Task 1 Report

Federico Fregosi, Mirko Laruina, Riccardo Mancini, Gianmarco Petrelli

11/11/2019

Contents

1	App	plication Specifications	1	
	1.1	Application overview	1	
	1.2	Actors	1	
	1.3	Requirement Analysis	1	
		1.3.1 Functional requirements	1	
		1.3.2 Non-Functional requirements	2	
2	Des	ign	3	
	2.1	Use-case diagram	3	
	2.2	Class diagram	3	
	2.3	Software Architecture	3	
3	Implementation 5			
	3.1	Database	5	
		3.1.1 Relational DB Design	5	
	3.2	Java backend	5	
		3.2.1 JPA	6	
	3.3	ReactJS frontend	6	
	3.4	Limitations	6	
4	Test	ting and Evaluation	7	
	4.1	Unit tests	7	
	4.2	Integration test	7	

1 Application Specifications

1.1 Application overview

The application is a messaging system where registered users can create an account, exchange text messages and make groups.

A registered user can initiate a chat with another user, create a new group chat (of which he becomes the admin) and send messages to the chats he belongs to, as well as receiving messages from those chats. He can also leave a group.

A group admin can add and remove new users to the group. He cannot assign his powers to another user in the group and if he leaves the group, the latter is deleted.

Everytime a user views a chat, all the latest messages from the chat are fetched from the server and shown to the user.

1.2 Actors

Anonymous user, registered user, group admin and a time-based event.

1.3 Requirement Analysis

1.3.1 Functional requirements

An **anonymous user** must be able to register in order to become a *registered user*. Login is carried out using username and password selected by the user when registering. Username must be unique. Username and password are also the only two information-vnecessary for the registration.

A registered user must be able to:

- Send a message to a chat
- Read chat messages
- Create a private chat
- Create a group chat

A list of his chats is permanently shown to the user. Both creating a private chat or a group chat require the username of the correspondents. When an user create a group chat, he automatically become admin of the chat in question.

A group admin is the only one who must be able to:

- Add users to the group
- Remove users from the group

Adding an user to the group requires once again to specify the username of the correspondent. However, an admin can't leave the group without deleting the group itself.

Lastly, an user can close the session just by logging out pushing the specific button. If a user close the application without logging out, he will automatically reconnect to his account at the next connection. This feature is allowed through cookies.

The **time-based event** updates the user interface on regular intervals to show new received messages from the current chat, if any.

1.3.2 Non-Functional requirements

- Data concurrency: the application must be able to handle concurrent requests to the database remaining consistent between every operation even with high volume of data.
- Data consistency: A real-time updating component (characterized by not so hard constraints) based on a pull/push time protocol is also required for this type of application in order to to ensure a comfortable and efficient use .
- Responsiveness: Client-side Web application must provide a responsive view both for pc,laptops or mobile devices.
- Scalability: At the increase of the storage occupancy of a chat (single or group one) the access and download time of the chat must remain almost constant. This is possible by implementing a partial and/or "on request" loading rather than from scratch.

2 Design

2.1 Use-case diagram




Figure 1: Use-case diagram. Use-cases indicated with a star (*) require the user to be the chat administrator.

2.2 Class diagram



Figure 2: Class diagram for the identified entities

It can be seen that we chose to keep it as simple as possible by not making any distinction between *private chats* and *group chats*, creating a single *Chat* entity.

2.3 Software Architecture

TODO(Mirko)

The proposed software architecture is a classic three-layer architecture:

- 1. database (MySQL)
- 2. server back-end (Java + Spring)

3. user web app (ReactJS)

3 Implementation

3.1 Database

3.1.1 Relational DB Design




Figure 3: ER diagram for the database.

Figure 3 shows the ER diagram of the MySQL database. Every *User* is identified a *userId* and has got a unique *username* and a *password*. The user can be a member of many *Chats* and can be the administrator of many *Chats*. On the other hand, a *Chat* can be administered by only one *User*. A *User* can send a *Message* to a *Chat*. Each *User* and each *Chat* can have many *Messages* while a *Message* can belong to one *Chat* and one *User* only. The *Session* represents a logged user session. Each *User* can have many open *Sessions*.

Once the database had been created, we filled it with random test data using the free service available at http://filldb.info/. Generated data is not perfect, since some more complex functional constraints could not be included in the generation. However, that was sufficient for the first functional tests of the application.

3.2 Java backend

The Java backend provides simple REST APIs for managing the chat application. In order to do so, it uses the *Spring* framework¹. The list of the APIs and their description can be found in the docs/api.md file. The APIs return a JSON document, generated using *Google GSON*², which is interpreted and shown to the user by the

¹More information at https://spring.io/

²More information at https://github.com/google/gson

ReactJS frontend. These APIs are implemented using a simple database abstraction layer which provides corresponding APIs to the database. This is implemented in Java using a generic *DatabaseAdapter* interface that can be implemented to provide access to different databases (as we have done in this Task with plain SQL, JPA and levelDB implementations). The database backend can be set from a configuration file, where some other db-specific settings can be set.

3.2.1 JPA

Once the database design was set, the Java implementation of the methods using JPA was very easy and fast. A new implementation of the *DatabaseAdapter* class has been done, along with JPA implementations of the data model interfaces. Both one-to-many and many-to-many relationships have been used. A more detailed explanation of the JPA implementation can be found in the tutorial.

3.3 ReactJS frontend

The fronted has been developed using the *ReactJS* framework³. An overview of the main functions is available in the user guide (docs/user_guide/user_guide.pdf).

Regarding the implementation, the most notable thing is the timer-based event that updates the UI. In particular, the client makes a request to the server every second for updating the list of chats and every half second for updating the list of messages in the current chat.

3.4 Limitations

Passwords For the sake of simplicity, password hashing has not been implemented into the application. However, this could be simply integrated with a future update.

Polling In the current implementation, the server is polled every second for updating the list of chats and every half second for updating the list of messages. This is indeed a great load on the server in case there are many clients connected at the same moment. This problem has been alleviated by requesting only a subset of the chat messages, based on the timestamp on the latest received message. However, a more appropriate way to handle it would be having a kind of notification API that can be "long polled" by the client.

³More information at https://reactjs.org/

⁴A "long poll" is when the client makes a request to the server and the server does not respond until new information is available, at the cost of timing out the connection, at which point a new request is made.

4 Testing and Evaluation

The application has been tested using unit tests and a final integration test.

4.1 Unit tests

The database APIs have been tested using unit tests through JUnit4⁵ before being integrated with the API backend code. In particular, every method in DatabaseAdapter is tested against sample data, verifying its result.

These automated unit tests made it possible to identify bugs at their root cause, saving a lot of time in debugging.

4.2 Integration test

In the end, once everything had been put together, we performed a final test in order to verify the correctness of all use cases.

⁵More information at https://junit.org/junit4/