### **Extremum Estimators**

### Introduction

**Definition (Extremum Estimator)**: An estimator  $\hat{\theta}$  is called an extremum estimator if there is a scalar objective function  $Q_n(\mathbf{w}; \theta)$  such that

$$\hat{ heta} \in rg \max Q_n(\mathbf{w}; heta)$$

subject to  $\theta \in \Theta \subset \mathbb{R}^p$ , where

- *n* is the number of observations in the data
- $\mathbf{w} \equiv (\mathbf{w_1}, \dots, \mathbf{w_n})$  is the sample or the data, and
- ullet  $\Theta$  is the set of possible parameter values

This maximization problem may not necessarily have a solution. The following lemma shows that  $\hat{\theta}$  is measurable if  $Q_n(\theta)$  is

Lemma (Existence of Extremum Estimators): Suppose that

- 1. the parameter space  $\Theta$  is a compact subset of  $\mathbb{R}^p$
- 2.  $Q_n(\theta)$  is continuous in  $\theta$  for any data  $\mathbf{w}$ , and
- 3.  $Q_n(\theta)$  is a measurable function of  $\mathbf{w}$  for all  $\theta \in \Theta$ .

Then there exists  $\hat{ heta}$  such that  $rg \max Q_n(\mathbf{w}; heta)$  subject to  $heta \in \Theta$ 

### Two Classes of Extremum Estimators

1. M-Estimators:  $Q_n(\theta)$  is a simple averate

$$Q_n( heta) = rac{1}{n} \sum_1^n m(\mathbf{w_i}; heta)$$

- Examples: maximum likelihood (ML) and nonlinear least squares (NLS)
- 2. Generalized Method of Moments (GMM)

$$Q_n( heta) = -g_n( heta)' \hat{\mathbf{W}} g_n( heta)$$

where

- $\hat{\mathbf{W}}$  is a  $K \times K$  symmetric and positive definite matrix that defines the distance of  $g_n(\theta)$  from zero.
- $g_n(\theta) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{1}^{n} g(\mathbf{w_i}; \theta)$

### M-Estimator Example: Maximum Likelihood

- w<sub>i</sub> is i.i.d.
- $\theta$  is a finite-dimensional vector

- a functional form of  $f(\mathbf{w_i}; \theta)$  is known
- $\theta_0$  is the true parameter value

The joint density of data  $(\mathbf{w_1}, \dots, \mathbf{w_n})$  is

$$f(\mathbf{w_1}, \dots, \mathbf{w_n}; \theta_0) = \prod_{1}^{n} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{w_i}; \theta_0)$$

The  $Q_n(\theta)$  can either be the likelihood and the log-likelihood function:

$$f(\mathbf{w}_1, \dots, \mathbf{w}_n; \theta) = \prod_1^n \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{w}_i; \theta)$$

$$\log f(\mathbf{w}_1, \dots, \mathbf{w}_n; \theta) = \log \left[ \prod_1^n \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{w}_i; \theta) \right] = \sum_1^n \log \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{w}_i; \theta)$$

### M-Estimator Example: Conditional Maximum Likelihood

- $\mathbf{w_i}$  is partitioned into two groups,  $y_i$  an  $\mathbf{x_i}$ , and the interest is to examine how  $\mathbf{x_i}$  influences the conditional distribution of  $y_i$
- $f(y_i|\mathbf{x_i};\psi_0)$  be the conditional density of  $y_i$  given  $\mathbf{x_i}$
- $f(\mathbf{x_i}; \psi_0)$  be the marginal density of  $\mathbf{x_i}$

The joint density of data  $(\mathbf{w_1},\dots,\mathbf{w_n})=(\mathbf{y_t},\mathbf{x_i'})'$  is

$$f(y_t, \mathbf{x_i}; \theta_0, \psi_0) = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{y_i}|\mathbf{x_i}; \theta_0)\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x_i}; \psi_0)$$

The  $Q_n(\theta)$  can either be the likelihood and the log-likelihood function:

$$f(\mathbf{w_i}; \theta, \psi) = \prod_{1}^{n} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{y_i}|\mathbf{x_i}; \theta) + \prod_{1}^{n} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x_i}; \psi)$$

$$\sum_{1}^{n} \log f(\mathbf{w_i}; \theta, \psi) = \sum_{1}^{n} \log f(\mathbf{y_i} | \mathbf{x_i}; \theta) + \sum_{1}^{n} \log f(\mathbf{x_i}; \psi)$$

### M-Estimator Example: Nonlinear least square

- $y_i = \varphi_i(\mathbf{x_i}; \psi_0) + \epsilon_i$
- $\mathbb{E}(\epsilon_i|\mathbf{x_i})$
- The functional form of  $\varphi$  is known

The  $Q_n(\theta)$  is

$$-rac{1}{n}\sum_{1}^{n}\left[y_{i}-arphi_{i}(\mathbf{x_{i}};\psi)
ight]^{2}$$

### M-Estimator Example: Nonlinear GMM

•  $y_i = \varphi_i(\mathbf{x_i}; \psi_0) + \epsilon_i$ 

- $\mathbb{E}(\epsilon_i|\mathbf{x_i})$
- The functional form of  $\varphi$  is known

Moment condition:

$$\mathbb{E}(\epsilon_i|\mathbf{x_i}) = \mathbf{0} 
ightarrow \mathbb{E}(\epsilon_i \cdot \mathbf{x_i}) = \mathbf{0} 
ightarrow \mathbb{E}igg(ig[\mathbf{y_i} - arphi_i(\mathbf{x_i};\psi)ig] \cdot \mathbf{x_i}igg) = \mathbf{0}$$

Using the moment condition, the  $Q_n(\theta)$  is

$$Q_n(\theta) = -g_n(\theta)' \hat{\mathbf{W}} g_n(\theta)$$

where

$$g_n( heta) = rac{1}{n} \sum_1^n ig[ y_i - arphi_i(\mathbf{x_i}; \psi) ig] \cdot \mathbf{x_i}$$

## Consistency

If the parameter space is compact,

#### Proposition (Consistency with Compact Parameter Space): Suppose that

- 1.  $\Theta$  is a compact subset of  $\mathbb{R}^p$
- 2.  $Q_n(\mathbf{w}; \theta)$  is a continuous function of  $\theta$  for any data  $\mathbf{w}$
- 3.  $Q_n(\mathbf{w}; \theta)$  is a measurable function of  $\mathbf{w}$  for all  $\theta \in \Theta$
- 4. If there is a function  $Q_0(\theta)$  such that
  - (identification)  $Q_0(\theta)$  is uniquely maximized at  $\theta_0 \in \Theta$
  - (uniform convergence)  $\sup_{\theta \in \Theta} |Q_n(\theta) Q_0(\theta)| o_p 0$

Then,  $\hat{ heta} 
ightarrow_p heta_0$ 

If the parameter space is not compact,

#### Proposition (Consistency without Compact Parameter Space): Suppose that

- 1.  $heta_0 \in \mathrm{interior}\Theta$  and  $\Theta$  is a convex subset of  $\mathbb{R}^p$
- 2.  $Q_n(\mathbf{w}; \theta)$  is a concave over  $\Theta$  of for any data  $\mathbf{w}$
- 3.  $Q_n(\mathbf{w}; \theta)$  is a measurable function of  $\mathbf{w}$  for all  $\theta \in \Theta$
- 4. If there is a function  $Q_0( heta)$  such that
  - (identification)  $Q_0( heta)$  is uniquely maximized at  $heta_0 \in \Theta$
  - (point-wise convergence)  $|Q_n( heta) Q_0( heta)| 
    ightarrow_p 0$  for all  $heta \in \Theta$

Then,  $\hat{ heta} 
ightarrow_{p} heta_{0}$ 

Above proposition presents the set of sufficient conditions under which an extremum estimator is consistent. Now, let's specialize these conditions to M-estimators and GMM estimators.

1. What is  $Q_n(\theta)$  for M-Estimators and GMM?

- 2. What are the conditions for an M-estimator  $\hat{\theta}$  to be well-defined?
- 3. What is the identification condition for an M-estimator?
- 4. What is the uniform/point-wise convergence condition and the point-wise convergence condition?

### **Consistency of M-Estimators**

### (Q1) What is $Q_0(\theta)$ in the previous consistency propositions?

For M-estimator, the objective function is:

$$Q_n( heta) = rac{1}{n} \sum_1^n m(\mathbf{w_i}; heta)$$

If  $\mathbb{E}\left[m(\mathbf{w_i}; \theta)\right]$  exists and is finite,

$$Q_n( heta) = rac{1}{n} \sum_1^n m(\mathbf{w_i}; heta) 
ightarrow_{\mathbf{p}} \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbf{m}(\mathbf{w_i}; heta)
ight]$$

Therefore,

$$Q_0( heta) = \mathbb{E}\left[m(\mathbf{w_i}; heta)
ight]$$

### **Consistency of M-Estimators**

## (Q2) What are the conditions for an M-estimator $\hat{ heta}$ to be well-defined?

- If  $\Theta$  is compact,
  - $m(\mathbf{w_i}; \theta)$  is a continuous function of  $\theta$  for any data  $\mathbf{w}$
  - $m(\mathbf{w_i}; \theta)$  is a measurable function of  $\mathbf{w}$  for all  $\theta \in \Theta$
- If  $\Theta$  is not compact, but is convex and  $\theta \in \operatorname{interior}\Theta$ :
  - $m(\mathbf{w_i}; \theta)$  is concave over  $\Theta$  for any data  $\mathbf{w}$
  - $m(\mathbf{w_i}; \theta)$  is a measurable function of  $\mathbf{w}$  for all  $\theta \in \Theta$

### **Consistency of M-Estimators**

### (Q3) What is the identification condition for an M-estimator?

Identification condition for M-estimator is  $\mathbb{E}\left[m(\mathbf{w_i}; \theta)\right]$  is uniquelyidentified at  $\theta_0 \in \Theta$ 

• For ML, where  $m(\mathbf{w_i}; \theta) = \log \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{y_i}|\mathbf{x_i}; \theta_0)$ , for all  $\theta \neq \theta_0$ ,

$$\log f(y_i|\mathbf{x_i}; heta) 
eq \log \mathbf{f(y_i}|\mathbf{x_i}; heta_0)$$

• For NLS, where  $m(\mathbf{w_i}; \theta) = -[\mathbf{y_i} - \varphi_\mathbf{i}(\mathbf{x_i}; \psi)]^2$ , for all  $\theta \neq \theta_0$ ,

$$\varphi(\mathbf{x_i};\theta) \neq \varphi(\mathbf{x_i};\theta_0)$$

### **Consistency of M-Estimators**

### (Q4) What is the uniform and point-wise convergence conditions?

• Uniform convergence condition: by the Law of the Large Numbers, the condition becomes

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{ heta \in \Theta} |m(\mathbf{w_i}; heta)|
ight] < \infty$$

• Point-wise convergence condition: by the Ergodic Theorem, the condition becomes

$$\mathbb{E}\left[|m(\mathbf{w_i}; \theta)|\right] < \infty$$

for all  $heta \in \Theta$ , (i.e.,  $\mathbb{E}\left[m(\mathbf{w_i}; heta)
ight]$  exists and is finite)

### **Consistency of GMM Estimator**

### (Q1) What is $Q_0(\theta)$ in the previous consistency propositions?

For GMM estimator, the objective function is:

$$Q_n(\theta) = -\left[\frac{1}{n}\sum_{1}^{n}g_n(\mathbf{w_i};\theta)\right]'\hat{\mathbf{W}}\left[\frac{1}{\mathbf{n}}\sum_{1}^{\mathbf{n}}\mathbf{g_n}(\mathbf{w_i};\theta)\right]$$

$$Q_0( heta) = -\mathbb{E}ig[g(\mathbf{w_i}; heta)ig]' \hat{\mathbf{W}} \mathbb{E}ig[\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{w_i}; heta)ig]$$

### (Q2) What are the conditions for an M-estimator $\hat{\theta}$ to be well-defined?

- 1.  $g(\mathbf{w_i}; \theta)$  is a continuous function of  $\theta$  for any data  $\mathbf{w}$
- 2.  $g(\mathbf{w_i}; \mathbf{ heta})$  is a measurable function of  $\mathbf{w}$  for all  $\mathbf{ heta} \in \Theta$

### **Consistency of GMM Estimator**

### (Q3) What is the identification condition for an GMM estimator?

- Notice that the maximum is zero at  $\theta_0$ , because of the orthogonality conditions,  $\mathbb{E}[g(\mathbf{w_i};\theta)] = \mathbf{0}$ .
- Therefore, the identification is satisfied if for all  $\theta \in \Theta$ ,

$$\mathbb{E}[g(\mathbf{w_i}; \theta)] \neq \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{w_i}; \theta_0)]$$

### (Q4) What is the uniform convergence condition?

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{ heta \in \Theta}||g(\mathbf{w_i}; heta)||
ight] < \infty$$

## **Aymptotic Normality**

### The General Framework

 $oldsymbol{\hat{ heta}} = rg \max Q_n( heta)$ 

• If  $ar{ heta} \in [ heta_0, \hat{ heta}]$ , Mean Value Theorem or first order Taylor Expansion:

$$0 = rac{\partial Q_n(\hat{ heta})}{\partial heta} = rac{\partial Q_n( heta_0)}{\partial heta} + rac{\partial Q_n^2(ar{ heta})}{\partial heta \partial heta'}(\hat{ heta} - heta_0)$$

• If  $\frac{\partial Q_n^2(ar{ heta})}{\partial heta \partial heta^2}$  is nonsingular and  $\frac{\partial Q_n(\hat{ heta})}{\partial heta}=0$ , then

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{ heta}- heta_0) = -igg[rac{\partial Q_n^2(ar{ heta})}{\partial heta \partial heta'}igg]^{-1}\sqrt{n}rac{\partial Q_n( heta_0)}{\partial heta}$$

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta} - \theta_0) = -\left[\frac{\partial Q_n^2(\theta_0)}{\partial \theta \partial \theta'}\right]^{-1} \sqrt{n} \frac{\partial Q_n(\theta_0)}{\partial \theta}$$
(1)

$$\stackrel{d}{\rightarrow} N(0, A^{-1}BA^{-1}) \tag{2}$$

where

$$A = rac{\partial Q_n^2( heta_0)}{\partial heta \partial heta'}$$
  $B = \mathrm{Var}\left(\sqrt{n}rac{\partial Q_n^2( heta_0)}{\partial heta \partial heta'}
ight)$ 

### **Asymptotic Normality for M-Estimators**

Let's denote

• Score vector as

$$\mathbf{s}(\mathbf{w_i}; \theta) = \frac{\partial \mathbf{Q_n}(\theta)}{\partial \theta} = \frac{\partial \mathbf{m}(\mathbf{w_i}; \theta)}{\partial \theta}$$

• Hessian as

$$\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{w_i}; \theta) = \frac{\partial \mathbf{Q_n^2}(\theta)}{\partial \theta \partial \theta'} = \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{m}(\mathbf{w_i}; \theta)}{\partial \theta \partial \theta'}$$

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{1}^{n}\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{w_{i}};\bar{\theta})\overset{\mathbf{p}}{\rightarrow}\mathbb{E}\left[\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{w_{i}};\theta_{0})\right]$$

$$rac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\sum_{1}^{n} \mathbf{s}(\mathbf{w_i}; \mathbf{ heta_0}) \stackrel{ ext{d}}{
ightarrow} \mathbf{N}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{\Sigma})$$

Then by Slutzky's theorem,

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{ heta} - heta_0) 
ightarrow_d N \Bigg(0, \mathbb{E}ig[\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{w_i}; heta_0)ig]^{-1} oldsymbol{\Sigma} \, \mathbb{E}ig[\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{w_i}; heta_0)ig]^{-1}\Bigg)$$

### **Asymptotic Normality for GMM-Estimators**

$$Q_n(\theta) = g_n(\theta)' W g_n(\theta)$$

where

$$g_n( heta) = rac{1}{n} \sum_1^n g(w_i; heta)$$

Let  $G_n(\theta)$  is the Jacobian of  $g_n(\theta)$ 

$$\mathbf{G}_{\mathrm{n}}( heta) = rac{\partial \mathbf{g}_{\mathrm{n}}( heta)}{\partial heta}$$

• If  $ar{ heta} \in [ heta_0, \hat{ heta}]$ ,

$$0 = \mathbf{G_n}(\hat{\theta})' \mathbf{W} \mathbf{g_n}(\hat{\theta}) = \mathbf{G_n}(\hat{\theta})' \mathbf{W} \left( \mathbf{g_n}(\theta_0) + \mathbf{G_n}(\bar{\theta}) (\hat{\theta} - \theta_0) \right)$$
(3)

$$= \mathbf{G_n}(\hat{\theta})' \mathbf{W} \mathbf{g_n}(\theta_0) + \mathbf{G_n}(\hat{\theta})' \mathbf{W} \mathbf{G_n}(\bar{\theta}) (\hat{\theta} - \theta_0)$$
(4)

because  $Q_n(\theta)$  is already a quadratic form in  $g_n(\theta)$ 

• If  $\mathbf{G_n}(\hat{\theta})'\mathbf{WG_n}(\bar{\theta})$  is nonsingular, then

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{ heta}- heta_0) = -ig[\mathbf{G_n}(\hat{m{ heta}})'\mathbf{W}\mathbf{G_n}(ar{m{ heta}})ig]^{-1}\mathbf{G_n}(\hat{m{ heta}})'\mathbf{W}\sqrt{n}\mathbf{g_n}( heta_0)$$

Let  $G = \mathbb{E} \big[ G_n(\theta_0) \big]$  and  $\Omega = \mathbb{E} = \big[ g(\mathbf{w}; \theta_0) \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{w}; \theta_0)' \big]$ 

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta} - \theta_0) = (G'WG)^{-1}G'W\sqrt{n}g_n(\theta_0)$$
(5)

$$= (G'WG)^{-1}G'WN(0,\Omega) \tag{6}$$

$$= N\bigg(0, (G'WG)^{-1}G'W\Omega WG(G'WG)^{-1}\bigg)$$
 (7)

#### What is the optimal choice of the weighting matrix W?

• The most efficient choice of  $W=\Omega^{-1}$ 

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta} - \theta_0) = N\left(0, (G'\Omega^{-1}G)^{-1}G'\Omega^{-1}\Omega\Omega^{-1}G(G'\Omega^{-1}G)^{-1}\right)$$
(8)

$$\stackrel{d}{\to} N\bigg(0, (G'\Omega^{-1}G)^{-1}\bigg) \tag{9}$$

• When G is invertible, W is irrelevant

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta} - \theta_0) = N\bigg(0, G^{-1}\Omega G'^{-1}\bigg) \tag{10}$$

$$\stackrel{d}{\to} N\bigg(0, (G'\Omega^{-1}G)^{-1}\bigg) \tag{11}$$

$$\operatorname{Avar}(\hat{\theta}) \geq \mathbb{E}\big[\mathbf{s}(\mathbf{w_i}; \theta_0)\mathbf{s}(\mathbf{w_i}; \theta_0)'\big]^{-1}$$

where

$$\mathbf{s}(\mathbf{w_i}; \mathbf{ heta_0}) \equiv rac{\partial \log \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{w_i}; \mathbf{ heta_0})}{\partial \mathbf{ heta}}$$

- The lower bound for the asymptotic variance of GMM estimators is asymptotic variance of the ML estimator.
- ML is more efficient than GMM in general
- GMM with the optimal orthogonal condition is numerically equivalent to ML
- ML exploits the knowledge of the parametric form of  $f(\mathbf{w_i}; \theta)$  while GMM doesn't
- GMM is more robust than ML to the specification error in  $f(\mathbf{w_i}; \theta)$

### **Restrictions and Hypothesis Testing**

#### Restrictions

Let  $\hat{\theta}$  be the extremum estimator in either ML or GMM. The constrained estimator, denoted  $\tilde{\theta}$ , solves

$$\max_{\theta \in \Theta} Q_n(\theta) \quad s.t. \quad \mathbf{a}(\theta) = \mathbf{0}$$

In many cases, economic theory suggests restrictions on the parameters of a model. For example, a demand function is supposed to be homogeneous of degree zero in prices and income.

The general formulation of linear equality restrictions is the model

$$y = X\beta + \epsilon \tag{12}$$

$$R\beta = r \tag{13}$$

- We assume R is of rankn Q, so that there are no redundant restrictions
- We also assume that  $\exists \beta$  that satisfies the restrictions: they aren't infeasible Taking Lagrangean,

$$\min_{eta,\lambda}Q_n(eta,\lambda)=rac{1}{n}ig(y-Xetaig)'+2\lambda'ig(Reta-rig)$$

$$H_0:Reta_0=r$$

## **Hypothesis Testing**

In many cases, one wishes to test economic theories. If theory suggests parameter restrictions, as in the above homogeneity example, one can test theory by testing parameter restrictions. A number of tests are available.

- Wald
- · Lagrange multiplier (LM) for constrained estimator
- Likelihood ratio (LR)

There is a trio of statistics called **the trinity**:

- 1. Wald for unconstrained estimator
- 2. Lagrange multiplier (LM) for constrained estimator
- 3. Likelihood ratio (LR)

that can be used for testing the null hypothesis.

- The three statistics share the same asymptotic distribution (of  $\chi^2$ )
- Applicable for both ML and GMM

### **Null Hypothesis**

Consider the problem of testing a set of r possibly nonlinear restrictions and p-dimensional model parameter:

$$H_0: \mathbf{a}(\theta_0) = \mathbf{0}$$

- $\mathbf{a}(\theta_0)$  has dimension  $(r \times 1)$
- $\mathbf{A}(\theta)$  has dimension  $(r \times p)$

Assume

- $\mathbf{a}(\cdot)$  is continuously differentiable
- $\mathbf{A}(\theta)$  is the Jacobian of  $\mathbf{a}(\theta)$

$$\mathbf{A}(\theta) = \frac{\partial \mathbf{a}(\theta)}{\partial \theta'}$$

•  $\mathbf{A}(\theta)$  is of full (row) rank (i.e. r restrictions are not redundant)

### **Assumptions for the Trinity**

1. MVT or Taylor expansion for the sampling error:

$$\sqrt{n}ig(\hat{ heta}- heta_0ig)=\Psi^{-1}\sqrt{n}rac{\partial Q_n( heta_0)}{\partial heta}+o_p$$

where the term  $o_p$  means some random variable that converges to zero in probability, which will depend on the context.

2.

$$rac{\partial Q_n( heta_0)}{\partial heta} \stackrel{d}{ o} Nig(0,\Sigmaig)$$

3.  $\sqrt{n}(\tilde{\theta}-\theta_0)$  converges in distribution to a random variable, where  $\tilde{\theta}$  is the constrained estimator:

$$ilde{ heta} \in rg \max_{ heta \in \Theta} Q_n( heta) \quad s.\,t. \quad \mathbf{a}( heta) = \mathbf{0}$$

$$\sqrt{n}ig(\hat{ heta}- heta_0ig)=\Psi^{-1}\sqrt{n}rac{\partial Q_n( heta_0)}{\partial heta}+o_p$$

Recall

• For M-estimator:

$$egin{aligned} \sqrt{n}(\hat{ heta} - heta_0) &= -iggl[rac{\partial Q_n^2(ar{ heta})}{\partial heta \partial heta'}iggr]^{-1}\sqrt{n}rac{\partial Q_n( heta_0)}{\partial heta} \ \Psi &= rac{\partial Q_n^2(ar{ heta})}{\partial heta \partial heta'} = \mathbb{E}igl[\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{w_i};ar{ heta})igr] \end{aligned}$$

• For GMM:

$$egin{aligned} \sqrt{n}(\hat{ heta} - heta_0) &= -ig[\mathbf{G_n}(\hat{ heta})'\hat{\mathbf{W}}\mathbf{G_n}(ar{ heta})ig]^{-1}\mathbf{G_n}(\hat{ heta})'\hat{\mathbf{W}}\sqrt{n}\mathbf{g_n}( heta_0) \ &\Psi &= \mathbf{G_n}(\hat{ heta})'\hat{\mathbf{W}}\mathbf{G_n}(ar{ heta}) \end{aligned}$$

Notice that for ML and efficient GMM ( $\mathbf{W}=\mathbf{\Omega}^{-1}$ ), then

$$\Sigma = -\Psi$$

#### **Wald Statistic**

Based on the Mean Value Theorem and Taylor expansion, under the null:

$$\sqrt{n} \mathbf{a}(\hat{\theta}) = \mathbf{A}(\theta_0) \sqrt{\mathbf{n}} (\hat{\theta} - \theta_0) + \mathbf{o}_{\mathbf{p}}$$
(14)

$$= -\mathbf{A}(\theta_0)\mathbf{\Psi}^{-1}\sqrt{\mathbf{n}}\,\mathbf{\Psi}^{-1}\sqrt{\mathbf{n}}\frac{\partial \mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{n}}(\theta_0)}{\partial \theta} + \mathbf{o}_{\mathbf{p}} + \mathbf{o}_{\mathbf{p}}$$
(15)

and the asymptotic variance is:

$$AVar(\mathbf{a}(\hat{\theta})) = \mathbf{A}(\theta_0) \mathbf{\Psi}^{-1} \mathbf{\Sigma} \mathbf{\Psi}^{-1} \mathbf{A}(\theta_0)$$
(16)

$$= \mathbf{A}(\theta_0) \mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1} \mathbf{A}'(\theta_0) \tag{17}$$

Since the  ${\bf A_0}$  and  $\Sigma$  is positive definite  ${\rm AVar}\big({\bf a}(\hat{\theta}\,)\big)$  is positive definite. Therefore, the associated quadratic form

$$W \equiv n \mathbf{a}(\hat{ heta})' ig[ \mathbf{A}(\hat{ heta}) \hat{oldsymbol{\Sigma}}^{-1} \mathbf{A}(\hat{ heta})' ig]^{-1} \mathbf{a}(\hat{ heta})$$

is asymptotically  $\chi^2(r)$  under the null hypothesis.

### Lagrange Multiplier (LM) Statistic

$$LM \equiv n \left( \frac{\partial Q_n(\tilde{\theta})}{\partial \theta} \right)' \tilde{\Sigma}^{-1} \left( \frac{\partial Q_n(\tilde{\theta})}{\partial \theta} \right)$$
 (18)

$$= n\gamma_n' \left[ \mathbf{A}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) \hat{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}^{-1} \mathbf{A}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})' \right] \gamma_n \tag{19}$$

is asymptotically  $\chi^2(r)$  under the nuull hypothesis.

# Likelihood Ratio Multiplier (LR) Statistic

$$LR \equiv 2n \big[ Q_n(\hat{\theta}) - Q_n(\tilde{\theta}) \big] \tag{20}$$

$$= n\gamma_n' \left[ \mathbf{A}(\hat{\theta}) \hat{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}^{-1} \mathbf{A}(\hat{\theta})' \right] \gamma_n$$
 (21)

is asymptotically  $\chi^2(r)$  under the null hypothesis.