. SmartPhone Marketshare



U.S. SmartPhone Marketshare



Reduce Chartjunk


Extraneous visual elements distract from the message the data is trying to tell.

- Extra dimensionality
- Uninformative coloring
- Excessive grids and figurative decoration


In an exciting graphic, the data tells the story, not the chartjunk.

Can you Simplify this Plot?


()
-
-




Can You Further Simplify?




Better, but can you Further Simplify?







Anything Else that Can Go?




“Less is More”



Matplotlib Supports Nice Plots



Graphical Integrity: Scale Distortion



insight

Graphical Integrity: Scale Distortion


Always start bar graphs at zero.



Scale Distortions

HOW 2012 STACKS UP

THE WARMEST YEARS ON RECORD
CONTIGUOUS U.S.





Source: NOAA's National Climatic Data Center - State of the Climate National Overview

CLIMATE  CENTRAL


Scale Distortions

Always start your bar graphs at zero!




Which Chart to Use?





Chart Suggestions—A Thought-Starter



Bar Chart




Line Charts - Trends Over Time



Bars vs. Lines

Lines imply connections - do not use for categorical data



Aspect Ratios

가 ?






Banking to 45°


Two line segments are maximally discriminable when their average absolute angle is 45°

45


W. Cleveland



Banking to 45°




Error Prone



Optimal Aspect Ratio

Chart Suggestions—A Thought-Starter




Scatter Plots / Bubble Charts


- Scatter plots show the values of each point, and are a great way to present 2D data sets.
 - For data sets with three or four variables, use bubble charts.
 - Higher dimensional datasets can be projected to 2D through principle component analysis.
-

Reduce Overplotting by Small Points



[SCATTER PLOTS]



가 dense





Reduce Overplotting by Opacity



$\alpha = 1/100$

Heatmaps Reveal Finer Structure

Color points on the basis of frequency




Bubble Charts for Extra Dimensions

Using color, shape, and size of “dots” enables dot plots to represent additional dimensions.

<http://www.gapminder.org/videos/200-years-that-changed-the-world-bbc/>

가	2	x,y
3		
4		
(4	가 nominal)	
5	가	(/ /)



Don't 3D








Chart Suggestions—A Thought-Starter



Pie vs. Bar Charts

65% of the market is controlled by companies B and C



A B E

50

가

) 6

?

1 1


composition

/

Donut Chart

Pre-tax profits of the 1,000 largest banks

By tier-one capital and domicile, % of total





Source: *The Banker Top 1000*


Stacked Bar Chart

1 ,2 ,3


stacked



Stacked Bar Chart




v.s.




Stacked Area Chart

stacked bar chart

100



100% Stacked Area Chart



Stacked Area vs. Line Graphs



B
?
?

Stacked Area vs. Line Graphs






Chart Suggestions—A Thought-Starter




Histograms: Bin Size / Count Matters

```
In[62]:= {Histogram[d, 5], Histogram[d, 10], Histogram[d, 100]}
```



```
d100 = Take[d, 100];
```



```
In[65]:= {Histogram[d100, 5], Histogram[d100, 10], Histogram[d100, 100]}
```




Frequency vs. Density Histograms

y / density .



Dividing counts by the total yields a probability density plot, which is more interpretable:



Box & Whisker Plots



Box & Whisker Plots



Keep a Critical Eye

Remember Tufte's principles whenever designing or interpreting data visualizations:

- Maximize data-ink ratio
- Minimize lie factor
- Minimize chartjunk
- Use proper scales and clear labeling

Beautiful data deserves beautiful visualization.
