Sensitivity analysis of climate change risk assessment

Study of parameters variation in hazard indicators

Marco Casari

Supervisors

Prof.ssa Elisa Palazzi

Prof. Alberto Viglione

University of Turin

Midterm discussion, 4 July 2024

Introduction

- Risk: potential for adverse consequences for human or ecological systems [...]

Introduction

- Risk: potential for adverse consequences for human or ecological systems [...]
- Climate Change Risk Assessment (CCRA)



The problem

- The choice of indicators is arbitrary

The problem

- The choice of indicators is arbitrary
- Analysis of the sensitivity of indicators to a change in value of their parameters, for drivers within the hazard determinant

Case study

- Torino Airport

Case study

- Torino Airport
- Hazard drivers: heat wave, heavy precipitation

Climate datasets

- Climatological baseline: ERA5
- Climate projections: NEX-GDDP-CMIP6

ERA5

- Organisation: European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts
- Data type: reanalysis
- Spatial resolution: 0.25° x 0.25°
- Time frequency: hour

NEX-GDDP-CMIP6

- Organisation: NASA Earth Exchange
- Data type: statistically downscaled bias-corrected climate projections
- Spatial resolution: 0.25° x 0.25°
- Time frequency: day
- Historical period 1950-2014, projection period 2015-2100
- Model: EC-Earth3
- Scenario: SSP1-2.6, SSP2-4.5, SSP5-8.5

Spatial domain

- Box of 3 x 3 grid points centred at the coordinates of the airport

Temporal domain

- Baseline period: 1994-2023
- Time horizons: 2021-2040, 2051-2070, 2081-2100

Methodology

- Indicators: heat wave frequency, maximum n-days precipitation
- Fixed exposure and vulnerability from literature

Methodology

- Indicators: heat wave frequency, maximum n-days precipitation
- Fixed exposure and vulnerability from literature
- Evaluate risk following the guidelines

Preprocessing

1. Regrid ERA5
2. Aggregate ERA5 at daily frequency
3. Align NEX-GDDP-CMIP6 timestamps

Preprocessing

1. Regrid ERA5
2. Aggregate ERA5 at daily frequency
3. Align NEX-GDDP-CMIP6 timestamps
4. Bias adjustment



Preprocessing

1. Regrid ERA5
2. Aggregate ERA5 at daily frequency
3. Align NEX-GDDP-CMIP6 timestamps
4. Bias adjustment







Evaluation of hazard indicators

1. Define intervals of parameter values





Evaluation of hazard indicators

1. Define intervals of parameter values
2. Spatial aggregation

Evaluation of hazard indicators

1. Define intervals of parameter values
2. Spatial aggregation
3. Temporal aggregation

Evaluation of hazard indicators

1. Define intervals of parameter values
2. Spatial aggregation
3. Temporal aggregation
4. Risk evaluation

Heat wave frequency

Next steps

- Uncertainty evaluation
- Evaluate risk with non-linear relations among hazard indicators and among determinants
- Extend analysis to Bologna's and Ciampino's airports
- Choose points of the interval more appropriate for the location of interest