

UNIVERSITY OF BERN

BACHELOR THESIS

Indoor positioning using Raspberry Pi with UWB

Author:
Mischa WENGER

Supervisor:
Jose CARRERA and
Zhongliang ZHAO

Head of Research

PROFESSOR DR. TORSTEN BRAUN

Communication and Distributed Systems
Institute of Computer Science

July 23, 2018

Declaration of Authorship

I, Mischa WENGER, declare that this thesis titled, “Indoor positioning using Raspberry Pi with UWB” and the work presented in it are my own. I confirm that:

- This work was done wholly or mainly while in candidature for a research degree at this University.
- Where any part of this thesis has previously been submitted for a degree or any other qualification at this University or any other institution, this has been clearly stated.
- Where I have consulted the published work of others, this is always clearly attributed.
- Where I have quoted from the work of others, the source is always given. With the exception of such quotations, this thesis is entirely my own work.
- I have acknowledged all main sources of help.
- Where the thesis is based on work done by myself jointly with others, I have made clear exactly what was done by others and what I have contributed myself.

Signed:

Date:

“Thanks to my solid academic training, today I can write hundreds of words on virtually any topic without possessing a shred of information, which is how I got a good job in journalism.”

Dave Barry

UNIVERSITY OF BERN

Abstract

Faculty Name
Institute of Computer Science

Bachelor of Science in Computer Science

Indoor positioning using Raspberry Pi with UWB

by Mischa WENGER

The Thesis Abstract is written here (and usually kept to just this page). The page is kept centered vertically so can expand into the blank space above the title too...

Acknowledgements

The acknowledgments and the people to thank go here, don't forget to include your project advisor...

Contents

| | |
|--|------------|
| Declaration of Authorship | iii |
| Abstract | vii |
| Acknowledgements | ix |
| 1 Introduction | 1 |
| 1.1 Motivation | 1 |
| 1.1.1 Indoor difficulties vs Outdoor | 1 |
| 1.1.2 Important Applications | 1 |
| 1.2 Idea | 2 |
| 1.2.1 Ranging Positioning System with different Inputs | 2 |
| 1.3 Contributions | 2 |
| 1.4 Overview | 3 |
| 2 Theoretical Background and Related Work | 5 |
| 2.1 Range based localization | 5 |
| 2.1.1 Received signal strength indication | 5 |
| 2.1.2 Round trip time: Two way ranging, time difference of arrival | 7 |
| 2.1.3 Triangulation and Trilateration | 9 |
| 2.1.4 Weighting | 9 |
| 2.2 UWB Theory | 10 |
| 2.3 Particle Filter | 10 |
| 3 System design | 11 |
| 3.1 Overview | 11 |
| 3.2 Setup | 12 |
| 3.3 Algorithms | 12 |
| A Frequently Asked Questions | 13 |
| A.1 How do I change the colors of links? | 13 |
| Bibliography | 15 |

List of Figures

| | | |
|-----|--------------------------------------|----|
| 2.1 | Ranging process | 6 |
| 2.2 | Two Way Ranging | 7 |
| 2.3 | Time Difference of Arrival | 8 |
| 2.4 | Trilateration | 9 |
| 3.1 | Ranging process | 11 |

List of Tables

List of Abbreviations

| | |
|----------------|--|
| ACK | ACK nowledgement |
| GPS | G lobal P ositioning S ystem |
| IMU | I ntertial M easurement U nits |
| IoT | I nternet o f T hings |
| M2M | M achine 2 (to) M achine |
| RTT | R ound T rip T ime |
| RSSI | R eceived S ignal S treng h I ndication |
| SDS-TWR | S ymmetrical D ouble S ided - T wo W ay R anging |
| TDOA | T ime D ifference O f A rrival |
| ToF | T ime o f F light |
| TWR | T wo W ay R anging |
| UWB | U ltra W ide B and |

Physical Constants

Speed of Light $c_0 = 2.997\,924\,58 \times 10^8 \text{ m s}^{-1}$ (exact)

List of Symbols

| | | |
|----------|-------------------|------------------------|
| a | distance | m |
| P | power | W (J s ⁻¹) |
| ω | angular frequency | rad |

For/Dedicated to/To my...

Chapter 1

Introduction

1.1 Motivation

In the last twenty years, the number of mobile devices in use has tremendously increased. In the first quarter of 2018 more than 380 Million smartphones have been sold worldwide *Gartner Gartner Says Worldwide Sales of Smartphones Returned to Growth in First Quarter of 2018*. However, in the past few years, not only smartphones have been sold, but also a new market of mobile gadgets and connected devices, summed up as Internet of Things, has evolved. In 2017, more than 20 Billion devices were connected to the internet. Forecasts predict 30 Billion devices in 2020 and already more than 70 Billion in 2025. *Statista Internet of Things - number of connected devices worldwide 2015-2025*

This increase in mobile computing has also increased the demand of accurate real-time positioning systems, which led to an active research mainly in indoor positioning system technologies, as there are established solutions for outdoor positioning.

1.1.1 Indoor difficulties vs Outdoor

For outdoor applications, primarily the Global Positioning System (GPS) is in use. For indoor application in the other hand, GPS has limitations that make it almost useless. Due to the environmental conditions indoors, with heavy walls armoured with steel and other distractions, additional signal loss is encountered which makes it hard to detect and decode GPS signals. Kerem Ozsoy and Tekin, 2013 In addition, higher buildings in the neighborhood can reflect transmitted signals, which leads to false position estimations. As GPS is mainly applied as 2D positioning system, it will not provide 3D indoor information such as the current floor level For this purposes we are forced to use alternative technologies that provide even higher accuracy indoors than GPS would achieve outdoors. There are many different approaches to do indoor positioning, which made it an attractive and active research field.

1.1.2 Important Applications

There are various possible use cases for devices that track their indoor position. These use cases can be grouped into two groups. On the one hand applications for pedestrians with a smartphone and on the other hand real machine to machine (M2M) applications.

Some examples for Smartphones:

Location of person in need For emergency services every second counts to get to the position of persons in need. An accurate positioning system that indicates additional information such as the floor level could save lifes.

Security Guards Real time tracking of security guards on their patrol. A security system can check autonomous if all security guards are on the right tracks.

Museum guidance Tourists visiting a museum could easily be guided through the museum with customized location based information.

Examples for Machine to machine (M2M):

Logistic An autonomous storage system can find articles in a big storehouse according to the exact position of the carrier vehicle. Numerous vehicles can be in use at the same time.

Cleaning An autonomous cleaning machine keeps track of its position, such that the floor can efficiently be cleaned.

Indoor post roboter An autonomous roboter can collect letters in the building and bring them to the internal post office.

1.2 Idea

For an object in space, there are several basic ideas to keep track of its current location. We can define a starting position and keep track of every move the device registers. E.g. every visitor in the museum starts at the entrance and will then walk through the building. Alternatively the object can be tracked by defining at least three triangulation points and periodically measure the distance from these points to the device. There are various ways to measure this distance, some with higher and some with lower accuracy.

1.2.1 Ranging Positioning System with different Inputs

Our idea was to not only use one of the mentioned approaches, but to combine them to in one alorithm. We would use a range positoning system combined with motion detection of the device and even integrate environmental restrictions, given by floor topologies like walls. By combining different methods we hope to compensate measurement errors and thus minimize the overall errors.

1.3 Contributions

In this thesis we present a real-time indoor positioning system on Raspberry Pi based on a particle filter implementation in smartphones, developed in previous works of the University of Bern. Neto, 2018 We adapted the inputs of the particle filter to range-based localization using ultra wideband (UWB) instead of Wi-Fi and added motions measured by inertial measurement units (IMU) of the target. We expound results of our experiments, where we tested different variants of our implementation and other algorithms in a real test scenario and compared the accuracy of the estimated position.

Our main contributions are:

- We implemented a real-time localization system on raspberry pi using UWB and IMU sensors.
- We created an extensive test scenario, where we placed several anchor nodes in a real building and collected data on complex indoor trajectories.

- We compared the results of our implementation to the results of an UWB based localization system provided by Uniset Company.

1.4 Overview

Our work compounds of five remaining chapters: Section 2 provides the theoretical background and related work. Chapter 3 presents the theoretical system design and chapter 4 more specifically explains our system implementation and the test bed. The evaluation of our experiments can be found in section 5. Finally the sixth part concludes the work, where our findings are summarized.

Chapter 2

Theoretical Background and Related Work

In this section we explain the different types of range measuring in range-based localization systems. For comparison reasons, we shortly introduce variants of received signal strength indication (RSSI), which is the mostly used indoor localization technique. We then briefly explain two slightly less common methods, which were used in our system - two way ranging (TWR) and time difference of arrival (TDOA). We also include some background theory about our implementation and the particle filter.

These are the main parts of this section:

First a short overview of range based localization with the main principles of RSSI, TWR and TDOA as well as the concept of triangulation/trilateration and the weighting process. Second we present background information about ultra wideband (UWB) and finally information about the particle filter is given.

2.1 Range based localization

Range based localization systems are depending on an infrastructure in the area of the localization:

- **Target Node (TAG)** which is the device that is localized.
- **Anchor Nodes (AN)** that are placed on carefully chosen points in the building, to encounter the best coverage of the whole area.

As shown in figure 3.1, a simplified localization system work as follows: Either the TAG, the anchor or both of them collect data used for localization. The data can be a signal strength, a round trip time or IMU measurement. In a processing unit - on the TAG or on a separate server - the data is processed and converted into a distance. This is repeated for every anchor node. The last step contains trilateration of the position using the ranges of every AN to the TAG.

In this abridged scenario, some difficulties are left out. Full indoor localization systems are more complex, as they use ingenious algorithms to improve the accuracy of the estimated ranges or improve the system by adding weighting to deal with incorrect range measures.

2.1.1 Received signal strength indication

As already mentioned, many indoor positioning algorithms use received signal strength indication to calculate distances to the anchor nodes. Mainly because RSSI can be



FIGURE 2.1: A simple ranging process.

applied to almost every type of transmitted signal thus RSSI uses the universal theory of free-space path loss. The following formula describes the relation between the received signal strength and the distance to the transmitter. *Computernetze Vorlesungsunterlagen Uebertragungsmedien*.

$$P_r = P_t(\lambda/4\pi r)^2$$

P_r - received signal strength

P_t - transmitted signal strength

λ - wavelength

r - radius (distance from transmitter to receiver)

However, this formula is restricted to free-space. There are several different kind of distractions that can affect the accuracy of the measurements in an indoor environment. For example the following occurrences:

- Multi-path propagation
- Reflections
- Diffraction
- Doppler effect
- etc.

Signal strength can often be obtained from the transmitter hardware as a discrete number. The higher the number, the stronger the signal. This discretization reflects another source of errors.

2.1.2 Round trip time: Two way ranging, time difference of arrival

Gathering round trip times (RTT) is a second method to get distance estimations. For accurate RTT results the hardware of transmitter and receiver, as well as the operating firmware are very important. For the presented two RTT-measuring communication techniques, the key characteristics are either a quick responding time or extremely well synchronized TAG and AN.




FIGURE 2.2: Illustration of TWR and SDS-TWR communication

Operating in two way ranging (TWR) mode, the TAG sends a message to the ANs and registers the exact time of sending. As soon as the message arrives at its destination, the firmware of the AN instantly captures another timestamp. In an acknowledgement (ACK) message, the timestamp of reception and a timestamp of sending the response is transmitted. When this message arrives at the TAG, again a timestamp is registered. With the following equation, the time of flight can now be evaluated *SewioTWR UWB Technology - Two way ranging*:

$$ToF = [(T_{RA} - T_{SP}) - (T_{SA} - T_{RP})]/2$$

ToF - Time of flight

T_{RA} - reception of ACK timestamp

T_{SP} - sending of poll timestamp

T_{SA} - sending of ACK timestamp

T_{RP} - reception of poll timestamp

To achieve higher accuracy, the communication can be extended with a final message containing the timestamps of the requester. This is called symmetrical double-sided two-way ranging (SDS-TWR) and is indicated as optional in Figure 2.2.

$$ToF = [(T_{RA} - T_{SP}) - (T_{SA} - T_{RP}) + (T_{RF} - T_{SA}) - (T_{SF} - T_{RA})]/4$$

ToF - Time of flight

T_{RA} - reception of ACK timestamp

T_{SP} - sending of poll timestamp

T_{SA} - sending of ACK timestamp

T_{RP} - reception of poll timestamp

T_{RF} - reception of final timestamp

T_{SF} - sending of final timestamp

For simplicity reasons normally ranging systems are designed such that the TAG gets the final message of the TWR communication, as the TWR is done with several ANs. In this case the requested information - the distances to every AN - is already on one device and can be further processed. However, the TWR has not necessarily to be initialized by the TAG - the receiver and the sender can easily be exchanged. When for example the computational power of the TAG is limited or the application runs on a separate server, we can imagine some benefits to trigger the TWR in the ANs and forward the collected data directly to the server.

Time difference of Arrival. *SewioTDOA UWB Technology - Time difference of arrival*




FIGURE 2.3: Typical Setup for Time Difference of Arrival communication

For both methods the same calculations to convert the ToF to the related distance can be applied. We assume that radio signals travel almost with speed of light, so we just multiply the ToF with speed of light (c_0).

$$distance = ToF * c_0$$

ToF - Time of flight

c_0 - Speed of Light = $2.997\,924\,58 \times 10^8 \text{ m s}^{-1}$ (exact)

2.1.3 Triangulation and Trilateration

Triangulation and trilateration use the mathematical concepts of triangles to find unknown lengths. Triangulation was already mentioned by the greek mathematician Thales, who used this concept for finding out the height of ancient egypt pyramids. Kerner, 2017 It was also used for cartography purposes, where angles between fixed points were measured and heights and distances could be calculated. Although trilateration and triangulation use the same mathematical triangle concept, they have one defined difference: We call it triangulation, when angles to anchor positions are measured, otherwise - when distances to anchors are measured - it's called trilateration. As it was easier to measure angles than distances in the past, triangulation was more often used. With modern electronic devices, it is more common to determine distances, rather than angles.

Figure 2.4 shows how trilateration is used for positioning. However, this is a theoretical and idealized scenario, where every range can be determined accurately. In real applications, the ranges are not exactly calculated, what leads to the fact that we will not only get a single point for the calculated position, but several points, especially when we use more than three ANs.




FIGURE 2.4: Graphical illustration of the trilateration concept.

2.1.4 Weighting

Morbi rutrum odio eget arcu adipiscing sodales. Aenean et purus a est pulvinar pellentesque. Cras in elit neque, quis varius elit. Phasellus fringilla, nibh eu tempus venenatis, dolor elit posuere quam, quis adipiscing urna leo nec orci. Sed nec nulla auctor odio aliquet consequat. Ut nec nulla in ante ullamcorper aliquam at sed dolor.

Phasellus fermentum magna in augue gravida cursus. Cras sed pretium lorem. Pellentesque eget ornare odio. Proin accumsan, massa viverra cursus pharetra, ipsum nisi lobortis velit, a malesuada dolor lorem eu neque.

2.2 UWB Theory

Sed ullamcorper quam eu nisl interdum at interdum enim egestas. Aliquam placerat justo sed lectus lobortis ut porta nisl porttitor. Vestibulum mi dolor, lacinia molestie gravida at, tempus vitae ligula. Donec eget quam sapien, in viverra eros. Donec pellentesque justo a massa fringilla non vestibulum metus vestibulum. Vestibulum in orci quis felis tempor lacinia. Vivamus ornare ultrices facilisis. Ut hendrerit volutpat vulputate. Morbi condimentum venenatis augue, id porta ipsum vulputate in. Curabitur luctus tempus justo. Vestibulum risus lectus, adipiscing nec condimentum quis, condimentum nec nisl. Aliquam dictum sagittis velit sed iaculis. Morbi tristique augue sit amet nulla pulvinar id facilisis ligula mollis. Nam elit libero, tincidunt ut aliquam at, molestie in quam. Aenean rhoncus vehicula hendrerit.

2.3 Particle Filter

Sed ullamcorper quam eu nisl interdum at interdum enim egestas. Aliquam placerat justo sed lectus lobortis ut porta nisl porttitor. Vestibulum mi dolor, lacinia molestie gravida at, tempus vitae ligula. Donec eget quam sapien, in viverra eros. Donec pellentesque justo a massa fringilla non vestibulum metus vestibulum. Vestibulum in orci quis felis tempor lacinia. Vivamus ornare ultrices facilisis. Ut hendrerit volutpat vulputate. Morbi condimentum venenatis augue, id porta ipsum vulputate in. Curabitur luctus tempus justo. Vestibulum risus lectus, adipiscing nec condimentum quis, condimentum nec nisl. Aliquam dictum sagittis velit sed iaculis. Morbi tristique augue sit amet nulla pulvinar id facilisis ligula mollis. Nam elit libero, tincidunt ut aliquam at, molestie in quam. Aenean rhoncus vehicula hendrerit.

Chapter 3

System design

I kljdf kljdf lke dakd . kladf.

3.1 Overview

Range based localization systems are depending on an infrastructure in the area of the localization:

- **Target Node (TAG)** which is the device that is localized.
- **Anchor Nodes (AN)** that are placed on carefully chosen points in the building, to encounter the best coverage of the whole area.




FIGURE 3.1: A simple ranging process.

As shown in figure 3.1, a simplified localization system work as follows: Either the TAG, the anchor or both of them collect data used for localization. The data can be a signal strength, a round trip time or IMU measurement. In a processing unit - on the TAG or on a separate server - the data is processed and converted into a distance. This is repeated for every anchor node. The last step contains trilateration of the position using the ranges of every AN to the TAG.

In this abridged scenario, some difficulties are left out. Full indoor localization systems are more complex, as they use ingenious algorithms to improve the accuracy of the estimated ranges or improve the system by adding weighting to deal with incorrect range measures.

3.2 Setup

Sed ullamcorper quam eu nisl interdum at interdum enim egestas. Aliquam placerat justo sed lectus lobortis ut porta nisl porttitor. Vestibulum mi dolor, lacinia molestie gravida at, tempus vitae ligula. Donec eget quam sapien, in viverra eros. Donec pellentesque justo a massa fringilla non vestibulum metus vestibulum. Vestibulum in orci quis felis tempor lacinia. Vivamus ornare ultrices facilisis. Ut hendrerit volutpat vulputate. Morbi condimentum venenatis augue, id porta ipsum vulputate in. Curabitur luctus tempus justo. Vestibulum risus lectus, adipiscing nec condimentum quis, condimentum nec nisl. Aliquam dictum sagittis velit sed iaculis. Morbi tristique augue sit amet nulla pulvinar id facilisis ligula mollis. Nam elit libero, tincidunt ut aliquam at, molestie in quam. Aenean rhoncus vehicula hendrerit.

3.3 Algorithms

Sed ullamcorper quam eu nisl interdum at interdum enim egestas. Aliquam placerat justo sed lectus lobortis ut porta nisl porttitor. Vestibulum mi dolor, lacinia molestie gravida at, tempus vitae ligula. Donec eget quam sapien, in viverra eros. Donec pellentesque justo a massa fringilla non vestibulum metus vestibulum. Vestibulum in orci quis felis tempor lacinia. Vivamus ornare ultrices facilisis. Ut hendrerit volutpat vulputate. Morbi condimentum venenatis augue, id porta ipsum vulputate in. Curabitur luctus tempus justo. Vestibulum risus lectus, adipiscing nec condimentum quis, condimentum nec nisl. Aliquam dictum sagittis velit sed iaculis. Morbi tristique augue sit amet nulla pulvinar id facilisis ligula mollis. Nam elit libero, tincidunt ut aliquam at, molestie in quam. Aenean rhoncus vehicula hendrerit.

Appendix A

Frequently Asked Questions

A.1 How do I change the colors of links?

The color of links can be changed to your liking using:

```
\hypersetup{urlcolor=red}, or  
\hypersetup{citecolor=green}, or  
\hypersetup{allcolor=blue}.
```

If you want to completely hide the links, you can use:

```
\hypersetup{allcolors=.}, or even better:  
\hypersetup{hidelinks}.
```

If you want to have obvious links in the PDF but not the printed text, use:

```
\hypersetup{colorlinks=false}.
```


Bibliography

Computernetze Vorlesungsunterlagen Uebertragungsmedien. HS: 2015.

Gartner Gartner Says Worldwide Sales of Smartphones Returned to Growth in First Quarter of 2018. <https://www.gartner.com/newsroom/id/3876865>. Accessed: 2018-07-18.

Kerem Ozsoy, Ayhan Bozkurt and Ibrahim Tekin (2013). "Indoor Positioning Based on Global Positioning System Signals". In: 11.1.

Kerner, Richard (2017). "The Thales experiment". In: 11.3, pp. 1–2. URL: <https://arxiv.org/pdf/1712.06016.pdf>.

Neto, José Luis Carrera Villacrés Zhongliang Zhao Torsten Braun Zan Li Augusto (2018). "A Real-time Indoor Tracking System in Smartphones". In: 11.2.

SewioTDOA UWB Technology - Time difference of arrival. <https://www.sewio.net/technology/time-difference-of-arrival/>. Accessed: 2018-07-23.

SewioTWR UWB Technology - Two way ranging. <https://www.sewio.net/technology/two-way-ranging/>. Accessed: 2018-07-23.

Statista Internet of Things - number of connected devices worldwide 2015-2025. <https://www.statista.com/statistics/471264/iot-number-of-connected-devices-worldwide/>. Accessed: 2018-07-19.