

Mathematical fundations of data science, 3 hours

Exercice 1. Kernel density estimation

Let $X_1, \dots, X_n \in \mathbb{R}^d$ be i.i.d. random variables drawn from a measure with density function f . We assume f is L -Lipschitz continuous on \mathbb{R}^d .

We regress f using the following kernel estimator

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \hat{f}(x) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_h(\|x - X_i\|),$$

where

- $h > 0$;
- K is a function with compact support in $[0, 1]$ and such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} K(\|x\|) dx = 1.$$

-
- $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} K(\|x\|)^2 dx = V$.
- $K_h(u) = \frac{1}{h^d} K\left(\frac{u}{h}\right)$.

1. Show that \hat{f} is a density function.

2. Show that

$$|\mathbb{E}[\hat{f}(x) - f(x)]| \leq Lh.$$

3. Show that

$$\text{Var}(\hat{f}(x)) \leq \frac{Vf(x)}{h^d n} + \frac{VL}{h^{d-1} n}.$$

4. Compute the MSE of $\hat{f}(x)$. How should h behave with respect to n to minimize this quantity?

5. Suppose f is differentiable with a L' -Lipschitz gradient. Improve the bias and MSE bounds.

Exercice 2. Logistic regression

Let $X_1, \dots, X_n \in \mathbb{R}^d$ (size 1xd) for some positive integer d . For any $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, let Y_i be a Rademacher random variable (i.e. taking values in $\{-1, 1\}$) and such that $P(Y_i = 1 \mid X_i) = f(X_i \theta)$, where $\theta \in \mathbb{R}^d$ (size d x 1) and $f : u \rightarrow \frac{1}{1+e^{-u}}$.

1. Show that the Maximum likelihood estimator of θ verifies

$$\hat{\theta}_{MLE} = \arg \min_{\alpha} \sum_{i=1}^n l(-Y_i X_i \alpha),$$

where $l : z \rightarrow \log_2(1 + \exp(z))$ is the logistic loss. In other words, the MLE estimator under this modelization is equivalent to an empirical risk minimization approach with loss function l .

2. We now want to check that this loss function verifies the assumptions of Zhang's lemma. Show that l is non-decreasing, convex and non-negative.
3. For any $\eta > 0$. Let $H_\eta : z \rightarrow \eta l(z) + (1 - \eta)l(-z)$ and $\tau(\eta) = \inf_z H_\eta(z)$. Find two constants $c > 0$ and $\gamma \in [0, 1]$ such that

$$\forall \eta \in [0, 1], |\eta - \frac{1}{2}| \leq c(1 - \tau(\eta))^\gamma.$$

Hint: show that

$$1 - \tau(\eta) = \frac{1}{\log(2)} \left(\eta \log\left(\frac{\eta}{1/2}\right) + (1 - \eta) \log\left(\frac{1 - \eta}{1/2}\right) \right)$$

and use the following lemma

Lemma 1 (Discrete Pinsker's inequality) *For any two probability measures P, Q on the discrete set \mathcal{X} , we have*

$$\frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} |P(x) - Q(x)| \right)^2 \leq \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} P(x) \log\left(\frac{P(x)}{Q(x)}\right).$$

Exercice 3. Estimation error with finite number of predictors

We consider the problem of classification. Let $(X_1, Y_1), \dots, (X_n, Y_n)$ be i.i.d. random variables with $X_1, \dots, X_n \in \mathcal{X}$ and $Y_1, \dots, Y_n \in \{-1, 1\}$. We denote by $R_P(f)$ the risk associated to a classifier f :

$$R_P(f) = \mathbb{E}[l(-Y_1 f(X_1))],$$

where l is a loss function. The associated empirical risk is

$$R_n(f) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n l(-Y_i f(X_i)).$$

Let \mathcal{F} be a set of classifiers (that is functions from \mathcal{X} and suppose $\inf_{f \in \mathcal{F}} R_P(f)$ is attained by f^* . Finally, we denote by \hat{f}_n the estimator minimizing the empirical risk

$$\hat{f}_n = \arg \min_{f \in \mathcal{F}} R_n(f)$$

The objective of this exercise is to bound the excess of risk of the estimator defined by

$$\mathbb{E}[R_P(\hat{f}_n) - R_P(f^*)].$$

1. Show that

$$R_P(\hat{f}_n) - R_P(f^*) \leq 2 \sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} |R_P(f) - R_n(f)|.$$

2. Let Z_1, \dots, Z_k be k random variables in $[0, 1]$. For $\lambda > 0$, show that

$$\max_j (Z_j - \mathbb{E}[Z_j]) \leq \frac{1}{\lambda} \log \left(\sum_{i=1}^k e^{\lambda(Z_i - \mathbb{E}[Z_i])} \right)$$

and deduce

$$\mathbb{E}[\max_j (Z_j - \mathbb{E}[Z_j])] \leq \frac{1}{\lambda} \log \left(\sum_{i=1}^k \mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda(Z_i - \mathbb{E}[Z_i])}] \right).$$

3. Using a Taylor expansion of

$$\lambda \rightarrow \log(\mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda(Z_i - \mathbb{E}[Z_i])}])$$

show that

$$\mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda(Z_i - \mathbb{E}[Z_i])}] \leq e^{\lambda^2 Var(Z_i)/2} \leq e^{\lambda^2/8}.$$

4. Show that

$$\mathbb{E}[\max_j (Z_j - \mathbb{E}[Z_j])] \leq \frac{\sqrt{2 \log k}}{2}.$$

5. We now assume $|\mathcal{F}| = k$ and

$$\forall x \in \mathcal{X}, \forall f \in \mathcal{F}, \max(l(f(X_i)), l(-f(X_i))) \leq l_\infty.$$

Prove

$$\mathbb{E}[R_P(\hat{f}_n) - R_P(f^*)] \leq l_\infty \sqrt{\frac{2 \log(2k)}{n}}.$$