# factoring lab

Coding the Matrix, 2015

For auto-graded problems, edit the file factoring\_lab.py to include your solution.

## 1 First attempt to use square roots

In one step towards a modern factorization algorithm, suppose you could find integers a and b such that

$$a^2 - b^2 = N$$

for then

$$(a-b)(a+b) = N$$

so a-b and a+b are divisors of N. We hope that they happen to be nontrivial divisors (ie. that a-b is neither 1 nor N).

**Ungraded Task:** To find integers a and b such that  $a^2 - b^2 = N$ , write a procedure root\_method(N) to implement the following algorithm:

- $\bullet$  Initialize integer a to be an integer greater than  $\sqrt{N}$
- Check if  $\sqrt{a^2 N}$  is an integer.
- If so, let  $b = \sqrt{a^2 N}$ . Success! Return a b.
- If not, repeat with the next greater value of a.

The module factoring\_support provides a procedure intsqrt(x) with the following spec:

- input: an integer x
- output: an integer y such that y\*y is close to x and, if x happens to be a perfect square, y\*y is exactly x.

You should use intsqrt(x) in your implementation of the above algorithm. Try it out with 55, 77, 146771, and 118. Hint: the procedure might find just a trivial divisor or it might run forever.

### 2 Euclid's algorithm for greatest common divisor

In order to do better, we turn for help to a lovely algorithm that dates back some 2300 years: Euclid's algorithm for greatest common divisor. Here is code for it:

def 
$$gcd(x,y)$$
: return x if y == 0 else  $gcd(y, x % y)$ 

Ungraded Task: Enter the code for gcd or import it from the module factoring\_support that we provide. Try it out. Specifically, use Python's pseudo-random-number generator (use the procedure randint(a,b) in the module random) or use pseudo-random whacking at your keyboard to generate some very big integers

r, s, t. Then set a = r \* s and b = s \* t, and find the greatest common divisor d of a and b. Verify that d has the following properties:

- a is divisible by d (verify by checking that a%d equals zero)
- b is divisible by d, and
- d > s

### 3 Using square roots revisited

It's too hard to find integers a and b such that  $a^2 - b^2$  equals N. We will lower our standards a bit, and seek integers a and b such that  $a^2 - b^2$  is divisible by N. Suppose we find such integers. Then there is another integer k such that

$$a^2 - b^2 = kN$$

That means

$$(a-b)(a+b) = kN$$

Every prime in the bag of primes whose product is kN

- belongs either to the bag of primes whose product is k or the bag of primes whose product is N, and
- belongs either to the bag of primes whose product is a b or the bag of primes whose product is a + b.

Suppose N is the product of two primes, p and q. If we are even a little lucky, one of these primes will belong to the bag for a - b and the other will belong to the bag for a + b. If this happens, the greatest common divisor of a - b with N will be nontrivial! And, thanks to Euclid's algorithm, we can actually compute it.

**Ungraded Task:** Let N=367160330145890434494322103, let a=67469780066325164, and let b=9429601150488992, and verify that a\*a-b\*b is divisible by N. That means that the greatest common divisor of a-b and N has a chance of being a nontrivial divisor of N. Test this using the gcd procedure, and report the nontrivial divisor you found.

But how can we find such a pair of integers? Instead of hoping to get lucky, we'll take matters into our own hands. We'll try to create a and b. This method starts by creating a set **primeset** consisting of the first thousand or so primes. We say an integer x factors over *primeset* if you can multiply together some of the primes in S (possibly using a prime more than once) to form x.

For example:

- 75 factors over  $\{2, 3, 5, 7\}$  because  $75 = 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 5$ .
- 30 factors over  $\{2, 3, 5, 7\}$  because  $30 = 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5$ .
- 1176 factors over  $\{2, 3, 5, 7\}$  because  $1176 = 2 \cdot 2 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 7 \cdot 7$ .

We can represent a factorization of an integer over a set of primes by a list of pairs (prime, exponent). For example:

- We can represent the factorization of 75 over  $\{2,3,5,7\}$  by the list of pairs [(3,1),(5,2)], indicating that 75 is obtained by multiplying a single 3 and two 5's.
- We can represent the factorization of 30 by the list [(2,1),(3,1),(5,1)], indicating that 30 is obtained by multiplying 2, 3, and 5.

• We can represent the factorization of 1176 by the list [(2,3),(3,1),(7,2)], indicating that 1176 is obtained by multiplying together three 2's, one 3 and two 7's.

The first number in each pair is a prime in the set *primeset* and the second number is its exponent:

$$75 = 3^{1}5^{2}$$

$$30 = 2^{1}3^{1}5^{1}$$

$$1176 = 2^{3}3^{1}7^{2}$$

The module factoring\_support defines a procedure dumb\_factor(x, primeset) with the following spec

- *input*: an integer x and a set *primeset* of primes
- output: if there are primes  $p_1, \ldots, p_s$  in primeset and positive integers  $e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_s$  (the exponents) such that  $x = p_1^{e_1} p_2^{e_2} \cdots p_s^{e_s}$  then the procedure returns the list  $[(p_1, e_1), (p_2, e_2), \ldots, (p_s, e_s)]$  of pairs (prime, exponent). If not, the procedure returns the empty list.

Here are some examples:

```
>>> dumb_factor(75, {2,3,5,7})
[(3, 1), (5, 2)]
>>> dumb_factor(30, {2,3,5,7})
[(2, 1), (3, 1), (5, 1)]
>>> dumb_factor(1176, {2,3,5,7})
[(2, 3), (3, 1), (7, 2)]
>>> dumb_factor(2*17, {2,3,5,7})
[]
>>> dumb_factor(2*3*5*19, {2,3,5,7})
[]
```

Ungraded Task: Define  $primeset = \{2, 3, 5, 7, 11, 13\}$ . Try out dumb\_factor(x, primeset) on integers x = 12, x = 154, x = 2 \* 3 \* 3 \* 3 \* 11 \* 11 \* 13, x = 2 \* 17, x = 2 \* 3 \* 5 \* 7 \* 19. Report the results.

```
Task 1: From the GF2 module, import the value one. Write a procedure int2GF2(i) that, given an integer i, returns one if i is odd and 0 if i is even.

>>> int2GF2(3)
one
>>> int2GF2(4)
0
```

The module factoring\_support defines a procedure primes (P) that returns a set consisting of the prime numbers less than P.

Task2: From the module vec, import Vec. Write a procedure make\_Vec(primeset, factors) with the following spec:

- input: a set of primes primeset and a list factors= $[(p_1, a_1), (p_2, a_2), \dots, (p_s, a_s)]$  such as produced by dumb\_factor, where every  $p_i$  belongs to primeset
- ullet output: a primeset-vector v over GF(2) with domain primeset such that  $v[p_i] = \mathtt{int2GF2}(a_i)$  for  $i=1,\ldots,s$

```
For example,

>>> make_Vec({2,3,5,7,11}, [(3,1)])

Vec({3, 2, 11, 5, 7},{3: one})

>>> make_Vec({2,3,5,7,11}, [(2,17), (3, 0), (5,1), (11,3)])

Vec({3, 2, 11, 5, 7},{11: one, 2: one, 3: 0, 5: one})
```

Now comes the interesting part.

Task 3: Suppose you want to factor the integer N=2419 (easy but big enough to demonstrate the idea). Write a procedure find\_candidates(N, primeset) that, given an integer N to factor and a set primeset of primes, finds len(primeset)+1 integers a for which  $a \cdot a - N$  can be factored completely over primeset. The procedure returns two lists:

- the list roots consisting of  $a_0, a_1, a_2, ...$  such that  $a_i \cdot a_i N$  can be factored completely over primeset, and
- the list rowlist such that element i is the primeset-vector over GF(2) corresponding to  $a_i$  (that is, the vector produced by make\_vec).

The algorithm should initialize

```
roots = []
rowlist = []
```

and then iterate for x = intsqrt(N) + 2, intsqrt(N) + 3, ..., and for each value of x,

- if  $x \cdot x N$  can be factored completely over *primeset*,
  - append x to roots,
  - append to rowlist the vector corresponding to the factors of  $x \cdot x N$

continuing until at least len(primeset)+1 roots and vectors have been accumulated.

Try out your procedure on N=2419 by calling find\_candidates(N, primes(32)).

Here's a summary of the result of this computation:

x	$x^2-N$	factored	$\operatorname{result}$ of $\mathtt{dumb\_factor}$	vector.f
51	182	$2 \cdot 7 \cdot 13$	[(2,1),(7,1),(13,1)]	$\{2: \mathtt{one}, 13: \mathtt{one}, 7: \mathtt{one}\}$
52	285	$3 \cdot 5 \cdot 19$	[(3,1),(5,1),(19,1)]	$\{19: \mathtt{one}, 3: \mathtt{one}, 5: \mathtt{one}\}$
$\longrightarrow 53$	390	$2\cdot 3\cdot 5\cdot 13$	[(2,1),(3,1),(5,1),(13,1)]	$\{2: \mathtt{one}, 3: \mathtt{one}, 5: \mathtt{one}, 13: \mathtt{one}\}$
58	945	$3^3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7$	[(3,3),(5,1),(7,1)]	$\{3: \mathtt{one}, 5: \mathtt{one}, 7: \mathtt{one}\}$
61	1302	$2 \cdot 3 \cdot 7 \cdot 13$	[(2,1),(3,1),(7,1),(31,1)]	$\{31: \mathtt{one}, 2: \mathtt{one}, 3: \mathtt{one}, 7: \mathtt{one}\}$
62	1425	$3 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 19$	[(3,1),(5,2),(19,1)]	$\{19: \mathtt{one}, 3: \mathtt{one}, 5: 0\}$
63	1550	$2 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 31$	[(2,1),(5,2),(31,1)]	$\{2: \mathtt{one}, 5: 0, 31: \mathtt{one}\}$
67	2070	$2\cdot 3^2\cdot 5\cdot 23$	[[(2,1),(3,2),(5,1),(23,1)]	$\{2: \mathtt{one}, 3: 0, 5: \mathtt{one}, 23: \mathtt{one}\}$
68	2205	$3^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 7^2$	[(3,2),(5,1),(7,2)]	$\{3:0,5:\mathtt{one},7:0\}$
71	2622	$2\cdot 3\cdot 19\cdot 23$	[(2,1),(3,1),(19,1),(23,1)]	$\{19 : \mathtt{one}, 2 : \mathtt{one}, 3 : \mathtt{one}, 23 : \mathtt{one}\}$
$\longrightarrow 77$	3510	$2\cdot 3^3\cdot 5\cdot 13$	[(2,1),(3,3),(5,1),(13,1)]	$\{2: \mathtt{one}, 3: \mathtt{one}, 5: \mathtt{one}, 13: \mathtt{one}\}$
79	3822	$2 \cdot 3 \cdot 7^2 \cdot 13$	[(2,1),(3,1),(7,2),(13,1)]	$\{2: \mathtt{one}, 3: \mathtt{one}, 13: \mathtt{one}, 7: 0\}$

Thus, after the loop completes, the value of roots should be the list

```
[51, 52, 53, 58, 61, 62, 63, 67, 68, 71, 77, 79]
```

and the value of rowlist should be the list

Now we use the results to find a nontrivial divisor of N.

Examine the table rows corresponding to 53 and 77. The factorization of 53\*53-N is  $2\cdot 3\cdot 5\cdot 13$ . The factorization of 77\*77-N is  $2\cdot 3^3\cdot 5\cdot 13$ . Therefore the factorization of the product (53\*53-N)(77\*77-N)

$$(2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 13)(2 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 5 \cdot 13) = 2^2 \cdot 3^4 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 13^2$$

Since the exponents are all even, the product is a perfect square: it is the square of

$$2\cdot 3^2\cdot 5\cdot 13$$

Thus we have derived

$$(53^{2} - N)(77^{2} - N) = (2 \cdot 3^{2} \cdot 5 \cdot 13)^{2}$$

$$\Rightarrow 53^{2} \cdot 77^{2} - kN = (2 \cdot 3^{2} \cdot 5 \cdot 13)^{2}$$

$$\Rightarrow (53 \cdot 77)^{2} - kN = (2 \cdot 3^{2} \cdot 5 \cdot 13)^{2}$$

**Ungraded Task:** To try to find a factor, let  $a=53\cdot 77$  and let  $b=2\cdot 3^2\cdot 5\cdot 13$ , and compute  $\gcd(a-b,N)$ . Did you find a proper divisor of N?

Similarly, examine the table rows corresponding to 52, 67, and 71. The factorizations of x \* x - N for these values of x are

$$3 \cdot 5 \cdot 19$$
  
 $2 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 23$   
 $2 \cdot 3 \cdot 19 \cdot 23$ 

Therefore the factorization of the product (52 \* 52 - N)(67 \* 67 - N)(71 \* 71 - N) is

$$(3 \cdot 5 \cdot 19)(2 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 23)(2 \cdot 3 \cdot 19 \cdot 23) = 2^2 \cdot 3^4 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 19^2 \cdot 23^2$$

which is again a perfect square; it is the square of

$$2 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 19 \cdot 23$$

Ungraded Task: To again try to find a factor of N (just for practice), let  $a=52\cdot 67\cdot 71$  and let  $b=2\cdot 3^2\cdot 5\cdot 19\cdot 23$ , and compute  $\gcd(a-b,N)$ . Did you find a proper divisor of N?

How did I notice that the rows corresponding to 52, 67, and 71 combine to provide a perfect square? That's where the linear algebra comes in. The sum of the vectors in these rows is the zero vector. Let A be the matrix consisting of these rows. Finding a nonempty set of rows of A whose GF(2) sum is the zero vector is equivalent, by the linear-combinations definition of vector-matrix multiplication, to finding a nonzero vector  $\mathbf{v}$  such that  $\mathbf{v} * A$  is the zero vector. That is,  $\mathbf{v}$  is a nonzero vector in the null space of  $A^T$ .

How do I know such a vector exists? Each vector in rowlist is a primeset-vector and so lies in a K-dimensional space where K = len(primelist). Therefore the rank of these vectors is at most K. But rowlist consists of at least K + 1 vectors. Therefore the rows are linearly dependent.

How do I find such a vector? When I use Gaussian elimination to transform the matrix into echelon form, the last row is guaranteed to be zero.

More specifically, I find a matrix M representing a transformation that reduced the vectors in **rowlist** to echelon form. The last row of M, multiplied by the original matrix represented by **rowlist**, yields the last row of the matrix in echelon form, which is a zero vector.

To compute M, you can use the procedure **transformation\_rows(rowlist\_input)** defined in the module echelon we provide. Given a matrix A (represented by as a list **rowlist\_input** of rows), this procedure returns a matrix M (also represented as a list of rows) such that MA is in echelon form.

Since the last row of MA must be a zero vector, by the vector-matrix definition of matrix-vector multiplication, the last row of M times A is the zero vector. By the linear-combinations definition of vector-matrix multiplication, the zero vector is a linear combination of the rows of A where the coefficients are given by the entries of the last row of M. The last row of M is

```
Vec({0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11},{0: 0, 1: one, 2: one, 4: 0, 5: one, 11: one})
```

Note that entries 1, 2, 5, and 11 are nonzero, which tells us that the sum of the corresponding rows of rowlist is the zero vector. That tells us that these rows correspond to the factorizations of numbers whose product is a perfect square. The numbers are: 285, 390, 1425, and 3822. Their product is 605361802500, which is indeed a perfect square: it is the square of 778050. We therefore set b = 778050. We set a to be the product of the corresponding values of x (52, 53, 62, and 79), which is 1395 498888. The greatest common divisor of a - b and N is, uh, 1. Oops, we were unlucky—it didn't work.

Was all that work for nothing? It turns out we were not so unlucky. The rank of the matrix A could have been len(rowlist) but turned out to be somewhat less. Consequently, the second-to-last row of MA is also a zero vector. The second-to-last row of M is

```
Vec({0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11},{0: 0, 1: 0, 10: one, 2: one})
```

Note that entries 10 and 2 are nonzero, which tells us that combining row 2 of rowlist (the row corresponding to 53) with row 10 of rowlist (the row corresponding to 77) will result in a perfect square.

#### Task 4: Define a procedure find\_a\_and\_b(v, roots, N) with the following spec:

- input:
  - 1. a vector v such that v \* A is the zero vector,
  - 2. the list roots consisting of the values of x that gave rise to the rows of A (i.e. each row of A represents the factorization of  $x^2 N$ , and
  - 3. N itself, the number to be factored.
- output: the corresponding pair (a,b) of integers such that  $a^2-b^2$  is a multiple of N, as described below.

Your procedure should work as follows:

- Let alist be the list of elements of roots corresponding to nonzero entries of the vector v. (Use a comprehension.)
- Let a be the product of these. (Use the procedure prod(alist) defined in the module factoring.)
- Let c be the product of  $\{x \cdot x N : x \in \mathtt{alist}\}.$
- Let b be intsqrt(c).
- Verify using an assertion that b\*b == c

• Return the pair (a, b).

Try out your procedure with v being the last row of M. See if a-b and N have a nontrivial common divisor. If it doesn't work, try it with v being the second-to-last row of M, etc.

Finally, you will try the above strategy on larger integers.

**Task 5:** Let N = 2461799993978700679, and try to factor N

- Let *primelist* be the set of primes up to 10000.
- Use find\_candidates(N, primelist) to compute the lists roots and rowlist.
- ullet Use echelon.transformation\_rows(rowlist) to get a matrix M.
- Let v be the last row of M, and find a and b using find\_a\_and\_b(v, roots, N).
- ullet See if a-b has a nontrivial common divisor with N. If not, repeat with  $oldsymbol{v}$  being the second-to-last row of M or the third-to-last row....

Give a nontrivial divisor of N.

**Ungraded Task:** Let N=20672783502493917028427, and try to factor N. This time, since N is a lot bigger, finding K+1 rows will take a lot longer, perhaps six to ten minutes depending on your computer. Finding M could take a few minutes.

Ungraded Task: Here is a way to speed up finding M: The procedure echelon.transformation\_rows takes an optional second argument, a list of column-labels. The list instructs the procedure in which order to handle column-labels. The procedure works much faster if the list consists of the primes of primelist in descending order:

```
>>> M_rows = echelon.transformation_rows(rowlist, sorted(primelist, reverse=True))
```

Why should the order make a difference? Why does this order work well? *Hint:* a large prime is less likely than a small prime to belong to the factorization of an integer.