Practice Midterm III

Econ 103, Statistics for Economists

Graphing calculators, notes, and textbooks are not permitted.

I pledge that, in taking and preparing for this exam, I have abided by the University of Pennsylvania's Code of Academic Integrity. I am aware that any violations of the code will result in a failing grade for this course.

Name: _____

Signature: _____

Student ID #: _____ Recitation #: _____

Instructions: Answer all questions in the space provided, continuing on the back of the page if you run out of space. Show your work for full credit but be aware that writing down irrelevant information will not gain you points. Be sure to sign the academic integrity statement above and to write your name and student ID number on *each page* in the space provided. Make sure that you have all pages of the exam before starting.

Warning: If you continue writing after we call time, even if this is only to fill in your name, twenty-five points will be deducted from your final score. In addition, two points will be deducted for each page on which you do not write your name and student ID.

1. Consider a dataset of n observations $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n$ with sample mean $\bar{x}$ and sample variance s_x^2. Let z_i denote the sample z-score corresponding to the observation x_i.

   (a) Write down the formula for $\bar{x}$.

   Solution: $\bar{x} = \dfrac{1}{n}\displaystyle\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i$

   (b) Write down the formula for s_x^2.

   Solution: $s_x^2 = \dfrac{1}{n-1}\displaystyle\sum_{i=1}^{n}(x_i - \bar{x})^2$

   (c) Write down the formula for z_i.

   Solution: $z_i = \dfrac{x_i - \bar{x}}{s_x}$

   (d) Prove that the sample mean of the z-scores is zero.

   Solution:

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i-1}^{n} z_i &= \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\frac{x_i - \bar{x}}{s_x}\right) = \frac{1}{s_x}\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}(x_i - \bar{x})\right) \\
&= \frac{1}{s_x}\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}(x_i - \bar{x})\right) = \frac{1}{s_x}\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i - \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\bar{x}\right) \\
&= \frac{1}{s_x}\left(\bar{x} - \frac{1}{n}\, n\bar{x}\right) = 0
\end{aligned}$$

2. In this question you will analyze a dataset containing *last semester's* final exam scores and math diagnostic test scores. Both scores are given in points out of 100. To answer the questions given below, you will need to consult the following table of sample statistics for the dataset:

	Diagnostic	Final Exam
1st Quartile	58	51
Median	68	66
Mean	68	65
3rd Quartile	80	78
Std. Dev.	16	17
Covariance	124	

(a) As you can see from the table, the first quartile for the diagnostic test was 58. Briefly explain what this means in terms that someone who has never taken Econ 103 would understand.

Solution: It means that roughly 25% of the students got a score equal to or less than 58 percentage points on the math diagnostic. Another way of putting this is that 75% of the students scored more than 58 percent on the math diagnostic.

(b) Is there any evidence of skewness in the math diagnostic or final exam scores? Explain briefly.

Solution: Not really. Using our rule of thumb from class we see that the mean and median are exactly equal on the Diagnostic and only differ slighly on the Final: 65 versus 66. The mean on the final is *slightly* below the median which suggests the possibility of a small amount of left-skewness.

(c) Were scores more variable on the final or the math diagnostic? Briefly discuss in terms of both the standard deviation and interquartile range.

Solution: The standard deviation on the final was slightly higher than on the diagnostic: 17 versus 16 points. Thus, the results on the final were slightly more variable than those on the diagnostic. Further, IQR for the final was 27 points compared to 22 points for the diagnostic. There seems to have been a little more variability on the final than on the diagnostic.

(d) Calculate the sample correlation between scores on the math diagnostic test and those on the final exam.

Solution: $r = s_{xy}/(s_x s_y) = 124/(16 \times 17) \approx 0.46$

3. Let A be the event that it rains this Saturday, B be the event that it rains this Sunday and C be the event that it rains this weekend. In her weather forecast Molly, the local meteorologist, tells us that $P(A) = 0.5$ and $P(B) = 0.5$.

(a) Express the event C in terms of the events A and B using set operations.

Solution: Rain on the weekend means rain on Saturday *or* rain on Sunday. In set notation, this is: $C = A \cup B$.

(b) In this example, what is the meaning of the event $A \cap B$? Phrase it in a way that someone who has never taken Econ 103 would understand.

Solution: This is the event that it rains on Saturday *and* on Sunday.

(c) Express $P(C)$ in terms of $P(A \cap B)$ using the addition rule.

Solution: By the Addition Rule: $P(C) = P(A) + P(B) - P(A \cap B) = 1 - P(A \cap B)$.

(d) Adam, an anchorman for the local news, sees Molly's forecast and summarizes it as follows: "According to Molly we're in for a wet weekend. There's a 100% chance of rain this weekend: 50% on Saturday and 50% on Sunday." Is Adam correct? If so, briefly explain why; if not, point out the flaw in his reasoning.

Solution: Adam is incorrect. In order to add probabilities as he has done, the corresponding events must be *mutually exclusive*. From the previous part, we know that $P(C) = P(A) + P(B) - P(A \cap B) = 1 - P(A \cap B)$. Adam has incorrectly assumed that $P(A \cap B) = 0$, in other words that rain on Saturday *rules out* rain on Sunday and vice-versa. We haven't been given the value of $P(A \cap B)$ from the problem statement, but we know from real-world experience that it's definitely not zero. Hence $P(C) < 1$.

4. On my desk I have 10 cups: N_B of them are *Blue Cups* and the remaining $10 - N_B$ are *Red Cups*. Each cup contains five balls: *Blue Cups* contain 4 blue balls and 1 red ball while *Red Cups* contain 4 red balls and 1 blue ball. I chose a cup at random so that each cup was equally likely to be selected. I then drew three balls at random *with replacement* from the chosen cup. In order, the balls I drew were: red, red, blue. Let C_B be the event that I chose a *Blue Cup* and let RRB be the event that represents my three draws: a red ball, followed by another red ball, followed by a blue ball.

   (a) Suppose N_B is 5. Calculate $P(C_B|RRB)$.

Solution: By the Law of Total Probability,

$$\begin{aligned} P(RRB) &= P(RRB|C_B)P(C_B) + P(RRB|C_R)P(C_R) \\ &= (1/5 \times 1/5 \times 4/5) \times 1/2 + (4/5 \times 4/5 \times 1/5) \times 1/2 \\ &= 2/125 + 8/125 = 10/125 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by Bayes' Rule,

$$P(C_B|RRB) = \frac{P(RRB|C_B)P(C_B)}{P(RRB)} = \frac{2/125}{10/125} = 1/5$$

   (b) Now suppose that we do *not* know the value of N_B. How large would N_B have to be for it to be more likely that I drew from a blue cup given that the event RRB has occured? Prove your answer.

Solution: This is identical to the previous part with one change: now we have $P(C_B) = N_B/10$ and $P(C_R) = 1 - N_B/10$ rather than $1/2$. Hence, the calculation for the Law of Total Probability becomes

$$\begin{aligned} P(RRB) &= (4/125) \times (N_B/10) + (16/125) \times (10 - N_B)/10 \\ &= [4N_B + 16(10 - N_B)]/(1250) \\ &= (160 - 12N_B)/1250 \end{aligned}$$

and similarly for Bayes' Rule

$$P(C_B|RRB) = \frac{4N_B/1250}{(160 - 12N_B)/1250} = \frac{4N_B}{160 - 12N_B} = \frac{N_B}{40 - 3N_B}$$

We need the smallest N_B such that this quantity is greater than $1/2$:

$$\begin{aligned} N_B/(40 - 3N_B) &> 1/2 \\ 2N_B &> 40 - 3N_B \\ N_B &> 8 \end{aligned}$$

Name: _____ Student ID #: _____

Therefore N_B would have to be at least 9.

(c) (5 points) Suppose that I made my draws *without* replacement. What is $P(C_B|RRB)$ in this case? Briefly explain your answer.

Solution: If we draw *without replacement*, then getting two red balls makes it *impossible* that we're drawing from a Blue Cup, since Blue Cups only have one red ball. Hence $P(C_B|RRB) = 0$.

5. The so-called "Iris Dataset" comes pre-loaded in R in the dataframe `iris`. Here's a description from the R documentation:

> This famous (Fisher's or Anderson's) iris data set gives the measurements in centimeters of the variables sepal length and width and petal length and width, respectively, for 50 flowers from each of 3 species of iris. The species are *Iris setosa*, *versicolor*, and *virginica*.

A *sepal* is a part of a flower, specifically one of the small leaves found behind the petals. Here are the first few rows of the dataset:

```
> head(iris)
  Sepal.Length Sepal.Width Petal.Length Petal.Width Species
1          5.1         3.5          1.4         0.2  setosa
2          4.9         3.0          1.4         0.2  setosa
3          4.7         3.2          1.3         0.2  setosa
4          4.6         3.1          1.5         0.2  setosa
5          5.0         3.6          1.4         0.2  setosa
6          5.4         3.9          1.7         0.4  setosa
```

Note that the column `Species` is a categorical variable, aka factor, that takes on three different values: `setosa`, `versicolor`, and `virginica`.

(a) (5 points) Suppose you wanted to display only the columns `Sepal.Length` and `Petal.Width` of `iris`. What R command would you use?

Solution: Many solutions, such as `iris[,c(1,4)]` or `iris[,c(''Sepal.Length'', ''Petal.Width'')]`

(b) (5 points) What R command would you use to extract data for only flowers of the species *Iris setosa* and store it in a dataframe called `setosa`?

Solution: `setosa <- subset(iris, Species == ''setosa'')`

(c) (5 points) What R command would you use to separately calculate the sample mean `Sepal.Length` for *each species* of iris? Be sure to allow for the possibility of missing values.

Solution: `by(iris$Sepal.Length, iris$Species, mean, na.rm = TRUE)`

Name: _____ Student ID #: _____