

CSE-321 Introduction to Algorithm Design Homework 2

1- In insertion sort, we are trying to place the i^{th} element in the proper position in the already sorted part.

Let's examine Array = {6, 5, 3, 11, 7, 5, 2} and apply insertion sort.

First version of the array:

0	1	2	3	4	5	6
6	5	3	11	7	5	2

- 1) We start ^{current} i^{th} element in array. It's 5. It's current element. Compare the current element to its predecessor.
 $5 < 6$, so move greater element one position up.

0	1	2	3	4	5	6
5	6	3	11	7	5	2

- 2) It has no more predecessor, so 2^{th} element is current element. Compare the current element to its predecessor.
 $3 < 6$, so move greater element one position up.

0	1	2	3	4	5	6
5	3	6	11	7	5	2

It has one more predecessor, it is 5. Compare 5 and 3.

$3 < 5$, so move greater element one position up.

0	1	2	3	4	5	6
3	5	6	11	7	5	2

- 3) It has no more predecessor, so 3^{th} element is current element. Compare the current element to its predecessor.

$11 > 6$ so no swap.

0	1	2	3	4	5	6
3	5	6	11	7	5	2

→ No change

4) 4th element is current element. Compare the current element to its predecessor.

$7 < 11$, so move greater element one position up.

0	1	2	3	4	5	6
3	5	6	7	11	5	2

It has more predecessor but $7 > 6$ and others.

5) 5th element is current element. Compare the current element to its predecessor.

$5 < 11$, so move greater element one position up.

0	1	2	3	4	5	6
3	5	6	7	5	11	2

It has more bigger than itself predecessor, 7 and 6.

$5 < 7$, move.

0	1	2	3	4	5	6
3	5	6	5	7	11	2

$5 < 6$, move.

0	1	2	3	4	5	6
3	5	5	6	7	11	2

It has no more bigger than itself predecessor.

6) 6th (last) element is current element. Compare the current element to its predecessor.

$2 < 11$, so move greater element one position up.

0	1	2	3	4	5	6
3	5	5	6	7	2	11

It has more bigger than itself predecessor, 7, 6, 5, 5 and 3.

2 < 7, move.

0	1	2	3	4	5	6
3	5	5	6	2	7	11

2 < 6, move

0	1	2	3	4	5	6
3	5	5	2	6	7	11

2 < 5, move

0	1	2	3	4	5	6
3	5	2	5	6	7	11

2 < 5, move

0	1	2	3	4	5	6
3	2	5	5	6	7	11

2 < 3, move

0	1	2	3	4	5	6
2	3	5	5	6	7	11

→ loops ended as it is solution.

a) 2)
function(int n){
 if(n==1)
 return;
 for(int i=1; i<=n; i++){
 for(int j=1; j<=n; j++){
 printf("*");
 break;
 }
 }
}

When we execute the function, it see if condition. If parameter n is equal to 1, the function ends. Based on this, we can say that the function's best case is $\Theta(1)$.

If n is not equal to 1, the function continues. When it comes to nested loops, each loop start 1. In the

second for loop, program prints * and it break. So, every time, second loop runs 1 time. First loop run n times. Based on this information, worst case is $\Theta(n)$.

Time complexity is $O(n)$ ✓

b)

```
void function (int n)
    int count = 0;
    for (int i = n/3; i <= n; i++)
        for (int j = 1; j + n/3 <= n; j++)
            for (int k = 1; k <= n; k = k * 3)
                count++;
}
```

When we execute the function, first loop will run $n - \frac{n}{3} = \frac{2n}{3}$ times. Second loop will run $\frac{2n}{3}$ times too. In the last loop, k is increases to $k * 3$. It is logarithmic. So, this function's complexity is $O(n^2 \log n)$.

3) For this part, I sorted array with merge sort and I found pairs whose multiplication yields the desired numbers with binary search.

merge function: This function merge two subarrays of Array[]

merge (Array, left, middle, right)

$n1 \leftarrow \text{middle} - \text{left} + 1$

$n2 \leftarrow \text{right} - \text{middle}$

$L \leftarrow [0] * (n1)$

$R \leftarrow [0] * (n2)$

for $i \leftarrow 0$ to $n1$

$L[i] \leftarrow \text{Array}[i + \text{left}]$

end for

for $j \leftarrow 0$ to $n2$

$R[j] \leftarrow \text{Array}[\text{middle} + 1 + j]$

end for

$i \leftarrow 0$

$j \leftarrow 0$

$k \leftarrow \text{left}$

continued on
other page


```

while i < n1 and j < n2
    if L[i] <= R[j]
        Array[k] ← L[i]
        i ← i+1
    else
        Array[k] ← R[j]
        j ← j+1

    k ← k+1
end if
end while

while i < n1
    Array[k] ← L[i]
    i ← i+1
    k ← k+1
end while

while j < n2
    Array[k] ← R[j]
    j ← j+1
    k ← k+1
end while
end

```

mergeSort (Array, left, right)

```

if left < right
    middle = (left + (right - 1)) / 2
    mergeSort (Array, left, middle)
    mergeSort (Array, middle + 1, right)
    merge (Array, left, middle, right)
end if
end

```

mergeSort is
recursive
and its
complexity
is
 $O(n \log n)$

Continued on
other page

```

findMultiYields (Array, desired, n)
  mergeSort (Array, 0, n-1)
  right ← len(Array)
  left = 0
  while left < right
    value ← Array[left] * Array[right]
    if value = desired
      print ("Found!")
      print ("(", Array[left], ", ", Array[right], ")")
      left ← left + 1
    endif
    elif value < desired
      left ← left + 1
    end elif
    elif value > desired
      right ← right - 1
    end elif
  end while
end

```

```

# Testing
Array = [1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 4]
n = len(Array)
findMultiYields (arr, 6, n)

```

END

In the pseudocode, findMultiYields function actually does binary search. Binary search's time complexities is $O(\log n)$. Because as we see in the code, it halves the input set in each iteration. MergeSort function is recursion function. Its complexity is $O(n \log n)$. So, the complexity of the program is $O(n \log n)$.

4)

Convert first binary search tree into an array in an order. First binary search tree has n elements. So, this takes $O(n)$ time. Same way, convert second binary search tree into an array in an order. Second binary search tree has n elements, too. So, this takes $O(n)$ time. We create two arrays. Now, we merge this. Merge first and second array. It takes $O(n+n)$ times. Build new binary search tree from the merged array. It takes $O(n+n)$ times.

So, time complexity is $O(n+n) \rightarrow O(2n) \rightarrow \underline{\underline{O(n)}}$ ✓

5th question
→
on other page

5) In this question, we can use hashset to make the result linear time.

isSubset (big_arr, big_length, small_arr, small_length)

 Create hashset.

 for $i \leftarrow 0$ to big_length
 hashset.add(big_arr[i])

 end for

 for $i \leftarrow 0$ to small_length
 if small_arr[i] in hashset
 continue

 end if

 else

 return False

 end else

 end for

 return True

end

↳ If this function returns true, the elements of the small array in the big array.

I think worst case is $O(n)$ because of same elements or same arrays.