

RNAM-based Probabilistic GIC Analysis

Supplementary material for the letter submission "*Reduced Nodal Admittance Matrix (RNAM) Method for Probabilistic GIC Analysis in Power Grids*". This MATLAB code compares the computational efficiency of the RNAM method with the classical algorithms, including the nodal admittance matrix method ¹, the Lehtinen-Pirjola method ² and the bus admittance matrix method ³.

NUMERICAL ROUTINES:

- **main_UQ_GIC_4methods.m**
Routine aimed at providing a comparison of the four considered methods for the uncertainty quantification (UQ) of geomagnetically induced currents (GIC) in power grids.
- **t_GIC_4methods.m**
Routine for the test and verification of the four GIC calculation methods. Emphasis is on the consistency check among the results available in the public literature ⁴.
- **"data" folder**
 - **GEF_YKC20150815_1sec.mat**
Geoelectric field (GEF) time series calculated by using the Québec earth conductivity model ⁵ and the geomagnetic data at Yellowknife observatory from INTERMAGNET during the geomagnetic disturbance event on August 15, 2015.
 - **Grid_matrices_EPRI-21.mat**
Matrices of power grid test case Benchmark EPRI-21 ⁴ for GIC calculation.
 - **Grid_matrices_IEEE_118-GMD.mat**
Matrices of power grid test case IEEE 118-GMD ⁶ for GIC calculation.
 - **Grid_matrices_EPRI_21_BAM_ref.mat**
BAM Design matrix of EPRI-21 calculated through the code shared in ³.

Acknowledgement:

The results presented in this work rely on data collected at magnetic observatories. We thank the national institutes that support them and INTERMAGNET for promoting high standards of magnetic observatory practice (www.intermagnet.org).

1. T. J. Overbye, K. S. Shetye, T. R. Hutchins, Q. Qiu, and J. D. Weber, "Power grid sensitivity analysis of geomagnetically induced currents," *IEEE Trans. Power Syst.*, vol. 28, no. 4, pp. 4821–4828, 2013. [\[1\]](#)

2. D. H. Boteler and R. J. Pirjola, "Comparison of methods for modelling geomagnetically induced currents," *Ann. Geophys.*, vol. 32, no. 9, pp. 1177–1187, 2014. [\[2\]](#)

3. S. Marsal et al., "A new standalone tool for DC-equivalent network generation and GIC calculation in power grids with multiple voltage levels," *Space Weather*, vol. 20, no. 3, p. e2021SW002984, 2022. [\[3\]](#) [\[4\]](#)

4. R. Horton, D. Boteler, T. J. Overbye, R. Pirjola, and R. C. Dugan, "A test case for the calculation of geomagnetically induced currents," *IEEE Trans. Power Del.*, vol. 27, no. 4, pp. 2368–2373, 2012. [\[5\]](#) [\[6\]](#)

5. D. H. Boteler, "The evolution of Québec earth models used to model geomagnetically induced currents," *IEEE Trans. Power Del.*, vol. 30, no. 5, pp. 2171–2178, 2015. [\[7\]](#)

6. A. Haddadi, A. Rezaei-Zare, L. G´erin-Lajoie, R. Hassani, and J. Mahseredjian, "A modified IEEE 118-bus test case for geomagnetic disturbance studies–part I: Model data," *IEEE Trans. Electromagn. Compat.*, vol. 62, no. 3, pp. 955–965, 2020. [\[8\]](#)