

# A THIRD-ORDER GENERALIZATION OF THE MATRIX SVD

Paper Report  
CHAU Dang Minh

**Summary.** We have studied several SVD generalizations for tensors (CP and the Tuckers), which express a tensor as a sum of rank-one tensors. In the approach in [2], the authors defined a ring of tensors under the original addition and the to-be-defined product which can be reduced to that of invertible matrices. This allows a generalization for third-order tensors given as a product of three tensors.

## 1 Preliminaries

In this section, we provide necessary operations for the definition of this type of SVD. Firstly, recall the notation of a  $p$ -order tensor

$$\mathcal{A} = (a_{i_1 \dots i_p}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1 \times \dots \times n_p}. \quad (1)$$

For a third-order tensor  $\mathcal{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1 \times n_2 \times n_3}$ , the *unfold map* is a generalization of matricization, where

- The first parameter is the tensor.
- The optional second parameter is the dimension, defaulted to be 1.
- The optional third parameter taking an array value, specifying the order of fibers to be organized.

For example, let

$$\mathcal{A} = \left[ \begin{array}{cc|cc} a_{111} & a_{121} & a_{112} & a_{122} \\ a_{211} & a_{221} & a_{212} & a_{222} \end{array} \right] = \left[ \begin{array}{cc|cc} 1 & 3 & 5 & 7 \\ 2 & 4 & 6 & 8 \end{array} \right].$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{unfold}(\mathcal{A}) &= \text{unfold}(\mathcal{A}, 1) = \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{A}_{:, :, 1} \\ \mathcal{A}_{:, :, 2} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 3 \\ 2 & 4 \\ 5 & 7 \\ 6 & 8 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \text{unfold}(\mathcal{A}, 2) &= \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{A}_{:, 1, :} \\ \mathcal{A}_{:, 2, :} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 5 \\ 2 & 6 \\ 3 & 7 \\ 4 & 8 \end{pmatrix}, \text{unfold}(\mathcal{A}, 2, [2, 1]) = \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{A}_{:, 2, :} \\ \mathcal{A}_{:, 1, :} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 7 \\ 4 & 8 \\ 1 & 5 \\ 2 & 6 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Inversely, we have the *fold map*, which transforms a matrix to a tensor. The parameters of the unfold map are the same as those of the fold map. The *circular map* is defined for each matrix  $A = [A_1 \ \dots \ A_{n_3}] \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1 n_3 \times n_2}$  as

$$\text{circ}(A) = \begin{bmatrix} A_1 & A_{n_3} & \dots & A_2 \\ A_2 & A_1 & \dots & A_3 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ A_{n_3} & A_{n_3-1} & \dots & A_1 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (2)$$

The  $n \times n$  discrete Fourier transform matrix  $F_n = (f_{jk})$  is defined [1] by

$$f_{jk} = w_n^{(j-1)(k-1)}, \quad (3)$$

where

$$w_n = \exp\left(\frac{-2\pi i}{n}\right) = \cos\left(\frac{2\pi i}{n}\right) - i \sin\left(\frac{2\pi i}{n}\right).$$

For a vector  $x \in \mathbb{C}^n$ ,  $\text{dft}(x) = F_n x$  is called the discrete Fourier transform (DFT) of  $x$ .

For example, we have

$$F_4 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & w_4^1 & w_4^2 & w_4^3 \\ 1 & w_4^2 & w_4^4 & w_4^6 \\ 1 & w_4^3 & w_4^6 & w_4^9 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -i & -1 & i \\ 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & i & -1 & -i \end{bmatrix}.$$

**Proposition 1.** Let  $\mathcal{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1 \times n_2 \times n_3}$  and  $F_{n_3}$  is the  $n_3 \times n_3$  matrix, we have

$$(F_{n_3} \otimes I_{n_1}) \cdot \text{circ}(\text{unfold}(\mathcal{A})) \cdot (F_{n_3}^* \otimes I_{n_2}) = \begin{pmatrix} D_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & D_{n_3} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (4)$$

where  $D_\ell$  are the faces of the tensor  $\mathcal{D}$  computed by applying DFT along each fiber  $A_{i,j,:}$  of  $\mathcal{A}$ .

## 2 The Product Operation

Having developed relevant operations which imply Proposition 1, we now come to our main product operation.

**Definition 1.** Let  $\mathcal{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1 \times n_2 \times n_3}$  and  $\mathcal{B} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_2 \times \ell \times n_3}$ . The product  $\mathcal{A} * \mathcal{B}$  is the  $n_1 \times \ell \times n_3$  tensor

$$\mathcal{A} * \mathcal{B} = \text{fold}(\text{circ}(\text{unfold}(\mathcal{A})) \cdot \text{unfold}(\mathcal{B})). \quad (5)$$

Next, we develop the notions of inverse and transpose.

**Definition 2.** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a three-order tensor.

1. The identity tensor  $\mathcal{I} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n \times \ell}$  is the tensor whose the front face  $\mathcal{I}_{:, :, 1}$  is the identity matrix and other faces are all zeros.
2. The transpose  $\mathcal{A}^\top$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  is defined by

$$\mathcal{A}^\top = \text{fold}(\text{unfold}(\mathcal{A}, 1, [1, n_3 : -1 : 2])). \quad (6)$$

3. A tensor  $\mathcal{B}$  is called the inverse of  $\mathcal{A}$  if  $\mathcal{A} * \mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B} * \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{I}$ .
4. A tensor  $\mathcal{Q} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n \times \ell}$  is orthogonal if  $\mathcal{Q} * \mathcal{Q}^\top = \mathcal{Q}^\top * \mathcal{Q} = \mathcal{I}$ .

Certain properties of the product as those of matrices are proven.

**Proposition 2.** Let  $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}$  be tensors of appropriate sizes. We have

1. (Associativity)  $(\mathcal{A} * \mathcal{B}) * \mathcal{C} = \mathcal{A} * (\mathcal{B} * \mathcal{C})$ .
2.  $(\mathcal{A} * \mathcal{B})^\top = \mathcal{B}^\top * \mathcal{A}^\top$ .
3. If  $\mathcal{Q}$  is orthogonal, then  $\|\mathcal{Q} * \mathcal{A}\|_F = \|\mathcal{A}\|_F$ .

**Proposition 3.** Let  $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}$  and  $\mathcal{C}$  have appropriate sizes whose the last dimension is  $n_3$  satisfying  $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B} * \mathcal{C}$ . Then

$$\sum_{\ell=1}^{n_3} \mathcal{A}_{:, :, \ell} = \left( \sum_{\ell=1}^{n_3} \mathcal{B}_{:, :, \ell} \right) \left( \sum_{\ell=1}^{n_3} \mathcal{C}_{:, :, \ell} \right). \quad (7)$$

### 3 The Generalized SVD

In this section, we give a proof on the existence of a generalized SVD, called the T-SVD, some applications on data compression and further discussion.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $\mathcal{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1 \times n_2 \times n_3}$ . Then  $\mathcal{A}$  can be factorized as*

$$\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{U} * \mathcal{S} * \mathcal{V}^\top,$$

where  $\mathcal{U} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1 \times n_1 \times n_3}$  and  $\mathcal{V} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_2 \times n_2 \times n_3}$  are orthogonal, and  $\mathcal{S} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1 \times n_2 \times n_3}$  has diagonal frontal faces.

*Proof.* By Proposition 1, we have

$$(F_{n_3} \otimes I_{n_1}) \cdot \text{circ}(\text{unfold}(\mathcal{A})) \cdot (F_{n_3}^* \otimes I_{n_2}) = \text{diag}(F_{n_3} \mathcal{A}_{:, :, 1}, \dots, F_{n_3} \mathcal{A}_{:, :, n_3}).$$

Compute the SVD of each  $D_j$  as  $D_j = U_i \Sigma_i V_i^\top$ , we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} D_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & D_{n_3} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} U_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & U_{n_3} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \Sigma_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \Sigma_{n_3} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} V_1^\top & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & V_{n_3}^\top \end{pmatrix}.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{circ}(\text{unfold}(\mathcal{A})) &= (F_{n_3}^* \otimes I_{n_1}) \begin{pmatrix} U_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & U_{n_3} \end{pmatrix} (F_{n_3} \otimes I_{n_1}) \\ &\quad (F_{n_3}^* \otimes I_{n_1}) \begin{pmatrix} \Sigma_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \Sigma_{n_3} \end{pmatrix} (F_{n_3} \otimes I_{n_2}) \\ &\quad (F_{n_3}^* \otimes I_{n_2}) \begin{pmatrix} V_1^\top & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & V_{n_3}^\top \end{pmatrix} (F_{n_3} \otimes I_{n_2}). \end{aligned}$$

The matrices in each row are all circular. Take the first column of the right-hand side and fold up the result using inverse DFT, we have  $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{U} * \mathcal{S} * \mathcal{V}^\top$ , where  $\mathcal{U}$  has  $U_i, i = 1, \dots, n_3$  to be frontal slides. Similarly for  $\mathcal{S}$  and  $\mathcal{V}$ . By simple computations, we can show that the tensors are orthogonal.  $\square$

The proof is a construction of the SVD, which can be written as an algorithm.

---

#### Algorithm 1 T-SVD

---

**Input:**  $\mathcal{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1 \times n_2 \times n_3}$ .

Compute  $\mathcal{D}$  whose fibers are DFT of fibers of  $\mathcal{A}$ .

**for**  $n = 1, \dots, n_3$  **do**

$[\mathcal{U}_{:, :, n}, \mathcal{S}_{:, :, n}, \mathcal{V}_{:, :, n}] = \text{SVD}(\mathcal{D}_{:, :, n})$ .

**end for**

Apply inverse DFT to fibers of  $\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{S}$  and  $\mathcal{V}$ .

---

The T-SVD is used in data compression. Note that

$$\mathcal{A} = \sum_{j=1}^{\min\{n_1, n_2\}} \mathcal{U}_{:, j, :} * \mathcal{S}_{:, j, :} \mathcal{V}_{:, j, :}, \quad (8)$$

we can approximate

$$\mathcal{A} \approx \sum_{j=1}^k \mathcal{U}_{:,i,:} * \mathcal{S}_{i,i,:} \mathcal{V}_{:,i,:} \quad (9)$$

for some  $k < \min\{n_1, n_2\}$ . Another strategy makes use of Proposition 3 and associativity. We have

$$\sum_{\ell=1}^{n_3} \mathcal{A}_{:,:,\ell} = \left( \sum_{\ell=1}^{n_3} \mathcal{U}_{:,:,\ell} \right) \left( \sum_{\ell=1}^{n_3} \mathcal{S}_{:,:,\ell} \right) \left( \sum_{\ell=1}^{n_3} \mathcal{V}_{:,:,\ell}^\top \right) := U S V^\top.$$

For some  $k_1 < n_1$  and  $k_2 < n_2$ , let  $\tilde{U} = U_{:,1:k_1}$  and  $\tilde{V} = V_{:,1:k_2}$ . Let  $\mathcal{T} \in \mathbb{R}^{k_1 \times k_2 \times n_3}$  such that

$$\mathcal{T}_{:,:,\ell} = \tilde{U}^\top \mathcal{A}_{:,:,\ell} \tilde{V}.$$

We then approximate

$$\mathcal{A} \approx \sum_{j=1}^{k_1} \sum_{k=1}^{k_2} \tilde{U}_{:,j} \circ \tilde{V}_{:,k} \circ \mathcal{T}_{j,k,:}. \quad (10)$$

Using the T-SVD, we can further prove the existence of analogous QR decomposition and eigendecomposition for tensors.

## References

- [1] Gene H Golub and Charles F Van Loan. *Matrix computations*. JHU press, 2013.
- [2] Misha E Kilmer, Carla D Martin, and Lisa Perrone. “A third-order generalization of the matrix svd as a product of third-order tensors”. In: *Tufts University, Department of Computer Science, Tech. Rep. TR-2008-4* (2008).