### THEORY OF STATISTICS AND PROBABILITY

MINSEOK SONG

# **Probability Theory**

**Definition 1.** Distribution Function (F): A function  $F : \mathbb{R} \to [0,1]$  that:

- (1) Is non-decreasing.
- (2) Is right-continuous.
- (3) Limits to 0 as  $x \to -\infty$  and 1 as  $x \to \infty$ .

**Random Variable** (X): A measurable function  $X : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$  where:

- (1)  $\Omega$  is a sample space equipped with a probability measure.
- (2)  $\mathbb{R}$  is the real line equipped with the Lebesgue measure.

**Probability Measure** (P): A measure defined on a sample space  $\Omega$  whose total measure is 1. **Distribution** (D): Given a random variable X, its distribution is the measure induced by X on  $\mathbb{R}$ .

**Density Function** (f): For a random variable X, its density function f is any measurable function that satisfies:

$$\Pr(X \in A) = \int_A f \, d\mu$$

for every measurable set  $A \subset \mathbb{R}$ , where  $\mu$  is the Lebesgue measure.

Some theorems and properties may appear simple at first glance, but upon closer examination, they are actually quite complex.

- The Skorokhod Representation Theorem states that for every CDF, there exists a canonical probability space and a random variable on that space with the given CDF.
  - You can consider either  $\sup\{x \in \mathbb{R} : F(x) \leq w\}$  or  $\sup\{x \in \mathbb{R} : F(x) < w\}$
  - This shows that distribution function fully characterizes random distribution.
- Kolmogorov's Existence threorem states that given finite dimensional sets of distribution, under some conditions, there exists a canonical probability space with random variables whose corresponding distributions coincide with our original distributions.
- The existence of distribution function does not guarantee the existence of density function. By Radon-Nikodym, there is a nice characterization when this happens: when distribution (which is a measure defined on R) corresponding to distribution function is absolutely continuous with respect to Lebesgue measure; this is a iff condition, that is, absolutely continuous if and only if distribution exists.
- The corresponding distribution is connected to the notion of generalized function (linear functional operator).
- The previous discussion illustrates that Kolmogorov's existence theorem is more general than Skorokhod Representation theorem.

Throughout the theory of probability, we usually assume that the probability measure is complete, because of the following proposition.

**Proposition 1.** Let us assume that probability measure is complete. Let  $X_t = Y_t$  almost everywhere for every  $t \ge 0$ . Then there exists  $\tilde{Y}_t = Y_t$  almost everywhere for every t such that  $X_t(w) = \tilde{Y}_t(w)$  for every  $w \in P$  for every t for measurable set P whose measure is 1.

# 1 Covariance, Independence

- Covariance only gives the information about linear relationship between two random variables. It has a limit of capturing non-linear relationship.
- Non-linear activation function in deep neural network is an example of such an attempt to leverage non-linearities.
- Further, as correlation gives only the linear relationship, zero correlation does not necessarily imply independence.
- One quintessential example would be to take Y = X with probability 0.5, and Y = -X with probability 0.5, where X and Y are normal distribution.
- In this case, E(XY)=0=E(X)=E(Y)... but X and Y are clearly not independent; we have

$$P(X \in A)P(Y \in (-1,1)) = P(X \in A, Y \in (-1,1)) = P(X \in A \cap (-1,1))$$

and take A = (-1, 1).

- Independence does not necessarily mean that, in a strict sense, two variables have no "relationships." It simply means that the information about the value of the random variable does not give any information of the value of the other random variable. For example, we may have  $Y = X^2$  where X = -1 or 1.
- Also note that two random variables may have different mappings while having the same distribution: standard example is 1 X and  $X \in [0, 1]$ .

### 2 Distribution function

- If we were to calculate the density function of X + Y, we may use convolution.
- If we were interested in  $\frac{X}{Y}$ , we may use transformation and integrate out.
- Another analytic approach is to use characteristic functions.
- We can use Monte Carlo method numerically.

# 3 Convergence

### 4 Markov Chain

Fact 1. The reverse of Markov chain is also Markov chain.

*Proof.* We want to show

$$P(T_k|T_{k+1},...,T_N) = P(T_k|T_{k+1})$$

This is equivalent to

$$P(T_{k+2},...,T_N|T_k,T_{k+1}) = P(T_{k+2},...,T_N|T_{k+1})$$

Well, it holds because

$$LHS = P(T_{k+2}|T_k, T_{k+1}) \times P(T_{k+3}|T_k, T_{k+1}, T_{k+2}) \times \cdots \times P(T_N|T_k, \dots, T_{N-1})$$

$$RHS = P(T_{k+2}|T_{k+1}) \times P(T_{k+3}|T_{k+1}, T_{k+2}) \times \cdots \times P(T_N|T_{k+1}, \dots, T_{N-1})$$

by the following fact.

Fact 2. Markov Chain X satisfies  $P(X_k|X_{k-1},\ldots,X_0)=P(X_k|X_{k-1})=P(X_k|X_{k-1},$  anything from  $X_{k-2}$  to  $X_0)$ 

*Proof.* For convenience, denote  $P(X_k|X_{k-1}, \text{ anything from } X_{k-2} \text{ to } X_0)$  by  $P(X_k|X_{k-1}, \ldots)$ . We have two facts...

(1)  $P(X_k|X_{k-1})$  is  $\sigma(X_{k-1},...)$ -measurable.

$$\int_{A} 1_{X_{k}=s} = \int_{A} P(X_{k}|X_{k-1}, \dots, X_{0}) = \int_{A} P(X_{k}|X_{k-1}) \quad \forall A \in \sigma(X_{k-1}, \dots)$$

This shows that  $P(X_k|X_{k-1}) = P(X_k|X_{k-1},...)$ .

**Theorem 2** (Good Markov Property). For any given  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $x \in S$ , and conditioned on the event  $\{X_N = x\}$ , the sequence of random variables  $\{X_N, X_{N+1}\}$  is a Markov Chain and is independent of  $X_0, \ldots, X_{N-1}$ .

**Theorem 3** (Strong Markov Property). Let T be a stopping time. Then, conditional on the event  $\{T < \infty\}$  and  $\{X_T = x\}$  and for any  $x \in S$  such that  $P(\{T < \infty\} \cap \{X_T = x\}) > 0$ , the random sequence  $\hat{X} = \{X_{T+n}\}_{n \geq 0}$ , defined by  $X_{T+n}$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , is a Markov Chain with transition probability matrix P and initial distribution  $\delta_x$ .

Furthermore, the random sequences T and  $\{X_{T+n}\}_{n\geq 0}$ , are then conditionally independent, given the event  $\{T < \infty\}$  and  $\{X_T = x\}$ .

- The event  $\{T < \infty\} \cap \{X_T = x\}$  represents the event that the stopping time is finite and the process is in state x at this time.
- Conditional independence given the above event means that once we know two things first, that the stopping time T is finite, and second, that the process is in state x in this stopping time then the sequence of states after stopping time T does not depend on the sequence of states up to the stopping time T.

# 5 Martingale

**Definition 2.** A sequence  $Y_i$ , i = 1, 2, 3, ... is said to be a martingale with respect to the filtration  $\mathcal{F}$  if  $\forall n$ ,

- $E(|Y_n|) < \infty$  and  $E(Y_{n+1}|\mathcal{F}_n) = Y_n$
- This is a fair game. It says that expected future value given all the information up to now doesn't give any edge and should be equal to the present value.
- In this context, we want submartingale, where we have  $E(Y_{n+1}|\mathcal{F}_n) = X_n$

**Theorem 4.** (Option Sampling Theorem) Suppose T is a stopping time and  $M_n$  is a martingale with respect to  $\{\mathcal{F}_n\}$ . Then  $Y_n = M_{n \wedge T}$  is a martingale. In particular, for each n,

$$E(M_{n\wedge T})=E(M_0).$$

If T is bounded, that is, if there exists  $k < \infty$  such that  $P(T \leq k) = 1$ , then

$$E(M_T) = E(M_0)$$

• This says that expected value of the martingale at the stopping time is the same as its initial value, where you might be tempted to think you can choose an advantageous time to stop betting or investing to make a profit.

### 6 Brownian Motion

**Definition 3.** It is a stochastic process with

- (1)  $B_0 = 0$ ,
- (2)  $B_t B_s$  is same as  $B_{t-s}$  (stationary),
- (3) for each s,  $\{B_{t+s} B_s, t \ge 0\}$  is independent of  $\{B_r, r \le s\}$ ,
- (4) and  $t \mapsto B_t$  is a continuous function of t almost surely.
  - (check) We can show that for every s < t, we have  $B_t B_s \sim N(m(t-s), \sigma^2(t-s))$
  - When m=0 and  $\sigma=1$ , we call it Standard Brownian Motion.

#### 6.1 Two constructions

- (1) First construction
  - First, we use Daniel-Kolmogorov theorem to get the following.

Fact 3. There is a probability measure P on  $(\mathbb{R}_{[0,\infty)}, B(\mathbb{R}_{[0,\infty)}),$  under which  $X_t(w) =$ w(t) ( w is a path) has stationary, independent increments. Further,  $X_t - X_s$  is normal with mean zero and variance t-s.

- Now, we want to say that  $P(C([0,\infty))) = 1$ . The problem is,  $C([0,\infty))$  is not even measurable.
- To mitigate this, one might need some theorem extending to continuity.
- (2) Second construction
  - We can do like this.
  - Define on Dyadic rationals
  - Prove that  $B_t$  is uniformly continuous on the dyadic rationals with probability one.
  - Extend  $B_t$  to t in [0,1] by continuity.
  - Check that this works.

#### 7 Relationship with PDE

#### 8 Relationship with Complex Analysis

#### 9 Misecelleneous

**Definition 4.** A sequence of random variables  $(X_1, \ldots, X_n)$  has a joint normal distribution if

$$X_j = m_j + a_{j1}Z_1 + a_{j2}Z_2 + \ldots + a_{jm}Z_m$$

where  $Z_i \sim N(0,1)$ , i.i.d.

- Clearly,  $E(X_i) = m_i$ . Assume mean zero. Then we can express X = AZ where A is an  $n \times m$  matrix with entries  $a_{jk}$ . Each  $X_j$  is a normal with mean zero and variance  $E(X_j^2) = a_{j1}^2 + \ldots + a_{jm}^2$ . • We say that X satisfies multivariate normal distribution.
- Further, covariance is given by  $Cov(X_j, X_k) = E(X_j X_k) = \sum_{l=1}^m a_{jl} a_{kl}$ .
- Letting  $\Gamma = AA^T$ , we get  $\Gamma_{jk} = E(X_jX_k)$ , and this is called covariance matrix.

Fact 4.  $\Gamma$  determines the distribution of a mean-zero joint normal.

*Proof.* Note the standard fact  $E(e^{itZ_k}) = e^{-t^2/2}$  where  $Z_k$  is a standard normal. We have

$$\phi(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n) = E(it \sum_i \theta_i \sum_k Z_k a_{ik})$$
(1)

$$= \prod_{k} E(it \cdot Z_k(\sum_{i} \theta_i a_{ik})) \tag{2}$$

$$= \Pi_k \exp\left(-\frac{\left(\sum_i \theta_i a_{ik}\right)^2}{2}\right) \tag{3}$$

$$= \exp(-\frac{1}{2}(A^T\theta)^T A^T\theta) \tag{4}$$

$$= \exp(-\frac{1}{2}(\theta^T \Gamma \theta)) \tag{5}$$

and note that this doesn't depend on A.