University of Pennsylvania · Instructor and Course Evaluation Report

ECON1	103 910, Stati	stics for Economists, Summer, 2017									CHA	NG, MINS	
Term Activity Type		Summer, 2017 (2017B)	Enrollment	11	Schoo	ı	ARTS & SCIENCES						
		LEC E	Eligible	11	Divisio	Division Department		SUMMER SESSIONS ECONOMICS					
Cross Listed Sections		-	Responses	6	Depar								
			Response Rate	55%	Subjec	ct	ECON	ECONOMICS					
				Avera	ge Ratings	ngs		This Instructor Only Worst RatingBest Rating				Responses	
Que	estion and Sca	le	Instructor	Section	Course	-	0	1	2	3	4		
	erall quality of the le: 0 to 4: Poor,	the instructor. Fair, Good, Very good, Excellent	3.50	3.50	3.50	-	0% 0	0% 0	17% 1	17% 1	67% 4	6	
	erall quality of the le: 0 to 4: Poor,	the course. Fair, Good, Very good, Excellent	3.50	3.50	3.50	-	0% 0	0% 0	17% 1	17% 1	67% 4	6	
		the TA(s) if applicable. Fair, Good, Very good, Excellent, N/A,	4.00	4.00	4.00	-	0% 0	0% 0	0% 0	0% 0	100% 1	1	
Sca		arly communicated the subject matter. gly disagree, Disagree, Neither agree nor disagre ree	3.80 e,	3.80	3.80	-	0% 0	0% 0	0% 0	20% 1	80% 4	5	
Sca	The instructor effectively stimulated my interest. Scale: 0 to 4: Strongly disagree, Disagree, Neither agree nor disagre Agree, Strongly agree		3.60 e,	3.60	3.60	-	0% 0	0% 0	20% 1	0% 0	80% 4	5	
time Sca	е.	as appropriately accessible outside of clas gly disagree, Disagree, Neither agree nor disagre ree		3.80	3.80	-	0% 0	0% 0	0% 0	20% 1	80% 4	5	
fact Sca	tual knowledge	ng this course, I have a better understanding or, principles and/or theories in this area. gly disagree, Disagree, Neither agree nor disagre fee		3.80	3.80	-	0% 0	0% 0	0% 0	20% 1	80% 4	5	
prol Sca	blems and/or t	gly disagree, Disagree, Neither agree nor disagre		3.80	3.80	-	0% 0	0% 0	0% 0	20% 1	80% 4	5	
ans Sca	wers question	gly disagree, Disagree, Neither agree nor disagre		3.60	3.60	-	0% 0	0% 0	0% 0	40% 2	60% 3	5	
_													