

On a new method towards proof of Riemann's Hypothesis

Akhila Raman

University of California at Berkeley. Email: akhila.raman@berkeley.edu.

Abstract

We consider the analytic continuation of Riemann's Zeta Function derived from **Riemann's Xi function** $\xi(s)$ which is evaluated at $s = \frac{1}{2} + \sigma + i\omega$, given by $\xi(\frac{1}{2} + \sigma + i\omega) = E_{p\omega}(\omega)$, where σ, ω are real and $-\infty \leq \omega \leq \infty$ and compute its inverse Fourier transform given by $E_p(t)$.

We use a new method and show that the Fourier Transform of $E_p(t)$ given by $E_{p\omega}(\omega) = \xi(\frac{1}{2} + \sigma + i\omega)$ **does not have zeros** for finite and real ω when $0 < |\sigma| < \frac{1}{2}$, corresponding to the critical strip **excluding** the critical line and prove Riemann's hypothesis.

More importantly, the new method **does not** contradict the existence of non-trivial zeros on the critical line with real part of $s = \frac{1}{2}$ and **does not** contradict Riemann Hypothesis. It is shown that the new method is **not** applicable to Hurwitz zeta function and related functions and **does not** contradict the existence of their non-trivial zeros away from the critical line.

If the specific solution presented in this paper is incorrect, it is **hoped** that the new method discussed in this paper will lead to a correct solution by other researchers.

Keywords: Riemann, Hypothesis, Zeta, Xi, exponential functions

1. Introduction

It is well known that Riemann's Zeta function given by $\zeta(s) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m^s}$ converges in the half-plane where the real part of s is greater than 1. Riemann proved that $\zeta(s)$ has an analytic continuation to the whole s-plane apart from a simple pole at $s = 1$ and that $\zeta(s)$ satisfies a symmetric functional equation given by $\xi(s) = \xi(1-s) = \frac{1}{2}s(s-1)\pi^{-\frac{s}{2}}\Gamma(\frac{s}{2})\zeta(s)$ where $\Gamma(s) = \int_0^{\infty} e^{-u}u^{s-1}du$ is the Gamma function.^{[4] [5]} We can see that if Riemann's Xi function has a zero in the critical strip, then Riemann's Zeta function also has a zero at the same location. Riemann made his conjecture in his 1859 paper, that all of the non-trivial zeros of $\zeta(s)$ lie on the critical line with real part of $s = \frac{1}{2}$, which is called the Riemann Hypothesis.^[1]

Hardy and Littlewood later proved that infinitely many of the zeros of $\zeta(s)$ are on the critical line with real part of $s = \frac{1}{2}$.^[2] It is well known that $\zeta(s)$ does not have non-trivial zeros when real part of $s = \frac{1}{2} + \sigma + i\omega$, given by $\frac{1}{2} + \sigma \geq 1$ and $\frac{1}{2} + \sigma \leq 0$. In this paper, **critical strip** $0 < \text{Re}[s] < 1$ corresponds to $0 \leq |\sigma| < \frac{1}{2}$.

In this paper, a **new method** is discussed and a specific solution is presented to prove Riemann's Hypothesis. If the specific solution presented in this paper is incorrect, it is **hoped** that the new method discussed in this paper will lead to a correct solution by other researchers.

In Section 2, we prove Riemann's hypothesis by taking the analytic continuation of Riemann's Zeta Function derived from Riemann's Xi function $\xi(\frac{1}{2} + \sigma + i\omega) = E_{p\omega}(\omega)$ and compute inverse Fourier transform of $E_{p\omega}(\omega)$ given by $E_p(t)$ and show that its Fourier transform $E_{p\omega}(\omega)$ does not have zeros for finite and real ω when $0 < |\sigma| < \frac{1}{2}$, corresponding to the critical strip **excluding** the critical line.

In Appendix A to Appendix G, well known results which are used in this paper are re-derived.

We present an **outline** of the new method below.

1.1. Step 1: Inverse Fourier Transform of $\xi(\frac{1}{2} + i\omega)$

Let us start with Riemann's Xi Function $\xi(s)$ evaluated at $s = \frac{1}{2} + i\omega$ given by $\xi(\frac{1}{2} + i\omega) = \Xi(\omega) = E_{0\omega}(\omega)$, where $-\infty \leq \omega \leq \infty$. Its inverse Fourier Transform is given by $E_0(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} E_{0\omega}(\omega) e^{i\omega t} d\omega$, where ω, t are real, as follows (link).^[3] This is re-derived in Appendix B.

$$E_0(t) = \Phi(t) = 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [2n^4 \pi^2 e^{\frac{9t}{2}} - 3n^2 \pi e^{\frac{5t}{2}}] e^{-\pi n^2 e^{2t}} = 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [2\pi^2 n^4 e^{4t} - 3\pi n^2 e^{2t}] e^{-\pi n^2 e^{2t}} e^{\frac{t}{2}} \quad (1)$$

We see that $E_0(t) = E_0(-t)$ is a real and **even** function of t , given that $E_{0\omega}(\omega) = E_{0\omega}(-\omega)$ because $\xi(s) = \xi(1-s)$ and hence $\xi(\frac{1}{2} + i\omega) = \xi(\frac{1}{2} - i\omega)$ when evaluated at $s = \frac{1}{2} + i\omega$.

The inverse Fourier Transform of $\xi(\frac{1}{2} + \sigma + i\omega) = E_{p\omega}(\omega)$ is given by the real function $E_p(t)$. We can write $E_p(t)$ as follows for $0 < |\sigma| < \frac{1}{2}$ and this is shown in detail in Appendix A using contour integration.

$$E_p(t) = E_0(t) e^{-\sigma t} = 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [2\pi^2 n^4 e^{4t} - 3\pi n^2 e^{2t}] e^{-\pi n^2 e^{2t}} e^{\frac{t}{2}} e^{-\sigma t} \quad (2)$$

We can see that $E_p(t)$ is an analytic function in the interval $|t| \leq \infty$, given that the sum and product of exponential functions are analytic in the same interval and hence infinitely differentiable in that interval.

1.2. Step 2: Taylor's series representation of $E_p(t)$

We can substitute $z = e^{2t}$ in Eq. 2 as follows.

$$E_p(t) = 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [2\pi^2 n^4 e^{4t} - 3\pi n^2 e^{2t}] e^{-\pi n^2 e^{2t}} e^{\frac{t}{2}} e^{-\sigma t} = E_0(t) e^{-\sigma t} = f(e^{2t}) e^{\frac{t}{2}} e^{-\sigma t}$$

$$f(z) = 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [2\pi^2 n^4 z^2 - 3\pi n^2 z] e^{-\pi n^2 z} \quad (3)$$

We can expand the real analytic function $f(z)$ using Taylor series expansion **around** $z = 1$ as follows.

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n z^2 \left[\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} d_{nk} (z-1)^k \right] - b_n z \left[\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} d_{nk} (z-1)^k \right]$$

$$a_n = 4\pi^2 n^4 e^{-\pi n^2}, \quad b_n = 6\pi n^2 e^{-\pi n^2}, \quad d_{nk} = \frac{(-\pi n^2)^k}{k!} \quad (4)$$

Now we substitute $z = e^{2t}$ in Eq. 7 and we can write the Taylor series expansion of $E_p(t)$ as follows and we use binomial series expansion $(e^{2t} - 1)^v = \sum_{p=0}^v \binom{v}{p} (-1)^p e^{2t(v-p)}$ for v is a positive integer.

$$E_p(t) = \left[\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n e^{4t} \left[\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} d_{nk} (e^{2t} - 1)^k \right] - b_n e^{2t} \left[\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} d_{nk} (e^{2t} - 1)^k \right] \right] e^{\frac{t}{2}} e^{-\sigma t}$$

$$E_p(t) = \left[\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} d_{nk} \left[\sum_{p=0}^k \binom{k}{p} (-1)^p e^{2t(k+2-p)} \right] - b_n \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} d_{nk} \left[\sum_{p=0}^k \binom{k}{p} (-1)^p e^{2t(k+1-p)} \right] \right] e^{\frac{t}{2}} e^{-\sigma t}$$

(5)

This equation can be simplified as follows, using shorthand notation.

$$E_p(t) = \sum_{n,k,r,p} c_{nkrp} e^{b_{krp}t} e^{-\sigma t}$$

$$b_{krp} = (2k + \frac{5}{2} + 2r - 2p), \quad \sum_{n,k,r,p} c_{nkrp} = \sum_{r=0}^1 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e_{nr} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} d_{nk} \sum_{p=0}^k \binom{k}{p} (-1)^p, \quad e_{n1} = a_n, \quad e_{n0} = -b_n,$$
(6)

In Section 1.1, we showed that $E_0(t) = E_0(-t)$ and we can write $E_p(t) = E_0(t)e^{-\sigma t}$ as an **infinite summation** of two-sided decaying exponential functions as follows.

$$E_p(t) = \left[\sum_{n,k,r,p} c_{nkrp} e^{b_{krp}t} u(-t) + \sum_{n,k,r,p} c_{nkrp} e^{-b_{krp}t} u(t) \right] e^{-\sigma t}$$
(7)

1.3. Step 3: Two-sided decaying exponentials and zeros in their Fourier transform

We know that a real two-sided decaying exponential function $g_0(t) = e^{bt}u(-t) + e^{-at}u(t)$, where $u(t)$ is Heaviside unit step function and $a, b > 0$ and t are real, has Fourier Transform $G_0(\omega)$, where ω is real. (link)

$$G_0(\omega) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g_0(t) e^{-i\omega t} dt = \frac{1}{b - i\omega} + \frac{1}{a + i\omega} = \frac{b + i\omega}{b^2 + \omega^2} + \frac{a - i\omega}{a^2 + \omega^2}$$

$$= \left[\frac{b}{b^2 + \omega^2} + \frac{a}{a^2 + \omega^2} \right] + i\omega \left[\frac{1}{b^2 + \omega^2} - \frac{1}{a^2 + \omega^2} \right]$$
(8)

We can see that the real part of $G_0(\omega)$ given by $\frac{b}{b^2 + \omega^2} + \frac{a}{a^2 + \omega^2}$ **does not have zeros** for any finite real value of ω and hence $G_0(\omega)$ does not have zeros for any finite value of ω .

Given that the inverse Fourier Transform of Riemann Xi function $\xi(\frac{1}{2} + \sigma + i\omega) = E_{p\omega}(\omega)$ given by $E_p(t)$ is expressed as an **infinite summation of two-sided decaying exponential functions** in previous subsection, we could investigate if $E_{p\omega}(\omega)$ also does not have zeros for any finite real value of ω .

1.4. Step 4: On the zeros of a related function $G(\omega)$

Statement 1: Let us assume that Riemann's Xi function $\xi(\frac{1}{2} + \sigma + i\omega) = E_{p\omega}(\omega)$ has a zero at $\omega = \omega_0$ where ω_0 is real and finite and $0 < |\sigma| < \frac{1}{2}$, corresponding to the critical strip excluding the critical line. We will prove that this assumption leads to a **contradiction**.

Let us consider $0 < \sigma < \frac{1}{2}$ at first. Let us consider a new function $g(t) = E_p(t)e^{-\sigma t}u(-t) + E_p(t)e^{\sigma t}u(t)$ where $g(t)$ is a real function of variable t and $u(t)$ is Heaviside unit step function. We can see that $g(t)h(t) = E_p(t)$ where $h(t) = [e^{\sigma t}u(-t) + e^{-\sigma t}u(t)]$.

In **Appendix G**, we will show that the Fourier transform of the **even function** $g_{\text{even}}(t) = \frac{1}{2}[g(t) + g(-t)]$ given by $G_{\text{even}}(\omega) = G_R(\omega)$ must have **at least one zero** at $\omega = \omega_1 \neq 0$ to satisfy Statement 1, where ω_1 is real and finite.

As an **example**, consider $E_p(t) = e^{bt}u(t) + e^{-at}u(-t)$ where $a, b > \sigma > 0$ are real and $h(t) = [e^{\sigma t}u(-t) + e^{-\sigma t}u(t)]$. We see that $g(t) = e^{(b-\sigma)t}u(-t) + e^{-(a-\sigma)t}u(t)$. The real part of Fourier transform of $g(t)$ is given by $G_R(\omega) = \frac{(b-\sigma)}{(b-\sigma)^2 + \omega^2} + \frac{(a-\sigma)}{(a-\sigma)^2 + \omega^2}$ **does not** have any zeros for real and finite ω . The Fourier transform of $h(t)$ is given by

$H(\omega) = \frac{2\sigma}{(\sigma)^2 + \omega^2}$ also **does not** have any zeros for real and finite ω .

Because $g(t)h(t) = E_p(t)$ corresponds to **convolution** of the respective Fourier transforms (plot), therefore real part of Fourier transform of $E_p(t)$ given by $Re[E_{p\omega}(\omega)]$ **cannot** have zeros for real and finite ω , which **contradicts** Statement 1. Therefore $G_R(\omega)$ must have **at least one zero** at $\omega = \omega_1 \neq 0$ to satisfy Statement 1, where ω_1 is real and finite.

Similarly, in Section 2.1, we consider the **even** function $g(t) = [e^{-\sigma t_0} E_p(t - t_0) + e^{\sigma t_0} E_p(t + t_0)]e^{-\sigma t}u(-t) + [e^{-\sigma t_0} E_p(t - t_0) + e^{\sigma t_0} E_p(t + t_0)]e^{3\sigma t}u(t)$ for $|t_0| \leq \infty$ and $h(t) = [e^{\sigma t}u(-t) + e^{-3\sigma t}u(t)]$ where $g(t)h(t) = E_p(t)$ and show that Fourier transform of the **even function** $g_{even}(t) = \frac{1}{2}[g(t) + g(-t)]$ given by $G_{even}(\omega) = G_R(\omega)$ must have **at least one zero** at $\omega = \omega_2(t_0) \neq 0$, for **every value** of t_0 , to satisfy Statement 1, where $\omega_2(t_0)$ is real and finite.

1.5. Step 5: On the zeros of the function $G_R(\omega)$

In Section 2.1, we compute the Fourier transform of the even function $g(t)$ given by $G_R(\omega)$. We require $G_R(\omega) = 0$ for $\omega = \omega_2(t_0)$ for every value of t_0 , to satisfy **Statement 1**. In general, $\omega_2(t_0) \neq \omega_0$.

It is shown that $R(t_0) = G_R(\omega_2(t_0), t_0)$ is an **odd** function of variable t_0 as follows.

$$R(t_0) = e^{2\sigma t_0} [\cos(\omega_2(t_0)t_0) \int_{-\infty}^{t_0} E_0(\tau) e^{-2\sigma\tau} \cos(\omega_2(t_0)\tau) d\tau + \sin(\omega_2(t_0)t_0) \int_{-\infty}^{t_0} E_0(\tau) e^{-2\sigma\tau} \sin(\omega_2(t_0)\tau) d\tau] \quad (9)$$

Using Taylor series representation of $E_p(t) = \sum_{n,k,r,p} c_{nkrp} e^{b_{krp}t} e^{-\sigma t}$, we use the fact that $E_0(t) = E_0(-t)$, we can write as follows.

$$R(t_0) = \sum_{n,k,r,p} c_{nkrp} \frac{(b_{krp} - 2\sigma)e^{(b_{krp})t_0}}{(\omega_2^2(t_0) + (b_{krp} - 2\sigma)^2)} \quad (10)$$

We see that there is a **one to one correspondence** between the integral representation in Eq. 9 and Taylor series representation in Eq. 10. Given that it is easier to show integral convergence, we use only the integral representation in subsequent steps.

1.6. Step 6: Power series representation of $R(t_0)$

In Section 2.2, it is shown that $R(t_0)$ can be expressed as power series in t_0 as follows, where $e_0 = E_0(0)$, $\omega_{20} = [\omega_2(t_0)]_{t_0=0}$, $M(t_0) = \int_{-\infty}^0 E_0(\tau) e^{-2\sigma\tau} \cos(\omega_2(t_0)\tau) d\tau = [m_0 + m_1 t_0 + m_2 t_0^2 + \dots]$ and $N(t_0) = \int_{-\infty}^0 E_0(\tau) e^{-2\sigma\tau} \sin(\omega_2(t_0)\tau) d\tau = [n_0 + n_1 t_0 + n_2 t_0^2 + \dots]$.

$$R(t_0) = m_0 + (e_0 + n_0 \omega_{20} + 2\sigma m_0) t_0 + t_0^2 (m_2 + \sigma e_0 + 2\sigma n_0 \omega_{20} + 2\sigma^2 m_0 - m_0 \frac{\omega_{20}^2}{2}) + \dots \quad (11)$$

Given that $R(t_0) = G_R(\omega_2(t_0), t_0)$ is an **odd** function of variable t_0 , we get $m_0 = 0$ and $m_2 + \sigma e_0 + 2\sigma n_0 \omega_{20} = 0$.

1.7. Step 7: Next Step

In Section 2.3, we replace $E_p(t)$ by $E_{pp}(t) = e^{\sigma t_2} E_p(t + t_2) + e^{-\sigma t_2} E_p(t - t_2)$, for $|t_2| \leq \infty$ and derive as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}
m_0'(t_2) &= R'(t_2) + R'(-t_2) = 0 \\
R'(t_2) &= e^{2\sigma t_2} [\cos(\omega_{20}(t_2)t_2) \int_{-\infty}^{t_2} E_0(\tau) e^{-2\sigma\tau} \cos(\omega_{20}(t_2)\tau) d\tau + \sin(\omega_{20}(t_2)t_2) \int_{-\infty}^{t_2} E_0(\tau) e^{-2\sigma\tau} \sin(\omega_{20}(t_2)\tau) d\tau] \\
A(t_2) &= m_2'(t_2) + \sigma e_0'(t_2) + 2\sigma n_0'(t_2) \omega_2(t_2) = 0 \\
e_0'(t_2) &= E_0(t_2) + E_0(-t_2) \\
n_0'(t_2) &= n_{0p}(t_2) + n_{0p}(-t_2) \\
n_{0p}(t_2) &= e^{2\sigma t_2} [\cos(\omega_2(t_2)t_2) \int_{-\infty}^{t_2} E_0(\tau) e^{-2\sigma\tau} \sin(\omega_2(t_2)\tau) d\tau - \sin(\omega_2(t_2)t_2) \int_{-\infty}^{t_2} E_0(\tau) e^{-2\sigma\tau} \cos(\omega_2(t_2)\tau) d\tau] \\
m_2'(t_2) &= m_{2p}(t_2) + m_{2p}(-t_2) \\
m_{2p}(t_2) &= -\frac{1}{2} \frac{d^2 \omega_2(t_2)}{dt_2^2} e^{2\sigma t_2} [\cos(\omega_2(t_2)t_2) \int_{-\infty}^{t_2} (\tau - t_2) E_0(\tau) e^{-2\sigma\tau} \sin(\omega_2(t_2)\tau) d\tau \\
&\quad - \sin(\omega_2(t_2)t_2) \int_{-\infty}^{t_2} (\tau - t_2) E_0(\tau) e^{-2\sigma\tau} \cos(\omega_2(t_2)\tau) d\tau]
\end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

1.8. Step 8: Asymptotic Case and Final result

In Section 2.4, we consider the asymptotic case and show that $\lim_{t_2 \rightarrow \infty} \omega_2(t_2) = \omega_z$ and derive as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}
\lim_{t_2 \rightarrow \infty} A(t_2) &= \lim_{t_2 \rightarrow \infty} 2\sigma \omega_z n_0'(t_2) = 0 \\
\lim_{t_2 \rightarrow \infty} n_0'(t_2) &= 0 \\
\lim_{t_2 \rightarrow \infty} m_0'(t_2) &= 0 \\
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} E_0(t) e^{-2\sigma t} e^{i(\omega_z t)} dt &= 0
\end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

We started with **Statement 1** that the Fourier Transform of the function $E_p(t) = E_0(t) e^{-\sigma t}$ has a zero at $\omega = \omega_0$ which means that $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} E_0(\tau) e^{-\sigma\tau} e^{-i\omega_0\tau} d\tau = 0$ and we derived the result that $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} E_0(\tau) e^{-2\sigma\tau} e^{-i\omega_z\tau} d\tau = 0$.

We repeat above steps N times till $(2^{N+1}\sigma) > \frac{1}{2}$ and get the result $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} E_0(\tau) e^{-(2^{N+1}\sigma)\tau} e^{-i\omega_{(zN)}\tau} d\tau = 0$. In each iteration n , we use $h(t) = e^{(2^{N+1}\sigma)t} u(-t) + e^{-3*(2^{N+1}\sigma)t} u(t)$. We know that the Fourier Transform of $E_0(t) e^{-(2^{N+1}\sigma)t}$ **does not** have a real zero for $(2^{N+1}\sigma) > \frac{1}{2}$, corresponding to $Re[s] > 1$ and we show a **contradiction** of **Statement 1** that the Fourier Transform of the function $E_p(t) = E_0(t) e^{-\sigma t}$ has a zero at $\omega = \omega_0$.

2. An Approach towards Riemann's Hypothesis: Method 1

Theorem 1: Riemann's Xi function $\xi(\frac{1}{2} + \sigma + i\omega) = E_{p\omega}(\omega)$ does not have zeros for any real value of $-\infty < \omega < \infty$, for $0 < |\sigma| < \frac{1}{2}$, corresponding to the critical strip excluding the critical line, given that $E_0(t) = E_0(-t)$ is an even function of variable t , where $E_p(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} E_{p\omega}(\omega) e^{i\omega t} d\omega$, $E_p(t) = E_0(t) e^{-\sigma t}$ and $E_0(t) = 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [2\pi^2 n^4 e^{4t} - 3\pi n^2 e^{2t}] e^{-\pi n^2 e^{2t}} e^{\frac{t}{2}}$.

Proof: We assume that Riemann Hypothesis is false and prove its truth using proof by contradiction.

Statement 1: Let us assume that Riemann's Xi function $\xi(\frac{1}{2} + \sigma + i\omega) = E_{p\omega}(\omega)$ has a zero at $\omega = \omega_0$ where ω_0 is real and finite and $0 < |\sigma| < \frac{1}{2}$, corresponding to the critical strip excluding the critical line. We will prove that this assumption leads to a **contradiction**.

We will prove it for $0 < \sigma < \frac{1}{2}$ first and then use the property $\xi(\frac{1}{2} + \sigma + i\omega) = \xi(\frac{1}{2} - \sigma - i\omega)$ to show the result for $-\frac{1}{2} < \sigma < 0$ and hence show the result for $0 < |\sigma| < \frac{1}{2}$.

We know that $\omega_0 \neq 0$, because $\zeta(s)$ has no zeros on the real axis between 0 and 1, when $s = \frac{1}{2} + \sigma + i\omega$ is real, $\omega = 0$ and $0 < |\sigma| < \frac{1}{2}$. [3] This is shown in detail in first two paragraphs in Appendix D.1.

2.1. On a related function $G(\omega)$

Let us form a new function $f(t) = e^{-\sigma t_0} E_p(t-t_0) + e^{\sigma t_0} E_p(t+t_0) = [E_0(t+t_0) + E_0(t-t_0)] e^{-\sigma t} = E_{0n}(t) e^{-\sigma t}$, where $|t_0| \leq \infty$, $E_{0n}(t) = E_{0n}(-t) = E_0(t+t_0) + E_0(t-t_0)$. Its Fourier Transform given by $F(\omega) = E_{p\omega}(\omega) [e^{-\sigma t_0} e^{-i\omega t_0} + e^{\sigma t_0} e^{i\omega t_0}]$ also has a zero at $\omega = \omega_0$.

Let us consider another function $g(t) = g_-(t)u(-t) + g_+(t)u(t)$ where $g(t)$ is a real and **even symmetric** function of variable t and $u(t)$ is Heaviside unit step function and $g_-(t) = f(t)e^{-\sigma t}$ and $g_+(t) = g_-(-t) = f(-t)e^{\sigma t} = f(t)e^{3\sigma t}$, because $f(t) = E_{0n}(t)e^{-\sigma t}$, $f(-t)e^{\sigma t} = E_{0n}(t)e^{2\sigma t}$, $f(t)e^{3\sigma t} = E_{0n}(t)e^{2\sigma t}$ and $E_{0n}(t) = E_{0n}(-t)$. We see that $g(t) = E_{0n}(t)e^{-2\sigma t}u(-t) + E_{0n}(t)e^{2\sigma t}u(t)$. We can see that $g(t)h(t) = f(t)$ where $h(t) = [e^{\sigma t}u(-t) + e^{-3\sigma t}u(t)]$.

We can see that $g(t)$ is a real L^1 integrable function, its Fourier transform $G(\omega)$ is finite for $|\omega| < \infty$ and goes to zero as $\omega \rightarrow \pm\infty$, as per **Riemann-Lebesgue Lemma** [Riemann Lebesgue Lemma]. This is explained in detail in Appendix D.1.

If we take the Fourier transform of the equation $g(t)h(t) = f(t)$ where $h(t) = [e^{\sigma t}u(-t) + e^{-3\sigma t}u(t)]$, we get $\frac{1}{2\pi} [G(\omega) * H(\omega)] = F(\omega)$ where $*$ denotes convolution operation given by $F(\omega) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} G(\omega') H(\omega - \omega') d\omega'$ and $H(\omega) = [\frac{1}{\sigma - i\omega} + \frac{1}{3\sigma + i\omega}] = [\frac{\sigma}{(\sigma^2 + \omega^2)} + \frac{3\sigma}{(9\sigma^2 + \omega^2)}] + i\omega [\frac{1}{(\sigma^2 - \omega^2)} - \frac{1}{(9\sigma^2 + \omega^2)}]$ is the Fourier transform of the function $h(t)$.

For **every value** of t_0 , we require the Fourier transform of the function $f(t)$ given by $F(\omega)$ to have a zero at $\omega = \omega_0$. This implies that the Fourier transform of the **even** function $g(t)$ given by $G(\omega) = G_R(\omega)$ must have **at least one real zero** at $\omega = \omega_2(t_0)$ for **every value** of t_0 . Because the real part of $H(\omega)$ given by $H_R(\omega) = \frac{\sigma}{(\sigma^2 + \omega^2)} + \frac{3\sigma}{(9\sigma^2 + \omega^2)}$ does not have real zeros, if $G_R(\omega)$ does not have real zeros, then $F_R(\omega) = G_R(\omega) * H_R(\omega)$ obtained by the convolution of $H_R(\omega)$ and $G_R(\omega)$, cannot possibly have real zeros, which goes against **Statement 1**.

This is explained in detail in Appendix G.

Next Step

Let us compute the Fourier transform of the function $g(t)$ given by $G(\omega)$.

$$\begin{aligned}
g(t) &= g_-(t)u(-t) + g_+(t)u(t) = g_-(t)u(-t) + g_-(-t)u(t) \\
g(t) &= [e^{-\sigma t_0} E_p(t - t_0) + e^{\sigma t_0} E_p(t + t_0)]e^{-\sigma t}u(-t) + [e^{-\sigma t_0} E_p(-t - t_0) + e^{\sigma t_0} E_p(-t + t_0)]e^{\sigma t}u(t) \\
G(\omega) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(t)e^{-i\omega t}dt = \int_{-\infty}^0 [e^{-\sigma t_0} E_p(t - t_0) + e^{\sigma t_0} E_p(t + t_0)]e^{-\sigma t}e^{-i\omega t}dt \\
&\quad + \int_0^{\infty} [e^{-\sigma t_0} E_p(-t - t_0) + e^{\sigma t_0} E_p(-t + t_0)]e^{\sigma t}e^{-i\omega t}dt
\end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

In the second integral in above equation ,we can substitute $t = -t$ and we get

$$\begin{aligned}
G(\omega) &= \int_{-\infty}^0 [e^{-\sigma t_0} E_p(t - t_0) + e^{\sigma t_0} E_p(t + t_0)]e^{-\sigma t}e^{-i\omega t}dt + \int_{-\infty}^0 [e^{-\sigma t_0} E_p(t - t_0) + e^{\sigma t_0} E_p(t + t_0)]e^{-\sigma t}e^{i\omega t}dt \\
G(\omega) &= 2 \int_{-\infty}^0 [e^{-\sigma t_0} E_p(t - t_0) + e^{\sigma t_0} E_p(t + t_0)]e^{-\sigma t} \cos \omega t dt = G_R(\omega) + iG_I(\omega) = G_R(\omega)
\end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

Using the substitutions $t - t_0 = \tau, dt = d\tau$ and $t + t_0 = \tau, dt = d\tau$, we can write the above equation as follows. We use $E_p(\tau) = E_0(\tau)e^{-\sigma\tau}$.

$$\begin{aligned}
G_R(\omega) &= G_R(\omega, t_0) = G_2(\omega, t_0) + G_2(\omega, -t_0) \\
G_2(\omega, t_0) &= 2e^{\sigma t_0}e^{\sigma t_0}[\cos(\omega t_0) \int_{-\infty}^{t_0} E_p(\tau)e^{-\sigma\tau} \cos(\omega\tau)d\tau + \sin(\omega t_0) \int_{-\infty}^{t_0} E_p(\tau)e^{-\sigma\tau} \sin(\omega\tau)d\tau] \\
G_2(\omega, t_0) &= 2e^{2\sigma t_0}[\cos(\omega t_0) \int_{-\infty}^{t_0} E_0(\tau)e^{-2\sigma\tau} \cos(\omega\tau)d\tau + \sin(\omega t_0) \int_{-\infty}^{t_0} E_0(\tau)e^{-2\sigma\tau} \sin(\omega\tau)d\tau]
\end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

We require $G(\omega) = G_R(\omega) = 0$ for $\omega = \omega_2(t_0)$ for **every value** of t_0 , to satisfy **Statement 1**. Hence we can see that $R(t_0) = \frac{1}{2}G_2(\omega_2(t_0), t_0)$ is an **odd function** of variable t_0 .

$$\begin{aligned}
G(\omega_2(t_0), t_0) &= G_2(\omega_2(t_0), t_0) + G_2(\omega_2(t_0), -t_0) = 0 \\
R(t_0) &= \frac{1}{2}G_2(\omega_2(t_0), t_0) \\
R(t_0) &= e^{2\sigma t_0}[\cos(\omega_2(t_0)t_0) \int_{-\infty}^{t_0} E_0(\tau)e^{-2\sigma\tau} \cos(\omega_2(t_0)\tau)d\tau + \sin(\omega_2(t_0)t_0) \int_{-\infty}^{t_0} E_0(\tau)e^{-2\sigma\tau} \sin(\omega_2(t_0)\tau)d\tau] \\
R(t_0) + R(-t_0) &= 0
\end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

We see that $f(t) = e^{-\sigma t_0} E_p(t - t_0) + e^{\sigma t_0} E_p(t + t_0)$ is **unchanged** by the substitution $t_0 = -t_0$ and hence $\omega_2(t_0)$ is an **even** function of variable t_0 .

2.2. Power Series Representation of $R(t_0)$

In this section, we assume that $\omega_2(t_0)$ is analytic in $|t_0| \leq \infty$ and hence can be expanded using Taylor series around $t_0 = 0$, given by $\omega_2(t_0) = w_{20} + w_{22}t_0^2 + \dots = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} w_{2(2k)}t_0^{2k}$. [We can also derive the results for $R(t_0)$ using integration by parts, **without** using Taylor series for $\omega_2(t_0)$ as shown in Appendix F].

In Appendix E, we write $R(t_0)$ using Taylor series for $\omega_2(t_0)$ and we can write Eq. 17 as follows, where $M(t_0) = \int_{-\infty}^0 E_0(\tau)e^{-2\sigma\tau} \cos(\omega_2(t_0)\tau)d\tau = [m_0 + m_1 t_0 + m_2 t_0^2 + \dots]$ and $N(t_0) = \int_{-\infty}^0 E_0(\tau)e^{-2\sigma\tau} \sin(\omega_2(t_0)\tau)d\tau = [n_0 + n_1 t_0 + n_2 t_0^2 + \dots]$. We get $m_1 = n_1 = 0$ because $\omega_2(t_0)$ is an **even** function of variable t_0 .

$$R(t_0) = m_0 + (e_0 + n_0\omega_{20} + 2\sigma m_0)t_0 + t_0^2(m_2 + \sigma e_0 + 2\sigma n_0\omega_{20} + 2\sigma^2 m_0 - m_0 \frac{\omega_{20}^2}{2}) + \dots \quad (18)$$

The equations for m_0, m_2, n_0 are described in Appendix E.4. Given that $R(t_0)$ is an **odd function** of variable t_0 , we get

$$\begin{aligned} m_0 &= 0 \\ m_2 + \sigma e_0 + 2\sigma n_0\omega_{20} + 2\sigma^2 m_0 - m_0 \frac{\omega_{20}^2}{2} &= 0, \quad m_2 + \sigma e_0 + 2\sigma n_0\omega_{20} = 0 \\ m_0 &= \int_{-\infty}^0 E_0(\tau)e^{-2\sigma\tau} \cos(\omega_{20}\tau)d\tau, \quad n_0 = \int_{-\infty}^0 E_0(\tau)e^{-2\sigma\tau} \sin(\omega_{20}\tau)d\tau \\ m_2 &= -\omega_{22} \int_{-\infty}^0 \tau E_0(\tau)e^{-2\sigma\tau} \sin(\omega_{20}\tau)d\tau, \quad e_0 = E_0(0) \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

2.3. Next Step

If we replace $E_p(t)$ in above section by $E_{pp}(t) = e^{\sigma t_2} E_p(t + t_2) + e^{-\sigma t_2} E_p(t - t_2) = [E_0(t + t_2) + E_0(t - t_2)]e^{-\sigma t} = E'_0(t)e^{-\sigma t}$, for $|t_2| \leq \infty$, where $E'_0(t) = E_0(t + t_2) + E_0(t - t_2)$, the location of the zeros in Fourier transform of $g(t, t_0, t_2)$ are represented by $\omega'_2(t_2, t_0)$ and using method in the above section, we can get results similar to Eq. 19 with $E_0(t)$ replaced by $E'_0(t)$ and ω_{20} replaced by $\omega'_{20}(t_2)$ and other variables replaced with their **primed** versions as follows. We use $\omega'_2(t_2, t_0) = \omega'_{20}(t_2) + \omega'_{22}(t_2)t_0^2 + \dots$

$$\begin{aligned} m'_0(t_2) &= \int_{-\infty}^0 E'_0(\tau)e^{-2\sigma\tau} \cos(\omega'_{20}(t_2)\tau)d\tau = 0 \\ m'_2(t_2) + \sigma e'_0(t_2) + 2\sigma n'_0(t_2)\omega'_{20}(t_2) &= 0 \\ n'_0(t_2) &= \int_{-\infty}^0 E'_0(\tau)e^{-2\sigma\tau} \sin(\omega'_{20}(t_2)\tau)d\tau \\ m'_2(t_2) &= -\omega'_{22}(t_2) \int_{-\infty}^0 \tau E'_0(\tau)e^{-2\sigma\tau} \sin(\omega'_{20}(t_2)\tau)d\tau, \quad e'_0(t_2) = E'_0(0) = E_0(t_2) + E_0(-t_2) \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

We use $E'_0(t) = E_0(t + t_2) + E_0(t - t_2)$ in Eq. 20 and then substitute $t + t_2 = \tau$ for the first term and $t - t_2 = \tau$ for the second term and get $m'_0(t_2)$ as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} m'_0(t_2) &= e^{2\sigma t_2} [\cos(\omega'_{20}(t_2)t_2) \int_{-\infty}^{t_2} E_0(\tau)e^{-2\sigma\tau} \cos(\omega'_{20}(t_2)\tau)d\tau + \sin(\omega'_{20}(t_2)t_2) \int_{-\infty}^{t_2} E_0(\tau)e^{-2\sigma\tau} \sin(\omega'_{20}(t_2)\tau)d\tau] \\ &+ e^{-2\sigma t_2} [\cos(\omega'_{20}(t_2)t_2) \int_{-\infty}^{-t_2} E_0(\tau)e^{-2\sigma\tau} \cos(\omega'_{20}(t_2)\tau)d\tau - \sin(\omega'_{20}(t_2)t_2) \int_{-\infty}^{-t_2} E_0(\tau)e^{-2\sigma\tau} \sin(\omega'_{20}(t_2)\tau)d\tau] = 0 \\ m'_0(t_2) &= R'(t_2) + R'(-t_2) = 0 \\ R'(t_2) &= e^{2\sigma t_2} [\cos(\omega'_{20}(t_2)t_2) \int_{-\infty}^{t_2} E_0(\tau)e^{-2\sigma\tau} \cos(\omega'_{20}(t_2)\tau)d\tau + \sin(\omega'_{20}(t_2)t_2) \int_{-\infty}^{t_2} E_0(\tau)e^{-2\sigma\tau} \sin(\omega'_{20}(t_2)\tau)d\tau] \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

We compare Eq. 21 with Eq. 17 and see that $R(t_0)$ and $R'(t_2)$ are similar equations, with $t_0, \omega_2(t_0)$ replaced by $t_2, \omega_2'(t_2)$ and hence both equations **must have at least one** common solution with $\omega_2(t_0) = \omega_2'(t_2)$. Hence we replace $\omega_2'(t_2)$ in Eq. 20 with $\omega_2(t_2)$ and use $E_0'(t) = E_0(t + t_2) + E_0(t - t_2)$ and write as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}
n_0'(t_2) &= n_{0p}(t_2) + n_{0p}(-t_2) \\
n_{0p}(t_2) &= e^{2\sigma t_2} [\cos(\omega_2(t_2)t_2) \int_{-\infty}^{t_2} E_0(\tau) e^{-2\sigma\tau} \sin(\omega_2(t_2)\tau) d\tau - \sin(\omega_2(t_2)t_2) \int_{-\infty}^{t_2} E_0(\tau) e^{-2\sigma\tau} \cos(\omega_2(t_2)\tau) d\tau] \\
m_2'(t_2) &= m_{2p}(t_2) + m_{2p}(-t_2) \\
m_{2p}(t_2) &= -\frac{1}{2} \frac{d^2\omega_2(t_2)}{dt_2^2} e^{2\sigma t_2} [\cos(\omega_2(t_2)t_2) \int_{-\infty}^{t_2} (\tau - t_2) E_0(\tau) e^{-2\sigma\tau} \sin(\omega_2(t_2)\tau) d\tau \\
&\quad - \sin(\omega_2(t_2)t_2) \int_{-\infty}^{t_2} (\tau - t_2) E_0(\tau) e^{-2\sigma\tau} \cos(\omega_2(t_2)\tau) d\tau] \\
e_0'(t_2) &= E_0(t_2) + E_0(-t_2) \\
A(t_2) &= m_2'(t_2) + \sigma e_0'(t_2) + 2\sigma n_0'(t_2) \omega_2(t_2) = 0
\end{aligned}$$

(22)

The term $\frac{d^2\omega_2(t_0)}{dt_0^2}$ in Eq. 22 is obtained as follows. We see that $f'(t) = e^{\sigma t_0} E_{pp}(t + t_0) + e^{-\sigma t_0} E_{pp}(t - t_0)$ remains the **same**, when we **interchange** t_0 with t_2 , where $E_{pp}(t) = e^{\sigma t_2} E_p(t + t_2) + e^{-\sigma t_2} E_p(t - t_2)$. Because the Fourier transform of $f'(t)$ given by $F'(\omega) = E_{pp\omega}(\omega)(e^{\sigma t_0} e^{i\omega t_0} + e^{-\sigma t_0} e^{-i\omega t_0}) = E_{p\omega}(\omega)(e^{\sigma t_2} e^{i\omega t_2} + e^{-\sigma t_2} e^{-i\omega t_2})(e^{\sigma t_0} e^{i\omega t_0} + e^{-\sigma t_0} e^{-i\omega t_0})$ remains the **same**, when we **interchange** t_0 with t_2 .

Hence $\omega_2(t_2, t_0) = \omega_2(t_0, t_2)$. The second derivative is given by $\frac{d^2\omega_2(t_2, t_0)}{dt_0^2} = \frac{d^2\omega_2(t_0, t_2)}{dt_2^2}$. In Eq. E.10, we computed ω_{22} by evaluating $\frac{1}{2} \frac{d^2\omega_2(t_0)}{dt_0^2}$ at $t_0 = 0$ to obtain m_2 . Similarly, we compute $\omega_{22}'(t_2)$ in Eq. 20, by evaluating the term $\frac{1}{2} \frac{d^2\omega_2(t_2, t_0)}{dt_0^2}$ at $t_0 = 0$, hence this is the **same** as evaluating $\frac{1}{2} \frac{d^2\omega_2(t_0, t_2)}{dt_2^2}$ at $t_0 = 0$ which equals $\frac{1}{2} \frac{d^2\omega_2(t_2)}{dt_2^2}$. in Eq. 22.

2.4. Asymptotic Case and Final result

In Section 2.5, we show that $\lim_{t_2 \rightarrow \infty} g(t)$ is an **analytic** function, with the **magnitude** of the step discontinuity at $t = 0$ **decreasing to zero**, and its Fourier transform is an analytic function with **isolated zeros**, as $\lim_{t_2 \rightarrow \infty}$. Hence $\lim_{t_2 \rightarrow \infty} \omega_2(t_2) = \omega_z \neq 0$ which is a constant and $\lim_{t_2 \rightarrow \infty} \frac{d^2\omega_2(t_2)}{dt_2^2} = 0$. Hence $\lim_{t_2 \rightarrow \infty} m_2'(t_2) = 0$. We see that $\lim_{t_2 \rightarrow \infty} e_0'(t_2) = 0$ and $\lim_{t_2 \rightarrow \infty} n_{0p}(-t_2), m_{2p}(-t_2) = 0$ and we write Eq. 22 as follows given $\sigma, \omega_z \neq 0$.

$$\begin{aligned}
\lim_{t_2 \rightarrow \infty} A(t_2) &= \lim_{t_2 \rightarrow \infty} 2\sigma \omega_z n_0'(t_2) = 0 \\
\lim_{t_2 \rightarrow \infty} n_0'(t_2) &= \lim_{t_2 \rightarrow \infty} e^{2\sigma t_2} [\cos(\omega_2(t_2)t_2) \int_{-\infty}^{t_2} E_0(\tau) e^{-2\sigma\tau} \sin(\omega_2(t_2)\tau) d\tau - \sin(\omega_2(t_2)t_2) \int_{-\infty}^{t_2} E_0(\tau) e^{-2\sigma\tau} \cos(\omega_2(t_2)\tau) d\tau] = 0 \\
\lim_{t_2 \rightarrow \infty} [\cos(\omega_2(t_2)t_2) \int_{-\infty}^{t_2} E_0(\tau) e^{-2\sigma\tau} \sin(\omega_2(t_2)\tau) d\tau - \sin(\omega_2(t_2)t_2) \int_{-\infty}^{t_2} E_0(\tau) e^{-2\sigma\tau} \cos(\omega_2(t_2)\tau) d\tau] &= 0
\end{aligned}$$

(23)

Similarly, we can write Eq. 21 in the asymptotic case $\lim_{t_2 \rightarrow \infty}$ as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}
\lim_{t_2 \rightarrow \infty} m_0'(t_2) &= \lim_{t_2 \rightarrow \infty} e^{2\sigma t_2} [\cos(\omega_2(t_2)t_2) \int_{-\infty}^{t_2} E_0(\tau) e^{-2\sigma\tau} \cos(\omega_2(t_2)\tau) d\tau \\
&\quad + \sin(\omega_2(t_2)t_2) \int_{-\infty}^{t_2} E_0(\tau) e^{-2\sigma\tau} \sin(\omega_2(t_2)\tau) d\tau] = 0
\end{aligned}$$

(24)

If we write $I_1(t_2) = \int_{-\infty}^{t_2} E_0(\tau) e^{-2\sigma\tau} \cos(\omega_z \tau) d\tau$ and $I_2(t_2) = \int_{-\infty}^{t_2} E_0(\tau) e^{-2\sigma\tau} \sin(\omega_z \tau) d\tau$, and $\lim_{t_2 \rightarrow \infty} (\omega_2(t_2) = \omega_z$ we can write Eq. 23 and Eq. 24 as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{t_2 \rightarrow \infty} \cos(\omega_z t_2) I_2(t_2) - \lim_{t_2 \rightarrow \infty} \sin(\omega_z t_2) I_1(t_2) &= 0 \\ \lim_{t_2 \rightarrow \infty} \cos(\omega_z t_2) I_1(t_2) + \lim_{t_2 \rightarrow \infty} \sin(\omega_z t_2) I_2(t_2) &= 0 \\ \lim_{t_2 \rightarrow \infty} \frac{I_2(t_2)}{I_1(t_2)} &= \lim_{t_2 \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sin(\omega_z t_2)}{\cos(\omega_z t_2)} = \lim_{t_2 \rightarrow \infty} -\frac{I_1(t_2)}{I_2(t_2)} \end{aligned}$$

(25)

For the general case of $\lim_{t_2 \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sin(\omega_z t_2)}{\cos(\omega_z t_2)} \neq 0, \pm\infty$, we get $\lim_{t_2 \rightarrow \infty} (I_1(t_2)^2 + I_2(t_2)^2) = 0$. This implies that $\lim_{t_2 \rightarrow \infty} I_1(t_2) = \lim_{t_2 \rightarrow \infty} I_2(t_2) = 0$ and $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} E_0(\tau) e^{-2\sigma\tau} e^{-i\omega_z \tau} d\tau = 0$.

We started with **Statement 1** that the Fourier Transform of the function $E_p(t) = E_0(t) e^{-\sigma t}$ has a zero at $\omega = \omega_0$ which means that $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} E_0(\tau) e^{-\sigma\tau} e^{-i\omega_0 \tau} d\tau = 0$ and we derived the result that $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} E_0(\tau) e^{-2\sigma\tau} e^{-i\omega_z \tau} d\tau = 0$.

Now we can repeat the steps in Section 2, starting with the new result that $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} E_0(\tau) e^{-2\sigma\tau} e^{-i\omega_z \tau} d\tau = 0$ and σ replaced by 2σ and derive the next result that $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} E_0(\tau) e^{-4\sigma\tau} e^{-i\omega_{(z1)} \tau} d\tau = 0$.

We can repeat above steps N times till $(2^{N+1}\sigma) > \frac{1}{2}$ and get the result $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} E_0(\tau) e^{-(2^{N+1}\sigma)\tau} e^{-i\omega_{(zN)} \tau} d\tau = 0$. In each iteration n , we use $h(t) = e^{(2^{N+1}\sigma)t} u(-t) + e^{-3*(2^{N+1}\sigma)t} u(t)$, $\omega_2(t_2)$ replaced by $\omega_{2n}(t_2)$ and ω_z replaced by $\omega_{(zn)}$. We know that the Fourier Transform of $E_0(t) e^{-(2^{N+1}\sigma)t} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [4\pi^2 n^4 e^{4t} - 6\pi n^2 e^{2t}] e^{-\pi n^2 e^{2t}} e^{\frac{t}{2}} e^{-(2^{N+1}\sigma)t}$ given by $E_{p\omega N}(\omega) = \xi(\frac{1}{2} + 2^N \sigma + i\omega)$ **does not** have a real zero for $(2^{N+1}\sigma) > \frac{1}{2}$, corresponding to $Re[s] > 1$.

We have shown this result for $0 < \sigma < \frac{1}{2}$ and then use the property $\xi(\frac{1}{2} + \sigma + i\omega) = \xi(\frac{1}{2} - \sigma - i\omega)$ to show the result for $-\frac{1}{2} < \sigma < 0$. Hence we have produced a **contradiction** of **Statement 1** that the Fourier Transform of the function $E_p(t) = E_0(t) e^{-\sigma t}$ has a zero at $\omega = \omega_0$ for $0 < |\sigma| < \frac{1}{2}$.

2.5. Analytic Functions and Isolated Zeros

In this section, we show that $\lim_{t_0 \rightarrow \infty} g(t)$ is an analytic function, with the magnitude of the step discontinuity at $t = 0$ decreasing to zero, and its Fourier transform is an analytic function with isolated zeros, as $\lim_{t_0 \rightarrow \infty}$. Hence $\lim_{t_0 \rightarrow \infty} \omega_2(t_0) = \omega_z \neq 0$ which is a constant and $\lim_{t_0 \rightarrow \infty} \frac{d^2 \omega_2(t_0)}{dt_0^2} = 0$.

We see that $g(t) = E'_0(t) e^{-2\sigma t} u(-t) + E'_0(t) e^{2\sigma t} u(t)$ where $E'_0(t) = E'_0(-t) = E_0(t + t_0) + E_0(t - t_0)$ and its first derivative has a **step** discontinuity at $t = 0$ with magnitude $\Delta_d = 4\sigma E'_0(0) = 4\sigma(E_0(t_0) + E_0(-t_0))$. As $\lim_{t_0 \rightarrow \infty}$, $\Delta_d \rightarrow 0$ because $E_0(t_0)$ and $E_0(-t_0)$ decrease to zero as $\lim_{t_0 \rightarrow \infty}$ and hence $\lim_{t_0 \rightarrow \infty} g(t) = \lim_{t_0 \rightarrow \infty} [E'_0(t) e^{-2\sigma t} + E'_0(t) e^{2\sigma t}]$ is an **analytic** function.

We use a **scale factor** and get $g_s(t) = g(t) e^{-2\sigma t_0}$, so that $\lim_{t_0 \rightarrow \infty} g(t)$ remains **finite** for all $|t| \leq \infty$. This scale factor **does not** affect the location of zeros in the Fourier transform of $g(t)$ and $g_s(t)$. Hence $\lim_{t_0 \rightarrow \infty} g_s(t) = \lim_{t_0 \rightarrow \infty} E'_0(t) [e^{-2\sigma t} + e^{2\sigma t}] e^{-2\sigma t_0} = E_0(t + t_0) e^{-2\sigma(t+t_0)} + E_0(t - t_0) e^{2\sigma(t-t_0)} + E_0(t - t_0) e^{-2\sigma(t+t_0)} + E_0(t + t_0) e^{2\sigma(t-t_0)}$.

The Fourier transform of $g_s(t)$ is given by $G_s(\omega)$ and $\lim_{t_0 \rightarrow \infty} G_s(\omega) = E_{0\omega}(\omega - i2\sigma) e^{i\omega t_0} + E_{0\omega}(\omega + i2\sigma) e^{-i\omega t_0} + E_{0\omega}(\omega - i2\sigma) e^{-i\omega t_0} e^{-4\sigma t_0} + E_{0\omega}(\omega + i2\sigma) e^{i\omega t_0} e^{-4\sigma t_0}$. As $\lim_{t_0 \rightarrow \infty}$, the last two terms in $\lim_{t_0 \rightarrow \infty} G_s(\omega)$ go to zero.

Hence $\lim_{t_0 \rightarrow \infty} G_s(\omega) = E_{0\omega}(\omega - i2\sigma) e^{i\omega t_0} + E_{0\omega}(\omega + i2\sigma) e^{-i\omega t_0}$ is an **analytic function** for all $|\omega| \leq \infty$ because it is derived from the **entire function** $\xi(s)$ and we know that $E_{0\omega}(\omega) = \xi(\frac{1}{2} + i\omega)$. The same statement holds for $\lim_{t_0 \rightarrow \infty} G(\omega)$ which differs only by a scale factor $e^{-2\sigma t_0}$.

We use the well known result that **analytic** functions have **isolated zeros**.(link) Hence $\lim_{t_0 \rightarrow \infty} G_s(\omega)$ and $\lim_{t_0 \rightarrow \infty} G(\omega)$ have **isolated zeros** at $\omega = \omega_2(t_0) = \omega_z$ and the **second derivative** given by $\lim_{t_0 \rightarrow \infty} \frac{d^2 \omega_2(t_0)}{dt_0^2} = 0$.

References

- [1] Bernhard Riemann, On the Number of Prime Numbers less than a Given Quantity.(Ueber die Anzahl der Primzahlen untereiner gegebenen Grosse.) Monatsberichte der Berliner Akademie, November 1859. (Link to Riemann's 1859 paper)
- [2] Hardy, G.H., Littlewood, J.E. The zeros of Riemann's zeta-function on the critical line. Mathematische Zeitschrift volume 10, pp.283 to 317 (1921).
- [3] E. C. Titchmarsh, The Theory of the Riemann Zeta Function. (1986) pp.254 to 255
- [4] Fern Ellison and William J. Ellison, Prime Numbers (1985).pp147 to 152
- [5] J. Brian Conrey, The Riemann Hypothesis (2003). (Link to Brian Conrey's 2003 article)
- [6] Mathworld article on Hurwitz Zeta functions. (Link)
- [7] Wikipedia article on Dirichlet L-functions. (Link)

Appendix A. Derivation of $E_p(t)$

Let us start with Riemann's Xi Function $\xi(s)$ evaluated at $s = \frac{1}{2} + i\omega$ given by $\xi(\frac{1}{2} + i\omega) = E_{0\omega}(\omega)$. Its inverse Fourier Transform is given by $E_0(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} E_{0\omega}(\omega) e^{i\omega t} d\omega = 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [2\pi^2 n^4 e^{4t} - 3\pi n^2 e^{2t}] e^{-\pi n^2 e^{2t}} e^{\frac{t}{2}}$ (link). This is re-derived in Appendix B.

We will show in this section that the inverse Fourier Transform of the function $\xi(\frac{1}{2} + \sigma + i\omega) = E_{p\omega}(\omega)$, is given by $E_p(t) = E_0(t) e^{-\sigma t}$ where $0 \leq |\sigma| < \frac{1}{2}$ is real.

$$\begin{aligned} \xi(\frac{1}{2} + \sigma + i\omega) &= \xi(\frac{1}{2} + i(\omega - i\sigma)) = E_{p\omega}(\omega) = E_{0\omega}(\omega - i\sigma) \\ E_p(t) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} E_{p\omega}(\omega) e^{i\omega t} d\omega = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} E_{0\omega}(\omega - i\sigma) e^{i\omega t} d\omega \end{aligned} \tag{A.1}$$

We substitute $\omega' = \omega - i\sigma$ in Eq. A.1 as follows.

$$E_p(t) = e^{-\sigma t} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty - i\sigma}^{\infty - i\sigma} E_{0\omega}(\omega') e^{i\omega' t} d\omega' \tag{A.2}$$

We can evaluate the above integral in the complex plane using contour integration, substituting $\omega' = z = x + iy$ and we use a rectangular contour comprised of C_1 along the line $x = [-\infty, \infty]$, C_2 along the line $y = [\infty, \infty - i\sigma]$, C_3 along the line $x = [\infty - i\sigma, -\infty - i\sigma]$ and then C_4 along the line $y = [-\infty - i\sigma, -\infty]$. We can see that $E_{0\omega}(z) = \xi(\frac{1}{2} + iz)$ has no singularities in the region bounded by the contour because $\xi(\frac{1}{2} + iz)$ is an entire function in the Z-plane.

In **Appendix D.1**, we show that $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |E_p(t)| dt$ is finite and $E_p(t) = E_0(t) e^{-\sigma t}$ is an absolutely integrable function, for $0 \leq |\sigma| < \frac{1}{2}$.

We use the fact that $E_{0\omega}(z) = \xi(\frac{1}{2} + iz) = \xi(\frac{1}{2} - y + ix) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} E_0(t) e^{-izt} dt = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} E_0(t) e^{yt} e^{-ixt} dt$, **goes to zero** as $x \rightarrow \pm\infty$ when $-\sigma \leq y \leq 0$, as per Riemann-Lebesgue Lemma (link), because $E_0(t) e^{yt}$ is a absolutely integrable function in the interval $-\infty \leq t \leq \infty$. Hence the integral in Eq. A.2 **vanishes** along the contours C_2 and C_4 . Using Cauchy's Integral theroem, we can write Eq. A.2 as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}
E_p(t) &= e^{-\sigma t} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} E_{0\omega}(\omega') e^{i\omega' t} d\omega' \\
E_p(t) &= E_0(t) e^{-\sigma t} = 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [2\pi^2 n^4 e^{4t} - 3\pi n^2 e^{2t}] e^{-\pi n^2 e^{2t}} e^{\frac{t}{2}} e^{-\sigma t}
\end{aligned} \tag{A.3}$$

Thus we have arrived at the desired result $E_p(t) = E_0(t) e^{-\sigma t}$. **Alternate** derivation is in Appendix B.1.

Appendix B. Derivation of entire function $\xi(s)$

In this section, we will re-derive Riemann's Xi function $\xi(s)$ and the inverse Fourier Transform of $\xi(\frac{1}{2} + i\omega) = E_{0\omega}(\omega)$ and show the result $E_0(t) = 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [2\pi^2 n^4 e^{4t} - 3\pi n^2 e^{2t}] e^{-\pi n^2 e^{2t}} e^{\frac{t}{2}}$.

We will use the steps in Ellison's book "Prime Numbers" pages 151-152 and re-derive the steps below^[4] (link). We start with the gamma function $\Gamma(s) = \int_0^{\infty} y^{s-1} e^{-y} dy$ and substitute $y = \pi n^2 x$ and derive as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}
\Gamma\left(\frac{s}{2}\right) &= \int_0^{\infty} y^{\frac{s}{2}-1} e^{-y} dy \\
\Gamma\left(\frac{s}{2}\right) (\pi n^2)^{-\frac{s}{2}} &= \int_0^{\infty} x^{\frac{s}{2}-1} e^{-\pi n^2 x} dx
\end{aligned} \tag{B.1}$$

For real part of s greater than 1, we can do a summation of both sides of above equation for all positive integers n and obtain as follows. We note that $\zeta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^s}$.

$$\Gamma\left(\frac{s}{2}\right) \pi^{-\frac{s}{2}} \zeta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} x^{\frac{s}{2}-1} e^{-\pi n^2 x} dx \tag{B.2}$$

For real part of s (σ') greater than 1, we can use theorem of dominated convergence and interchange the order of summation and integration as follows. We use the fact that $w(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-\pi n^2 x}$ and

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} |x^{\frac{s}{2}-1} e^{-\pi n^2 x}| dx = \Gamma\left(\frac{\sigma'}{2}\right) \pi^{-\frac{\sigma'}{2}} \zeta(\sigma').$$

$$\Gamma\left(\frac{s}{2}\right) \pi^{-\frac{s}{2}} \zeta(s) = \int_0^{\infty} x^{\frac{s}{2}-1} w(x) dx \tag{B.3}$$

For real part of s less than or equal to 1, $\zeta(s)$ **diverges**. Hence we do the following. In Eq. B.3, first we consider real part of s greater than 1 and we divide the range of integration into two parts: $(0, 1]$ and $[1, \infty)$ and make the substitution $x \rightarrow \frac{1}{x}$ in the first interval $(0, 1]$. We use **the well known theorem** $1 + 2w(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{x}} (1 + 2w(\frac{1}{x}))$, where $x > 0$ is real.^[4]

$$\Gamma\left(\frac{s}{2}\right) \pi^{-\frac{s}{2}} \zeta(s) = \int_1^{\infty} x^{\frac{s}{2}-1} w(x) dx + \int_1^{\infty} \frac{x^{-(\frac{s}{2}-1)}}{x^2} \frac{(1 + 2w(x))\sqrt{x} - 1}{2} dx \tag{B.4}$$

Hence we can simplify Eq. B.4 as follows.

$$\Gamma\left(\frac{s}{2}\right)\pi^{-\frac{s}{2}}\zeta(s) = \frac{1}{s(s-1)} + \int_1^\infty x^{\frac{s}{2}-1}w(x)dx + \int_1^\infty x^{\frac{-(s+1)}{2}}w(x)dx \quad (\text{B.5})$$

We multiply above equation by $\frac{1}{2}s(s-1)$ and get

$$\xi(s) = \frac{1}{2}s(s-1)\Gamma\left(\frac{s}{2}\right)\pi^{-\frac{s}{2}}\zeta(s) = \frac{1}{2}[1 + s(s-1) \int_1^\infty (x^{\frac{s}{2}} + x^{\frac{1-s}{2}})w(x)\frac{dx}{x}] \quad (\text{B.6})$$

We see that $\xi(s)$ is an entire function, for all values of $Re[s]$ in the complex plane and hence we get an analytic continuation of $\xi(s)$ over the entire complex plane. We see that $\xi(s) = \xi(1-s)$ [4].

Appendix B.1. Derivation of $E_p(t)$ and $E_0(t)$

Given that $w(x) = \sum_{n=1}^\infty e^{-\pi n^2 x}$, we substitute $x = e^{2t}$, $\frac{dx}{x} = 2dt$ in Eq. B.6 and evaluate at $s = \frac{1}{2} + \sigma + i\omega$ as follows.

$$\xi\left(\frac{1}{2} + \sigma + i\omega\right) = \frac{1}{2}[1 + 2\left(\frac{1}{2} + \sigma + i\omega\right)\left(-\frac{1}{2} + \sigma + i\omega\right) \int_0^\infty \sum_{n=1}^\infty e^{-\pi n^2 e^{2t}} (e^{\frac{t}{2}} e^{\sigma t} e^{i\omega t} + e^{\frac{t}{2}} e^{-\sigma t} e^{-i\omega t}) dt] \quad (\text{B.7})$$

We can substitute $t = -t$ in the first term in above integral and simplify above equation as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} \xi\left(\frac{1}{2} + \sigma + i\omega\right) &= \frac{1}{2} + \left(-\frac{1}{4} + \sigma^2 - \omega^2 + i\omega(2\sigma)\right) \left[\int_{-\infty}^0 \sum_{n=1}^\infty e^{-\pi n^2 e^{-2t}} e^{\frac{-t}{2}} e^{-\sigma t} e^{-i\omega t} dt \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_0^\infty \sum_{n=1}^\infty e^{-\pi n^2 e^{2t}} e^{\frac{t}{2}} e^{-\sigma t} e^{-i\omega t} dt \right] \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.8})$$

We can write this as follows.

$$\xi\left(\frac{1}{2} + \sigma + i\omega\right) = \frac{1}{2} + \left(-\frac{1}{4} + \sigma^2 - \omega^2 + i\omega(2\sigma)\right) \int_{-\infty}^\infty \left[\sum_{n=1}^\infty e^{-\pi n^2 e^{-2t}} e^{\frac{-t}{2}} u(-t) + \sum_{n=1}^\infty e^{-\pi n^2 e^{2t}} e^{\frac{t}{2}} u(t) \right] e^{-\sigma t} e^{-i\omega t} dt \quad (\text{B.9})$$

We define $A(t) = \left[\sum_{n=1}^\infty e^{-\pi n^2 e^{-2t}} e^{\frac{-t}{2}} u(-t) + \sum_{n=1}^\infty e^{-\pi n^2 e^{2t}} e^{\frac{t}{2}} u(t) \right] e^{-\sigma t}$ and get the **inverse Fourier transform** of $\xi(\frac{1}{2} + \sigma + i\omega)$ in above equation given by $E_p(t)$ as follows. We use dirac delta function $\delta(t)$.

$$\begin{aligned} E_p(t) &= \frac{1}{2}\delta(t) + \left(-\frac{1}{4} + \sigma^2\right)A(t) + 2\sigma\frac{dA(t)}{dt} + \frac{d^2A(t)}{dt^2} \\ A(t) &= \left[\sum_{n=1}^\infty e^{-\pi n^2 e^{-2t}} e^{\frac{-t}{2}} u(-t) + \sum_{n=1}^\infty e^{-\pi n^2 e^{2t}} e^{\frac{t}{2}} u(t) \right] e^{-\sigma t} \\ \frac{dA(t)}{dt} &= \sum_{n=1}^\infty e^{-\pi n^2 e^{-2t}} e^{\frac{-t}{2}} e^{-\sigma t} \left[-\frac{1}{2} - \sigma + 2\pi n^2 e^{-2t} \right] u(-t) + \sum_{n=1}^\infty e^{-\pi n^2 e^{2t}} e^{\frac{t}{2}} e^{-\sigma t} \left[\frac{1}{2} - \sigma - 2\pi n^2 e^{2t} \right] u(t) \\ \frac{d^2A(t)}{dt^2} &= \sum_{n=1}^\infty e^{-\pi n^2 e^{-2t}} e^{\frac{-t}{2}} e^{-\sigma t} \left[-4\pi n^2 e^{-2t} + \left(-\frac{1}{2} - \sigma + 2\pi n^2 e^{-2t}\right)^2 \right] u(-t) \\ &\quad + \sum_{n=1}^\infty e^{-\pi n^2 e^{2t}} e^{\frac{t}{2}} e^{-\sigma t} \left[-4\pi n^2 e^{2t} + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \sigma - 2\pi n^2 e^{2t}\right)^2 \right] u(t) + \delta(t) \left[\sum_{n=1}^\infty e^{-\pi n^2} (1 - 4\pi n^2) \right] \end{aligned}$$

(B.10)

We can simplify above equation as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^2 A(t)}{dt^2} &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-\pi n^2 e^{-2t}} e^{\frac{-t}{2}} e^{-\sigma t} \left[\frac{1}{4} + \sigma^2 + \sigma + 4\pi^2 n^4 e^{-4t} - 6\pi n^2 e^{-2t} - 4\sigma \pi n^2 e^{-2t} \right] u(-t) \\ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-\pi n^2 e^{2t}} e^{\frac{t}{2}} e^{-\sigma t} &\left[\frac{1}{4} + \sigma^2 - \sigma + 4\pi^2 n^4 e^{4t} - 6\pi n^2 e^{2t} + 4\sigma \pi n^2 e^{2t} \right] u(t) + \delta(t) \left[\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-\pi n^2} (1 - 4\pi n^2) \right] \end{aligned} \quad (B.11)$$

We use the fact that $F(x) = 1 + 2w(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{x}}(1 + 2w(\frac{1}{x}))$, where $w(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-\pi n^2 x}$ and $x > 0$ is real^[4], and we take the first derivative of $F(x)$ and evaluate it at $x = 1$. We see that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-\pi n^2} (1 - 4\pi n^2) = -\frac{1}{2}$ (Appendix B.2) and hence **dirac delta terms cancel each other** in equation below.

$$\begin{aligned} E_p(t) &= \frac{1}{2} \delta(t) + \left(-\frac{1}{4} + \sigma^2\right) A(t) + 2\sigma \frac{dA(t)}{dt} + \frac{d^2 A(t)}{dt^2} \\ E_p(t) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-\pi n^2 e^{2t}} e^{\frac{t}{2}} e^{-\sigma t} \left[-\frac{1}{4} + \sigma^2 + 2\sigma \left(\frac{1}{2} - \sigma - 2\pi n^2 e^{2t} \right) + \frac{1}{4} + \sigma^2 - \sigma + 4\pi^2 n^4 e^{4t} - 6\pi n^2 e^{2t} + 4\sigma \pi n^2 e^{2t} \right] u(t) \\ &\quad + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-\pi n^2 e^{-2t}} e^{\frac{-t}{2}} e^{-\sigma t} \left[-\frac{1}{4} + \sigma^2 + 2\sigma \left(-\frac{1}{2} - \sigma + 2\pi n^2 e^{-2t} \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{4} + \sigma^2 + \sigma + 4\pi^2 n^4 e^{-4t} - 6\pi n^2 e^{-2t} - 4\sigma \pi n^2 e^{-2t} \right] u(-t) \end{aligned} \quad (B.12)$$

We can simplify above equation as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} E_p(t) &= [E_0(-t)u(-t) + E_0(t)u(t)]e^{-\sigma t} \\ E_0(t) &= 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [2\pi^2 n^4 e^{4t} - 3\pi n^2 e^{2t}] e^{-\pi n^2 e^{2t}} e^{\frac{t}{2}} \end{aligned} \quad (B.13)$$

We use the fact that $E_0(t) = E_0(-t)$ because $\xi(s) = \xi(1-s)$ and hence $\xi(\frac{1}{2} + i\omega) = \xi(\frac{1}{2} - i\omega)$ when evaluated at the critical line $s = \frac{1}{2} + i\omega$. This means $\xi(\frac{1}{2} + i\omega) = E_{0\omega}(\omega) = E_{0\omega}(-\omega)$ and $E_0(t) = E_0(-t)$ and we arrive at the desired result for $E_p(t)$ as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} E_0(t) &= 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [2\pi^2 n^4 e^{4t} - 3\pi n^2 e^{2t}] e^{-\pi n^2 e^{2t}} e^{\frac{t}{2}} \\ E_p(t) &= E_0(t) e^{-\sigma t} = 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [2\pi^2 n^4 e^{4t} - 3\pi n^2 e^{2t}] e^{-\pi n^2 e^{2t}} e^{\frac{t}{2}} e^{-\sigma t} \end{aligned} \quad (B.14)$$

Appendix B.2. Derivation of $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-\pi n^2}(1 - 4\pi n^2) = -\frac{1}{2}$

In this section, we derive $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-\pi n^2}(1 - 4\pi n^2) = -\frac{1}{2}$. We use the fact that $F(x) = 1 + 2w(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{x}}(1 + 2w(\frac{1}{x}))$, where $w(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-\pi n^2 x}$ and $x > 0$ is real^[4], and we take the first derivative of $F(x)$ and evaluate it at $x = 1$.

$$\begin{aligned} F(x) &= 1 + 2w(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{x}}(1 + 2w(\frac{1}{x})) \\ F(x) &= 1 + 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-\pi n^2 x} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{x}}(1 + 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-\pi n^2 \frac{1}{x}}) \\ \frac{dF(x)}{dx} &= 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-\pi n^2) e^{-\pi n^2 x} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{x}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (2\pi n^2) e^{-\pi n^2 \frac{1}{x}} \left(\frac{1}{x^2}\right) + (1 + 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-\pi n^2 \frac{1}{x}}) \left(\frac{-1}{2}\right) \frac{1}{x^{\frac{3}{2}}} \end{aligned} \tag{B.15}$$

We evaluate the above equation at $x = 1$ and we simplify as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} \left[\frac{dF(x)}{dx}\right]_{x=1} &= 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-\pi n^2) e^{-\pi n^2} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (2\pi n^2) e^{-\pi n^2} + (1 + 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-\pi n^2}) \left(\frac{-1}{2}\right) \\ &\quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-\pi n^2} (1 - 4\pi n^2) = -\frac{1}{2} \end{aligned} \tag{B.16}$$

Appendix C. Properties of Fourier Transforms Part 1

In this section, some well-known properties of Fourier transforms are re-derived.

Appendix C.1. Convolution Theorem: Multiplication of $g(t)$ and $h(t)$ corresponds to convolution in Fourier transform domain

We start with the Fourier transform equation $F(\omega) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(t) e^{-i\omega t} dt$ where $f(t) = g(t)h(t)$ and show that $F(\omega) = \frac{1}{2\pi} [G(\omega) * H(\omega)] = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} G(\omega') H(\omega - \omega') d\omega'$ obtained by the **convolution** of the functions $G(\omega)$ and $H(\omega)$ which correspond to the Fourier transforms of $g(t)$ and $h(t)$ respectively.

$$F(\omega) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(t) e^{-i\omega t} dt = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(t) h(t) e^{-i\omega t} dt \tag{C.1}$$

We use the inverse Fourier transform equation $g(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} G(\omega') e^{i\omega' t} d\omega'$ and we interchange the order of integration in equations below using Fubini's theorem (link).

$$\begin{aligned} F(\omega) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left[\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} G(\omega') e^{i\omega' t} d\omega' \right] h(t) e^{-i\omega t} dt \\ F(\omega) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} G(\omega') \left[\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i\omega' t} h(t) e^{-i\omega t} dt \right] d\omega' \\ F(\omega) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} G(\omega') \left[\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h(t) e^{-i(\omega - \omega') t} dt \right] d\omega' \end{aligned} \tag{C.2}$$

We substitute $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h(t) e^{-i(\omega - \omega') t} dt = H(\omega - \omega')$ in Eq. C.2 and arrive at the convolution theorem.

$$F(\omega) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} G(\omega') H(\omega - \omega') d\omega' = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(t) h(t) e^{-i\omega t} dt \tag{C.3}$$

Appendix C.2. *Fourier transform of Real $g(t)$*

In this section, we show that the Fourier transform of a real function $g(t)$, given by $G(\omega) = G_R(\omega) + iG_I(\omega)$ has the properties given by $G_R(-\omega) = G_R(\omega)$ and $G_I(-\omega) = -G_I(\omega)$.

$$\begin{aligned} G(\omega) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(t)e^{-i\omega t} dt = G_R(\omega) + iG_I(\omega) \\ G_R(\omega) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(t) \cos(\omega t) dt = G_R(-\omega) \\ G_I(\omega) &= -\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(t) \sin(\omega t) dt = -G_I(-\omega) \end{aligned} \tag{C.4}$$

Appendix C.3. *Even part of $g(t)$ corresponds to real part of Fourier transform $G(\omega)$*

In this section, we show that the **even part** of real function $g(t)$, given by $g_{\text{even}}(t) = \frac{1}{2}[g(t) + g(-t)]$, corresponds to **real part** of its Fourier transform $G(\omega)$. We use the fact that $G_R(-\omega) = G_R(\omega)$ and $G_I(-\omega) = -G_I(\omega)$ for a real function $g(t)$.

$$\begin{aligned} G(\omega) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(t)e^{-i\omega t} dt = G_R(\omega) + iG_I(\omega) \\ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g_{\text{even}}(t)e^{-i\omega t} dt &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2}[g(t) + g(-t)]e^{-i\omega t} dt = \frac{1}{2}[G(\omega) + G(-\omega)] = G_R(\omega) \end{aligned} \tag{C.5}$$

Appendix C.4. *Odd part of $g(t)$ corresponds to imaginary part of Fourier transform $G(\omega)$*

In this section, we show that the **odd part** of real function $g(t)$, given by $g_{\text{odd}}(t) = \frac{1}{2}[g(t) - g(-t)]$, corresponds to **imaginary part** of its Fourier transform $G(\omega)$. We use the fact that $G_R(-\omega) = G_R(\omega)$ and $G_I(-\omega) = -G_I(\omega)$ for a real function $g(t)$.

$$\begin{aligned} G(\omega) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(t)e^{-i\omega t} dt = G_R(\omega) + iG_I(\omega) \\ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g_{\text{odd}}(t)e^{-i\omega t} dt &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2}[g(t) - g(-t)]e^{-i\omega t} dt = \frac{1}{2}[G(\omega) - G(-\omega)] = iG_I(\omega) \end{aligned} \tag{C.6}$$

Appendix D. Properties of Fourier Transforms Part 2

Appendix D.1. *$E_p(t), h(t), g(t)$ are absolutely integrable functions and their Fourier Transforms are finite.*

The inverse Fourier Transform of the function $E_{p\omega}(\omega) = \xi(\frac{1}{2} + \sigma + i\omega)$ is given by $E_p(t) = E_0(t)e^{-\sigma t} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} E_{p\omega}(\omega)e^{i\omega t} d\omega$. We see that $E_0(t) = 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [2\pi^2 n^4 e^{4t} - 3\pi n^2 e^{2t}] e^{-\pi n^2 e^{2t}} e^{\frac{t}{2}} > 0$ for all $0 \leq t < \infty$. Given that $E_0(t) = E_0(-t)$, we see that $E_0(t) > 0$ and $E_p(t) = E_0(t)e^{-\sigma t} > 0$ for all $-\infty < t < \infty$.

As $t \rightarrow \infty$, $E_p(t)$ goes to zero, due to the term $e^{-\pi n^2 e^{2t}}$. As $t \rightarrow -\infty$, $E_p(t)$ goes to zero, because for every value of n , the term $e^{-\pi n^2 e^{2t}} e^{\frac{5t}{2}} e^{-\sigma t}$ goes to zero, for $0 \leq |\sigma| < \frac{1}{2}$. Hence $E_p(t) = E_0(t)e^{-\sigma t} = 0$ at $t = \pm\infty$ and we showed

that $E_p(t) > 0$ for all $-\infty < t < \infty$. Hence $E_{p\omega}(\omega) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} E_p(t)e^{-i\omega t}dt$, evaluated at $\omega = 0$ **cannot** be zero. Hence $E_{p\omega}(\omega)$ **does not have a zero** at $\omega = 0$ and hence $\omega_0 \neq 0$.

Given that $\xi(\frac{1}{2} + \sigma + i\omega) = E_{p\omega}(\omega)$ is an entire function in the whole of s-plane, it is finite for $|\omega| \leq \infty$ and also for $\omega = 0$. Hence $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} E_p(t)dt$ is finite. We see that $E_p(t) \geq 0$ for all $|t| \leq \infty$. Hence we can write $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |E_p(t)|dt$ is finite and $E_p(t)$ is an absolutely **integrable function** and its Fourier transform $E_{p\omega}(\omega)$ goes to zero as $\omega \rightarrow \pm\infty$, as per Riemann Lebesgue Lemma (link).

Let us consider a new function $g(t) = E_p(t)e^{-\sigma t}u(-t) + E_p(t)e^{\sigma t}u(t)$ where $g(t)$ is a real function of variable t and $u(t)$ is Heaviside unit step function and $0 < \sigma < \frac{1}{2}$. We can see that $g(t)h(t) = E_p(t)$ where $h(t) = e^{\sigma t}u(-t) + e^{-\sigma t}u(t)$.

We can see that $h(t) = e^{\sigma t}u(-t) + e^{-\sigma t}u(t)$ is an absolutely **integrable function** because $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |h(t)|dt = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h(t)dt = [\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h(t)e^{-i\omega t}dt]_{\omega=0} = [\frac{1}{\sigma-i\omega} + \frac{1}{\sigma+i\omega}]_{\omega=0} = \frac{2}{\sigma}$, is finite for $0 < \sigma < \frac{1}{2}$ and its Fourier transform $H(\omega)$ goes to zero as $\omega \rightarrow \pm\infty$, as per Riemann Lebesgue Lemma (link).

It is shown in Appendix D.4 that $E_0(t)$ and $E_0(t)e^{-2\sigma t}$ have fall-off rates **at least** $\frac{1}{t^2}$ as $|t| \rightarrow \infty$ and hence are absolutely **integrable functions** and the integrals $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |E_0(t)|dt < \infty$ and $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |E_0(t)e^{-2\sigma t}|dt < \infty$. Hence $g(t) = E_0(t)e^{-2\sigma t}u(-t) + E_0(t)u(t)$ is an absolutely **integrable function** and $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |g(t)|dt = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(t)dt$ is finite and its Fourier transform $G(\omega)$ goes to zero as $\omega \rightarrow \pm\infty$, as per Riemann Lebesgue Lemma (link).

Appendix D.2. Convolution integral convergence

Let us consider $h(t) = e^{\sigma t}u(-t) + e^{-\sigma t}u(t)$ whose **first derivative is discontinuous** at $t = 0$. The second derivative of $h(t)$ given by $h_2(t)$ has a Dirac delta function $A_0\delta(t)$ where $A_0 = -2\sigma$ and its Fourier transform $H_2(\omega)$ has a constant term A_0 , corresponding to the Dirac delta function.

This means $h(t)$ is obtained by integrating $h_2(t)$ twice and its Fourier transform $H(\omega)$ has a term $-\frac{A_0}{\omega^2}$ (link) and has a **fall off rate** of $\frac{1}{\omega^2}$ as $|\omega| \rightarrow \infty$ and $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} H(\omega)d\omega$ converges.

We see that $E_p(t) = E_0(t)e^{-\sigma t}$ where $E_0(t) = 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [2\pi^2 n^4 e^{4t} - 3\pi n^2 e^{2t}] e^{-\pi n^2 e^{2t}} e^{\frac{t}{2}}$.

Let us consider a new function $g(t) = E_p(t)e^{-\sigma t}u(-t) + E_p(t)e^{\sigma t}u(t)$ where $g(t)$ is a real function of variable t and $u(t)$ is Heaviside unit step function and $0 < \sigma < \frac{1}{2}$. We can see that $g(t)h(t) = E_p(t)$ where $h(t) = e^{\sigma t}u(-t) + e^{-\sigma t}u(t)$.

We can see that $G(\omega), H(\omega)$ have **fall-off rate** of $\frac{1}{\omega^2}$ as $|\omega| \rightarrow \infty$ because the **first derivatives** of $g(t), h(t)$ are **discontinuous** at $t = 0$. Also, $h(t), g(t)$ are absolutely integrable functions and their Fourier Transforms are finite as shown in Appendix D.1. Hence the convolution integral below converges to a finite value for $|\omega| \leq \infty$.

$$F(\omega) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} G(\omega')H(\omega - \omega')d\omega' = \frac{1}{2\pi} [G(\omega) * H(\omega)] \quad (D.1)$$

Appendix D.3. Fall off rate of Fourier Transform of functions

Let us consider a real Fourier transformable function $P(t) = P_+(t)u(t) + P_-(t)u(-t)$ whose $(N-1)^{th}$ **derivative is discontinuous** at $t = 0$. The $(N)^{th}$ derivative of $P(t)$ given by $P_N(t)$ has a Dirac delta function $A_0\delta(t)$ where $A_0 = [\frac{d^{N-1}P_+(t)}{dt^{N-1}} - \frac{d^{N-1}P_-(t)}{dt^{N-1}}]_{t=0}$ and its Fourier transform $P_N(\omega)$ has a constant term A_0 , corresponding to the Dirac delta function.

This means $P(t)$ is obtained by integrating $P_N(t)$, N times and its Fourier transform $P(\omega)$ has a term $\frac{A_0}{(i\omega)^N}$ (link) and has a **fall off rate** of $\frac{1}{\omega^N}$ as $|\omega| \rightarrow \infty$.

We have shown that if the $(N - 1)^{th}$ **derivative** of the function $P(t)$ is **discontinuous** at $t = 0$ then its Fourier transform $P(\omega)$ has a **fall-off rate** of $\frac{1}{\omega^N}$ as $|\omega| \rightarrow \infty$.

In Section 1.1, we showed that $E_0(t)$ is an analytic function which is infinitely differentiable which produces no discontinuities in $|t| \leq \infty$. Hence its Fourier transform $E_{0\omega}(\omega)$ has a fall-off rate faster than $\frac{1}{\omega^M}$ as $M \rightarrow \infty$, as $|\omega| \rightarrow \infty$ and it should have a fall-off rate **at least** of the order of $\omega^A e^{-B|\omega|}$ as $|\omega| \rightarrow \infty$, where $A, B > 0$ are real.

Appendix D.4. Payley-Weiner theorem and Fall off rate of analytic functions.

We know that Payley-Weiner theorem relates analytic functions and exponential decay rate of their Fourier transforms (link). Using similar arguments, we will show that the functions $E_0(t), E_p(t)$ and $x(t) = E_0(t)e^{-2\sigma t}$ and $\frac{d^{2r}x(t)}{dt^{2r}}$ have fall-off rates **at least** $\frac{1}{t^2}$ as $|t| \rightarrow \infty$ for $0 < \sigma < \frac{1}{2}$.

We know that the order of Riemann's Xi function $\xi(\frac{1}{2} + i\omega) = E_{0\omega}(\omega) = \Xi(\omega)$ is given by $O(\omega^A e^{-\frac{|\omega|\pi}{4}})$ where A is a constant^[3] (link). Hence both $E_{0\omega}(\omega)$ and $E_{p\omega}(\omega) = \xi(\frac{1}{2} + \sigma + i\omega) = E_{0\omega}(\omega - i\sigma)$ have **exponential fall-off** rate $O(\omega^A e^{-\frac{|\omega|\pi}{4}})$ as $|\omega| \rightarrow \infty$ and they are absolutely integrable and Fourier transformable, given that they are derived from an entire function $\xi(s)$.

Given that $\xi(s)$ is an entire function in the s-plane, we see that $E_{0\omega}(\omega)$ and $E_{p\omega}(\omega)$ are **analytic** functions which are infinitely differentiable which produce no discontinuities for all $|\omega| \leq \infty$ and $0 < \sigma < \frac{1}{2}$. Hence their respective **inverse Fourier transforms** $E_0(t), E_p(t)$ have fall-off rates faster than $\frac{1}{t^M}$ as $M \rightarrow \infty$, as $|t| \rightarrow \infty$ (Appendix D.3) and hence it should have a fall-off rate **at least** $\frac{1}{t^2}$ as $|t| \rightarrow \infty$.

We can use similar arguments to show that $x(t) = E_0(t)e^{-2\sigma t}$ and $\frac{d^{2r}x(t)}{dt^{2r}}$ have fall-off rates **at least** $\frac{1}{t^2}$ as $|t| \rightarrow \infty$, because their Fourier transforms are **analytic** functions for all $|\omega| \leq \infty$ with **exponential fall-off** rate $O(\omega^A e^{-\frac{|\omega|\pi}{4}})$ as $|\omega| \rightarrow \infty$.

Appendix E. Power Series for $R(t_0)$

In this section, we derive the Taylor series expansion of $R(t_0)$ in Eq. 17. We use $E_p(\tau) = E_0(\tau)e^{-\sigma\tau}$

We define $I_1(t_0) = \int_{-\infty}^{t_0} E_0(\tau)e^{-2\sigma\tau} \cos(\omega_2(t_0)\tau)d\tau$, $Q_1(t_0) = \int_{-\infty}^{t_0} E_0(\tau)e^{-2\sigma\tau} \sin(\omega_2(t_0)\tau)d\tau$ and write as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} R(t_0) &= e^{2\sigma t_0} [\cos(\omega_2(t_0)t_0) \int_{-\infty}^{t_0} E_0(\tau)e^{-2\sigma\tau} \cos(\omega_2(t_0)\tau)d\tau + \sin(\omega_2(t_0)t_0) \int_{-\infty}^{t_0} E_0(\tau)e^{-2\sigma\tau} \sin(\omega_2(t_0)\tau)d\tau] \\ R(t_0) &= e^{2\sigma t_0} [\cos(\omega_2(t_0)t_0)I_1(t_0) + \sin(\omega_2(t_0)t_0)Q_1(t_0)] \end{aligned} \quad (\text{E.1})$$

We assume that the **even** symmetric function $\omega_2(t_0)$ is analytic in $|t_0| \leq \infty$ and hence can be expanded using Taylor series around $t_0 = 0$, given by $\omega_2(t_0) = w_{20} + w_{22}t_0^2 + \dots = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} w_{2(2k)}t_0^{2k}$. In Appendix F, we derive the same results derived below **without** this assumption.

Appendix E.1. $I_1(t_0) = \int_{-\infty}^{t_0} E_0(\tau)e^{-2\sigma\tau} \cos(\omega_2(t_0)\tau)d\tau$

We see that $E_0(\tau)$ and $\cos(\omega_2(t_0)\tau)$ are analytic functions in $|\tau| \leq \infty$ and hence we expand using Taylor series as follows. We use $E_0(\tau) = E_0(-\tau) = e_0 + e_2\tau^2 + e_4\tau^4 + \dots$, $e^{-2\sigma\tau} = 1 - 2\sigma\tau + 2\sigma^2\tau^2 + \dots$ and $\cos(\omega_2(t_0)\tau) = 1 - \frac{\omega_2^2(t_0)}{2}\tau^2 + \dots$. We expand **only till** τ^1 term, **because** we need only the second derivative of $R(t_0)$ at $t_0 = 0$. $K_{1c}(t_0)$ is an integration constant which gets **cancelled** at upper limit and lower limits.

$$\begin{aligned} I_1(t_0) &= \int_{-\infty}^{t_0} E_0(\tau)e^{-2\sigma\tau} \cos(\omega_2(t_0)\tau)d\tau \\ I_1(t_0) &= \int_{-\infty}^{t_0} (e_0 + e_2\tau^2 + \dots)(1 - 2\sigma\tau + \dots)(1 - \frac{\omega_2^2(t_0)}{2}\tau^2 + \dots)d\tau \\ I_1(t_0) &= \int_{-\infty}^{t_0} (e_0 - 2\sigma e_0\tau + \dots)(1 - \frac{\omega_2^2(t_0)}{2}\tau^2 + \dots)d\tau = \int_{-\infty}^{t_0} (e_0 - 2\sigma e_0\tau + \dots)d\tau \\ I_1(t_0) &= [K_{1c}(t_0) + e_0\tau - 2\sigma e_0\frac{\tau^2}{2} + \dots]_{-\infty}^{t_0} = (e_0t_0 - 2\sigma e_0\frac{t_0^2}{2} + \dots) - (f_{c1}(\tau))_{-\infty} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{E.2})$$

We compare first and last equation in Eq. E.2 for $I_1(t_0)$ and get the value of $(f_{c1}(\tau))_{-\infty} = (e_0\tau - 2\sigma e_0\frac{\tau^2}{2} + \dots)$ by setting $t_0 = 0$.

$$\begin{aligned} (e_0t_0 - 2\sigma e_0\frac{t_0^2}{2} + \dots) - (f_{c1}(\tau))_{-\infty} &= \int_{-\infty}^{t_0} E_0(\tau)e^{-2\sigma\tau} \cos(\omega_2(t_0)\tau)d\tau, \quad f_{c1}(\tau) = (e_0\tau - 2\sigma e_0\frac{\tau^2}{2} + \dots) \\ (f_{c1}(\tau))_{-\infty} &= - \int_{-\infty}^0 E_0(\tau)e^{-2\sigma\tau} \cos(\omega_2(t_0)\tau)d\tau = -M(t_0) = -[m_0 + m_1t_0 + m_2t_0^2 + \dots] \end{aligned} \quad (\text{E.3})$$

Appendix E.2. $Q_1(t_0) = \int_{-\infty}^{t_0} E_0(\tau)e^{-2\sigma\tau} \sin(\omega_2(t_0)\tau)d\tau$

We use $\sin(\omega_2(t_0)\tau) = \omega_2(t_0)\tau - \frac{\omega_2^3(t_0)}{6}\tau^3 + \dots$ and derive as follows. We see that $K_{1s}(t_0)$ is an integration constant which gets cancelled at upper limit and lower limits.

$$\begin{aligned}
Q_1(t_0) &= \int_{-\infty}^{t_0} E_0(\tau) e^{-2\sigma\tau} \sin(\omega_2(t_0)\tau) d\tau \\
Q_1(t_0) &= \int_{-\infty}^{t_0} (e_0 + e_2\tau^2 + \dots)(1 - 2\sigma\tau + \dots)(\omega_2(t_0)\tau + \dots) d\tau \\
Q_1(t_0) &= \int_{-\infty}^{t_0} (e_0 - 2\sigma e_0\tau + \dots)(\omega_2(t_0)\tau + \dots) d\tau = \int_{-\infty}^{t_0} (e_0\omega_2(t_0)\tau + \dots) d\tau \\
Q_1(t_0) &= [K_{1s}(t_0) + e_0\omega_2(t_0)\frac{\tau^2}{2} + \dots]_{-\infty}^{t_0} = (e_0\omega_2(t_0)\frac{t_0^2}{2} + \dots) - (f_{s1}(\tau))_{-\infty}
\end{aligned} \tag{E.4}$$

We compare first and last equation in Eq. E.2 for $Q_1(t_0)$ and get the value of $(f_{s1}(\tau))_{-\infty} = (e_0\omega_2(t_0)\frac{\tau^2}{2} + \dots)$ by setting $t_0 = 0$.

$$\begin{aligned}
(e_0\omega_2(t_0)\frac{t_0^2}{2} + \dots) - (f_{s1}(\tau))_{-\infty} &= \int_{-\infty}^{t_0} E_0(\tau) e^{-2\sigma\tau} \sin(\omega_2(t_0)\tau) d\tau, \quad f_{s1}(\tau) = (e_0\omega_2(t_0)\frac{\tau^2}{2} + \dots) \\
(f_{s1}(\tau))_{-\infty} &= - \int_{-\infty}^0 E_0(\tau) e^{-2\sigma\tau} \sin(\omega_2(t_0)\tau) d\tau = -N(t_0) = -[n_0 + n_1 t_0 + n_2 t_0^2 + \dots]
\end{aligned} \tag{E.5}$$

Given that $m_1 = n_1 = 0$ because $\omega_2(t_0)$ is an even function, we can write as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}
I_1(t_0) &= (e_0 t_0 - 2\sigma e_0 \frac{t_0^2}{2} + \dots) + (m_0 + m_2 t_0^2 + \dots) \\
Q_1(t_0) &= (e_0\omega_2(t_0)\frac{t_0^2}{2} + \dots) + (n_0 + n_2 t_0^2 + \dots) = (e_0\omega_{20}\frac{t_0^2}{2} + \dots) + (n_0 + n_2 t_0^2 + \dots)
\end{aligned} \tag{E.6}$$

Appendix E.3.

Now we can expand $R(t_0)$ in Eq. E.1 as follows, using results in Eq. E.6.

$$\begin{aligned}
R(t_0) &= e^{2\sigma t_0} [\cos(\omega_2(t_0)t_0)I_1(t_0) + \sin(\omega_2(t_0)t_0)Q_1(t_0)] \\
R(t_0) &= (1 + 2\sigma t_0 + 2\sigma^2 t_0^2 + \dots) [\\
&\quad (1 - \frac{\omega_{20}^2}{2} t_0^2 + \dots)(m_0 + e_0 t_0 + (m_2 - \sigma e_0)t_0^2 + \dots) + (\omega_{20} t_0 + \dots)(n_0 + (\frac{e_0}{2}\omega_{20} + n_2)t_0^2 + \dots)]
\end{aligned} \tag{E.7}$$

We can simplify as follows.

$$R(t_0) = (1 + 2\sigma t_0 + 2\sigma^2 t_0^2 + \dots) [m_0 + (e_0 + n_0\omega_{20})t_0 + t_0^2(m_2 - \sigma e_0 - m_0\frac{\omega_{20}^2}{2}) + \dots] \tag{E.8}$$

We can simplify as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}
R(t_0) &= m_0 + (e_0 + n_0\omega_{20} + 2\sigma m_0)t_0 + t_0^2(m_2 - \sigma e_0 - m_0\frac{\omega_{20}^2}{2}) + 2\sigma(e_0 + n_0\omega_{20}) + 2\sigma^2 m_0 + \dots \\
R(t_0) &= m_0 + (e_0 + n_0\omega_{20} + 2\sigma m_0)t_0 + t_0^2(m_2 + \sigma e_0 + 2\sigma n_0\omega_{20} + 2\sigma^2 m_0 - m_0\frac{\omega_{20}^2}{2}) + \dots
\end{aligned} \tag{E.9}$$

In Appendix F, we derive the same results derived in this section **without** the assumption that $\omega_2(t_0)$ is analytic in $|t_0| \leq \infty$.

Appendix E.4. Computation of $m_0, m_1, m_2, n_0, n_1, n_2$

We assume that the **even** symmetric function $\omega_2(t_0)$ is analytic in $|t_0| \leq \infty$ and hence can be written in Taylor series as $\omega_2(t_0) = w_{20} + w_{22}t^2 + \dots = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} w_{2(2k)}t_0^{2k}$. We can see that $\omega_2(0) = w_{20}$, $[\frac{d\omega_2(t_0)}{dt_0}]_{t_0=0} = 0$, $[\frac{d^2\omega_2(t_0)}{dt_0^2}]_{t_0=0} = 2w_{22}$.

We can compute $m_0, m_1, m_2, n_0, n_1, n_2$ as follows. Define $\theta(t_0) = \omega_2(t_0)\tau$, we have $\frac{d\theta(t_0)}{dt_0} = \tau \frac{d\omega_2(t_0)}{dt_0}$ and equals $\omega_{21}\tau = 0$ at $t_0 = 0$. $\frac{d^2\theta(t_0)}{dt_0^2} = \tau \frac{d^2\omega_2(t_0)}{dt_0^2}$ and equals $2\omega_{22}\tau$ at $t_0 = 0$.

$$\begin{aligned}
M(t_0) &= \int_{-\infty}^0 E_0(\tau) e^{-2\sigma\tau} \cos(\omega_2(t_0)\tau) d\tau = [m_0 + m_1 t_0 + m_2 t_0^2 + \dots] \\
m_0 &= \int_{-\infty}^0 E_0(\tau) e^{-2\sigma\tau} \cos(\omega_{20}\tau) d\tau \\
\frac{dM(t_0)}{dt_0} &= - \int_{-\infty}^0 E_0(\tau) e^{-2\sigma\tau} \sin(\omega_2(t_0)\tau) \frac{d\theta(t_0)}{dt_0} d\tau = - \frac{d\omega_2(t_0)}{dt_0} \int_{-\infty}^0 \tau E_0(\tau) e^{-2\sigma\tau} \sin(\omega_2(t_0)\tau) d\tau \\
m_1 &= \left(\frac{dM(t_0)}{dt_0} \right)_{t_0=0} = -\omega_{21} \int_{-\infty}^0 \tau E_0(\tau) e^{-2\sigma\tau} \sin(\omega_{20}\tau) d\tau = 0 \\
\frac{d^2M(t_0)}{dt_0^2} &= - \int_{-\infty}^0 E_0(\tau) e^{-2\sigma\tau} \sin(\omega_2(t_0)\tau) \frac{d^2\theta(t_0)}{dt_0^2} d\tau - \int_{-\infty}^0 E_0(\tau) e^{-2\sigma\tau} \cos(\omega_2(t_0)\tau) \left(\frac{d\theta(t_0)}{dt_0} \right)^2 d\tau \\
m_2 &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{d^2M(t_0)}{dt_0^2} \right)_{t_0=0} = -\omega_{22} \int_{-\infty}^0 \tau E_0(\tau) e^{-2\sigma\tau} \sin(\omega_{20}\tau) d\tau
\end{aligned} \tag{E.10}$$

Similarly, we can compute n_0, n_1, n_2 as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}
N(t_0) &= \int_{-\infty}^0 E_0(\tau) e^{-2\sigma\tau} \sin(\omega_2(t_0)\tau) d\tau = [n_0 + n_1 t_0 + n_2 t_0^2 + \dots] \\
n_0 &= \int_{-\infty}^0 E_0(\tau) e^{-2\sigma\tau} \sin(\omega_{20}\tau) d\tau \\
\frac{dN(t_0)}{dt_0} &= \int_{-\infty}^0 E_0(\tau) e^{-2\sigma\tau} \cos(\omega_2(t_0)\tau) \frac{d\theta(t_0)}{dt_0} d\tau = \frac{d\omega_2(t_0)}{dt_0} \int_{-\infty}^0 \tau E_0(\tau) e^{-2\sigma\tau} \cos(\omega_2(t_0)\tau) d\tau \\
n_1 &= \left(\frac{dN(t_0)}{dt_0} \right)_{t_0=0} = \omega_{21} \int_{-\infty}^0 \tau E_0(\tau) e^{-2\sigma\tau} \cos(\omega_{20}\tau) d\tau = 0 \\
\frac{d^2N(t_0)}{dt_0^2} &= \int_{-\infty}^0 E_0(\tau) e^{-2\sigma\tau} \cos(\omega_2(t_0)\tau) \frac{d^2\theta(t_0)}{dt_0^2} d\tau - \int_{-\infty}^0 E_0(\tau) e^{-2\sigma\tau} \sin(\omega_2(t_0)\tau) \left(\frac{d\theta(t_0)}{dt_0} \right)^2 d\tau \\
n_2 &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{d^2N(t_0)}{dt_0^2} \right)_{t_0=0} = \omega_{22} \int_{-\infty}^0 \tau E_0(\tau) e^{-2\sigma\tau} \cos(\omega_{20}\tau) d\tau
\end{aligned} \tag{E.11}$$

Appendix F. Method 2: First 2 derivatives of $R(t_0)$

In this section, we assume that $\omega_2(t_0)$ is **not** analytic and we can derive the equation for $R(t_0)$ in Eq. E.9 in Appendix E, using integration by parts, **without** using Taylor series for $\cos(\omega_2(t_0)t_0)$, $\sin(\omega_2(t_0)t_0)$. We are interested **only** in the first 3 terms t_0^0, t_0^1, t_0^2 .

We expand a few terms in $R(t_0)$ which are analytic functions, using Taylor series as follows. We use $E_0(t) = E_0(-t)$, $E_0(t)e^{-2\sigma t} = [e_0 + e_2 \frac{t^2}{12} + e_4 \frac{t^4}{14} + \dots][1 - 2\sigma t + 2\sigma^2 t^2 + \dots] = e_0 - 2\sigma e_0 t + t^2(\frac{e_2}{12} + 2e_0\sigma^2) + \dots$

We use $(f_{c1}(t))_{-\infty} = [\int E_0(t)e^{-2\sigma t} \cos(\omega_2(t_0)t)dt]_{t=-\infty} - K_{1c} = -M(t_0) - K_{1c}$ and $(f_{s1}(t))_{-\infty} = [\int E_0(t)e^{-2\sigma t} \sin(\omega_2(t_0)t)dt]_{t=-\infty} - K_{1s} = -N(t_0) - K_{1s}$ as derived in Appendix E and split each integral into two integrals evaluated at upper and lower limits. $M(t_0), N(t_0)$ are defined in Appendix E.4. Integration constants K_{1c}, K_{1s} get **cancelled** at upper and lower limits of the integrals.

$$\begin{aligned}
R(t_0) &= e^{2\sigma t_0} [\cos(\omega_2(t_0)t_0) \int_{-\infty}^{t_0} E_0(t)e^{-2\sigma t} \cos(\omega_2(t_0)t)dt + \sin(\omega_2(t_0)t_0) \int_{-\infty}^{t_0} E_0(t)e^{-2\sigma t} \sin(\omega_2(t_0)t)dt] \\
R(t_0) &= e^{2\sigma t_0} [\cos(\omega_2(t_0)t_0) \int_{-\infty}^{t_0} [e_0 - 2\sigma e_0 t + t^2(\frac{e_2}{12} + 2e_0\sigma^2) + \dots] \cos(\omega_2(t_0)t)dt \\
&\quad + \sin(\omega_2(t_0)t_0) \int_{-\infty}^{t_0} [e_0 - 2\sigma e_0 t + t^2(\frac{e_2}{12} + 2e_0\sigma^2) + \dots] \sin(\omega_2(t_0)t)dt] \\
R(t_0) &= e^{2\sigma t_0} [\cos(\omega_2(t_0)t_0) [\int (e_0 - 2\sigma e_0 t + t^2(\frac{e_2}{12} + 2e_0\sigma^2) + \dots) \cos(\omega_2(t_0)t)dt]_{t=t_0} \\
&\quad + \sin(\omega_2(t_0)t_0) [\int (e_0 - 2\sigma e_0 t + t^2(\frac{e_2}{12} + 2e_0\sigma^2) + \dots) \sin(\omega_2(t_0)t)dt]_{t=t_0}] \\
&\quad + e^{2\sigma t_0} ((M(t_0) + K_{1c}) \cos(\omega_2(t_0)t_0) + (N(t_0) + K_{1s}) \sin(\omega_2(t_0)t_0))
\end{aligned} \tag{F.1}$$

Using **repeated** integration by parts, for the first two terms t^0, t^1 in the two integrals in above equation, this can be simplified as follows. For the **first** integral, we use $u = \cos(\omega_2(t_0)t)$, $dv = t^r dt$, $v = \frac{t^{(r+1)}}{(r+1)}$, $du = -\omega_2(t_0) \sin(\omega_2(t_0)t)dt$ for $r = 0, 1$. For the **second** integral, we use $u = \sin(\omega_2(t_0)t)$, $dv = t^r dt$, $v = \frac{t^{(r+1)}}{(r+1)}$, $du = \omega_2(t_0) \cos(\omega_2(t_0)t)dt$ for $r = 0, 1$.

$$\begin{aligned}
R(t_0) &= e^{2\sigma t_0} [e_0 [\cos(\omega_2(t_0)t_0)(t_0 \cos(\omega_2(t_0)t_0) + \frac{t_0^2}{12} \sin(\omega_2(t_0)t_0)\omega_2(t_0) + \dots) \\
&\quad + \sin(\omega_2(t_0)t_0)(t_0 \sin(\omega_2(t_0)t_0) - \frac{t_0^2}{12} \cos(\omega_2(t_0)t_0)\omega_2(t_0) + \dots)] \\
&\quad - 2\sigma e_0 [\cos(\omega_2(t_0)t_0)(\frac{t_0^2}{2} \cos(\omega_2(t_0)t_0) + \frac{t_0^3}{13} \sin(\omega_2(t_0)t_0)\omega_2(t_0) + \dots) \\
&\quad + \sin(\omega_2(t_0)t_0)(\frac{t_0^2}{2} \sin(\omega_2(t_0)t_0) - \frac{t_0^3}{13} \cos(\omega_2(t_0)t_0)\omega_2(t_0) + \dots)] \\
&\quad + \cos(\omega_2(t_0)t_0) [\int [t^2(\frac{e_2}{12} + 2e_0\sigma^2) + \dots] \cos(\omega_2(t_0)t)dt]_{t=t_0} \\
&\quad + \sin(\omega_2(t_0)t_0) [\int [t^2(\frac{e_2}{12} + 2e_0\sigma^2) + \dots] \sin(\omega_2(t_0)t)dt]_{t=t_0}] \\
&\quad + e^{2\sigma t_0} [(K_{1c} \cos(\omega_2(t_0)t_0) + K_{1s} \sin(\omega_2(t_0)t_0)] + e^{2\sigma t_0} [(M(t_0) \cos(\omega_2(t_0)t_0) + N(t_0) \sin(\omega_2(t_0)t_0)]
\end{aligned} \tag{F.2}$$

This can be further simplified as follows by cancelling common terms in the term involving e_0 and $2\sigma e_0$. Using

$$e^{2\sigma t_0} = 1 + 2\sigma t_0 + 2\sigma^2 t_0^2 + \dots = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (2\sigma)^k \frac{t_0^k}{k!}, \text{ we get}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
R(t_0) = & (1 + 2\sigma t_0 + 2\sigma^2 t_0^2 + \dots)[e_0[t_0 + \frac{t_0^3}{13}\omega_2^2(t_0) + \dots] - 2\sigma e_0[\frac{t_0^2}{12} + \frac{t_0^4}{14}\omega_2^2(t_0) + \dots] \\
& + \cos(\omega_2(t_0)t_0)[\int [t^2(\frac{e_2}{12} + 2e_0\sigma^2) + \dots] \cos(\omega_2(t_0)t)dt]_{t=t_0} \\
& + \sin(\omega_2(t_0)t_0)[\int [t^2(\frac{e_2}{12} + 2e_0\sigma^2) + \dots] \sin(\omega_2(t_0)t)dt]_{t=t_0}] \\
& + e^{2\sigma t_0}[(K_{1c} \cos(\omega_2(t_0)t_0) + K_{1s} \sin(\omega_2(t_0)t_0)] + e^{2\sigma t_0}[M(t_0) \cos(\omega_2(t_0)t_0) + N(t_0) \sin(\omega_2(t_0)t_0)]
\end{aligned} \tag{F.3}$$

Integration constants K_{1c}, K_{1s} get **cancelled** at upper and lower limits of the integrals. The terms inside the integrals in above equation can be shown to have terms of the order of t_0^3 and above. Hence we can write as follows, where a_k are the coefficients of the terms $\frac{t_0^k}{1k}$.

$$\begin{aligned}
R(t_0) = & (1 + 2\sigma t_0 + 2\sigma^2 t_0^2 + \dots)[(e_0 t_0 - 2\sigma e_0 \frac{t_0^2}{2} + a_3 \frac{t_0^3}{3} + a_4 \frac{t_0^4}{4} + \dots)] \\
& e^{2\sigma t_0}[(M(t_0) \cos(\omega_2(t_0)t_0) + N(t_0) \sin(\omega_2(t_0)t_0)] \\
R(t_0) = & (1 + 2\sigma t_0 + 2\sigma^2 t_0^2 + \dots)[(e_0 t_0 - \sigma e_0 t_0^2 + a_3 \frac{t_0^3}{3} + a_4 \frac{t_0^4}{4} + \dots)] \\
& + e^{2\sigma t_0}[(M(t_0) \cos(\omega_2(t_0)t_0) + N(t_0) \sin(\omega_2(t_0)t_0)] \\
R(t_0) = & (e_0 t_0 + t_0^2(-\sigma e_0 + 2\sigma e_0) + t_0^3() + \dots) + e^{2\sigma t_0}[(M(t_0) \cos(\omega_2(t_0)t_0) + N(t_0) \sin(\omega_2(t_0)t_0)]
\end{aligned} \tag{F.4}$$

We want to evaluate the first and second derivative of $R(t_0)$ in section below.

Appendix F.1. Second derivative of $\omega_2(t_0)$ at $t_0 = 0$ is finite at $t_0 = 0$.

In this section, we will show that the second derivative of $\omega_2(t_0)$ is finite at $t_0 = 0$. In Appendix G, we show that $[\omega_2(t_0)]_{t_0=0} = \omega_2(0) = \omega_{20}$ is finite. In Eq. E.10, we see that $m_1 = (\frac{dM(t_0)}{dt_0})_{t_0=0} = -\omega_{21} \int_{-\infty}^0 \tau E_0(\tau) e^{-2\sigma\tau} \sin(\omega_{20}\tau) d\tau = 0$. Given that $\int_{-\infty}^0 \tau E_0(\tau) e^{-2\sigma\tau} \sin(\omega_{20}\tau) d\tau$ is finite, we infer that $\omega_{21} = [\frac{d\omega_2(t_0)}{dt_0}]_{t_0=0}$ must be finite. Hence we have shown that the **first derivative** of $\omega_2(t_0)$ is **finite**.

We can show that the second derivative of $\omega_2(t_0)$ is also finite. We see from Eq. 19 that $m_2 + \sigma e_0 + 2\sigma n_0 \omega_{20} = 0$ where $m_2 = -\omega_{22} \int_{-\infty}^0 \tau E_0(\tau) e^{-2\sigma\tau} \sin(\omega_{20}\tau) d\tau$ and $\omega_{22} = \frac{1}{2} [\frac{d^2 \omega_2(t_0)}{dt_0^2}]_{t_0=0}$.

$$\begin{aligned}
m_2 + \sigma e_0 + 2\sigma n_0 \omega_{20} &= 0 \\
n_0 &= \int_{-\infty}^0 E_0(\tau) e^{-2\sigma\tau} \sin(\omega_{20}\tau) d\tau, \quad e_0 = E_0(0) \\
m_2 &= -\omega_{22} \int_{-\infty}^0 \tau E_0(\tau) e^{-2\sigma\tau} \sin(\omega_{20}\tau) d\tau
\end{aligned} \tag{F.5}$$

We see that e_0, ω_{20}, n_0 in above equation are finite. Hence m_2 is also finite. Given that $\int_{-\infty}^0 \tau E_0(\tau) e^{-2\sigma\tau} \sin(\omega_{20}\tau) d\tau$ is also finite, we infer that $\omega_{22} = \frac{1}{2} [\frac{d^2 \omega_2(t_0)}{dt_0^2}]_{t_0=0}$ must be finite. Hence we have shown that the **second derivative** of $\omega_2(t_0)$ is **finite**.

Computation of first two derivatives of $M(t_0), N(t_0)$:

Define $\theta(t_0) = \omega_2(t_0)t_0$, we have $\frac{d\theta(t_0)}{dt_0} = t_0 \frac{d\omega_2(t_0)}{dt_0} + \omega_2(t_0)$ which equals ω_{20} at $t_0 = 0$. $\frac{d^2\theta(t_0)}{dt_0^2} = t_0 \frac{d^2\omega_2(t_0)}{dt_0^2} + 2\frac{d\omega_2(t_0)}{dt_0}$ which equals zero at $t_0 = 0$, given that $\omega_2(t_0)$ is an even function of t_0 . We substitute $(\frac{dM(t_0)}{dt_0})_{t_0=0} = 0$ and $(\frac{dN(t_0)}{dt_0})_{t_0=0} = 0$ from Eq. E.10 and Eq. E.11 in Eq. F.6. We can write Eq. F.4 as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}
R(t_0) &= (e_0 t_0 + t_0^2(\sigma e_0) + t_0^3() + \dots) + MN(t_0) \\
MN(t_0) &= e^{2\sigma t_0} (M(t_0) \cos(\theta(t_0)) + N(t_0) \sin(\theta(t_0))) \\
MN(0) &= m_0 \\
\frac{dMN(t_0)}{dt_0} &= e^{2\sigma t_0} [\cos(\theta(t_0)) [2\sigma M(t_0) + \frac{dM(t_0)}{dt_0} + N(t_0) \frac{d\theta(t_0)}{dt_0}] + \sin(\theta(t_0)) [2\sigma N(t_0) + \frac{dN(t_0)}{dt_0} - M(t_0) \frac{d\theta(t_0)}{dt_0}]] \\
(\frac{dMN(t_0)}{dt_0})_{t_0=0} &= 2\sigma M(0) + (\frac{dM(t_0)}{dt_0})_{t_0=0} + N(0)\omega_{20} = 2\sigma m_0 + n_0\omega_{20}
\end{aligned} \tag{F.6}$$

Now we compute the second derivative as follows. We use $m_2 = \frac{1}{2}(\frac{d^2M(t_0)}{dt_0^2})_{t_0=0}$.

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d^2MN(t_0)}{dt_0^2} &= e^{2\sigma t_0} [\cos(\theta(t_0)) [2\sigma(2\sigma M(t_0) + \frac{dM(t_0)}{dt_0} + N(t_0) \frac{d\theta(t_0)}{dt_0}) + 2\sigma \frac{dM(t_0)}{dt_0} + \frac{d^2M(t_0)}{dt_0^2} + N(t_0) \frac{d^2\theta(t_0)}{dt_0^2} \\
&\quad + \frac{d\theta(t_0)}{dt_0} \frac{dN(t_0)}{dt_0} + \frac{d\theta(t_0)}{dt_0} (2\sigma N(t_0) + \frac{dN(t_0)}{dt_0} - M(t_0) \frac{d\theta(t_0)}{dt_0})] \\
&\quad + \sin(\theta(t_0)) [2\sigma(2\sigma N(t_0) + \frac{dN(t_0)}{dt_0} - M(t_0) \frac{d\theta(t_0)}{dt_0}) - \frac{d\theta(t_0)}{dt_0} (2\sigma M(t_0) + \frac{dM(t_0)}{dt_0} + N(t_0) \frac{d\theta(t_0)}{dt_0}) \\
&\quad + 2\sigma \frac{dN(t_0)}{dt_0} + \frac{d^2N(t_0)}{dt_0^2} - M(t_0) \frac{d^2\theta(t_0)}{dt_0^2} - \frac{d\theta(t_0)}{dt_0} \frac{dM(t_0)}{dt_0}]] \\
\frac{1}{2}(\frac{d^2MN(t_0)}{dt_0^2})_{t_0=0} &= \sigma(2\sigma m_0 + n_0\omega_{20}) + m_2 + \frac{1}{2}\omega_{20}(2\sigma n_0 - m_0\omega_{20}) \\
\frac{1}{2}(\frac{d^2MN(t_0)}{dt_0^2})_{t_0=0} &= m_2 + 2\sigma n_0\omega_{20} + 2\sigma^2 m_0 - \frac{m_0}{2}\omega_{20}^2
\end{aligned} \tag{F.7}$$

We substitute above result in Eq. F.4 and derive as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}
R(t_0) &= (e_0 t_0 + t_0^2(\sigma e_0) + t_0^3() + \dots) + MN(t_0) \\
R(0) &= MN(0) = m_0 \\
(\frac{dR(t_0)}{dt_0})_{t_0=0} &= e_0 + (\frac{dMN(t_0)}{dt_0})_{t_0=0} = e_0 + 2\sigma m_0 + n_0\omega_{20} \\
\frac{1}{2}(\frac{d^2R(t_0)}{dt_0^2})_{t_0=0} &= \sigma e_0 + \frac{1}{2}(\frac{d^2MN(t_0)}{dt_0^2})_{t_0=0} = \sigma e_0 + m_2 + 2\sigma n_0\omega_{20} + 2\sigma^2 m_0 - \frac{m_0}{2}\omega_{20}^2
\end{aligned} \tag{F.8}$$

We can simplify as follows. The results in equation below are exactly the same as those derived in Eq. E.9 using Taylor series expansion of $\omega_2(t_0)$ reproduced below.

$$R(t_0) = m_0 + (e_0 + n_0\omega_{20} + 2\sigma m_0)t_0 + t_0^2(m_2 + \sigma e_0 + 2\sigma n_0\omega_{20} + 2\sigma^2 m_0 - m_0 \frac{\omega_{20}^2}{2}) + \dots \tag{F.9}$$

Appendix G. On the zeros of a related function $G(\omega)$

Statement 1: Let us assume that Riemann's Xi function $\xi(\frac{1}{2} + \sigma + i\omega) = E_{p\omega}(\omega)$ has a zero at $\omega = \omega_0$ where ω_0 is real and finite and $0 < |\sigma| < \frac{1}{2}$, corresponding to the critical strip excluding the critical line.

Let us consider a new function $g(t) = E_p(t)e^{-\sigma t}u(-t) + E_p(t)e^{\sigma t}u(t)$ where $g(t)$ is a real function of variable t and $u(t)$ is Heaviside unit step function and $0 < \sigma < \frac{1}{2}$. We can see that $g(t)h(t) = E_p(t)$ where $h(t) = e^{\sigma t}u(-t) + e^{-\sigma t}u(t)$.

We can show that $E_p(t), h(t), g(t)$ are real absolutely integrable functions and go to zero as $t \rightarrow \pm\infty$. Hence their respective Fourier transforms given by $E_{p\omega}(\omega), H(\omega), G(\omega)$ are finite for $|\omega| \leq \infty$ and go to zero as $|\omega| \rightarrow \infty$, as per Riemann Lebesgue Lemma (link). This is shown in detail in Appendix D.1.

If we take the Fourier transform of the equation $g(t)h(t) = E_p(t)$, we get $\frac{1}{2\pi}[G(\omega) * H(\omega)] = E_{p\omega}(\omega)$ as per convolution theorem (link), where $*$ denotes **convolution** operation given by $E_{p\omega}(\omega) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} G(\omega')H(\omega - \omega')d\omega'$ and $H(\omega) = [\frac{1}{\sigma - i\omega} + \frac{1}{\sigma + i\omega}] = \frac{2\sigma}{(\sigma^2 + \omega^2)}$ is the Fourier transform of the function $h(t)$ and $G(\omega) = G_R(\omega) + iG_I(\omega)$ is the Fourier transform of the function $g(t)$. This is shown in detail in Appendix C.1.

We can write $g(t) = g_{\text{even}}(t) + g_{\text{odd}}(t)$ where $g_{\text{even}}(t)$ is an even function and $g_{\text{odd}}(t)$ is an odd function of variable t . If Statement 1 is true, then the **real** part of the Fourier transform of the **even function** $g_{\text{even}}(t) = \frac{1}{2}[g(t) + g(-t)]$ given by $G_R(\omega)$ must have **at least one zero** at $\omega = \omega_1 \neq 0$ where ω_1 is real and finite and can be different from ω_0 in general. We call this **Statement 2**.

Because $H(\omega) = \frac{2\sigma}{(\sigma^2 + \omega^2)}$ is real and does not have zeros for any finite value of ω , **if** $G_R(\omega)$ does not have at least one zero for some $\omega = \omega_1 \neq 0$, **then** the **real part** of $E_{p\omega}(\omega)$ given by $E_R(\omega) = \frac{1}{2\pi}[G_R(\omega) * H(\omega)]$, obtained by the convolution of $H(\omega)$ and $G_R(\omega)$, **cannot** possibly have zeros for any non-zero finite value of ω , which goes against **Statement 1**. This is shown in detail in Lemma 1.

Lemma 1: If Riemann's Xi function $\xi(\frac{1}{2} + \sigma + i\omega) = E_{p\omega}(\omega)$ has a zero at $\omega = \omega_0 \neq 0$ where ω_0 is real and finite, then the **real** part of the Fourier transform of the **even function** $g_{\text{even}}(t) = \frac{1}{2}[g(t) + g(-t)]$ given by $G_R(\omega)$ must have **at least one zero** at $\omega = \omega_1 \neq 0$, where ω_1 is real and finite, where $g(t)h(t) = E_p(t)$ and $h(t) = e^{\sigma t}u(-t) + e^{-\sigma t}u(t)$ and $0 < \sigma < \frac{1}{2}$.

Proof: If $E_{p\omega}(\omega)$ has a zero at finite $\omega = \omega_0 \neq 0$ to satisfy Statement 1, then its real part given by $E_R(\omega)$ also has a zero at the same location $\omega = \omega_0 \neq 0$.

Let us consider the case where $G_R(\omega)$ **does not** have at least one zero for finite $\omega = \omega_1 \neq 0$ and show that $E_R(\omega)$ does not have at least one zero at finite $\omega \neq 0$ for this case, which **contradicts** Statement 1. Given that $H(\omega)$ is real, we can write the convolution theorem only for the imaginary parts as follows.

$$E_R(\omega) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} G_R(\omega')H(\omega - \omega')d\omega' \quad (\text{G.1})$$

We can show that the above integral converges for all $|\omega| \leq \infty$, given that $G(\omega)$ and $H(\omega)$ have fall-off rate of $\frac{1}{\omega^2}$ as $|\omega| \rightarrow \infty$ because the first derivatives of $g(t)$ and $h(t)$ are discontinuous at $t = 0$. (Appendix D.2)

We substitute $H(\omega) = \frac{2\sigma}{(\sigma^2 + \omega^2)}$ in Eq. G.1 and we get

$$E_R(\omega) = \frac{\sigma}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} G_R(\omega') \frac{1}{(\sigma^2 + (\omega - \omega')^2)} d\omega' \quad (\text{G.2})$$

We can split the integral in Eq. G.2 as follows.

$$E_R(\omega) = \frac{\sigma}{\pi} \left[\int_{-\infty}^0 G_R(\omega') \frac{1}{(\sigma^2 + (\omega - \omega')^2)} d\omega' + \int_0^{\infty} G_R(\omega') \frac{1}{(\sigma^2 + (\omega - \omega')^2)} d\omega' \right]$$

(G.3)

We see that $G_R(-\omega) = G_R(\omega)$ because $g(t)$ is a real function (Appendix C.2). We can substitute $\omega' = -\omega''$ in the first integral in Eq. G.3 and substituting $\omega'' = \omega'$ in the result, we can write as follows.

$$E_R(\omega) = \frac{\sigma}{\pi} \int_0^\infty G_R(\omega') \left[\frac{1}{(\sigma^2 + (\omega - \omega')^2)} + \frac{1}{(\sigma^2 + (\omega + \omega')^2)} \right] d\omega' \quad (\text{G.4})$$

In Appendix D.1 last paragraph, it is shown that $G(\omega)$ is finite for $|\omega| \leq \infty$ and goes to zero as $|\omega| \rightarrow \infty$. We can see that for $\omega' = 0$ and $\omega' = \infty$, the integrand in Eq. G.4 is zero. For finite $\omega > 0$, and $0 < \omega' < \infty$, we can see that the term $\frac{1}{(\sigma^2 + (\omega - \omega')^2)} + \frac{1}{(\sigma^2 + (\omega + \omega')^2)} > 0$.

• **Case 1:** $G_R(\omega') > 0$ for all finite $\omega' > 0$

We see that $E_R(\omega) > 0$ for all finite $\omega > 0$. We see that $E_R(-\omega) = E_R(\omega)$ because $E_p(t)$ is a real function (Appendix C.2). Hence $E_R(\omega) > 0$ for all finite $\omega < 0$.

This **contradicts** Statement 1 which requires $E_R(\omega)$ to have at least one zero at finite $\omega \neq 0$ because we showed that $\omega_0 \neq 0$ in **Section 2** paragraph 5. Therefore $G_R(\omega')$ must have **at least one zero** at $\omega' = \omega_1 \neq 0$, where ω_1 is real and finite.

• **Case 2:** $G_R(\omega') < 0$ for all finite $\omega' > 0$

We see that $E_R(\omega) < 0$ for all finite $\omega > 0$. We see that $E_R(-\omega) = E_R(\omega)$ because $E_p(t)$ is a real function (Appendix C.2). Hence $E_R(\omega) < 0$ for all finite $\omega < 0$.

This **contradicts** Statement 1 which requires $E_R(\omega)$ to have at least one zero at finite $\omega \neq 0$. Therefore $G_R(\omega')$ must have **at least one zero** at $\omega' = \omega_1 \neq 0$, where ω_1 is real and finite.

We have shown that, $G_R(\omega)$ must have **at least one zero** at finite $\omega = \omega_1 \neq 0$ to satisfy **Statement 1**. We call this **Statement 2**.