CS 496: Homework Assignment 5
Due: 23 April 2023, 11:59pm

1 Assignment Policies

Under absolutely no circumstances code can be exchanged between students from different groups. Excerpts of code presented in class can be used.

> Update the parser before you start!

2 Assignment

In this assignment you are asked to extend the type-checker for the language REC with references, pairs, lists and trees. This assignment is organized in three parts:

1. Part 1. Add type-checking for references.

2. Part 2. Add type-checking for lists.

3. Part 3. Add type-checking for trees.

The new language including all these constructs will be called CHECKED_PLUS.

3 Type-Checking References

The concrete syntax of types must be extended so as to allow the typing rules described below to be implemented. Two new productions are added, the one for `unit` and for `ref`:

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\langle\mathsf{Type}\rangle & ::= & \texttt{int} \\
\langle\mathsf{Type}\rangle & ::= & \texttt{bool} \\
\langle\mathsf{Type}\rangle & ::= & \texttt{unit} \\
\langle\mathsf{Type}\rangle & ::= & \langle\mathsf{Type}\rangle \texttt{ -> } \langle\mathsf{Type}\rangle \\
\langle\mathsf{Type}\rangle & ::= & \texttt{ref}\langle\mathsf{Type}\rangle \\
\langle\mathsf{Type}\rangle & ::= & (\langle\mathsf{Type}\rangle)
\end{array}
$$

The typing rules are:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \texttt{e} : \texttt{t}}{\Gamma \vdash \texttt{newref(e)} : \texttt{ref(t)}} \qquad \frac{\Gamma \vdash \texttt{e} : \texttt{ref(t)}}{\Gamma \vdash \texttt{deref(e)} : \texttt{t}}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \texttt{e1} : \texttt{ref(t)} \quad \Gamma \vdash \texttt{e2} : \texttt{t}}{\Gamma \vdash \texttt{setref(e1,e2)} : \texttt{unit}}$$

$$\frac{}{\Gamma \vdash \texttt{begin end} : \texttt{unit}} \qquad \frac{\Gamma \vdash \texttt{e1} : \texttt{t1} \quad \dots \quad \Gamma \vdash \texttt{en} : \texttt{tn}}{\Gamma \vdash \texttt{begin e1;...;en end} : \texttt{tn}}$$

Note the use of the type `unit` to indicate that the return result of the assignment operation is not important.

3.1 Task

You have to update the code for the type checker by adapting the file `checker.ml` so that `type_of_expr` can handle:

```
let rec chk_expr : expr -> texpr tea_result =
  fun e ->
  match e with
  ...
  | NewRef(e) -> failwith "Implement me!"
  | DeRef(e) -> failwith "Implement me!"
  | SetRef(e1,e2) -> failwith "Implement me!"
  | BeginEnd([]) -> failwith "Implement me!"
  | BeginEnd(es) -> failwith "Implement me!"
```

Here are some examples:

```
# chk "let x = newref(0) in deref(x)";;
- : texpr Checked.ReM.result = Ok IntType
# chk "let x = newref(0) in x";;
- : texpr Checked.ReM.result =Ok (RefType IntType)
# chk "let x = newref(0) in setref(x,4)";;
- : texpr Checked.ReM.result = Ok UnitType
# chk "newref(newref(zero?(0)))";;
- : texpr Checked.ReM.result =Ok (RefType (RefType BoolType))
# chk "let x = 0 in setref(x,4)";;
- : texpr Checked.ReM.result = Error "setref: Expected a reference type"
# chk "begin 1;2;zero?(3) end";;
- : texpr Checked.ReM.result = Ok BoolType
# chk "begin end";;
- : texpr Checked.ReM.result = Ok UnitType
```

4 Lists

4.1 Concrete syntax

The concrete syntax for expressions should be extended with the following productions:

\<Expression\>	::=	emptylist (\<Type\>)
\<Expression\>	::=	cons (\<Expression\>, \<Expression\>)
\<Expression\>	::=	empty? (\<Expression\>)
\<Expression\>	::=	hd (\<Expression\>)
\<Expression\>	::=	tl (\<Expression\>)

The concrete syntax for types includes one new production (the last one listed below):

\<Type\>	::=	int
\<Type\>	::=	bool
\<Type\>	::=	unit
\<Type\>	::=	\<Type\> -> \<Type\>
\<Type\>	::=	\<Type\> * \<Type\>
\<Type\>	::=	ref\<Type\>
\<Type\>	::=	list\<Type\>
\<Type\>	::=	(\<Type\>)

The new type constructor is for typing lists. For example,

1. `list(int)` is the type of lists of integers

2. `int -> list(int)` is the type of functions that given an integer produce a list of integers.

Here are some sample expressions in the extended language. They are supplied in order to help you understand how each constructor works.

```
# chk "emptylist(int)";;
- : texpr Checked.ReM.result = Ok (ListType IntType)
# chk "cons(1, emptylist(int))";;
- : texpr Checked.ReM.result = Ok (ListType IntType)
# chk "hd(cons(1, emptylist(int)))";;
- : texpr Checked.ReM.result = Ok IntType
# chk "tl(cons(1, emptylist(int)))";;
- : texpr Checked.ReM.result = Ok (ListType IntType)
# chk "cons(empty?(emptylist(int)), emptylist(int))";;
- : texpr Checked.ReM.result =
Error "cons: type of head and tail do not match"
# chk "proc(x:int) { proc (l:list(int)) { cons(x,l) } }";;
- : texpr Checked.ReM.result =
Ok (FuncType (IntType, FuncType (ListType IntType, ListType IntType)))
```

Here are the typing rules:

4.2 Typing rules

$$\frac{}{\Gamma \vdash \texttt{emptylist(t)} : \texttt{list(t)}}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \texttt{e1} : \texttt{t} \quad \Gamma \vdash \texttt{e2} : \texttt{list(t)}}{\Gamma \vdash \texttt{cons(e1,e2)} : \texttt{list(t)}}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \texttt{e} : \texttt{list(t)}}{\Gamma \vdash \texttt{tl(e)} : \texttt{list(t)}}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \texttt{e} : \texttt{list(t)}}{\Gamma \vdash \texttt{hd(e)} : \texttt{t}}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \texttt{e} : \texttt{list(t)}}{\Gamma \vdash \texttt{empty?(e)} : \texttt{bool}}$$

4.3 Task

Extend the type-checker to del with the new constructs:

```
let rec chk_expr : expr -> texpr tea_result =
    fun e ->
    match e with
    ...
    | EmptyList(t) -> failwith "Implement me!"
    | Cons(e1, e2) -> failwith "Implement me!"
    | IsEmpty(e) -> failwith "Implement me!"
    | Hd(e) -> failwith "Implement me!"
    | Tl(e) -> failwith "Implement me!"
```

5   Trees

5.1   Concrete syntax

The concrete syntax for expressions should be extended with the following productions:

<Expression>	::=	emptytree(<Type>)
<Expression>	::=	node (<Expression>, <Expression>, <Expression>)
<Expression>	::=	empty? (<Expression>)
<Expression>	::=	caseT <Expression> of
		{ empytree() -> <Expression> ,
		node(<Id>,<Id>,<Id>) -> <Expression> }

The concrete syntax for types includes one new production (the last one listed below):

<Type>	::=	int
<Type>	::=	bool
<Type>	::=	unit
<Type>	::=	<Type> -> <Type>
<Type>	::=	<Type> * <Type>
<Type>	::=	ref<Type>
<Type>	::=	list <Type>
<Type>	::=	tree <Type>
<Type>	::=	(<Type>)

Here is a sample program that assumes you have implemented the `append` operation on lists. Note that you will not be able to run this program unless you have extended the interpreter to deal with lists and trees. This assignment only asks you to write the type-checker, not the interpreter. You are, of course, encouraged to write the interpreter too!

Here is another example. It should type-check with result `Ok (ListType IntType)` and evaluate to `Ok (ListVal [NumVal 1; NumVal 2; NumVal 3])`:

```
letrec append(xs:list(int)): list(int) -> list(int)  =
        proc (ys:list(int)) {
            if empty?(xs)
              then ys
              else cons(hd(xs),((append tl(xs)) ys))
```

```
 6            }
   in let getLST = proc (t:tree int) {
 8         caseT t of {
           emptytree() -> emptytree(int),
10         node(d,lt,rt) -> lt } }
   in let getRST = proc (t:tree int) {
12         caseT t of {
           emptytree() -> emptytree(int),
14         node(d,lt,rt) -> rt } }
   in let getData = proc (t:tree int) {
16         caseT t of {
           emptytree() -> 0,
18         node(d,lt,rt) -> d } }
   in letrec inorder(x:tree(int)):list(int)  =
20    if empty?(x)
      then emptylist(int)
22      else ((append (inorder (getLST x))) cons((getData x),
          (inorder (getRST x))))
24   in
   (inorder node(2,
26             node(1,emptytree(int),emptytree(int)),
               node(3,emptytree(int),emptytree(int))))
```

5.2   Typing rules

$$\frac{}{\Gamma \vdash \texttt{emptytree(t)} : \texttt{tree(t)}}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \texttt{e1} : \texttt{t} \quad \Gamma \vdash \texttt{e2} : \texttt{tree(t)} \quad \Gamma \vdash \texttt{e3} : \texttt{tree(t)}}{\Gamma \vdash \texttt{node(e1,e2,e3)} : \texttt{tree(t)}}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \texttt{e} : \texttt{tree(t)}}{\Gamma \vdash \texttt{empty?(e)} : \texttt{bool}}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \texttt{e1} : \texttt{tree(t)} \quad \Gamma \vdash \texttt{e2} : \texttt{s} \quad \Gamma, d : t, lt : \texttt{tree}(t), rt : \texttt{tree}(t) \vdash \texttt{e3} : \texttt{s}}{\Gamma \vdash \texttt{caseT e1 of \{ emptytree() -> e2, node(d,lt,rt) -> e3 \}} : \texttt{s}}$$

5.3   Task

Extend the type-checker to deal with the new constructs (and update `IsEmpty` from above to support trees):

```
1  let rec chk_expr : expr -> texpr tea_result =
     fun e ->
3    match e with
     ...
5    | EmptyTree(t) -> failwith "Implement me!"
     | Node(de, le, re) -> failwith "Implement me!"
7    | CaseT(target,emptycase,id1,id2,id3,nodecase) ->   failwith "Implement me!"
```

Here are some sample expressions in the extended language. They are supplied in order you to help you understand how each construct works.

```
1   # chk "emptytree(int)";;
   - : texpr Checked.ReM.result = Ok (TreeType IntType)
3  # chk "empty?(node(1, node(2, emptytree(int), emptytree(int)), emptytree(int)))";;
   - : texpr Checked.ReM.result = Ok BoolType
```

5

```
 5  # chk "let t=node(1, node(2, emptytree(int), emptytree(int)), emptytree(int))
         in caseT t of {
 7            emptytree() -> emptytree(int),
              node(d,lt,rt) -> lt }";;
 9  - : texpr Checked.ReM.result = Ok (TreeType IntType)
    # chk "let t=node(1, node(2, emptytree(int), emptytree(int)), emptytree(int))
11         in caseT t of {
              emptytree() -> 0,
13            node(d,lt,rt) -> d}";;
    - : texpr Checked.ReM.result = Ok IntType
```

6   Submission instructions

Submit a file named `HW5.zip` through Canvas which includes all the source files from the original stub. Your grade will be determined as follows, for a total of 100 points:

Section	Grade
References	30
List	35
Tree	35