Concepts C++20?

Mikel Irazabal

mikel@irazabal.eu

June 28, 2018

History of Templates

They tried:

- Full generality/expressiveness
- Zero overhead compared to hand coding
- Well-specified interface

They were able to achieve:

- Turing completeness
 - Better than hand-coding performance
 - Lousy interfaces (basically compile-time duck typing)

Duck Typing

"If it walks like a duck and it quacks like a duck, then it must be a duck."

Example (Compile-time Duck Typing in python)

```
def all(seq, pred):
    for x in seq:
        if not pred(x):
            return False;
   return True;
def test(seq, fn): print all(seq, fn)
def is_even(n): return n % 2 == 0
def is lower(c): return 'a' <= c and c <= 'z'
def is_conmutative(x,y,op):
    temp1 = op(x,y)
    temp2 = op(y,x)
   return temp1 == temp2
```

Example (Compile-time Duck Typing in python)

```
def main():
    test([0,2], is_even)
    test("abC", is_lower)
    test([0,2],is_conmutative)

if __name__ == "__main__":
    main()
```

True False

Example (Compile-time Duck Typing in python)

```
Traceback (most recent call last):
  File "test.py", line 27, in <module>
    main()
 File "test.py", line 24, in main
    test([0,2],is_conmutative)
  File "test.py", line 8, in test
   print all(seq, fn)
  File "test.py", line 3, in all
    if not pred(x):
TypeError: is_conmutative() takes exactly 3 arguments (1 given
```

Example (Compile-time Duck Typing for std::sort)

```
template < class RandomIt >
void sort( RandomIt first, RandomIt last );
template < class ExecutionPolicy, class RandomIt >
void sort( ExecutionPolicy&& policy, RandomIt first,
           RandomIt last );
template < class RandomIt, class Compare >
void sort( RandomIt first, RandomIt last, Compare comp );
template < class ExecutionPolicy, class RandomIt, class Compare
void sort( ExecutionPolicy&& policy, RandomIt first,
           RandomIt last, Compare comp );
```

\begin{frame}

```
#include <algorithm>
#include <list>
int main()
  std::list<int> l_{ 1,3,5,7,9,7,5,3,1};
  std::sort(std::begin(l_), std::end(l_));
  return 0;
}
```

```
clang++ sort_list.cpp -std=c++11
In file included from sort_list.cpp:1:
In file included from /usr/bin/../lib/gcc/x86_64-linux-gnu/
5.4.0/../../../include/c++/5.4.0/algorithm:62:
/usr/bin/../lib/gcc/x86_64-linux-gnu/5.4.0/../../../include
/5.4.0/bits/stl_algo.h:1964:22: error: invalid operand
s to binary expression ('std::_List_iterator<int>' and
 'std::_List_iterator<int>')
                std::__lg(__last - __first) * 2,
/usr/bin/../lib/gcc/x86_64-linux-gnu/5.4.0/../../
../include/c++/5.4.0/bits/stl_algo.h:4698:12: note:
in instantiation of function template specialization
'std::__sort<std::_List_iterator<int>,
```

```
__gnu_cxx::__ops::_Iter_less_iter>'
       requested here
      std::__sort(__first, __last, __gnu_cxx::__ops::__iter_1e
sort_list.cpp:6:7: note: in instantiation of function
template specialization
'std::sort<std::_List_iterator<int> >'
requested here
        std::sort(std::begin(1), std::end(1) );
/usr/bin/../lib/gcc/x86_64-linux-gnu/5.4.0/
../../../include/c++/5.4.0/bits/
stl_bvector.h:208:3: note: candidate function
not viable: no known conversion from
'std::_List_iterator<int>' to
```

```
'const std::_Bit_iterator_base'
     for 1st argument
 operator-(const _Bit_iterator_base& __x,
   const _Bit_iterator_base& __y)
/usr/bin/../lib/gcc/x86_64-linux-gnu/5.4.0/..
/../../include/c++/5.4.0/bits/
stl_iterator.h:328:5: note: candid
ate template ignored: could not match
'reverse_iterator' against '_List_iterator'
    operator-(const reverse_iterator<_Iterator>& __x,
/usr/bin/../lib/gcc/x86_64-linux-gnu/5.4.0/..
/../../include/c++/5.4.0/bits/
stl_iterator.h:380:5: note: candidate template
```

```
stl_iterator.h:380:5: note: candidate template
 ignored: could not match 'reverse_iterator'
 against '_List_iterator'
    operator-(const reverse_iterator<_IteratorL>& __x,
/usr/bin/../lib/gcc/x86_64-linux-gnu/5.4.0/../..
/../../include/c++/5.4.0/bits/stl_iterator.h:1138:5:
note: candidate template ignored: could not match
  'move_iterator' against '_List_iterator'
    operator-(const move_iterator<_IteratorL>& __x,
/usr/bin/../lib/gcc/x86_64-linux-gnu/5.4.0/../
../../include/c++/5.4.0/bits/stl_iterator.h:1145:5:
note: candidate template ignored: could not match
 'move_iterator' against '_List_iterator'
```

```
operator-(const move_iterator<_Iterator>& __x,
In file included from sort_list.cpp:1:
In file included from /usr/bin/../lib/gcc/
x86_64-linux-gnu/5.4.0/../../../include/c++/
5.4.0/algorithm:62:
/usr/bin/../lib/gcc/x86_64-linux-gnu/5.4.0/../..
/../../include/c++/5.4.0/bits/stl_algo.h:1878:18:
 error: invalid operands to binary expression
  ('std::_List_iterator<int>' and 'std::_List_iterator<int>'
      if (__last - __first > int(_S_threshold))
/usr/bin/../lib/gcc/x86_64-linux-gnu/5.4.0/../
../../include/c++/5.4.0/bits/stl_algo.h:1966:9:
       in instantiation of function template
```

```
specialization
      'std::__final_insertion_sort<std::_List_iterator<int>,
       __gnu_cxx::__ops::_Iter_less_iter>' requested here
          std::__final_insertion_sort(__first, __last, __comp)
/usr/bin/../lib/gcc/x86_64-linux-gnu/5.4.0/../
../../include/c++/5.4.0/bits/stl_algo.h:4698:12:
note: in instantiation of function template
 specialization 'std::_sort<std::_List_iterator<int>,
      __gnu_cxx::__ops::_Iter_less_iter>' requested here
      std::__sort(__first, __last, __gnu_cxx::__ops::__iter_1e
sort_list.cpp:6:7: note: in instantiation of function template
        std::sort(std::begin(1), std::end(1) );
```

```
/usr/bin/../lib/gcc/x86_64-linux-gnu/5.4.0/../../../include
        'const std::_Bit_iterator_base' for 1st argument
   operator-(const _Bit_iterator_base& __x, const _Bit_iterator
 /usr/bin/../lib/gcc/x86_64-linux-gnu/5.4.0/../../../include
      operator-(const reverse_iterator<_Iterator>& __x,
 /usr/bin/../lib/gcc/x86_64-linux-gnu/5.4.0/../../../include
      operator-(const reverse_iterator<_IteratorL>& __x,
 /usr/bin/../lib/gcc/x86_64-linux-gnu/5.4.0/../../../include
      operator-(const move_iterator<_IteratorL>& __x,
 /usr/bin/../lib/gcc/x86_64-linux-gnu/5.4.0/../../../include
      operator-(const move_iterator<_Iterator>& __x,
Mikel Irazabal (https://github.com/mirazaba
                              Short title
                                                    June 28, 2018
                                                              15 / 43
```

Example (Simple sort programm)

```
/usr/bin/../lib/gcc/x86_64-linux-gnu/5.4.0/../../../include operator-(const move_iterator<_Iterator>& __x,
```

2 errors generated.

Facts

- Errors handled in duck typing, show the call stack
- They may be interminable
- A mechanism to speed up compile time and reduce compiler error verbosity is needed
- An overloading mechanism is desiderable for templates

```
void f(int){ std::cout << "Into int f \n";}
void f(double){ std::cout << "Into double f \n";}
void f(std::string){std::cout << "Into std::string f \n";}
int main(){ f(5); }</pre>
```

Run time introspection

Example (Example Python)

```
class A(object):
    # Simply overrides the 'object.__str__' method.
    def __str__(self):
        return "I am a A"
class B(object):
    # A custom method for my custom objects that I want to sen
   def serialize(self):
        return "I am a B"
def serialize(obj):
    if hasattr(obj, "serialize"): #obj has attr. 'serialize'?
        if hasattr(obj.serialize, "__call__"): #is a method?
            return obj.serialize()
    return str(obj) #else call _str_ method
```

Run time introspection

Example (Example Python)

```
def main():
    a = A()
    b = B()
    print(serialize(a)) # 'I am A'
    print(serialize(b)) # 'I am B'

if __name__ == "__main__":
    main()
```

Template Overloading

Example (Example C++)

```
template <class T>
struct hasSerialize
{
  typedef char yes[1];
  typedef yes no[2];
  template <typename U, U u> struct reallyHas;
  template <typename C>
  static yes&
  test(reallyHas<std::string (C::*)()const,&C::serialize>*)
  { }
  template <typename> static no& test(...) { }
  static const bool value = sizeof(test<T>(0))==sizeof(yes);
};
```

Template Overloading

Example (Example C++)

```
template <class T>
typename
std::enable_if<hasSerialize<T>::value, std::string>::type
 serialize(const T& obj)
{
    return obj.serialize();
}
template <class T>
typename
std::enable_if<!hasSerialize<T>::value, std::string>::type
 serialize(const T& obj)
{
    return obj.to_string();
}
```

Template Overloading

Example (Example C++)

```
struct A{
  std::string to_string() const{
  return "Hello from A";
struct B{
  std::string serialize() const{
  return "Hello from B";
};
int main(){
A a; B b;
std::cout << serialize(a) << '\n'; // prints Hello from A
std::cout << serialize(b) << '\n'; // prints Hello from B
}
```

Are we concise as a violinist?



Figure: How a C++ template developer sees itself

Are we playing with fire?



Figure: More realistic C++ template developer...

Ugly hacking is invariably clever. It is similar to playing the violin with ones feet. It is admirable that it can be done but its place is in the circus and not in the Conservatoire. A. Stepanov on Notes on Programming

What it is needed?



Figure: Plato and Aristoteles, the school of Athens

- Strong theoretical foundation
- Fit with current standard

Definitions

- Concepts are requirements on template arguments
- Concepts = constraints (sintactic) + axioms (semantics)
- Basically they establish "types" into templates
- A concept-lite is a compile-time predicate (that is, something that yields a Boolean value)
- The idea of concepts have been there since the 90s
- Debacle of concepts in c++x0 where 120 concepts were defined
- No strong theoretical background and no semantic (HasPlus, HasMinus ...)

Syntax and Semantics

- The behaviours invoked by different syntaxes, and for different types, are the semantics of those terms
- a + b is different for int and strings
- Semantic has to be similar and consistent for all the types
- Reduce cognitive burden

Abstract algebra

- Algebraic structures with a single binary operation: Magma, Quasigroup, Monoid, Semigroup, Group,
- Algebraic structures with a multiple operations: Ring, Field, Module, Vector space, Algebra over a field, Associative algebra, Lie algebra, Lattice, Boolean algebra

Regularity in C++ by A. Stepanov

- T a = b; assert(a==b);
- T a; a = b; \iff T a = b;
- T a = c; T b = c; a = d; assert(b = c);
- T a = c; T b = c; zap(a); assert(b==c && a!=b)

Concepts Lite proposal

Regularity	Iterators	Functional	Types
Comparable	Iterator	Function	Boolean
Ordered	${ t Forward_iterator}$	Operation	
Copyable	${ t Bidirectional_iterator}$	Predicate	
Movable	Random_access_iterator	Relation	
Regular			

Table: Concepts

Concepts Lite proposal

Operators	Language	Initialization	Other
Equal Less	Same Common	Destructible Constructible	Procedure Input_iterator
Logical_and	Derived	Assignable	Output_iterator
Logical_or Logical_not	Convertible Signed_int		
Callable	<u> </u>		

Table: Constraints

Axioms

Equivalence_relation Strict_weak_order Strict_total_order Boolean_algebra

Keyword "concept" implicity constexpr(rvalue) and inline

```
template<typename T, typename U>
concept bool
Equality_comparable()
{
  return requires (T a, U b) {
      {a == b} -> bool; // a can be compared to b using ==
                         // and returns something
                         // convertible to bool
     \{a != b\} \rightarrow bool;
     // Commutability
     {b == a} -> bool:
     \{b != a\} \rightarrow bool;
  };
```

Keyword "requires"

```
template<typename T>
requires false
 class Bar{};
int main(){ Bar<int> f_bar;}
/usr/bin/g++-8 requires.cpp -fconcepts
requires.cpp: In function int main():
requires.cpp:143:9: error: template constraint failure
 Bar<int> f bar:
requires.cpp:143:9: note: constraints not satisfied
requires.cpp:143:9: note: false evaluated to false
```

Concepts declaration

```
template<typename T>
 constexpr bool CopyConstructible()
      return std::is_copy_constructible<T>::value; }
template<typename T>
 concept bool CopyAssignable()
 {
   return requires(T a, T b){
   \{ a = b \} \rightarrow void; \};
 }
 template<typename T>
 concept bool Destructible()
   return requires(T a){
   { a.~T() } -> void; };
```

Concepts nesting

```
template<typename It>
  concept bool Iterator()
   return requires (It lvalue) {
       CopyConstructible<It>;
       CopyAssignable<It>;
       Destructible<It>;
      *lvalue;
      {++lvalue} -> It&
    };
 };
```

Concepts signature

// C++0x signature

```
template < InputIterator InIter, class OutIter,
EquivalenceRelation < auto, InIter::value_type> Pred >
requires OutputIterator < OutIter, RvalueOf < InIter::value_tv
&&
HasAssign<InIter::value_type, InIter::reference>
&&
Constructible < InIter::value_type, InIter::reference >
&r.&r.
CopyConstructible<Pred> OutIter
unique_copy(InIter first, InIter last, OutIter result, Pred pr
```

Concepts signature

Concepts declaration

```
template<typename T, typename U>
concept bool
Equality_comparable()
  return requires (T a, U b) {
      {a == b} -> bool; // a can be compared to b using ==
                        // and returns something
                        // convertible to bool
     {a != b} -> bool:
     //Commutability
     {b == a} -> bool:
     {b != a} -> bool:
 };
};
```

Concepts Overloading

```
template<Input_iterator I>
void advance(I& i, int n)

template<Bidirectional_iterator I>
void advance(I& i, int n)

template<Random_access_iterator I>
void advance(I& i, int n)
```

Why Concepts didnt make C++17?

You guys do know that GCC is an open source compiler. If you had wanted something different, you could have submitted a patch sometime in, say, the last 2 years.

Sadly, few such patches were received. I can count on one hand the number of people who have worked to make the implementation better. I cannot count the number of people who have lined up to throw stones. And yes, this is how I am perceiving your comments.

Be sure in your next blog post to mention you have failed to investigate the problem more deeply than simply showing up to complain.

Andrew Sutton@reddit 2016

Standard commitee



Figure: C++17 meeting in Kona

https://github.com/mirazabal/meetup/tree/master/2018/june/concepts

Thank You!

Most relevant references



B. Stroustrup and A. Sutton (2012)

A Concept Design for the STL

N3351



B. Stroustrup (2017)

Concepts: The Future of Generic Programming

P0557r1



A. Sutton (2012)

Concepts in C++17

P0248



A. Stepanov and P. McJones (2009)

Elements of Programming

Printed book

- $\bullet \ \, \text{https://jguegant.github.io/blogs/tech/sfinae-introduction.html} \\$
- https://slides.com/manusanchez/concepts-lite
- and many others...