# Real Analysis II: Homework 04

104021615 黄翊軒

March 28, 2016

### Exercise 5. p.143

*Proof.* We may assume  $N_{p_1}[f] < +\infty$ , otherwise the result is trivial by Theorem 8.2.

Let  $q = p_2/p_1 > 1$ , then the conjugate exponent of q is  $p_2/(p_2 - p_1)$ . By Hölder's Inequality, we get

$$||f||_{p_1}^{p_1} = \int_E |f|^{p_1} = \int_E |f|^{p_1} \times 1$$

$$\leq \left(\int_E |f|^{p_1q}\right)^{1/q} \left(\int_E 1^{p_2/(p_2-p_1)}\right)^{(p_2-p_1)/p_2}$$

$$= \left(\int_E |f|^{p_2}\right)^{p_1/p_2} |E|^{(p_2-p_1)/p_2}$$

Taking both sides to the power  $1/p_1$ , then

$$||f||_{p_1} \le \left(\int_E |f|^{p_2}\right)^{1/p_2} |E|^{(p_2-p_1)/p_1p_2}$$
$$= ||f||_{p_2} |E|^{(p_2-p_1)/p_1p_2}$$

Multipling both sides  $1/|E|^{1/p_1}$ , we have

$$N_{p_1}[f] = \frac{1}{|E|^{1/p_1}} ||f||_{p_1} \leqslant \frac{1}{|E|^{1/p_2}} ||f||_{p_2} = N_{p_2}[f]$$

Next we check other three properties.

From Minkowski's Inequilty,

$$N_{p}[f+g] = \frac{1}{|E|^{1/p}} ||f+g||_{p}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{|E|^{1/p}} (||f|_{p} + ||g||_{p})$$

$$= \frac{1}{|E|^{1/p}} ||f||_{p} + \frac{1}{|E|^{1/p}} ||g||_{p}$$

$$= N_{p}[f] + N_{p}[g]$$

From Hölder's Inequality,

$$N_1[fg] = \frac{1}{|E|} \int |fg| \le \frac{1}{|E|^{1/p+1/p'}} \int |f| \int |g|$$
$$= N_p[f] N_{p'}[g]$$

From Theorem 8.1,

$$\lim_{p \to \infty} N_p[f] = \lim_{p \to \infty} \frac{1}{|E|^{1/p}} ||f||_p$$

$$= \lim_{p \to \infty} \frac{1}{|E|^{1/p}} \cdot \lim_{p \to \infty} ||f||_p$$

$$= 1 \cdot \lim_{p \to \infty} ||f||_p$$

$$= ||f||_{\infty}$$

#### **Exercise 7.** *p.143*

Proof. We quickly have

$$||f||_p = \left(\int_{(0,\varepsilon^p)} |1|^p\right)^{1/p} = \varepsilon$$

and similarly  $||g||_p = \varepsilon$ . However,

$$||f/2 + g/2||_p = \left(\int_{(0,2\varepsilon^p)} |1/2|^p\right)^{1/p} = \left(2\varepsilon^p 2^{-p}\right)^{1/p} = 2^{\frac{1}{p}-1}\varepsilon > \varepsilon$$

since 1/p > 1. So the neighborhood  $B_{\varepsilon+\eta}(0)$  is not convex for sufficiently small  $\eta$ .

## **Exercise 9.** *p.143*

*Proof.* Suppose ess  $\inf_E f = 0$ . Then for every  $\alpha > 0$  we have  $|\{x \in E : f(x) < \alpha\}| > 0$ . Thus, for every  $0 < \beta < +\infty$  we have  $|\{x \in E : 1/f(x) > \beta\}| > 0$ , so  $\operatorname{ess\,sup}_E 1/f = +\infty$ . We may interpret  $+\infty^{-1} = 0$ , so the proposition still holds.

Now suppose ess  $\inf_E f > 0$ , so there exists  $\alpha > 0$  such that  $|\{x \in E : f(x) < \alpha\}| > 0$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \operatorname*{ess\,inf} f = \sup\{\alpha > 0 : |\{x \in E : f(x) < \alpha\}| > 0\} \\ & = \sup\{\alpha > 0 : |\{x \in E : 1/f(x) > 1/\alpha\}| > 0\} \\ & = \sup\{1/\beta > 0 : |\{x \in E : 1/f(x) > \beta\}| > 0\} \\ & = \left(\inf\{\beta > 0 : |\{x \in E : 1/f(x) > \beta\}| > 0\}\right)^{-1} \\ & = \left(\operatorname*{ess\,sup}(1/f)\right)^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

#### **Exercise 10.** *p.143*

*Proof.* Let  $\varepsilon_k = 1/k$ , then by Lemma 3.22. We have for every  $\varepsilon_k$ , there exists a closed set  $F_k$  such that

$$\varepsilon_{k+1} < |E - F_k| < \varepsilon_k$$
.

Note that such  $F_k$  is possible by reversely using Lemma 3.22 again if needed. Then we have a sequence of sets of strictly decreasing measure  $|E - F_{k+1}| < |E - F_k|$ .

The difference of any two of these sets must then have positive measure. Let A be the set of all possible differences of sets  $|E - F_k|$ . The set of all possible unions of sets in A is the power set of A, P(A). Note that the power set is uncountable. Taking the characteristic function of any two distinct sets in P(A) gives

$$\|\chi_{B_1} - \chi_{B_2}\|_{\infty} = 1,$$

because  $B_1$  and  $B_2$  must differ by a set of positive measure.

Since there are an uncountable number of such functions and their norms are all different by 1, they can be contained in disjoint open balls of radius 1/3 in the space  $L^{\infty}(E)$ . Then the space  $L^{\infty}(E)$  cannot be separable, because no countable set can intersect this uncountable family of disjoint balls.