

Congratulations! You passed!

Grade received 93.33% Latest Submission Grade 93.33% To pass 80% or higher

[Go to next item](#)

1. Problem Statement

0 / 1 point

This example is adapted from a real production application, but with details disguised to protect confidentiality.



You are a famous researcher in the City of Peacetopia. The people of Peacetopia have a common characteristic: they are afraid of birds. To save them, you have **to build an algorithm that will detect any bird flying over Peacetopia** and alert the population.

The City Council gives you a dataset of 10,000,000 images of the sky above Peacetopia, taken from the city's security cameras. They are labeled:

- $y = 0$: There is no bird on the image
- $y = 1$: There is a bird on the image

Your goal is to build an algorithm able to classify new images taken by security cameras from Peacetopia.

There are a lot of decisions to make:

- What is the evaluation metric?
- How do you structure your data into train/dev/test sets?

Metric of success

The City Council tells you the following that they want an algorithm that

1. Has high accuracy.
2. Runs quickly and takes only a short time to classify a new image.
3. Can fit in a small amount of memory, so that it can run in a small processor that the city will attach to many different security cameras.

You are delighted because this list of criteria will speed development and provide guidance on how to evaluate two different algorithms. True/False?

True:

False

 Expand

 Incorrect

No. The goal is to have one metric that focuses the development effort and increases iteration velocity.

2. After further discussions, the city narrows down its criteria to:

1 / 1 point

- "We **need** an algorithm that can let us know a bird is flying over Peacetopia as accurately as possible."
- "We *want* the trained model to take no more than 10 sec to classify a new image."
- "We *want* the model to fit in 10MB of memory."

If you had the three following models, which one would you choose?



Test Accuracy	Runtime	Memory size
97%	1 sec	3MB



Test Accuracy	Runtime	Memory size
99%	13 sec	9MB



Test Accuracy	Runtime	Memory size
97%	3 sec	2MB



Test Accuracy	Runtime	Memory size
98%	9 sec	9MB

 Expand

 Correct

Correct! This model has the highest test accuracy, the prominent criteria you are looking for, compared with other models, and also has a runtime <10 seconds and memory size <10MB.

3. Based on the city's requests, which of the following would you say is true?

1 / 1 point

- Accuracy is an optimizing metric; running time and memory size are satisfying metrics.
- Accuracy is a satisfying metric; running time and memory size are an optimizing metric.
- Accuracy, running time and memory size are all optimizing metrics because you want to do well on all three.
- Accuracy, running time and memory size are all satisfying metrics because you have to do sufficiently well on all three for your system to be acceptable.

 Expand

 Correct

4. You propose a 95/2.5%/2.5% for train/dev/test splits to the City Council. They ask for your reasoning. Which of the following best justifies your proposal?

1 / 1 point

- With a dataset comprising 10M individual samples, 2.5% represents 250k samples, which should be more than enough for dev and testing to evaluate bias and variance.
- The most important goal is achieving the highest accuracy, and that can be done by allocating the maximum amount of data to the training set.
- The emphasis on the training set will allow us to iterate faster.
- The emphasis on the training set provides the most accurate model, supporting the memory and processing satisfying metrics.

 Expand

 Correct

Yes. The purpose of dev and test sets is fulfilled even with smaller percentages of the data.

5. Now that you've set up your train/dev/test sets, the City Council comes across another 1,000,000 images from social media and offers them to you. These images are different from the distribution of images the City Council had originally given you, but you think it could help your algorithm. You should add the citizens' data to the training set. True/False? 1 / 1 point

False

True

 Expand

 Correct

Yes. This will cause the training and dev/test set distributions to become different, however as long as dev/test distributions are the same you are aiming at the same target.

6. One member of the City Council knows a little about machine learning and thinks you should add the 1,000,000 citizens' data images proportionately to the train/dev/test sets. You object because: 1 / 1 point

- The additional data would significantly slow down training time.
- The 1,000,000 citizens' data images do not have a consistent $x \rightarrow y$ mapping as the rest of the data.
- The training set will not be as accurate because of the different distributions.
- If we add the images to the test set then it won't reflect the distribution of data expected in production.

 Expand

 Correct

Yes. Using the data in the training set could be beneficial, but you wouldn't want to include such images in your test set as they are not from the expected distribution of data you'll see in production.

7. You train a system, and the train/dev set errors are 3.5% and 4.0% respectively. You decide to try regularization to close the train/dev accuracy gap. Do you agree? 1 / 1 point

Yes, because this shows your bias is higher than your variance.

No, because this shows your variance is higher than your bias.

No, because you do not know what the human performance level is.

Yes, because having a 4.0% training error shows you have a high bias.

 Expand

 Correct

Yes. You need to know what the human performance level is to estimate avoidable bias.

8. You ask a few people to label the dataset so as to find out what is human-level performance. You find the following levels of accuracy:

1 / 1 point

Bird watching expert #1	0.3% error
Bird watching expert #2	0.5% error
Normal person #1 (not a bird watching expert)	1.0% error
Normal person #2 (not a bird watching expert)	1.2% error

If your goal is to have “human-level performance” be a proxy (or estimate) for Bayes error, how would you define “human-level performance”?

- 0.75% (average of all four numbers above)
- 0.4% (average of 0.3 and 0.5)
- 0.3% (accuracy of expert #1)
- 0.0% (because it is impossible to do better than this)

 Expand

 Correct

9. Which of the following statements do you agree with?

1 / 1 point

- A learning algorithm’s performance can never be better than human-level performance but it can be better than Bayes error.
- A learning algorithm’s performance can never be better than human-level performance nor better than Bayes error.
- A learning algorithm’s performance can be better than human-level performance but it can never be better than Bayes error.
- A learning algorithm’s performance can be better than human-level performance and better than Bayes error.

 Expand

 Correct

10. You find that a team of ornithologists debating and discussing an image gets an even better 0.1% performance, so you define that as “human-level performance.” After working further on your algorithm, you end up with the following:

1 / 1 point

Human-level performance	0.1%
Training set error	2.0%
Dev set error	2.1%

Based on the evidence you have, which two of the following four options seem the most promising to try? (Check two options.)

Get a bigger training set to reduce variance.

Try decreasing regularization.

 **Correct**

Try increasing regularization.

Train a bigger model to try to do better on the training set.

 **Correct**

 **Expand**

 **Correct**

Great, you got all the right answers.

11. After running your model with the test set you find it is a 7.0% error compared to a 2.1% error for the dev set and 2.0% for the training set. What can you conclude? (Choose all that apply)

1 / 1 point

You should try to get a bigger dev set.

 **Correct**

Yes. The dev set performance versus the test set indicates it is overfitting.

You have overfitted to the dev set.

 **Correct**

Yes. The dev set performance versus the test set indicates it is overfitting.

Try decreasing regularization for better generalization with the dev set.

You have underfitted to the dev set.

 **Expand**

 **Correct**

Great, you got all the right answers.

12. After working on this project for a year, you finally achieve: Human-level performance, 0.10%, Training set error, 0.05%, Dev set error, 0.05%. Which of the following are true? (Check all that apply.)

1 / 1 point

All or almost all of the avoidable bias has been accounted for.

 **Correct**

Yes. Exceeding human performance makes the identification of avoidable bias very challenging.

This is a statistical anomaly (or must be the result of statistical noise) since it should not be possible to surpass human-level performance.

You are close to Bayes error and possible overfitting.

 **Correct**

Yes. By definition, Bayes error cannot be exceeded except for overfitting.

With only 0.05% further progress to make, you should quickly be able to close the remaining gap to 0%

 Expand

 Correct

Great, you got all the right answers.

13. It turns out Peacetopia has hired one of your competitors to build a system as well. Your system and your competitor both deliver systems with about the same running time and memory size. However, your system has higher accuracy! However, when Peacetopia tries out your and your competitor's systems, they conclude they actually like your competitor's system better, because even though you have higher overall accuracy, you have more false negatives (failing to raise an alarm when a bird is in the air). What should you do?

1 / 1 point

- Pick false negative rate as the new metric, and use this new metric to drive all further development.
- Look at all the models you've developed during the development process and find the one with the lowest false negative error rate.
- Rethink the appropriate metric for this task, and ask your team to tune to the new metric.
- Ask your team to take into account both accuracy and false negative rate during development.

 Expand

 Correct

14. You've handily beaten your competitor, and your system is now deployed in Peacetopia and is protecting the citizens from birds! But over the last few months, a new species of bird has been slowly migrating into the area, so the performance of your system slowly degrades because your data is being tested on a new type of data.

1 / 1 point



You have only 1,000 images of the new species of bird. The city expects a better system from you within the next 3 months. Which of these should you do first?

- Use the data you have to define a new evaluation metric (using a new dev/test set) taking into account the new species, and use that to drive further progress for your team.
- Try data augmentation/data synthesis to get more images of the new type of bird.
- Put the 1,000 images into the training set so as to try to do better on these birds.
- Add the 1,000 images into your dataset and reshuffle into a new train/dev/test split.

 Expand

 Correct

15. The City Council thinks that having more Cats in the city would help scare off birds. They are so happy with your work on the Bird detector that they also hire you to build a Cat detector. (Wow Cat detectors are just incredibly useful, aren't they?) Because of years of working on Cat detectors, you have such a huge dataset of 100,000,000 cat images that training on this data takes about two weeks. Which of the statements do you agree with? (Check all that agree.)

1 / 1 point

Buying faster computers could speed up your teams' iteration speed and thus your team's productivity.

 Correct

If 100,000,000 examples is enough to build a good enough Cat detector, you might be better off training with just 10,000,000 examples to gain a $\approx 10x$ improvement in how quickly you can run experiments, even if each model performs a bit worse because it's trained on less data.

 Correct

Needing two weeks to train will limit the speed at which you can iterate.

 Correct

Having built a good Bird detector, you should be able to take the same model and hyperparameters and just apply it to the Cat dataset, so there is no need to iterate.

 Expand

 Correct

Great, you got all the right answers.