# On a new method towards proof of Riemann's Hypothesis

#### Akhila Raman

University of California at Berkeley. Email: akhila.raman@berkeley.edu.

#### Abstract

We consider the analytic continuation of Riemann's Zeta Function derived from **Riemann's Xi function**  $\xi(s)$  which is evaluated at  $s = \frac{1}{2} + \sigma + i\omega$ , given by  $\xi(\frac{1}{2} + \sigma + i\omega) = E_{p\omega}(\omega)$ , where  $\sigma, \omega$  are real and  $-\infty \le \omega \le \infty$  and compute its inverse Fourier transform given by  $E_p(t)$ .

We use a new method and show that the Fourier Transform of  $E_p(t)$  given by  $E_{p\omega}(\omega) = \xi(\frac{1}{2} + \sigma + i\omega)$  does not have zeros for finite and real  $\omega$  when  $0 < |\sigma| < \frac{1}{2}$ , corresponding to the critical strip excluding the critical line and prove Riemann's hypothesis.

More importantly, the new method **does not** contradict the existence of non-trivial zeros on the critical line with real part of  $s = \frac{1}{2}$  and **does not** contradict Riemann Hypothesis. It is shown that the new method is **not** applicable to Hurwitz zeta function and related functions and **does not** contradict the existence of their non-trivial zeros away from the critical line.

If the specific solution presented in this paper is incorrect, it is **hoped** that the new method discussed in this paper will lead to a correct solution by other researchers.

Keywords: Riemann, Hypothesis, Zeta, Xi, exponential functions

#### 1. Introduction

It is well known that Riemann's Zeta function given by  $\zeta(s)=\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{m^s}$  converges in the half-plane where the real part of s is greater than 1. Riemann proved that  $\zeta(s)$  has an analytic continuation to the whole s-plane apart from a simple pole at s=1 and that  $\zeta(s)$  satisfies a symmetric functional equation given by  $\xi(s)=\xi(1-s)=\frac{1}{2}s(s-1)\pi^{-\frac{s}{2}}\Gamma(\frac{s}{2})\zeta(s)$  where  $\Gamma(s)=\int_0^\infty e^{-u}u^{s-1}du$  is the Gamma function. [4] [5] We can see that if Riemann's Xi function has a zero in the critical strip, then Riemann's Zeta function also has a zero at the same location. Riemann made his conjecture in his 1859 paper, that all of the non-trivial zeros of  $\zeta(s)$  lie on the critical line with real part of  $s=\frac{1}{2}$ , which is called the Riemann Hypothesis. [1]

Hardy and Littlewood later proved that infinitely many of the zeros of  $\zeta(s)$  are on the critical line with real part of  $s=\frac{1}{2}.^{[2]}$  It is well known that  $\zeta(s)$  does not have non-trivial zeros when real part of  $s=\frac{1}{2}+\sigma+i\omega$ , given by  $\frac{1}{2}+\sigma\geq 1$  and  $\frac{1}{2}+\sigma\leq 0$ . In this paper, **critical strip** 0< Re[s]<1 corresponds to  $0\leq |\sigma|<\frac{1}{2}$ .

In this paper, a **new method** is discussed and a specific solution is presented to prove Riemann's Hypothesis. If the specific solution presented in this paper is incorrect, it is **hoped** that the new method discussed in this paper will lead to a correct solution by other researchers.

In Section 2, we prove Riemann's hypothesis by taking the analytic continuation of Riemann's Zeta Function derived from Riemann's Xi function  $\xi(\frac{1}{2} + \sigma + i\omega) = E_{p\omega}(\omega)$  and compute inverse Fourier transform of  $E_{p\omega}(\omega)$  given by  $E_p(t)$  and show that its Fourier transform  $E_{p\omega}(\omega)$  does not have zeros for finite and real  $\omega$  when  $0 < |\sigma| < \frac{1}{2}$ , corresponding to the critical strip **excluding** the critical line.

In Appendix A to Appendix E, well known results which are used in this paper are re-derived.

We present an **outline** of the new method below.

# 1.1. Step 1: Inverse Fourier Transform of $\xi(\frac{1}{2} + i\omega)$

Let us start with Riemann's Xi Function  $\xi(s)$  evaluated at  $s = \frac{1}{2} + i\omega$  given by  $\xi(\frac{1}{2} + i\omega) = \Xi(\omega) = E_{0\omega}(\omega)$ , where  $-\infty \le \omega \le \infty$ . Its inverse Fourier Transform is given by  $E_0(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} E_{0\omega}(\omega) e^{i\omega t} d\omega$ , where  $\omega, t$  are real, as follows (link).<sup>[3]</sup>

$$E_0(t) = \Phi(t) = 2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[2n^4\pi^2 e^{\frac{9t}{2}} - 3n^2\pi e^{\frac{5t}{2}}\right]e^{-\pi n^2 e^{2t}} = 2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[2\pi^2 n^4 e^{4t} - 3\pi n^2 e^{2t}\right]e^{-\pi n^2 e^{2t}}e^{\frac{t}{2}}$$
(1)

We see that  $E_0(t) = E_0(-t)$  is a real and **even** function of t, given that  $E_{0\omega}(\omega) = E_{0\omega}(-\omega)$  because  $\xi(s) = \xi(1-s)$  and hence  $\xi(\frac{1}{2}+i\omega) = \xi(\frac{1}{2}-i\omega)$  when evaluated at  $s = \frac{1}{2}+i\omega$ .

The inverse Fourier Transform of  $\xi(\frac{1}{2} + \sigma + i\omega) = E_{p\omega}(\omega)$  is given by the real function  $E_p(t)$ . We can write  $E_p(t)$  as follows for  $0 < |\sigma| < \frac{1}{2}$  and this is shown in detail in Appendix A using contour integration.

$$E_p(t) = E_0(t)e^{-\sigma t} = 2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [2\pi^2 n^4 e^{4t} - 3\pi n^2 e^{2t}]e^{-\pi n^2 e^{2t}} e^{\frac{t}{2}} e^{-\sigma t}$$
(2)

We can see that  $E_p(t)$  is an analytic function in the interval  $|t| \leq \infty$ , given that the sum and product of exponential functions are analytic in the same interval and hence infinitely differentiable in that interval.

### 1.2. Step 2: On the zeros of a related function $G(\omega)$

**Statement 1**: Let us assume that Riemann's Xi function  $\xi(\frac{1}{2} + \sigma + i\omega) = E_{p\omega}(\omega)$  has a zero at  $\omega = \omega_0$  where  $\omega_0$  is real and finite and  $0 < |\sigma| < \frac{1}{2}$ , corresponding to the critical strip excluding the critical line. We will prove that this assumption leads to a **contradiction**.

Let us consider  $0 < \sigma < \frac{1}{2}$  at first. Let us consider a new function  $g(t) = e^{\sigma t_0} E_p'(t+t_0) e^{-\sigma t} u(-t) + e^{\sigma t_0} E_p'(t+t_0) e^{\sigma t} u(t)$ , where  $E_p'(t) = e^{\sigma t_2} E_p(t+t_2)$  and g(t) is a real function of variable t and u(t) is Heaviside unit step function. We can see that  $g(t)h(t) = e^{\sigma t_0} E_p'(t+t_0)$  where  $h(t) = [e^{\sigma t} u(-t) + e^{-\sigma t} u(t)]$ .

In Section 2.1, we will show that the Fourier transform of the **odd function**  $g_{odd}(t) = \frac{1}{2}[g(t) - g(-t)]$  given by  $G_{odd}(\omega) = G_I(\omega)$  must have **at least one zero** at  $\omega = \omega_z(t_2, t_0) \neq 0$ , for every value of  $t_0$ , to satisfy Statement 1, where  $\omega_z(t_2, t_0)$  is real and finite.

#### 1.3. Step 3: On the zeros of the function $G_R(\omega)$

In Section 2.2, we compute the Fourier transform of the function  $g_{odd}(t)$  given by  $G_I(\omega)$ . We require  $G_I(\omega) = 0$  for  $\omega = \omega_z(t_2, t_0)$  for every value of  $t_0$ , to satisfy **Statement 1**. In general,  $\omega_z(t_2, t_0) \neq \omega_0$ .

It is shown that  $R(t_2, t_0) = -G_I(\omega_z(t_2, t_0), t_0) = 0$  for all  $t_0$  as follows. We use  $E'_0(t) = E_0(t + t_2)$  and  $E'_{0n}(t) = E_0(t - t_2)$ .

$$R(t_{2},t_{0}) = e^{2\sigma t_{0}} \left[\cos\left(\omega_{z}(t_{2},t_{0})\right)t_{0}\right) \int_{-\infty}^{t_{0}} E_{0}'(\tau)e^{-2\sigma\tau} \sin\left(\omega_{z}(t_{2},t_{0})\right)\tau\right)d\tau$$

$$-\sin\left(\omega_{z}(t_{2},t_{0})\right)t_{0}\right) \int_{-\infty}^{t_{0}} E_{0}'(\tau)e^{-2\sigma\tau} \cos\left(\omega_{z}(t_{2},t_{0})\right)\tau\right)d\tau$$

$$-\left[\cos\left(\omega_{z}(t_{2},t_{0})\right)t_{0}\right) \int_{-\infty}^{-t_{0}} E_{0n}'(\tau) \sin\left(\omega_{z}(t_{2},t_{0})\right)\tau\right)d\tau + \sin\left(\omega_{z}(t_{2},t_{0})\right)t_{0}\right) \int_{-\infty}^{-t_{0}} E_{0n}'(\tau) \cos\left(\omega_{z}(t_{2},t_{0})\right)\tau\right)d\tau = 0$$

$$R(t_{0}) = \int_{-\infty}^{0} \left[E_{0}'(\tau+t_{0})e^{-2\sigma\tau} - E_{0n}'(\tau-t_{0})\right] \sin\left(\omega_{z}(t_{2},t_{0})\tau\right)d\tau = 0$$

## 1.4. Step 4: $\omega_z(t_2,t_0)$ is an even function of variable $t_0$

In Section 2.3, we show the result in Eq. 4 and that  $\omega_0(t_2,t_0)=\omega_z(t_2,t_0)=\omega_z(t_2,-t_0)$ .

$$P(t_0) = \int_{-\infty}^{0} \left[ E_0'(\tau + t_0)e^{-2\sigma\tau} + E_{0n}'(\tau - t_0) \right] \cos(\omega_0(t_2, t_0))\tau d\tau$$

$$+ \int_{-\infty}^{0} \left[ E_0'(\tau - t_0)e^{-2\sigma\tau} + E_{0n}'(\tau + t_0) \right] \cos(\omega_0(t_2, t_0))\tau d\tau = 0$$

$$(4)$$

#### 1.5. Step 5: Final Step

We set  $t_0 = t_1$  and  $t_2 = t_{2c} = Kt_1$ , for positive integer K, such that  $\omega_z(t_{2c}, t_1))t_1 = \pi$  and substitute in the equation for  $R(t_2, t_0)$  in Eq. 3 and show that this leads to the result in Eq. 5.

$$\int_0^{t_1} (E_0(\tau - t_{2c}) - E_0(\tau + t_{2c}))(\cosh(2\sigma t_1) - \cosh(2\sigma \tau)) \sin(\omega_2(t_{2c}, t_1)\tau) d\tau = 0$$
(5)

We show that the **each** of the terms in the integrand in Eq. 5 are **greater than zero**, in the interval  $\tau = [0, t_1]$  where  $t_1 > 0$ . For  $\omega_z(t_{2c}, t_1))t_1 = \pi$ , we see that  $\omega_z(t_{2c}, t_1))\tau = \frac{\pi}{t_1}\tau$  lies in the range  $[0, \pi]$  and hence  $\sin(\omega_z(t_{2c}, t_1)\tau) > 0$  in that interval  $\tau = [0, t_1]$ .

Hence the result in Eq. 5 leads to a **contradiction** for  $0 < \sigma < \frac{1}{2}$ .

We have shown this result for  $0 < \sigma < \frac{1}{2}$  and then use the property  $\xi(\frac{1}{2} + \sigma + i\omega) = \xi(\frac{1}{2} - \sigma - i\omega)$  to show the result for  $-\frac{1}{2} < \sigma < 0$ . Hence we have produced a **contradiction** of **Statement 1** that the Fourier Transform of the function  $E_p(t) = E_0(t)e^{-\sigma t}$  has a zero at  $\omega = \omega_0$  for  $0 < |\sigma| < \frac{1}{2}$ .

#### 2. An Approach towards Riemann's Hypothesis

**Theorem 1:** Riemann's Xi function  $\xi(\frac{1}{2}+\sigma+i\omega)=E_{p\omega}(\omega)$  does not have zeros for any real value of  $-\infty < \omega < \infty$ , for  $0<|\sigma|<\frac{1}{2}$ , corresponding to the critical strip excluding the critical line, given that  $E_0(t)=E_0(-t)$  is an even function of variable t, where  $E_p(t)=\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}E_{p\omega}(\omega)e^{i\omega t}d\omega$ ,  $E_p(t)=E_0(t)e^{-\sigma t}$  and  $E_0(t)=2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}[2\pi^2n^4e^{4t}-3\pi n^2e^{2t}]e^{-\pi n^2e^{2t}}e^{\frac{t}{2}}$ .

**Proof:** We assume that Riemann Hypothesis is false and prove its truth using proof by contradiction.

Statement 1: Let us assume that Riemann's Xi function  $\xi(\frac{1}{2} + \sigma + i\omega) = E_{p\omega}(\omega)$  has a zero at  $\omega = \omega_0$  where  $\omega_0$  is real and finite and  $0 < |\sigma| < \frac{1}{2}$ , corresponding to the critical strip excluding the critical line. We will prove that this assumption leads to a **contradiction**.

We will prove it for  $0 < \sigma < \frac{1}{2}$  first and then use the property  $\xi(\frac{1}{2} + \sigma + i\omega) = \xi(\frac{1}{2} - \sigma - i\omega)$  to show the result for  $-\frac{1}{2} < \sigma < 0$  and hence show the result for  $0 < |\sigma| < \frac{1}{2}$ .

We know that  $\omega_0 \neq 0$ , because  $\zeta(s)$  has no zeros on the real axis between 0 and 1, when  $s = \frac{1}{2} + \sigma + i\omega$  is real,  $\omega = 0$  and  $0 < |\sigma| < \frac{1}{2}$ . [3] This is shown in detail in first two paragraphs in Appendix C.1.

## 2.1. New function g(t)

Let us consider the function  $E'_p(t) = e^{\sigma t_2} E_p(t+t_2) = E_0(t+t_2) e^{-\sigma t} = E'_0(t) e^{-\sigma t}$ , where  $t_2$  is finite and real, and  $E'_0(t) = E_0(t+t_2)$ . Its Fourier transform is given by  $E'_{p\omega}(\omega) = E_{p\omega}(\omega) e^{\sigma t_2} e^{i\omega t_2}$  which has a zero at the same  $\omega = \omega_0$ .

Let us consider the function  $f(t) = e^{\sigma t_0} E_p'(t+t_0)$  where  $|t_0| \leq \infty$  and we can see that the Fourier Transform of this function  $F(\omega) = e^{\sigma t_0} E_{p\omega}'(\omega) e^{i\omega t_0}$  also has a zero at  $\omega = \omega_0$ .

Let us consider a new function  $g(t) = g_-(t)u(-t) + g_+(t)u(t)$  where g(t) is a real function of variable t and u(t) is Heaviside unit step function and  $g_-(t) = f(t)e^{-\sigma t}$  and  $g_+(t) = f(t)e^{\sigma t}$ . We can see that g(t)h(t) = f(t) where  $h(t) = [e^{\sigma t}u(-t) + e^{-\sigma t}u(t)]$ .

We can show that  $E_p(t), E'_p(t), h(t), g(t)$  are real absolutely integrable functions and go to zero as  $t \to \pm \infty$ . Hence their respective Fourier transforms given by  $E_{p\omega}(\omega), E'_{p\omega}(\omega), H(\omega), G(\omega)$  are finite for  $|\omega| \le \infty$  and go to zero as  $|\omega| \to \infty$ , as per Riemann Lebesgue Lemma (link). This is shown in detail in Appendix C.1.

We can see that g(t) is a real  $L^1$  integrable function, its Fourier transform  $G(\omega)$  is finite for  $|\omega| < \infty$  and goes to zero as  $\omega \to \pm \infty$ , as per **Riemann-Lebesgue Lemma**[Riemann Lebesgue Lemma]. This is explained in detail in Appendix C.1.

If we take the Fourier transform of the equation g(t)h(t) = f(t) where  $h(t) = [e^{\sigma t}u(-t) + e^{-\sigma t}u(t)]$ , we get  $\frac{1}{2\pi}[G(\omega)*H(\omega)] = F(\omega) = E'_{p\omega}(\omega)e^{\sigma t_0}e^{i\omega t_0} = F_R(\omega) + iF_I(\omega)$  as per convolution theorem (link), where \* denotes convolution operation given by  $F(\omega) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}G(\omega')H(\omega-\omega')d\omega'$  and  $H(\omega) = H_R(\omega) = [\frac{1}{\sigma-i\omega} + \frac{1}{\sigma+i\omega}] = \frac{2\sigma}{(\sigma^2+\omega^2)}$  is real and is the Fourier transform of the function h(t) and  $G(\omega) = G_R(\omega) + iG_I(\omega)$  is the Fourier transform of the function g(t). This is shown in detail in Appendix B.1.

For a given fixed value of  $t_2$ , for **every value** of  $t_0$ , we require the Fourier transform of the function f(t) given by  $F(\omega)$  to have a zero at  $\omega = \omega_0$ . This implies that the Fourier transform of the **odd** function  $g_{odd}(t)$  given by  $G_I(\omega)$  must have **at least one real zero** at  $\omega = \omega_z(t_2, t_0)$  for **every value** of  $t_0$ , for a given fixed value of  $t_2$ . Because  $H(\omega) = \frac{2\sigma}{(\sigma^2 + \omega^2)}$  does not have real zeros, if  $G_I(\omega)$  does not have real zeros, then  $F_I(\omega) = G_I(\omega) * H_R(\omega)$  obtained by the convolution of  $H_R(\omega)$  and  $G_I(\omega)$ , cannot possibly have real zeros, which goes against **Statement 1**.

We can write  $g(t) = g_{even}(t) + g_{odd}(t)$  where  $g_{even}(t)$  is an even function and  $g_{odd}(t)$  is an odd function of variable t. If Statement 1 is true, then the **imaginary** part of the Fourier transform of the **odd function**  $g_{odd}(t) = \frac{1}{2}[g(t) - g(-t)]$  given by  $G_I(\omega)$  must have **at least one zero** at  $\omega = \omega_z(t_2, t_0) \neq 0$  where  $\omega_z(t_2, t_0)$  is real and finite and can be different from  $\omega_0$  in general. We call this **Statement 2**.

Because  $H(\omega) = \frac{2\sigma}{(\sigma^2 + \omega^2)}$  is real and does not have zeros for any finite value of  $\omega$ , **if**  $G_I(\omega)$  does not have at least one zero for some  $\omega = \omega_z(t_2, t_0) \neq 0$ , **then** the **imaginary part** of  $F(\omega)$  given by  $F_I(\omega) = \frac{1}{2\pi}[G_I(\omega) * H(\omega)]$ , obtained by the convolution of  $H(\omega)$  and  $G_I(\omega)$ , **cannot** possibly have zeros for any non-zero finite value of  $\omega$ , which goes against **Statement 1**. This is shown in detail in Lemma 1.

**Lemma 1:** If Riemann's Xi function  $\xi(\frac{1}{2} + \sigma + i\omega) = E_{p\omega}(\omega)$  has a zero at  $\omega = \omega_0 \neq 0$  where  $\omega_0$  is real and finite, then the **imaginary** part of the Fourier transform of the **odd function**  $g_{odd}(t) = \frac{1}{2}[g(t) - g(-t)]$  given by  $G_I(\omega)$  must have **at least one zero** at  $\omega = \omega_z(t_2, t_0) \neq 0$  for **every value** of  $t_0$ , for a given fixed value of  $t_2$ , where  $\omega_z(t_2, t_0)$  is real and finite, where  $g(t)h(t) = f(t) = e^{\sigma t_0}E'_p(t+t_0)$  and  $h(t) = e^{\sigma t}u(-t) + e^{-\sigma t}u(t)$  and  $0 < \sigma < \frac{1}{2}$ .

**Proof**: If  $E_{p\omega}(\omega)$  has a zero at finite  $\omega = \omega_0 \neq 0$  to satisfy Statement 1, then  $F(\omega) = E'_{p\omega}(\omega)e^{\sigma t_0}e^{i\omega t_0} = E_{p\omega}(\omega)e^{\sigma t_2}e^{i\omega t_2}e^{i\omega t_2}e^{i\omega t_0}$  also has a zero at the same location  $\omega = \omega_0 \neq 0$ .

Let us consider the case where  $G_I(\omega)$  does not have at least one zero for finite  $\omega = \omega_z(t_2, t_0) \neq 0$  and show that  $F_I(\omega)$  does not have at least one zero at finite  $\omega \neq 0$  for this case, which **contradicts** Statement 1. Given that  $H(\omega)$ 

is real, we can write the convolution theorem only for the imaginary parts as follows.

$$F_I(\omega) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} G_I(\omega') H(\omega - \omega') d\omega'$$
 (6)

We can show that the above integral converges for all  $|\omega| \leq \infty$ , given that  $G(\omega)$  and  $H(\omega)$  have fall-off rate of  $\frac{1}{\omega^2}$  as  $|\omega| \to \infty$  because the first derivatives of g(t) and h(t) are discontinuous at t = 0. (Appendix C.2)

We substitute  $H(\omega) = \frac{2\sigma}{(\sigma^2 + \omega^2)}$  in Eq. 6 and we get

$$F_I(\omega) = \frac{\sigma}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} G_I(\omega') \frac{1}{(\sigma^2 + (\omega - \omega')^2)} d\omega'$$
 (7)

We can split the integral in Eq. 7 as follows.

$$F_I(\omega) = \frac{\sigma}{\pi} \left[ \int_{-\infty}^0 G_I(\omega') \frac{1}{(\sigma^2 + (\omega - \omega')^2)} d\omega' + \int_0^\infty G_I(\omega') \frac{1}{(\sigma^2 + (\omega - \omega')^2)} d\omega' \right]$$
(8)

We see that  $G_I(-\omega) = -G_I(\omega)$  because g(t) is a real function (Appendix B.2). We can substitute  $\omega' = -\omega''$  in the first integral in Eq. 8 and substituting  $\omega'' = \omega'$  in the result, we can write as follows.

$$F_I(\omega) = \frac{\sigma}{\pi} \int_0^\infty G_I(\omega') \left[ \frac{1}{(\sigma^2 + (\omega - \omega')^2)} - \frac{1}{(\sigma^2 + (\omega + \omega')^2)} \right] d\omega'$$
(9)

In Appendix C.1 last paragraph, it is shown that  $G(\omega)$  is finite for  $|\omega| \leq \infty$  and goes to zero as  $|\omega| \to \infty$ . We can see that for  $\omega' = 0$  and  $\omega' = \infty$ , the integrand in Eq. 9 is zero. For finite  $\omega > 0$ , and  $0 < \omega' < \infty$ , we can see that the term  $\frac{1}{(\sigma^2 + (\omega - \omega')^2)} - \frac{1}{(\sigma^2 + (\omega + \omega')^2)} > 0$ .

• Case 1:  $G_I(\omega') > 0$  for all finite  $\omega' > 0$ 

We see that  $F_I(\omega) > 0$  for all finite  $\omega > 0$ . We see that  $F_I(-\omega) = -F_I(\omega)$  because  $E_p(t)$  is a real function (Appendix B.2). Hence  $F_I(\omega) < 0$  for all finite  $\omega < 0$ .

This **contradicts** Statement 1 which requires  $F_I(\omega)$  to have at least one zero at finite  $\omega \neq 0$  because we showed that  $\omega_0 \neq 0$  in **Section 2** paragraph 5. Therefore  $G_I(\omega')$  must have **at least one zero** at  $\omega' = \omega_z(t_2, t_0) \neq 0$ , where  $\omega_z(t_2, t_0)$  is real and finite.

• Case 2:  $G_I(\omega') < 0$  for all finite  $\omega' > 0$ 

We see that  $F_I(\omega) < 0$  for all finite  $\omega > 0$ . We see that  $F_I(-\omega) = -F_I(\omega)$  because  $E_p(t)$  is a real function (Appendix B.2). Hence  $F_I(\omega) > 0$  for all finite  $\omega < 0$ .

This **contradicts** Statement 1 which requires  $F_I(\omega)$  to have at least one zero at finite  $\omega \neq 0$ . Therefore  $G_I(\omega')$  must have **at least one zero** at  $\omega' = \omega_z(t_2, t_0) \neq 0$ , where  $\omega_z(t_2, t_0)$  is real and finite.

We have shown that,  $G_I(\omega)$  must have **at least one zero** at finite  $\omega = \omega_z(t_2, t_0) \neq 0$  to satisfy **Statement 1**. We call this **Statement 2**. We will investigate if Statement 2 leads to a contradiction for  $0 < \sigma < \frac{1}{2}$ .

#### 2.2. On the zeros of a related function $G(\omega)$

We can compute the fourier transform of the function  $g_{odd}(t) = \frac{1}{2}[g(t) - g(-t)]$  given by  $G_I(\omega)$ . We require  $G_I(\omega) = 0$  for  $\omega = \omega_z(t_2, t_0)$  for **every value** of  $t_0$ , to satisfy **Statement 1**. In general,  $\omega_z(t_2, t_0) \neq \omega_0$ .

First we compute the fourier transform of the function g(t) given by  $G(\omega) = G_R(\omega) + iG_I(\omega)$ . We use  $g(t) = e^{\sigma t_0} E'_p(t+t_0) e^{-\sigma t} u(-t) + e^{\sigma t_0} E'_p(t+t_0) e^{\sigma t} u(t)$ .

$$G(\omega) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(t)e^{-i\omega t}dt = \int_{-\infty}^{0} g_{-}(t)e^{-i\omega t}dt + \int_{0}^{\infty} g_{+}(t)e^{-i\omega t}dt$$

$$G(\omega) = \int_{-\infty}^{0} e^{\sigma t_{0}} E'_{p}(t+t_{0})e^{-\sigma t}e^{-i\omega t}dt + \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{\sigma t_{0}} E'_{p}(t+t_{0})e^{\sigma t}e^{-i\omega t}dt$$
(10)

We use  $E'_p(t) = E'_0(t)e^{-\sigma t}$  where  $E'_0(t) = E_0(t+t_2)$  and  $E'_p(t+t_0) = E'_0(t+t_0)e^{-\sigma t}e^{-\sigma t_0}$ . Substituting t = -t in the second integral in Eq. 10, we have

$$G(\omega) = \int_{-\infty}^{0} E'_0(t+t_0)e^{-2\sigma t}e^{-i\omega t}dt + \int_{0}^{\infty} E'_0(t+t_0)e^{-i\omega t}dt$$

$$G(\omega) = \int_{-\infty}^{0} E'_0(t+t_0)e^{-2\sigma t}e^{-i\omega t}dt + \int_{-\infty}^{0} E'_0(-t+t_0)e^{i\omega t}dt$$
(11)

We define  $E'_{0n}(t) = E'_{0}(-t)$  and get  $E'_{0}(-t+t_{0}) = E'_{0n}(t-t_{0})$  and write Eq. 11 as follows.

$$G(\omega) = \int_{-\infty}^{0} E_0'(t+t_0)e^{-2\sigma t}e^{-i\omega t}dt + \int_{-\infty}^{0} E_{0n}'(t-t_0)e^{i\omega t}dt = G_R(\omega) + iG_I(\omega)$$
(12)

The above equations can be expanded as follows using the identity  $e^{i\omega t} = \cos(\omega t) + i\sin(\omega t)$ . Comparing the **imaginary parts** of  $G(\omega)$ , we have

$$G_{I}(\omega) = -\int_{-\infty}^{0} E_{0}'(t+t_{0})e^{-2\sigma t}\sin(\omega t)dt + \int_{-\infty}^{0} E_{0n}'(t-t_{0})\sin(\omega t)dt$$
(13)

We require  $G_I(\omega) = 0$  for  $\omega = \omega_z(t_2, t_0)$  for every value of  $t_0$ , for every given fixed value of  $t_2$ , to satisfy **Statement 1**. Hence we can see that  $R(t_2, t_0) = -G_I(\omega_z(t_2, t_0)) = 0$  and we can write as follows using  $t = \tau$ .

$$R(t_{2}, t_{0}) = \int_{-\infty}^{0} \left[ E_{0}'(\tau + t_{0})e^{-2\sigma\tau} - E_{0n}'(\tau - t_{0}) \right] \sin(\omega_{z}(t_{2}, t_{0}))\tau d\tau = 0$$
(14)

We can rewrite Eq. 14 as follows, using the substitution  $\tau + t_0 = \tau'$  in the first integral and  $\tau - t_0 = \tau''$  in the second integral and substituting back  $\tau' = \tau$  and  $\tau'' = \tau$ .

$$R(t_2,t_0) = e^{2\sigma t_0} \left[\cos\left(\omega_z(t_2,t_0)\right)t_0\right) \int_{-\infty}^{t_0} E_0'(\tau) e^{-2\sigma \tau} \sin\left(\omega_z(t_2,t_0)\right)\tau\right) d\tau$$
$$-\sin\left(\omega_z(t_2,t_0)\right)t_0\right) \int_{-\infty}^{t_0} E_0'(\tau) e^{-2\sigma \tau} \cos\left(\omega_z(t_2,t_0)\right)\tau\right) d\tau$$
$$-\left[\cos\left(\omega_z(t_2,t_0)\right)t_0\right) \int_{-\infty}^{-t_0} E_{0n}'(\tau) \sin\left(\omega_z(t_2,t_0)\right)\tau\right) d\tau + \sin\left(\omega_z(t_2,t_0)\right)t_0\right) \int_{-\infty}^{-t_0} E_{0n}'(\tau) \cos\left(\omega_z(t_2,t_0)\right)\tau\right) d\tau = 0$$

Now we replace  $t_0$  by  $-t_0$  in f(t) and consider the function  $f_2(t) = e^{-\sigma t_0} E_p'(t-t_0)$  where  $|t_0| \le \infty$  and use the procedure in above section and we can write as follows.

$$R(t_{2}, -t_{0}) = \int_{-\infty}^{0} \left[ E_{0}^{'}(\tau - t_{0})e^{-2\sigma\tau} - E_{0n}^{'}(\tau + t_{0}) \right] \sin(\omega_{z}(t_{2}, -t_{0}))\tau d\tau = 0$$

$$R(t_{2}, t_{0}) + R(t_{2}, -t_{0}) = \int_{-\infty}^{0} \left[ E_{0}^{'}(\tau + t_{0})e^{-2\sigma\tau} - E_{0n}^{'}(\tau - t_{0}) \right] \sin(\omega_{z}(t_{2}, t_{0}))\tau d\tau$$

$$+ \int_{-\infty}^{0} \left[ E_{0}^{'}(\tau - t_{0})e^{-2\sigma\tau} - E_{0n}^{'}(\tau + t_{0}) \right] \sin(\omega_{z}(t_{2}, -t_{0}))\tau d\tau = 0$$

$$(16)$$

# 2.3. $\omega_z(t_2,t_0)$ ) is an even function of variable $t_0$

Now we consider the function  $f_T(t) = f(t) + f_2(t) = e^{\sigma t_0} E_p'(t+t_0) + e^{-\sigma t_0} E_p'(t-t_0)$  where  $|t_0| \leq \infty$  and  $g_T(t)h(t) = f_T(t)$  where  $g_T(t) = f_T(t)e^{-\sigma t}u(-t) + f_T(t)e^{\sigma t}u(t)$  and  $h(t) = [e^{\sigma t}u(-t) + e^{-\sigma t}u(t)]$  and compute the Fourier transform of the function  $g_T(t)$  and compute its imaginary part using the procedure in above section, similar to Eq. 13 and we can write as follows.

$$G_{T_{I}}(\omega, t_{0}) = G_{1}(\omega, t_{0}) + G_{1}(\omega, -t_{0})$$

$$G_{1}(\omega, t_{0}) = -\int_{-\infty}^{0} E_{0}'(t + t_{0})e^{-2\sigma t} \sin(\omega t)dt + \int_{-\infty}^{0} E_{0n}'(t - t_{0})\sin(\omega t)dt$$

$$G_{T_{I}}(\omega, t_{0}) = -\left[\int_{-\infty}^{0} \left[E_{0}'(\tau + t_{0})e^{-2\sigma \tau} - E_{0n}'(\tau - t_{0})\right]\sin(\omega \tau)d\tau + \int_{-\infty}^{0} \left[E_{0}'(\tau - t_{0})e^{-2\sigma \tau} - E_{0n}'(\tau + t_{0})\right]\sin(\omega \tau)d\tau\right]$$

$$(17)$$

We require  $G_{T_I}(\omega, t_0) = 0$  for  $\omega = \omega_0(t_2, t_0)$ ) for every value of  $t_0$ , for **every given fixed value** of  $t_2$ , to satisfy **Statement 1**. In general  $\omega_0(t_2, t_0) \neq \omega_z(t_2, t_0)$ . Hence we can see that  $P(t_2, t_0) = -G_{T_I}(\omega_0(t_2, t_0)) = 0$  and we can rewrite as follows using the substitution  $t = \tau$ .

$$P(t_{2}, t_{0}) = \int_{-\infty}^{0} \left[ E_{0}'(\tau + t_{0})e^{-2\sigma\tau} - E_{0n}'(\tau - t_{0}) \right] \sin(\omega_{0}(t_{2}, t_{0}))\tau )d\tau$$

$$+ \int_{-\infty}^{0} \left[ E_{0}'(\tau - t_{0})e^{-2\sigma\tau} - E_{0n}'(\tau + t_{0}) \right] \sin(\omega_{0}(t_{2}, t_{0}))\tau )d\tau = 0$$
(18)

We see that  $f_T(t) = e^{\sigma t_0} E_p'(t+t_0) + e^{-\sigma t_0} E_p'(t-t_0)$  is **unchanged** by the substitution  $t_0 = -t_0$  and hence  $\omega_0(t_2, t_0)$ ) is an **even** function of variable  $t_0$ , for **every fixed value** of  $t_2$ . Hence we can rewrite the second integral in Eq. 18 as follows using  $\omega_0(t_2, t_0) = \omega_0(t_2, -t_0)$ .

$$\int_{-\infty}^{0} \left[ E'_0(\tau + t_0) e^{-2\sigma\tau} - E'_{0n}(\tau - t_0) \right] \sin(\omega_0(t_2, t_0)) \tau ) d\tau$$
$$+ \int_{-\infty}^{0} \left[ E'_0(\tau - t_0) e^{-2\sigma\tau} - E'_{0n}(\tau + t_0) \right] \sin(\omega_0(t_2, -t_0)) \tau ) d\tau = 0$$

We compare Eq. 19 and Eq. 16 as follows.

$$\int_{-\infty}^{0} \left[ E'_0(\tau + t_0) e^{-2\sigma\tau} - E'_{0n}(\tau - t_0) \right] \sin(\omega_0(t_2, t_0)) \tau ) d\tau 
+ \int_{-\infty}^{0} \left[ E'_0(\tau - t_0) e^{-2\sigma\tau} - E'_{0n}(\tau + t_0) \right] \sin(\omega_0(t_2, -t_0)) \tau ) d\tau = 0 
\int_{-\infty}^{0} \left[ E'_0(\tau + t_0) e^{-2\sigma\tau} - E'_{0n}(\tau - t_0) \right] \sin(\omega_z(t_2, t_0)) \tau ) d\tau 
+ \int_{-\infty}^{0} \left[ E'_0(\tau - t_0) e^{-2\sigma\tau} - E'_{0n}(\tau + t_0) \right] \sin(\omega_z(t_2, -t_0)) \tau ) d\tau = 0$$
(20)

We can see that there must be **at least one** common solution where  $\omega_z(t_2, t_0) = \omega_0(t_2, t_0)$  to satisfy Eq. 20. Because  $\omega_0(t_2, t_0)$  is an **even** function of variable  $t_0$ , for **every fixed value** of  $t_2$ , we see that  $\omega_z(t_2, t_0) = \omega_0(t_2, t_0)$  is also an **even** function of variable  $t_0$ , for **every fixed value** of  $t_2$ .

Given that  $E_p'(t) = e^{\sigma t_2} E_p(t+t_2)$ , we see that  $f(t) = e^{\sigma t_0} E_p'(t+t_0) = e^{\sigma t_0} e^{\sigma t_2} E_p(t+t_2+t_0)$  is **unchanged** if we interchange the variables  $t_2$  and  $t_0$  and hence the location of the zeros in Fourier transform of  $g(t,t_0,t_2)$  represented by  $\omega_z(t_2,t_0)$  remain the same. Hence  $\omega_z(t_2,t_0) = \omega_z(t_0,t_2)$ . Given that, for **every value** of  $t_2$ ,  $\omega_z(t_2,t_0) = \omega_z(t_2,-t_0)$ , we see that  $\omega_z(t_0,t_2) = \omega_z(t_0,-t_2)$ .

The results in this section apply **only** for the case  $0 < \sigma < \frac{1}{2}$ . For  $\sigma = 0$ ,  $g_T(t) = E_0'(t+t_0) + E_0'(t-t_0)$  is an even function of variable  $t_0$  and  $2g_{T_{odd}}(t) = g_T(t) - g_T(-t) = 0$  and hence  $G_{T_I}(\omega) = 0$  for all  $|\omega| \leq \infty$ .

#### 3. Final Proof

We write  $R(t_2, t_0) = 0$  in Eq. 15 as follows. We use  $E'_{0n}(\tau) = E'_{0n}(-\tau)$  and  $E'_{0n}(\tau) = E_{0n}(\tau + t_2)$ .

$$R(t_{2},t_{0}) = e^{2\sigma t_{0}} \left[\cos\left(\omega_{z}(t_{2},t_{0})\right)t_{0}\right) \int_{-\infty}^{t_{0}} E_{0}'(\tau)e^{-2\sigma\tau} \sin\left(\omega_{z}(t_{2},t_{0})\right)\tau\right)d\tau$$

$$-\sin\left(\omega_{z}(t_{2},t_{0})\right)t_{0}\right) \int_{-\infty}^{t_{0}} E_{0}'(\tau)e^{-2\sigma\tau} \cos\left(\omega_{z}(t_{2},t_{0})\right)\tau\right)d\tau$$

$$-\left[\cos\left(\omega_{z}(t_{2},t_{0})\right)t_{0}\right) \int_{-\infty}^{-t_{0}} E_{0n}'(\tau) \sin\left(\omega_{z}(t_{2},t_{0})\right)\tau\right)d\tau + \sin\left(\omega_{z}(t_{2},t_{0})\right)t_{0}\right) \int_{-\infty}^{-t_{0}} E_{0n}'(\tau) \cos\left(\omega_{z}(t_{2},t_{0})\right)\tau\right)d\tau = 0$$

$$(21)$$

In Section 2.1,  $\omega_z(t_0)$  is shown to be **finite** for all  $|t_0| \leq \infty$ . Hence we can see that  $\omega_z(t_2, t_0)$  is **finite** for all  $|t_0| \leq \infty$ , for **every value** of  $t_2$ . This means there are **no** Dirac delta functions present in  $\omega_z(t_2, t_0)$ .

In Appendix D, it is shown that  $\omega_z(t_0)$  is a continuous function of  $t_0$  around  $t_0 = 0$  in the interval  $[-\delta t_0, \delta t_0]$ . Hence we see that  $\omega_z(t_2, t_0)$  is a continuous function around  $t_0 = 0$  in the interval  $[-\delta t_0, \delta t_0]$ , for **every given fixed value** of  $t_2$ .

In Section 4, it is shown that  $E_0(t)$  is **strictly decreasing** for  $t \geq t_d = \frac{1}{8}$  and that the **minimum** value  $Min(E_0(t)) = \frac{1}{5} = E_{min}$  in the interval  $-t_d \leq t \leq t_d$ .

Given  $\omega_z(t_2, t_0)$  is a **continuous** function of both  $t_0$  and  $t_2$ , we can **make sure** that  $\omega_z(t_{2c}, t_1)t_1 = \pi$ , by finding a **suitable** value of  $t_0 = t_1$  and  $t_2 = t_{2c} = Kt_1$ , where K is a positive integer, **such that**  $E_0(t) < E_{min}$  for  $t \ge t_{2c}$ . Given that  $\omega_z(t_2, t_0)$  is a continuous function of  $t_0$ , for every value of  $t_2$ , and  $t_0$  is a continuous function, we see that

the **product** of two continuous functions  $\omega_z(t_2, t_0)t_0$  is a **continuous** function as well. Given that  $0 < \omega_z(t_2, t_0) < \infty$ , as  $t_0$  is increased from zero to  $\infty$ , we see that  $\omega_z(Kt_1, t_1)t_1$  increases from zero towards  $\infty$  in a continuous manner and will **certainly pass through**  $\pi$ . More details of the algorithm to ensure that  $\omega_z(t_{2c}, t_1)t_1 = \pi$  is in Section 4.5.

We set  $t_0=t_1$  and  $t_2=t_{2c}=Kt_1$  such that  $\omega_z(t_{2c},t_1)t_1=\pi$  in Eq. 21 as follows.

$$e^{2\sigma t_1} \int_{-\infty}^{t_1} E'_0(\tau) e^{-2\sigma \tau} \sin(\omega_z(t_{2c}, t_1)\tau) d\tau = \int_{-\infty}^{-t_1} E'_{0n}(\tau) \sin(\omega_z(t_{2c}, t_1)\tau) d\tau$$
(22)

We split the integral in the left hand side of Eq. 22 and write as follows.

$$\int_{-\infty}^{t_1} E_0'(\tau) e^{-2\sigma\tau} \sin(\omega_z(t_{2c}, t_1)\tau) d\tau = e^{-2\sigma t_1} \int_{-\infty}^{-t_1} E_{0n}'(\tau) \sin(\omega_z(t_{2c}, t_1)\tau) d\tau 
\int_{-\infty}^{-t_1} E_0'(\tau) e^{-2\sigma\tau} \sin(\omega_z(t_{2c}, t_1)\tau) d\tau + \int_{-t_1}^{t_1} E_0'(\tau) e^{-2\sigma\tau} \sin(\omega_z(t_{2c}, t_1)\tau) d\tau 
= e^{-2\sigma t_1} \int_{-\infty}^{-t_1} E_{0n}'(\tau) \sin(\omega_z(t_{2c}, t_1)\tau) d\tau$$
(23)

In Eq. 21, we substitute  $t_0 = -t_1$  for which  $\omega_z(t_{2c}, t_1)(-t_1) = -\pi$  as follows. We use  $\omega_z(t_{2c}, -t_1) = \omega_z(t_{2c}, t_1)$ .

$$e^{-2\sigma t_{1}} \int_{-\infty}^{-t_{1}} E'_{0}(\tau) e^{-2\sigma \tau} \sin(\omega_{z}(t_{2c}, t_{1})\tau) d\tau = \int_{-\infty}^{t_{1}} E'_{0n}(\tau) \sin(\omega_{z}(t_{2c}, t_{1})\tau) d\tau$$

$$\int_{-\infty}^{-t_{1}} E'_{0}(\tau) e^{-2\sigma \tau} \sin(\omega_{z}(t_{2c}, t_{1})\tau) d\tau = e^{2\sigma t_{1}} \int_{-\infty}^{t_{1}} E'_{0n}(\tau) \sin(\omega_{z}(t_{2c}, t_{1})\tau) d\tau$$

$$= e^{2\sigma t_{1}} \left[ \int_{-\infty}^{-t_{1}} E'_{0n}(\tau) \sin(\omega_{z}(t_{2c}, t_{1})\tau) d\tau + \int_{-t_{1}}^{t_{1}} E'_{0n}(\tau) \sin(\omega_{z}(t_{2c}, t_{1})\tau) d\tau \right]$$
(24)

We substitute Eq. 24 in Eq. 23 as follows and we substitute  $E_0'(\tau) = E_0(\tau + t_{2c})$  and  $E_{0n}'(\tau) = E_0'(-\tau) = E_0(\tau - t_{2c})$  given that  $E_0(\tau) = E_0(-\tau)$ .

$$\int_{-t_1}^{t_1} E_0(\tau + t_{2c}) e^{-2\sigma\tau} \sin(\omega_z(t_{2c}, t_1)\tau) d\tau = e^{-2\sigma t_1} \int_{-\infty}^{-t_1} E_0(\tau - t_{2c}) \sin(\omega_z(t_{2c}, t_1)\tau) d\tau 
-e^{2\sigma t_1} \left[ \int_{-\infty}^{-t_1} E_0(\tau - t_{2c}) \sin(\omega_z(t_{2c}, t_1)\tau) d\tau + \int_{-t_1}^{t_1} E_0(\tau - t_{2c}) \sin(\omega_z(t_{2c}, t_1)\tau) d\tau \right]$$
(25)

We can rearrange the terms in Eq. 25 as follows.

$$\int_{-t_1}^{t_1} \left[ E_0(\tau + t_{2c}) e^{-2\sigma\tau} + E_0(\tau - t_{2c}) e^{2\sigma t_1} \right] \sin(\omega_z(t_{2c}, t_1)\tau) d\tau$$

$$= -2 \sinh(2\sigma t_1) \int_{-\infty}^{-t_1} E_0(\tau - t_{2c}) \sin(\omega_z(t_{2c}, t_1)\tau) d\tau$$
(26)

We can split the integral in Eq. 26 using  $\int_{-t_1}^{t_1} = \int_{-t_1}^{0} + \int_{0}^{t_1}$  and substitute  $\tau = -\tau$  in the first integral as follows. We use  $E_0(-\tau + t_{2c}) = E_0(\tau - t_{2c})$  and  $E_0(-\tau - t_{2c}) = E_0(\tau + t_{2c})$ , given that  $E_0(\tau) = E_0(-\tau)$ .

$$\int_{t_1}^{0} [E_0(-\tau + t_{2c})e^{2\sigma\tau} + E_0(-\tau - t_{2c})e^{2\sigma t_1}] \sin(\omega_z(t_{2c}, t_1)\tau)d\tau 
+ \int_{0}^{t_1} [E_0(\tau + t_{2c})e^{-2\sigma\tau} + E_0(\tau - t_{2c})e^{2\sigma t_1}] \sin(\omega_z(t_{2c}, t_1)\tau)d\tau 
= -2\sinh(2\sigma t_1) \int_{-\infty}^{-t_1} E_0(\tau - t_{2c})\sin(\omega_z(t_{2c}, t_1)\tau)d\tau 
\int_{t_1}^{0} [E_0(\tau - t_{2c})e^{2\sigma\tau} + E_0(\tau + t_{2c})e^{2\sigma t_1}]\sin(\omega_z(t_{2c}, t_1)\tau)d\tau 
+ \int_{0}^{t_1} [E_0(\tau + t_{2c})e^{-2\sigma\tau} + E_0(\tau - t_{2c})e^{2\sigma t_1}]\sin(\omega_z(t_{2c}, t_1)\tau)d\tau 
= -2\sinh(2\sigma t_1) \int_{-\infty}^{-t_1} E_0(\tau - t_{2c})\sin(\omega_z(t_{2c}, t_1)\tau)d\tau$$

Given that  $\int_{t_1}^0 = -\int_0^{t_1}$ , we can simplify as follows.

$$\int_{0}^{t_{1}} \left[ E_{0}(\tau + t_{2c}) (e^{-2\sigma\tau} - e^{2\sigma t_{1}}) + E_{0}(\tau - t_{2c}) (e^{2\sigma t_{1}} - e^{2\sigma\tau}) \right] \sin(\omega_{z}(t_{2c}, t_{1})\tau) d\tau$$

$$= -2 \sinh(2\sigma t_{1}) \int_{-\infty}^{-t_{1}} E_{0}(\tau - t_{2c}) \sin(\omega_{z}(t_{2c}, t_{1})\tau) d\tau$$
(28)

We substitute  $\tau = -\tau$  in the right hand side of Eq. 28 as follows. We use  $E_0(-\tau - t_{2c}) = E_0(\tau + t_{2c})$ .

$$\int_{0}^{t_{1}} E_{0}(\tau + t_{2c})(e^{-2\sigma\tau} - e^{2\sigma t_{1}}) \sin(\omega_{z}(t_{2c}, t_{1})\tau) d\tau + \int_{0}^{t_{1}} E_{0}(\tau - t_{2c})(e^{2\sigma t_{1}} - e^{2\sigma\tau}) \sin(\omega_{z}(t_{2c}, t_{1})\tau) d\tau 
= 2 \sinh(2\sigma t_{1}) \int_{t_{1}}^{\infty} E_{0}(\tau + t_{2c}) \sin(\omega_{z}(t_{2c}, t_{1})\tau) d\tau$$
(29)

We split the integral on the right hand side in Eq. 29 as follows.

$$\int_{0}^{t_{1}} E_{0}(\tau + t_{2c})(e^{-2\sigma\tau} - e^{2\sigma t_{1}}) \sin(\omega_{z}(t_{2c}, t_{1})\tau) d\tau + \int_{0}^{t_{1}} E_{0}(\tau - t_{2c})(e^{2\sigma t_{1}} - e^{2\sigma\tau}) \sin(\omega_{z}(t_{2c}, t_{1})\tau) d\tau 
= 2 \sinh(2\sigma t_{1}) \left[ \int_{0}^{\infty} E_{0}(\tau + t_{2c}) \sin(\omega_{z}(t_{2c}, t_{1})\tau) d\tau - \int_{0}^{t_{1}} E_{0}(\tau + t_{2c}) \sin(\omega_{z}(t_{2c}, t_{1})\tau) d\tau \right]$$
(30)

We consolidate the integrals with the term  $\int_0^{t_1} E_0(\tau + t_{2c})$  on both sides of Eq. 30 as follows. We use  $2 \sinh(2\sigma t_1) = e^{2\sigma t_1} - e^{-2\sigma t_1}$ .

$$\int_{0}^{t_{1}} E_{0}(\tau + t_{2c})(e^{-2\sigma\tau} - e^{-2\sigma t_{1}}) \sin(\omega_{z}(t_{2c}, t_{1})\tau)d\tau + \int_{0}^{t_{1}} E_{0}(\tau - t_{2c})(e^{2\sigma t_{1}} - e^{2\sigma\tau}) \sin(\omega_{z}(t_{2c}, t_{1})\tau)d\tau$$

$$= 2 \sinh(2\sigma t_{1}) \int_{0}^{\infty} E_{0}(\tau + t_{2c}) \sin(\omega_{z}(t_{2c}, t_{1})\tau)d\tau$$

(31)

(27)

In Section 2.3, we showed that  $\omega_z(t_2, t_0) = \omega_z(t_0, t_2)$  and  $\omega_z(t_0, t_2) = \omega_z(t_0, -t_2)$ , for **every value** of  $t_2$  and  $t_0$ . Hence we see that  $\omega_z(t_1, t_{2c}) = \omega_z(t_1, -t_{2c})$  and  $\omega_z(t_{2c}, t_1) = \omega_z(-t_{2c}, t_1)$  for our choice of  $t_0 = t_1$  and  $t_2 = t_{2c}$ .

We substitute  $\tau + t_{2c} = \tau'$  in the right hand side of Eq. 31 and then substitute  $\tau' = \tau$  as follows.

$$\int_{0}^{t_{1}} E_{0}(\tau + t_{2c})(e^{-2\sigma\tau} - e^{-2\sigma t_{1}}) \sin(\omega_{2}(t_{2c}, t_{1})\tau) d\tau + \int_{0}^{t_{1}} E_{0}(\tau - t_{2c})(e^{2\sigma t_{1}} - e^{2\sigma\tau}) \sin(\omega_{2}(t_{2c}, t_{1})\tau) d\tau 
= 2 \sinh(2\sigma t_{1}) \int_{t_{2c}}^{\infty} E_{0}(\tau) \sin(\omega_{2}(t_{2c}, t_{1})(\tau - t_{2c})) d\tau 
= 2 \sinh(2\sigma t_{1}) [\cos(\omega_{2}(t_{2c}, t_{1}))t_{2c}) \int_{t_{2c}}^{\infty} E_{0}(\tau) \sin(\omega_{2}(t_{2c}, t_{1})\tau) d\tau - \sin(\omega_{2}(t_{2c}, t_{1})t_{2c}) \int_{t_{2c}}^{\infty} E_{0}(\tau) \cos(\omega_{2}(t_{2c}, t_{1})\tau) d\tau ]$$
(32)

In Eq. 32, given that  $\omega_z(t_{2c}, t_1)t_1 = \pi$  and  $t_{2c} = Kt_1$  and hence  $\omega_z(t_{2c}, t_1)t_{2c} = K\pi$  and  $\sin(\omega_2(t_{2c}, t_1)t_{2c}) = 0$  and  $\cos(\omega_2(t_{2c}, t_1))t_{2c} = -1$  for odd integer K. Hence we write Eq. 32 as follows.

$$\int_{0}^{t_{1}} E_{0}(\tau + t_{2c})(e^{-2\sigma\tau} - e^{-2\sigma t_{1}}) \sin(\omega_{2}(t_{2c}, t_{1})\tau) d\tau + \int_{0}^{t_{1}} E_{0}(\tau - t_{2c})(e^{2\sigma t_{1}} - e^{2\sigma\tau}) \sin(\omega_{2}(t_{2c}, t_{1})\tau) d\tau 
= -2 \sinh(2\sigma t_{1}) \int_{t_{2c}}^{\infty} E_{0}(\tau) \sin(\omega_{2}(t_{2c}, t_{1})\tau) d\tau$$
(33)

In Section 2.3, we showed that, for **every value** of  $t_{2c}$ ,  $\omega_2(t_{2c},t_0)=\omega_2(t_{2c},-t_0)$  and given that  $\omega_z(t_{2c},t_0)=\omega_z(t_0,t_{2c})$ , we see that  $\omega_z(t_0,t_{2c})=\omega_z(t_0,-t_{2c})$ .

Hence we substitute  $t_{2c}$  by  $-t_{2c}$  in Eq. 33 as follows. We use  $\int_{-t_{2c}}^{t_{2c}} E_0(\tau) \sin{(\omega_2(t_{2c}, t_1)\tau)} d\tau = 0$ , because  $E_0(\tau) \sin{(\omega_2(t_{2c}, t_1)\tau)}$  is an **odd** function of variable  $\tau$ , given that  $E_0(\tau) = E_0(-\tau)$ .

$$\int_{0}^{t_{1}} E_{0}(\tau - t_{2c})(e^{-2\sigma\tau} - e^{-2\sigma t_{1}}) \sin(\omega_{2}(t_{2c}, t_{1})\tau)d\tau + \int_{0}^{t_{1}} E_{0}(\tau + t_{2c})(e^{2\sigma t_{1}} - e^{2\sigma\tau}) \sin(\omega_{2}(t_{2c}, t_{1})\tau)d\tau 
= -2 \sinh(2\sigma t_{1}) \int_{-t_{2c}}^{\infty} E_{0}(\tau) \sin(\omega_{2}(t_{2c}, t_{1})\tau)d\tau = -2 \sinh(2\sigma t_{1}) \left[\int_{-t_{2c}}^{t_{2c}} E_{0}(\tau) \sin(\omega_{2}(t_{2c}, t_{1})\tau)d\tau 
+ \int_{t_{2c}}^{\infty} E_{0}(\tau) \sin(\omega_{2}(t_{2c}, t_{1})\tau)d\tau \right] 
= -2 \sinh(2\sigma t_{1}) \int_{t_{2c}}^{\infty} E_{0}(\tau) \sin(\omega_{2}(t_{2c}, t_{1})\tau)d\tau$$

Now we subtract Eq. 33 from Eq. 34 as follows.

$$\int_{0}^{t_{1}} (E_{0}(\tau - t_{2c}) - E_{0}(\tau + t_{2c}))(e^{-2\sigma\tau} - e^{-2\sigma t_{1}} - e^{2\sigma t_{1}} + e^{2\sigma\tau}) \sin(\omega_{2}(t_{2c}, t_{1})\tau)d\tau = 0$$

$$2 \int_{0}^{t_{1}} (E_{0}(\tau - t_{2c}) - E_{0}(\tau + t_{2c}))(\cosh(2\sigma\tau) - \cosh(2\sigma t_{1})) \sin(\omega_{2}(t_{2c}, t_{1})\tau)d\tau = 0$$
(35)

(34)

We can divide Eq. 35 by a factor -2 as follows.

$$\int_0^{t_1} (E_0(\tau - t_{2c}) - E_0(\tau + t_{2c}))(\cosh(2\sigma t_1) - \cosh(2\sigma \tau))\sin(\omega_2(t_{2c}, t_1)\tau)d\tau = 0$$

In Eq. 36, given that  $\omega_z(t_{2c}, t_1)t_1 = \pi$ , as  $\tau$  varies over the interval  $[0, t_1]$ ,  $\omega_z(t_{2c}, t_1)\tau = \frac{\pi\tau}{t_1}$  varies from  $[0, \pi]$  and hence the sinusoidal function varies over a **half cycle** and is > 0, in the interval  $0 < \tau < t_1$ , for  $t_1 > 0$ .

In Eq. 36, we see that in the interval  $\tau = [0, t_1]$ , the integral on the left hand side is > 0 for  $t_1 > 0$ , because each of the terms in the integrand are > 0, in the interval  $0 < \tau < t_1$  as follows. Given that  $E_0(t)$  is a **strictly decreasing** function for t > 0, we see that  $E_0(\tau - t_{2c}) - E_0(\tau + t_{2c})$  is > 0 (Section 4.4). The term  $(\cosh(2\sigma t_1) - \cosh(2\sigma \tau))$  is > 0 and the integrand is zero at  $\tau = 0$  and  $\tau = t_1$  and hence the integral **cannot** equal zero, as required by the right hand side of Eq. 36. Hence this leads to a **contradiction** for  $0 < \sigma < \frac{1}{2}$ .

For  $\sigma = 0$ , both sides of Eq. 36 is zero and **does not** lead to a contradiction. It should be noted that the results from Section 2.3 to Section 3 are valid only for  $\sigma \neq 0$ .

We have shown this result for  $0 < \sigma < \frac{1}{2}$  and then use the property  $\xi(\frac{1}{2} + \sigma + i\omega) = \xi(\frac{1}{2} - \sigma - i\omega)$  to show the result for  $-\frac{1}{2} < \sigma < 0$ . Hence we have produced a **contradiction** of **Statement 1** that the Fourier Transform of the function  $E_p(t) = E_0(t)e^{-\sigma t}$  has a zero at  $\omega = \omega_0$  for  $0 < |\sigma| < \frac{1}{2}$ .

Therefore, the assumption in **Statement 1** that Riemann's Xi Function given by  $\xi(\frac{1}{2} + \sigma + i\omega) = E_{p\omega}(\omega)$  has a zero at  $\omega = \omega_0$ , where  $\omega_0$  is real and finite, leads to a **contradiction** for the region  $0 < |\sigma| < \frac{1}{2}$  which corresponds to the critical strip excluding the critical line. This means  $\zeta(s)$  does not have non-trivial zeros in the critical strip excluding the critical line and we have proved Riemann's Hypothesis.

# 4. Strictly decreasing $E_0(t)$ for $t \geq \frac{1}{8}$

It is well known that  $E_0(t) = \Phi(t)$  is positive for t > 0 and its first derivative is negative for t > 0 and hence  $E_0(t)$  is a **strictly decreasing** function for t > 0.(link and link) In this section, we derive the loose bound that  $\frac{dE_0(t)}{dt} \leq 0$  for  $t \geq \frac{1}{8}$ .

Let us consider  $E_0(t) = 2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [2\pi^2 n^4 e^{4t} - 3\pi n^2 e^{2t}] e^{-\pi n^2 e^{2t}} e^{\frac{t}{2}}$ . (link)

$$\begin{split} E_0(t) &= \Phi(t) = 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [2\pi^2 n^4 e^{4t} - 3\pi n^2 e^{2t}] e^{-\pi n^2 e^{2t}} e^{\frac{t}{2}} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [4\pi^2 n^4 e^{4t} - 6\pi n^2 e^{2t}] e^{-\pi n^2 e^{2t}} e^{\frac{t}{2}} \\ E_0(t) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2\pi n^2 e^{-\pi n^2 e^{2t}} e^{\frac{t}{2}} [2\pi n^2 e^{4t} - 3e^{2t}] \\ \frac{dE_0(t))}{dt} &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2\pi n^2 e^{-\pi n^2 e^{2t}} e^{\frac{t}{2}} [8\pi n^2 e^{4t} - 6e^{2t} + (2\pi n^2 e^{4t} - 3e^{2t}) (\frac{1}{2} - 2\pi n^2 e^{2t})] \\ \frac{dE_0(t))}{dt} &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2\pi n^2 e^{-\pi n^2 e^{2t}} e^{\frac{t}{2}} [8\pi n^2 e^{4t} - 6e^{2t} + (\pi n^2 e^{4t} - \frac{3}{2} e^{2t} - 4\pi^2 n^4 e^{6t} + 6\pi n^2 e^{4t})] \\ \frac{dE_0(t))}{dt} &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2\pi n^2 e^{-\pi n^2 e^{2t}} e^{\frac{t}{2}} [-4\pi^2 n^4 e^{6t} + 15\pi n^2 e^{4t} - \frac{15}{2} e^{2t}] \\ \frac{dE_0(t))}{dt} &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2\pi n^2 e^{-\pi n^2 e^{2t}} e^{\frac{t}{2}} e^{2t} [-4\pi^2 n^4 e^{6t} + 15\pi n^2 e^{2t} - \frac{15}{2}] \end{split}$$

(37)

#### 4.1. Numerical results

For n = 1 and t = 0, the term  $S_1 = -4\pi^2 n^4 e^{4t} + 15\pi n^2 e^{2t} - \frac{15}{2} = -4\pi^2 + 15\pi - \frac{15}{2} = 0.14547$  and the summand in Eq. 37 is **positive** for n = 1.

For n = 1 and t = 0.0025, the term  $S_1 = -4\pi^2 n^4 e^{4t} + 15\pi n^2 e^{2t} - \frac{15}{2} = -0.015$  and the summand in Eq. 37 is **negative** and for all  $t \ge 0.0025$ .

#### 4.2. Mathematical results

For n > 1 and  $t \ge 0$ , the term  $S_1 = -4\pi^2 n^4 e^{4t} + 15\pi n^2 e^{2t} - \frac{15}{2}$  and the summand in Eq. 37 is **negative**.

For n=2, t=0, the term  $S_1=-4\pi^2n^4e^{4t}+15\pi n^2e^{2t}-\frac{15}{2}=-4\pi^2*16+15\pi*4-\frac{15}{2}=4\pi(15-16\pi)-\frac{15}{2}<0$  because  $(15-16\pi)<0$  and  $\pi>3$ . Similar arguments for n>1 and  $t\geq 0$ .

We can show that for n=1 and  $t>\frac{1}{8}$  (loose bound), the summand  $S_1$  in Eq. 37 is **negative** as follows.

$$S_{1} = -4\pi^{2}n^{4}e^{4t} + 15\pi n^{2}e^{2t} - \frac{15}{2} = -\pi n^{2}e^{2t}(4\pi n^{2}e^{2t} - 15) - \frac{15}{2}$$

$$S_{2} = 4\pi n^{2}e^{2t} - 15 \ge 4\pi n^{2}(1+2t) - 15 = 4\pi n^{2} - 15 + 8\pi n^{2}t$$

$$n = 1, \quad S_{2} \ge 4\pi + 8\pi t - 15 > 0 \quad if \quad 8\pi t > 15 - 4\pi, \quad t > \frac{(15 - 4\pi)}{8\pi}$$

$$(38)$$

We see that the term  $S_2 > 0$  if  $t > \frac{(15-4\pi)}{8\pi} = t_m$  and hence the summand  $S_1$  in Eq. 38 is **negative**.

We can get a **loose bound** for  $t_m = \frac{(15-4\pi)}{8\pi} = \frac{15}{8\pi} - \frac{1}{2}$  as follows. We see that  $\pi > 3$ , hence the **maximum value** of  $t_m$  is given by  $\frac{5}{8} - \frac{4}{8} = \frac{1}{8}$ . Hence  $\frac{dE_0(t)}{dt} \le 0$  for  $t \ge \frac{1}{8}$ .

### 4.3. Minimum value of $E_0(t)$

In this section, it is shown that the  $E_0(t) \ge \frac{1}{5} = E_{min}$  in the interval  $-t_d \le t \le t_d$  where  $t_d = \frac{1}{8}$  and  $E_{min}$  is the **minimum** value of  $E_0(t)$  in that interval.

$$E_0(t) = \Phi(t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[4\pi^2 n^4 e^{4t} - 6\pi n^2 e^{2t}\right] e^{-\pi n^2 e^{2t}} e^{\frac{t}{2}} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2\pi n^2 e^{-\pi n^2 e^{2t}} e^{\frac{5t}{2}} \left[2\pi n^2 e^{2t} - 3\right]$$
(39)

We want to find the **minimum** value of  $E_0(t)$  in the interval  $-t_d \le t \le t_d$ , where  $t_d = \frac{1}{8}$ . We set n = 1 and compute  $E_0(t_d, n)$  at n = 1.

$$E_0(t_d, 1) = 2\pi e^{-\pi e^{2*\frac{1}{8}}} e^{\frac{5}{2*8}} [2\pi e^{2*\frac{1}{8}} - 3] = 2\pi e^{-\pi e^{\frac{1}{4}}} e^{\frac{5}{16}} [2\pi e^{\frac{1}{4}} - 3]$$

$$(40)$$

Given that  $\frac{5}{16} > \frac{4}{16} = \frac{1}{4}$  and  $\pi > 3$  and  $e^{\frac{1}{4}} > 2^{\frac{1}{4}} > 1$ , we see that  $2\pi e^{\frac{1}{4}} - 3 > 2\pi - 3 > 3$  and  $e^{-\pi} > 3^{-4}$ , we can write as follows.

$$E_0(t_d, 1) > 6\pi e^{-\pi} > 6\pi 3^{-\pi} > 6\pi 3^{-4} > \frac{6\pi}{81}$$
$$> \frac{6*3}{81} > \frac{6}{27} > \frac{6}{30} > \frac{1}{5}$$

Hence we have shown that  $E_0(t_d, 1) > \frac{1}{5}$ , where  $t_d = \frac{1}{8}$ .

We set n=1 and at t=0, we get  $E_0(t,n)=E_0(0,1)=2\pi e^{-\pi}[2\pi-3]>6\pi e^{-\pi}>\frac{1}{5}$ .

The **minimum** value of  $E_0(t,n)$  in the interval  $-t_d \le t \le t_d$ , for n=1 is given by  $2\pi e^{-\pi e^{2\pi t_d}}[2\pi - 3] > \frac{1}{5}$ , using procedure above. Hence we see that in the interval  $-t_d \le t \le t_d$ ,  $E_0(t,n) = E_0(t,1) > \frac{1}{5}$ .

For n > 1,  $E_0(t, n) > 0$ . Hence we see that  $E_0(t) \ge \frac{1}{5}$  in the interval  $-t_d \le t \le t_d$ .

Hence we have shown that  $E_0(t) \ge \frac{1}{5} = E_{min}$  in the interval  $-t_d \le t \le t_d$  where  $t_d = \frac{1}{8}$ .

# 4.4. **Result** $E_0(t-t_{2c}) - E_0(t+t_{2c}) > 0$

In this section, it is shown that  $E_0(t - t_{2c}) - E_0(t + t_{2c}) > 0$  for  $0 < t \le t_1$  and  $t_{2c} = Kt_1$  in Eq. 36, where  $t_1 = \frac{1}{8}$ .

In Section 4, we showed that  $E_0(t)$  is a **strictly decreasing** function for  $t \ge t_d = \frac{1}{8}$ . In 4.3, we showed that the **minimum** value  $E_{min} = \frac{1}{5}$  in the interval  $-t_d \le t \le t_d$  where  $t_d = \frac{1}{8}$  and  $t_{2c} > t_d$  is chosen such that  $E_0(t) < E_{min}$  for  $t \ge t_{2c}$ .

We see that  $E_0(t)$  is an **even** function of variable t. We see that  $E_0(t + t_{2c}) < E_{min} = \frac{1}{5}$  in the interval  $t \ge 0$  by our **specific** choice of  $t_{2c}$ .

Given that  $t_{2c}$  is chosen such that  $E_0(t) < E_{min}$  for  $t \ge t_{2c}$ , we see that  $E_0(t - t_{2c}) > E_0(t + t_{2c})$  in the interval  $0 < t \le 2t_{2c}$ . Further, for  $t > 2t_{2c}$ , we see that  $E_0(t - t_{2c}) > E_0(t + t_{2c})$  given that  $E_0(t)$  is a **strictly decreasing** function for  $t \ge t_d = \frac{1}{8}$ .

Given that  $E_0(t)$  is a **strictly decreasing** function for  $t \geq \frac{1}{8}$  and  $E_0(t)$  is an **even** function of variable t, and  $t_{2c} = Kt_1 > t_d$  for positive integer K, is chosen such that  $E_0(t) < E_{min}$  for  $t \geq t_{2c}$ , we see that, in the interval  $0 < t \leq t_1$ ,  $E_0(t+t_{2c}) = E_0(t+Kt_1)$  ranges from  $E_0(Kt_1)$  to  $E_0((K+1)t_1)$ , which is **less than**  $E_0(t-t_{2c}) = E_0(t-Kt_1)$  which ranges from  $E_0(-Kt_1)$  to  $E_0((1-K)t_1)$  respectively. Hence we see that  $E_0(t-t_{2c}) > E_0(t+t_{2c})$ , in the interval  $0 < t \leq t_1$ . At t = 0,  $E_0(t-t_{2c}) = E_0(t+t_{2c})$ .

Hence  $E_0(t - t_{2c}) - E_0(t + t_{2c}) > 0$  for  $0 < t \le t_1$  in Eq. 36.

### 4.5. Algorithm to find $\omega_z(t_{2c}, t_1)t_1 = \pi$

Given  $\omega_z(t_2, t_0)$  is a **continuous** function of both  $t_0$  and  $t_2$ , we can **make sure** that  $\omega_z(t_{2c}, t_1)t_1 = \pi$ , by finding a **suitable** value of  $t_0 = t_1$  and  $t_2 = t_{2c} = Kt_1$ , where K is a positive integer, **such that**  $E_0(t) < E_{min}$  for  $t \ge t_{2c}$ . Given that  $\omega_z(t_2, t_0)$  is a continuous function of  $t_0$ , for every value of  $t_2$ , and  $t_0$  is a continuous function, we see that the **product** of two continuous functions  $\omega_z(t_2, t_0)t_0$  is a **continuous** function as well. Given that  $0 < \omega_z(t_2, t_0) < \infty$ , as  $t_0$  is increased from zero to  $\infty$ , we see that  $\omega_z(Kt_1, t_1)t_1$  increases from zero towards  $\infty$  in a continuous manner and will **certainly pass through**  $\pi$ .

In Section 2.1,  $\omega_z(t_0)$  is shown to be **finite** for all  $|t_0| \leq \infty$ . Hence we can see that  $\omega_z(t_2, t_0)$  is **finite** for all  $|t_0| \leq \infty$ , for **every value** of  $t_2$ .

- Let  $\omega_{max}$  be the **maximum** value of  $\omega_z(t_2, t_0)$  for all  $t_0, t_2$ . We start with  $t_0 = 0$  and increase  $t_0$  to  $t_{00}$  such that  $\omega_{max}t_{00} = \pi$ . We see that  $\omega_z(t_2, t_{00})t_{00} \le \pi$  for all  $t_2$ .
- In Section 4, it is shown that  $E_0(t)$  is **strictly decreasing** for  $t \ge t_d = \frac{1}{8}$  and that the **minimum** value  $Min(E_0(t)) = \frac{1}{5} = E_{min}$  in the interval  $-t_d \le t \le t_d$ .

- We set K such that  $t_{20} = Kt_{00}$ , where K is a positive integer, such that  $E_0(t) < E_{min}$  for  $t \ge t_{20}$ . For this choice of K, we see that  $\omega_z(Kt_{00}, t_{00})t_{00} \le \pi$ .
  - If  $\omega_z(Kt_{00}, t_{00})t_{00} = \pi$ , then we set  $t_0 = t_1 = t_{00}$  and  $t_2 = t_{2c} = Kt_{00}$  and exit.
- If  $\omega_z(Kt_{00},t_{00})t_{00} < \pi$ , then we increase  $t_0$  from  $t_{00}$  to  $t_{01}$  such that  $\omega_z(Kt_{01},t_{01})t_{01} = \pi$  for the same choice of K. Given  $\omega_z(t_2,t_0)$  is a **continuous** function of both  $t_0$  and  $t_2$  and given that  $0 < \omega_z(t_2,t_0) < \infty$ , as  $t_0$  is increased from zero to  $\infty$ , we see that  $\omega_z(Kt_0,t_0)t_0$  increases from zero towards  $\infty$  in a continuous manner and will **certainly pass through**  $\pi$ . We set  $t_0 = t_1 = t_{01}$  and  $t_2 = t_{2c} = Kt_{01}$  and exit.
  - Thus we have **ensured** that  $\omega_z(t_{2c}, t_1)t_1 = \pi$  and  $\omega_z(t_{2c}, t_1)t_{2c} = K\pi$ .

# 5. Hurwitz Zeta Function and related functions

We can show that the new method is **not** applicable to Hurwitz zeta function and related zeta functions and **does not** contradict the existence of their non-trivial zeros away from the critical line with real part of  $s = \frac{1}{2}$ . The new method requires the **symmetry** relation  $\xi(s) = \xi(1-s)$  and hence  $\xi(\frac{1}{2}+i\omega) = \xi(\frac{1}{2}-i\omega)$  when evaluated at the critical line  $s = \frac{1}{2} + i\omega$ . This means  $\xi(\frac{1}{2} + i\omega) = E_{0\omega}(\omega) = E_{0\omega}(-\omega)$  and  $E_{0}(t) = E_{0}(-t)$  where  $E_{0}(t) = 2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [2\pi^{2}n^{4}e^{4t} - 3\pi n^{2}e^{2t}]e^{-\pi n^{2}e^{2t}}e^{\frac{t}{2}}$  and this condition is satisfied for Riemann's Zeta function.

It is **not** known that Hurwitz Zeta Function given by  $\zeta(s,a) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(m+a)^s}$  satisfies a symmetry relation similar to  $\xi(s) = \xi(1-s)$  where  $\xi(s)$  is an entire function, for  $a \neq 1$  and hence the condition  $E_0(t) = E_0(-t)$  is **not** known to be satisfied<sup>[6]</sup>. Hence the new method is **not** applicable to Hurwitz zeta function and **does not** contradict the existence of their non-trivial zeros away from the critical line.

Dirichlet L-functions satisfy a symmetry relation  $\xi(s,\chi) = \epsilon(\chi)\xi(1-s,\bar{\chi})$  [7] which does **not** translate to  $E_0(t) = E_0(-t)$  required by the new method and hence this proof is **not** applicable to them.

We know that  $\zeta(s) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m^s}$  diverges for real part of  $s \leq 1$ . Hence we derive a convergent and entire function  $\xi(s)$ 

using the well known theorem  $F(x) = 1 + 2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-\pi n^2 x} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{x}}(1 + 2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-\pi \frac{n^2}{x}})$ , where x > 0 is real and then derive

 $E_0(t) = 2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [2\pi^2 n^4 e^{4t} - 3\pi n^2 e^{2t}] e^{-\pi n^2 e^{2t}} e^{\frac{t}{2}}$  (Appendix E). In the case of **Hurwitz zeta** function and **other zeta functions** with non-trivial zeros away from the critical line, it is **not** known if a corresponding relation similar to F(x) exists, which enables derivation of a convergent and entire function  $\xi(s)$  and results in  $E_0(t)$  as a Fourier transformable, real, even and analytic function. Hence the new method presented in this paper is **not** applicable to Hurwitz zeta function and related zeta functions.

The proof of Riemann Hypothesis presented in this paper is **only** for the specific case of Riemann's Zeta function and **only** for the **critical strip**  $0 \le |\sigma| < \frac{1}{2}$ . This proof requires both  $E_p(t)$  and  $E_{p\omega}(\omega)$  to be Fourier transformable where  $E_p(t) = E_0(t)e^{-\sigma t}$  is a real analytic function. These conditions may **not** be satisfied for many other functions including those which have non-trivial zeros away from the critical line and hence the new method may **not** be applicable to such functions.

If the proof presented in this paper is internally consistent and does not have mistakes and gaps, then it should be considered correct, **regardless** of whether it contradicts any previously known external theorems, because it is possible that those previously known external theorems may be incorrect.

#### References

- [1] Bernhard Riemann, On the Number of Prime Numbers less than a Given Quantity. (Ueber die Anzahl der Primzahlen untereiner gegebenen Grosse.) Monatsberichte der Berliner Akademie, November 1859. (Link to Riemann's 1859 paper)
- [2] Hardy, G.H., Littlewood, J.E. The zeros of Riemann's zeta-function on the critical line. Mathematische Zeitschrift volume 10, pp.283 to 317 (1921).
- [3] E. C. Titchmarsh, The Theory of the Riemann Zeta Function. (1986) pp.254 to 255
- [4] Fern Ellison and William J. Ellison, Prime Numbers (1985).pp147 to 152
- [5] J. Brian Conrey, The Riemann Hypothesis (2003). (Link to Brian Conrey's 2003 article)
- [6] Mathworld article on Hurwitz Zeta functions. (Link)
- [7] Wikipedia article on Dirichlet L-functions. (Link)

# Appendix A. Derivation of $E_p(t)$

Let us start with Riemann's Xi Function  $\xi(s)$  evaluated at  $s = \frac{1}{2} + i\omega$  given by  $\xi(\frac{1}{2} + i\omega) = E_{0\omega}(\omega)$ . Its inverse Fourier Transform is given by  $E_0(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} E_{0\omega}(\omega) e^{i\omega t} d\omega = 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [2\pi^2 n^4 e^{4t} - 3\pi n^2 e^{2t}] e^{-\pi n^2 e^{2t}} e^{\frac{t}{2}}$  (link). This is re-derived in Appendix E.

We will show in this section that the inverse Fourier Transform of the function  $\xi(\frac{1}{2} + \sigma + i\omega) = E_{p\omega}(\omega)$ , is given by  $E_p(t) = E_0(t)e^{-\sigma t}$  where  $0 \le |\sigma| < \frac{1}{2}$  is real.

$$\xi(\frac{1}{2} + \sigma + i\omega) = \xi(\frac{1}{2} + i(\omega - i\sigma)) = E_{p\omega}(\omega) = E_{0\omega}(\omega - i\sigma)$$

$$E_{p}(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} E_{p\omega}(\omega) e^{i\omega t} d\omega = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} E_{0\omega}(\omega - i\sigma) e^{i\omega t} d\omega$$
(A.1)

We substitute  $\omega' = \omega - i\sigma$  in Eq. A.1 as follows.

$$E_p(t) = e^{-\sigma t} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty - i\sigma}^{\infty - i\sigma} E_{0\omega}(\omega') e^{i\omega' t} d\omega'$$
(A.2)

We can evaluate the above integral in the complex plane using contour integration, substituting  $\omega' = z = x + iy$  and we use a rectangular contour comprised of  $C_1$  along the line  $x = [-\infty, \infty]$ ,  $C_2$  along the line  $y = [\infty, \infty - i\sigma]$ ,  $C_3$  along the line  $x = [\infty - i\sigma, -\infty - i\sigma]$  and then  $C_4$  along the line  $y = [-\infty - i\sigma, -\infty]$ . We can see that  $E_{0\omega}(z) = \xi(\frac{1}{2} + iz)$  has no singularities in the region bounded by the contour because  $\xi(\frac{1}{2} + iz)$  is an entire function in the Z-plane.

In **Appendix C.1**, we show that  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |E_p(t)| dt$  is finite and  $E_p(t) = E_0(t)e^{-\sigma t}$  is an absolutely integrable function, for  $0 \le |\sigma| < \frac{1}{2}$ .

We use the fact that  $E_{0\omega}(z) = \xi(\frac{1}{2} + iz) = \xi(\frac{1}{2} - y + ix) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} E_0(t)e^{-izt}dt = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} E_0(t)e^{yt}e^{-ixt}dt$ , goes to zero as  $x \to \pm \infty$  when  $-\sigma \le y \le 0$ , as per Riemann-Lebesgue Lemma (link), because  $E_0(t)e^{yt}$  is a absolutely integrable function in the interval  $-\infty \le t \le \infty$ . Hence the integral in Eq. A.2 vanishes along the contours  $C_2$  and  $C_4$ . Using Cauchy's Integral theroem, we can write Eq. A.2 as follows.

$$E_p(t) = e^{-\sigma t} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} E_{0\omega}(\omega') e^{i\omega' t} d\omega'$$

$$E_p(t) = E_0(t)e^{-\sigma t} = 2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [2\pi^2 n^4 e^{4t} - 3\pi n^2 e^{2t}] e^{-\pi n^2 e^{2t}} e^{\frac{t}{2}} e^{-\sigma t}$$
(A.3)

Thus we have arrived at the desired result  $E_p(t) = E_0(t)e^{-\sigma t}$ .

#### Appendix B. Properties of Fourier Transforms Part 1

In this section, some well-known properties of Fourier transforms are re-derived.

# Appendix B.1. Convolution Theorem: Multiplication of g(t) and h(t) corresponds to convolution in Fourier transform domain

We start with the Fourier transform equation  $F(\omega) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(t)e^{-i\omega t}dt$  where f(t) = g(t)h(t) and show that  $F(\omega) = \frac{1}{2\pi}[G(\omega)*H(\omega)] = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} G(\omega')H(\omega-\omega')d\omega'$  obtained by the **convolution** of the functions  $G(\omega)$  and  $H(\omega)$  which correspond to the Fourier transforms of g(t) and h(t) respectively.

$$F(\omega) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(t)e^{-i\omega t}dt = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(t)h(t)e^{-i\omega t}dt$$
(B.1)

We use the inverse Fourier transform equation  $g(t)=\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}G(\omega')e^{i\omega't}d\omega'$  and we interchange the order of integration in equations below using Fubini's theorem (link).

$$F(\omega) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left[ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} G(\omega') e^{i\omega't} d\omega' \right] h(t) e^{-i\omega t} dt$$

$$F(\omega) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} G(\omega') \left[ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i\omega't} h(t) e^{-i\omega t} dt \right] d\omega'$$

$$F(\omega) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} G(\omega') \left[ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h(t) e^{-i(\omega - \omega')t} dt \right] d\omega'$$
(B.2)

We substitute  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h(t)e^{-i(\omega-\omega')t}dt = H(\omega-\omega')$  in Eq. B.2 and arrive at the convolution theorem.

$$F(\omega) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} G(\omega') H(\omega - \omega') d\omega' = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(t) h(t) e^{-i\omega t} dt$$
 (B.3)

# Appendix B.2. Fourier transform of Real g(t)

In this section, we show that the Fourier transform of a real function g(t), given by  $G(\omega) = G_R(\omega) + iG_I(\omega)$  has the properties given by  $G_R(-\omega) = G_R(\omega)$  and  $G_I(-\omega) = -G_I(\omega)$ .

$$G(\omega) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(t)e^{-i\omega t}dt = G_R(\omega) + iG_I(\omega)$$

$$G_R(\omega) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(t)\cos(\omega t)dt = G_R(-\omega)$$

$$G_I(\omega) = -\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(t)\sin(\omega t)dt = -G_I(-\omega)$$
(B.4)

# Appendix B.3. Even part of g(t) corresponds to real part of Fourier transform $G(\omega)$

In this section, we show that the **even part** of real function g(t), given by  $g_{even}(t) = \frac{1}{2}[g(t) + g(-t)]$ , corresponds to **real part** of its Fourier transform  $G(\omega)$ . We use the fact that  $G_R(-\omega) = G_R(\omega)$  and  $G_I(-\omega) = -G_I(\omega)$  for a real function g(t).

$$G(\omega) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(t)e^{-i\omega t}dt = G_R(\omega) + iG_I(\omega)$$
$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g_{even}(t)e^{-i\omega t}dt = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2}[g(t) + g(-t)]e^{-i\omega t}dt = \frac{1}{2}[G(\omega) + G(-\omega)] = G_R(\omega)$$

(B.5)

# Appendix B.4. Odd part of g(t) corresponds to imaginary part of Fourier transform $G(\omega)$

In this section, we show that the **odd part** of real function g(t), given by  $g_{odd}(t) = \frac{1}{2}[g(t) - g(-t)]$ , corresponds to **imaginary part** of its Fourier transform  $G(\omega)$ . We use the fact that  $G_R(-\omega) = G_R(\omega)$  and  $G_I(-\omega) = -G_I(\omega)$  for a real function g(t).

$$G(\omega) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(t)e^{-i\omega t}dt = G_R(\omega) + iG_I(\omega)$$

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g_{odd}(t)e^{-i\omega t}dt = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2}[g(t) - g(-t)]e^{-i\omega t}dt = \frac{1}{2}[G(\omega) - G(-\omega)] = iG_I(\omega)$$
(B.6)

#### Appendix C. Properties of Fourier Transforms Part 2

Appendix C.1.  $E_p(t), h(t), g(t)$  are absolutely integrable functions and their Fourier Transforms are finite.

The inverse Fourier Transform of the function  $E_{p\omega}(\omega) = \xi(\frac{1}{2} + \sigma + i\omega)$  is given by  $E_p(t) = E_0(t)e^{-\sigma t} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} E_{p\omega}(\omega) e^{i\omega t} d\omega$ . We see that  $E_0(t) = 2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [2\pi^2 n^4 e^{4t} - 3\pi n^2 e^{2t}] e^{-\pi n^2 e^{2t}} e^{\frac{t}{2}} > 0$  for all  $0 \le t < \infty$ . Given that  $E_0(t) = E_0(-t)$ , we see that  $E_0(t) > 0$  and  $E_p(t) = E_0(t)e^{-\sigma t} > 0$  for all  $-\infty < t < \infty$ .

As  $t \to \infty$ ,  $E_p(t)$  goes to zero, due to the term  $e^{-\pi n^2 e^{2t}}$ . As  $t \to -\infty$ ,  $E_p(t)$  goes to zero, because for every value of n, the term  $e^{-\pi n^2 e^{2t}} e^{\frac{5t}{2}} e^{-\sigma t}$  goes to zero, for  $0 \le |\sigma| < \frac{1}{2}$ . Hence  $E_p(t) = E_0(t) e^{-\sigma t} = 0$  at  $t = \pm \infty$  and we showed that  $E_p(t) > 0$  for all  $-\infty < t < \infty$ . Hence  $E_{p\omega}(\omega) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} E_p(t) e^{-i\omega t} dt$ , evaluated at  $\omega = 0$  cannot be zero. Hence  $E_{p\omega}(\omega)$  does not have a zero at  $\omega = 0$  and hence  $\omega_0 \ne 0$ .

Given that  $\xi(\frac{1}{2} + \sigma + i\omega) = E_{p\omega}(\omega)$  is an entire function in the whole of s-plane, it is finite for  $|\omega| \leq \infty$  and also for  $\omega = 0$ . Hence  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} E_p(t)dt$  is finite. We see that  $E_p(t) \geq 0$  for all  $|t| \leq \infty$ . Hence we can write  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |E_p(t)|dt$  is finite and  $E_p(t)$  is an absolutely **integrable function** and its Fourier transform  $E_{p\omega}(\omega)$  goes to zero as  $\omega \to \pm \infty$ , as per Riemann Lebesgue Lemma (link).

Let us consider a new function  $g(t) = E_p(t)e^{-\sigma t}u(-t) + E_p(t)e^{\sigma t}u(t)$  where g(t) is a real function of variable t and u(t) is Heaviside unit step function and  $0 < \sigma < \frac{1}{2}$ . We can see that  $g(t)h(t) = E_p(t)$  where  $h(t) = e^{\sigma t}u(-t) + e^{-\sigma t}u(t)$ .

We can see that  $h(t) = e^{\sigma t}u(-t) + e^{-\sigma t}u(t)$  is an absolutely **integrable function** because  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |h(t)| dt = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h(t) dt = [\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h(t) e^{-i\omega t} dt]_{\omega=0} = [\frac{1}{\sigma-i\omega} + \frac{1}{\sigma+i\omega}]_{\omega=0} = \frac{2}{\sigma}$ , is finite for  $0 < \sigma < \frac{1}{2}$  and its Fourier transform  $H(\omega)$  goes to zero as  $\omega \to \pm \infty$ , as per Riemann Lebesgue Lemma (link).

It is shown in Appendix C.4 that  $E_0(t)$  and  $E_0(t)e^{-2\sigma t}$  have fall-off rates **at least**  $\frac{1}{t^2}$  as  $|t| \to \infty$  and hence are absolutely **integrable** functions and the integrals  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |E_0(t)| dt < \infty$  and  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |E_0(t)e^{-2\sigma t}| dt < \infty$ . Hence  $g(t) = E_0(t)e^{-2\sigma t}u(-t) + E_0(t)u(t)$  is an absolutely **integrable function** and  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |g(t)| dt = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(t) dt$  is finite and its Fourier transform  $G(\omega)$  goes to zero as  $\omega \to \pm \infty$ , as per Riemann Lebesgue Lemma (link).

#### Appendix C.2. Convolution integral convergence

Let us consider  $h(t) = e^{\sigma t}u(-t) + e^{-\sigma t}u(t)$  whose **first derivative is discontinuous** at t = 0. The second derivative of h(t) given by  $h_2(t)$  has a Dirac delta function  $A_0\delta(t)$  where  $A_0 = -2\sigma$  and its Fourier transform  $H_2(\omega)$  has a constant term  $A_0$ , corresponding to the Dirac delta function.

This means h(t) is obtained by integrating  $h_2(t)$  twice and its Fourier transform  $H(\omega)$  has a term  $-\frac{A_0}{\omega^2}$  (link) and has a **fall off rate** of  $\frac{1}{\omega^2}$  as  $|\omega| \to \infty$  and  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} H(\omega) d\omega$  converges.

We see that 
$$E_p(t) = E_0(t)e^{-\sigma t}$$
 where  $E_0(t) = 2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [2\pi^2 n^4 e^{4t} - 3\pi n^2 e^{2t}]e^{-\pi n^2 e^{2t}}e^{\frac{t}{2}}$ .

Let us consider a new function  $g(t) = E_p(t)e^{-\sigma t}u(-t) + E_p(t)e^{\sigma t}u(t)$  where g(t) is a real function of variable t and u(t) is Heaviside unit step function and  $0 < \sigma < \frac{1}{2}$ . We can see that  $g(t)h(t) = E_p(t)$  where  $h(t) = e^{\sigma t}u(-t) + e^{-\sigma t}u(t)$ .

We can see that  $G(\omega), H(\omega)$  have **fall-off rate** of  $\frac{1}{\omega^2}$  as  $|\omega| \to \infty$  because the **first derivatives** of g(t), h(t) are **discontinuous** at t = 0. Also, h(t), g(t) are absolutely integrable functions and their Fourier Transforms are finite as shown in Appendix C.1. Hence the convolution integral below converges to a finite value for  $|\omega| \le \infty$ .

$$F(\omega) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} G(\omega') H(\omega - \omega') d\omega' = \frac{1}{2\pi} [G(\omega) * H(\omega)]$$
 (C.1)

### Appendix C.3. Fall off rate of Fourier Transform of functions

Let us consider a real Fourier transformable function  $P(t) = P_+(t)u(t) + P_-(t)u(-t)$  whose  $(N-1)^{th}$  derivative is discontinuous at t = 0. The  $(N)^{th}$  derivative of P(t) given by  $P_N(t)$  has a Dirac delta function  $A_0\delta(t)$  where  $A_0 = \left[\frac{d^{N-1}P_+(t)}{dt^{N-1}} - \frac{d^{N-1}P_-(t)}{dt^{N-1}}\right]_{t=0}$  and its Fourier transform  $P_N(\omega)$  has a constant term  $A_0$ , corresponding to the Dirac delta function.

This means P(t) is obtained by integrating  $P_N(t)$ , N times and its Fourier transform  $P(\omega)$  has a term  $\frac{A_0}{(i\omega)^N}$  (link) and has a **fall off rate** of  $\frac{1}{\omega^N}$  as  $|\omega| \to \infty$ .

We have shown that if the  $(N-1)^{th}$  derivative of the function P(t) is discontinuous at t=0 then its Fourier transform  $P(\omega)$  has a fall-off rate of  $\frac{1}{\omega^N}$  as  $|\omega| \to \infty$ .

In Section 1.1, we showed that  $E_0(t)$  is an analytic function which is infinitely differentiable which produces no discontinuities in  $|t| \leq \infty$ . Hence its Fourier transform  $E_{0\omega}(\omega)$  has a fall-off rate faster than  $\frac{1}{\omega^M}$  as  $M \to \infty$ , as  $|\omega| \to \infty$  and it should have a fall-off rate **at least** of the order of  $\omega^A e^{-B|\omega|}$  as  $|\omega| \to \infty$ , where A, B > 0 are real.

# Appendix C.4. Payley-Weiner theorem and Exponential Fall off rate of analytic functions.

We know that Payley-Weiner theorem relates analytic functions and exponential decay rate of their Fourier transforms (link). Using similar arguments, we will show that the functions  $E_0(t)$ ,  $E_p(t)$  and  $x(t) = E_0(t)e^{-2\sigma t}$  and  $\frac{d^{2r}x(t)}{dt^{2r}}$  have fall-off rates at least  $\frac{1}{t^2}$  as  $|t| \to \infty$  for  $0 < \sigma < \frac{1}{2}$ .

We know that the order of Riemann's Xi function  $\xi(\frac{1}{2}+i\omega) = E_{0\omega}(\omega) = \Xi(\omega)$  is given by  $O(\omega^A e^{-\frac{|\omega|\pi}{4}})$  where A is a constant [3] (link). Hence both  $E_{0\omega}(\omega)$  and  $E_{p\omega}(\omega) = \xi(\frac{1}{2}+\sigma+i\omega) = E_{0\omega}(\omega-i\sigma)$  have **exponential fall-off** rate  $O(\omega^A e^{-\frac{|\omega|\pi}{4}})$  as  $|\omega| \to \infty$  and they are absolutely integrable and Fourier transformable, given that they are derived from an entire function  $\xi(s)$ .

Given that  $\xi(s)$  is an entire function in the s-plane, we see that  $E_{0\omega}(\omega)$  and  $E_{p\omega}(\omega)$  are **analytic** functions which are infinitely differentiable which produce no discontinuities for all  $|\omega| \leq \infty$  and  $0 < \sigma < \frac{1}{2}$ . Hence their respective **inverse Fourier transforms**  $E_0(t), E_p(t)$  have fall-off rates faster than  $\frac{1}{t^M}$  as  $M \to \infty$ , as  $|t| \to \infty$  (Appendix C.3) and hence it should have **exponential fall-off** rates as  $|t| \to \infty$ .

We can use similar arguments to show that  $x(t) = E_0(t)e^{-2\sigma t}$  and  $\frac{d^{2r}x(t)}{dt^{2r}}$  have fall-off rates at least  $\frac{1}{t^2}$  as  $|t| \to \infty$ , because their Fourier transforms are analytic functions for all  $|\omega| \le \infty$  with exponential fall-off rate  $O(\omega^A e^{-\frac{|\omega|\pi}{4}})$  as  $|\omega| \to \infty$ .

# Appendix D. $\omega_z(t_0)$ is a continuous function around $t_0 = 0$

This result is shown as follows.

•  $G_R(\omega) = G_R(\omega, t_0)$  in Eq. 13 is copied below, which is a **continuous** function of  $\omega$  which is differentiable **at** least once with respect to  $\omega$ . (Eq. D.2 and Appendix D.3)

$$G_R(\omega) = G_R(\omega, t_0) = \int_{-\infty}^0 [E_0(t + t_0)e^{-2\sigma t} + E_{0n}(t - t_0)]\cos(\omega t)dt$$
(D.1)

Given that  $E_0(t) \ge 0$  for  $|t_0| \le \infty$  (Appendix C.1), we see that  $G_R(\omega) > 0$  at  $\omega = 0$ . **Set**  $t_0 = 0$  and  $G_R(\omega, t_0)$  passes through its **first zero** at  $\omega = \omega_z(t_0) = \omega_z(0)$ . In the rest of this section, we consider the **interval**  $[-\delta t_0, \delta t_0]$  around  $t_0 = 0$ , in  $\omega_z(t_0)$ . There are 3 possibilities.

Case 1: 
$$G_R(\omega) < 0$$
 for  $\omega = \omega_z(0) + dw$ ,  $G_R(\omega) > 0$  for  $\omega = \omega_z(0) - dw$  for infinitesimal  $dw$  (example plot)

In this case, we will show in Appendix D.1 that  $\omega_z(t_0)$  is a continuous function of  $t_0$  in the interval  $[-\delta t_0, \delta t_0]$ , in the neighborhood around the first zero crossing at  $\omega = \omega_z(t_0) = \omega_z(0)$ .

Case 2: 
$$G_R(\omega) > 0$$
 for  $\omega = \omega_z(0) + dw$ ,  $G_R(\omega) > 0$  for  $\omega = \omega_z(0) - dw$  (example plot)

In this case,  $\frac{dG_R(\omega)}{d\omega} = 0$  at the same  $\omega = \omega_z(0)$  because  $\frac{dG_R(\omega)}{d\omega} < 0$  at  $\omega = \omega_z(0) - dw$  and  $\frac{dG_R(\omega)}{d\omega} > 0$  at  $\omega = \omega_z(0) + dw$ .

$$\frac{dG_R(\omega)}{d\omega} = -\int_{-\infty}^0 t[E_0(t+t_0)e^{-2\sigma t} + E_{0n}(t-t_0)]\sin(\omega t)dt$$

(D.2)

In this case, we will show Appendix D.2 that  $\omega_z(t_0)$  is a continuous function of  $t_0$  in the interval  $[-\delta t_0, \delta t_0]$ , in the neighborhood around the first zero crossing at  $\omega = \omega_z(t_0) = \omega_z(0)$ .

Case 3: 
$$G_R(\omega) = 0$$
 for  $\omega = \omega_z(0)$  and  $\omega = \omega_z(0) + dw$ .

This is **not** possible because  $G_R(\omega, t_0)$  in Eq. D.1 is an **analytic** function and infinitely differentiable with respect to  $\omega$  (Appendix D.3). We know that analytic functions have **isolated** zeros. (link). Hence we cannot have  $G_R(\omega) = 0$  for  $\omega = \omega_z(0)$  and  $\omega = \omega_z(0) + dw$  as  $dw \to 0$ .

Appendix D.1. Case 1: 
$$G_R(\omega) < 0$$
 for  $\omega = \omega_z(0) + dw$ ,  $G_R(\omega) > 0$  for  $\omega = \omega_z(0) - dw$ 

- Consider the **segment** S in  $G_R(\omega, t_0)$  in the neighborhood around the first zero crossing where  $\frac{dG_R(\omega, t_0)}{d\omega} < 0$ . (Segment S is the portion between the green lines in example plot)
- In the **segment** S,  $G_R(\omega, t_0)$  in Eq. D.1 is a **continuous** function of  $\omega$ , for **each** value of  $t_0$ . Hence  $G_R(\omega, t_0 \delta t_0)$  and  $G_R(\omega, t_0 + \delta t_0)$  are **continuous** functions of  $\omega$ , which are differentiable **at least** once, and  $G_R(\omega, t_0 \pm \delta t_0)$  tends to  $G_R(\omega, t_0)$ , as infinitesimal  $\delta t_0 \to 0$ .

$$G_R(\omega, t_0) = \int_{-\infty}^0 \left[ E_0(t + t_0)e^{-2\sigma t} + E_{0n}(t - t_0) \right] \cos(\omega t) dt$$

$$G_R(\omega, t_0 + \delta t_0) = \int_{-\infty}^0 \left[ E_0(t + t_0 + \delta t_0)e^{-2\sigma t} + E_{0n}(t - t_0 - \delta t_0) \right] \cos(\omega t) dt$$
21

• In the **segment** S,  $G_R(\omega, t_0)$  in Eq. D.3 is a **continuous** function of  $\omega$ , for **each** value of  $t_0$  and  $\frac{dG_R(\omega, t_0)}{d\omega} < 0$  in the neighborhood around the **first zero crossing**. If we fix the X-coordinate  $\omega$ ,  $G_R(\omega, t_0)$  is a **continuous** function of  $t_0$ , for **each** value of  $\omega$ . Hence, for **each** value of  $\omega$ , as we change  $t_0$  by an infinitesimal  $\delta t_0$ ,  $G_R(\omega, t_0)$  moves towards  $G_R(\omega, t_0 + \delta t_0)$  in a **continuous** manner, as  $\delta t_0 \to 0$ . Every point in the segment S, moves continuously, as we change  $t_0$  by an infinitesimal  $\delta t_0$ .

This also applies to the first **zero crossing** in  $G_R(\omega, t_0)$  in the segment S, which corresponds to  $\omega_z(t_0) = \omega_z(0)$  at  $t_0 = 0$  where  $G_R(\omega, t_0) = 0$  in Eq. D.3. The zero crossing moves continuously, as we change  $t_0$  by an infinitesimal  $\delta t_0$ . This is explained below.

• Explanation: This is shown by an example plot. Red plot corresponds to  $G_R(\omega, t_0)$  with zero crossing at point  $P_0$ , Green plot corresponds to  $G_R(\omega, t_0 + \delta t_0)$  with zero crossing at point  $P_{11}$  and Blue plot corresponds to  $G_R(\omega, t_0 - \delta t_0)$  with zero crossing at point  $P_{21}$ .

We define the point  $P_{12}$  in  $G_R(\omega, t_0 + \delta t_0)$  as the point which has the fixed X-coordinate  $\omega = \omega_z(0)$ . We define the point  $P_{22}$  in  $G_R(\omega, t_0 - \delta t_0)$  as the point which has the fixed X-coordinate  $\omega = \omega_z(0)$ .

We define the point  $P_{11}$  in  $G_R(\omega, t_0 + \delta t_0)$  as the zero crossing point which has the fixed Y-coordinate which equals zero. We define the point  $P_{21}$  in  $G_R(\omega, t_0 - \delta t_0)$  as the zero crossing point which has the fixed Y-coordinate which equals zero.

As we change  $t_0$  by an infinitesimal  $\delta t_0$ ,  $G_R(\omega, t_0 + \delta t_0)$  in Eq. D.4 moves towards  $G_R(\omega, t_0)$  in a **continuous** manner as follows. The **point**  $P_{12}$  in  $G_R(\omega, t_0 + \delta t_0)$  which corresponds to the **fixed X-coordinate**  $\omega = \omega_z(0)$ , moves towards corresponding point  $P_0$  in  $G_R(\omega, t_0)$ , for the **same**  $\omega = \omega_z(0)$  in a **continuous** manner, as  $\delta t_0 \to 0$ . Given that  $P_0$  is a **zero crossing point** in  $G_R(\omega, t_0)$ , this is equivalent to the **Zero crossing point**  $P_{11}$  in  $G_R(\omega, t_0 + \delta t_0)$  moving towards corresponding **zero crossing** point  $P_0$  in  $G_R(\omega, t_0)$  in a **continuous** manner, as  $\delta t_0 \to 0$ .

Similarly, as we change  $t_0$  by an infinitesimal  $\delta t_0$ ,  $G_R(\omega, t_0 - \delta t_0)$  in Eq. D.4 moves towards  $G_R(\omega, t_0)$  in a **continuous** manner as follows. The **point**  $P_{22}$  in  $G_R(\omega, t_0 - \delta t_0)$  which corresponds to the **fixed X-coordinate**  $\omega = \omega_z(0)$ , moves towards corresponding point  $P_0$  in  $G_R(\omega, t_0)$ , for the **same**  $\omega = \omega_z(0)$  in a **continuous** manner, as  $\delta t_0 \to 0$ . Given that  $P_0$  is a **zero crossing point** in  $G_R(\omega, t_0)$ , this is equivalent to the **Zero crossing point**  $P_{21}$  in  $G_R(\omega, t_0 - \delta t_0)$  moving towards corresponding **zero crossing** point  $P_0$  in  $G_R(\omega, t_0)$  in a **continuous** manner, as  $\delta t_0 \to 0$ .

$$G_{R}(\omega, t_{0}) = \int_{-\infty}^{0} \left[ E_{0}(t + t_{0})e^{-2\sigma t} + E_{0n}(t - t_{0}) \right] \cos(\omega t) dt$$

$$G_{R}(\omega, t_{0} + \delta t_{0}) = \int_{-\infty}^{0} \left[ E_{0}(t + t_{0} + \delta t_{0})e^{-2\sigma t} + E_{0n}(t - t_{0} - \delta t_{0}) \right] \cos(\omega t) dt$$

$$G_{R}(\omega, t_{0} - \delta t_{0}) = \int_{-\infty}^{0} \left[ E_{0}(t + t_{0} - \delta t_{0})e^{-2\sigma t} + E_{0n}(t - t_{0} + \delta t_{0}) \right] \cos(\omega t) dt$$

$$\lim_{\delta t_{0} \to 0} G_{R}(\omega, t_{0} + \delta t_{0}) = G_{R}(\omega, t_{0})$$

$$\lim_{\delta t_{0} \to 0} G_{R}(\omega, t_{0} - \delta t_{0}) = G_{R}(\omega, t_{0})$$

(D.4)

• Hence in the **segment** S,  $\omega_z(t_0)$  is a **continuous** function of  $t_0$  in the neighborhood  $[-\delta t_0, \delta t_0]$  around the first zero crossing at  $\omega = \omega_z(t_0) = \omega_z(0)$  at  $t_0 = 0$ .

$$G_R(\omega_z(t_0), t_0) = \int_{-\infty}^0 \left[ E_0(t + t_0)e^{-2\sigma t} + E_{0n}(t - t_0) \right] \cos(\omega_z(t_0)t) dt = 0$$

$$G_R(\omega_z(t_0 + \delta t_0), t_0 + \delta t_0) = \int_{-\infty}^0 \left[ E_0(t + t_0 + \delta t_0)e^{-2\sigma t} + E_{0n}(t - t_0 - \delta t_0) \right] \cos((\omega_z(t_0 + \delta t_0)t) dt = 0$$
(D.5)

Appendix D.2. Case 2:  $G_R(\omega) > 0$  for  $\omega = \omega_z(0) + dw$ ,  $G_R(\omega) > 0$  for  $\omega = \omega_z(0) - dw$ 

- In this case,  $\frac{dG_R(\omega)}{d\omega} = 0$  at the same  $\omega = \omega_z(t_0)$  because  $\frac{dG_R(\omega)}{d\omega} < 0$  at  $\omega = \omega_z(t_0) dw$  and  $\frac{dG_R(\omega)}{d\omega} > 0$  at  $\omega = \omega_z(t_0) + dw$ .
- Consider the **segment** S' in  $\frac{dG_R(\omega,t_0)}{d\omega}$  in the neighborhood around the first zero crossing where  $\frac{d^2G_R(\omega,t_0)}{d\omega^2} > 0$ . (Segment S' is the portion between the green lines in example plot) In this segment S',  $\frac{dG_R(\omega,t_0)}{d\omega}$  is a **continuous** function of  $\omega$  which is differentiable **at least** once.( Appendix D.3)
- In the **segment** S',  $\frac{dG_R(\omega,t_0)}{d\omega} = 0$  at the **same**  $\omega = \omega_z(t_0)$ . The arguments in Appendix D.1 can be applied here, with  $G_R(\omega,t_0)$  replaced by  $\frac{dG_R(\omega,t_0)}{d\omega}$ .

Hence  $\omega_z(t_0)$  is a **continuous** function of  $t_0$  in the neighborhood  $[-\delta t_0, \delta t_0]$  around the first zero crossing at  $\omega = \omega_z(t_0) = \omega_z(0)$  at  $t_0 = 0$  in the **segment** S'.

Appendix D.3. Integral convergence in  $\frac{dG_R(\omega)}{d\omega}$ 

It is shown in Appendix C.4 that  $E_0(t)$  and  $E_0(t)e^{-2\sigma t}$  have exponential fall-off rates as  $|t| \to \infty$  and hence are absolutely **integrable** functions and the integrals  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |E_0(t)|dt < \infty$  and  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |E_0(t)e^{-2\sigma t}|dt < \infty$ . Hence the integrand  $A_r(t) = \frac{t^r}{!(r)} [E_0(t+t_0)e^{-2\sigma t} + E_{0n}(t-t_0)] \sin(\omega t)$  in Eq. D.2 copied below, is an absolutely **integrable** function and  $\int_{-\infty}^{0} |A_r(t)|dt = \int_{-\infty}^{0} \frac{|t^r|}{!(r)} [E_0(t+t_0)e^{-2\sigma t} + E_{0n}(t-t_0)]dt$  is **finite**, for r = 0, 1, ..., given the **exponential** fall-off rate of  $E_0(t)e^{-2\sigma t}$  and  $E_0(t)$ .

$$\frac{1}{!(r)} \frac{d^r G_R(\omega)}{d\omega^r} = (-1)^{\frac{r+1}{2}} \int_{-\infty}^0 \frac{t^r}{!(r)} [E_0(t+t_0)e^{-2\sigma t} + E_{0n}(t-t_0)] \sin(\omega t) dt, \quad r = odd$$

$$\frac{1}{!(r)} \frac{d^r G_R(\omega)}{d\omega^r} = (-1)^{\frac{r}{2}} \int_{-\infty}^0 \frac{t^r}{!(r)} [E_0(t+t_0)e^{-2\sigma t} + E_{0n}(t-t_0)] \cos(\omega t) dt, \quad r = even$$
(D.6)

#### Appendix E. Derivation of entire function $\xi(s)$

In this section, we will re-derive Riemann's Xi function  $\xi(s)$  and the inverse Fourier Transform of  $\xi(\frac{1}{2}+i\omega)=E_{0\omega}(\omega)$  and show the result  $E_{0}(t)=2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}[2\pi^{2}n^{4}e^{4t}-3\pi n^{2}e^{2t}]e^{-\pi n^{2}e^{2t}}e^{\frac{t}{2}}$ .

We will use the steps in Ellison's book "Prime Numbers" pages 151-152 and re-derive the steps below<sup>[4]</sup> (link). We start with the gamma function  $\Gamma(s) = \int_0^\infty y^{s-1} e^{-y} dy$  and substitute  $y = \pi n^2 x$  and derive as follows.

$$\begin{split} \Gamma(\frac{s}{2}) &= \int_0^\infty y^{\frac{s}{2}-1} e^{-y} dy \\ \Gamma(\frac{s}{2}) (\pi n^2)^{-\frac{s}{2}} &= \int_{0_{23}}^\infty x^{\frac{s}{2}-1} e^{-\pi n^2 x} dx \end{split}$$

For real part of s greater than 1, we can do a summation of both sides of above equation for all positive integers n and obtain as follows. We note that  $\zeta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^s}$ .

$$\Gamma(\frac{s}{2})\pi^{-\frac{s}{2}}\zeta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} x^{\frac{s}{2}-1} e^{-\pi n^{2}x} dx$$

(E.2)

For real part of s ( $\sigma'$ ) greater than 1, we can use theorem of dominated convergence and interchange the order of summation and integration as follows. We use the fact that  $w(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-\pi n^2 x}$  and

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} |x^{\frac{s}{2}-1}e^{-\pi n^2x}| dx = \Gamma(\frac{\sigma'}{2})\pi^{-\frac{\sigma'}{2}}\zeta(\sigma').$$

$$\Gamma(\frac{s}{2})\pi^{-\frac{s}{2}}\zeta(s) = \int_0^\infty x^{\frac{s}{2}-1}w(x)dx$$

(E.3)

For real part of s less than or equal to 1,  $\zeta(s)$  diverges. Hence we do the following. In Eq. E.3, first we consider real part of s greater than 1 and we divide the range of integration into two parts: (0,1] and  $[1,\infty)$  and make the substitution  $x \to \frac{1}{x}$  in the first interval (0,1]. We use **the well known theorem**  $1 + 2w(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{x}}(1 + 2w(\frac{1}{x}))$ , where x > 0 is real.<sup>[4]</sup>

$$\Gamma(\frac{s}{2})\pi^{-\frac{s}{2}}\zeta(s) = \int_{1}^{\infty} x^{\frac{s}{2}-1}w(x)dx + \int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{x^{-(\frac{s}{2}-1)}}{x^{2}} \frac{(1+2w(x))\sqrt{x}-1)}{2}dx$$
(E.4)

Hence we can simplify Eq. E.4 as follows.

$$\Gamma(\frac{s}{2})\pi^{-\frac{s}{2}}\zeta(s) = \frac{1}{s(s-1)} + \int_{1}^{\infty} x^{\frac{s}{2}-1}w(x)dx + \int_{1}^{\infty} x^{\frac{-(s+1)}{2}}w(x)dx$$
(E.5)

We multiply above equation by  $\frac{1}{2}s(s-1)$  and get

$$\xi(s) = \frac{1}{2}s(s-1)\Gamma(\frac{s}{2})\pi^{-\frac{s}{2}}\zeta(s) = \frac{1}{2}\left[1 + s(s-1)\int_{1}^{\infty} \left(x^{\frac{s}{2}} + x^{\frac{1-s}{2}}\right)w(x)\frac{dx}{x}\right]$$
(E.6)

We see that  $\xi(s)$  is an entire function, for all values of Re[s] in the complex plane and hence we get an analytic continuation of  $\xi(s)$  over the entire complex plane. We see that  $\xi(s) = \xi(1-s)$  [4].

Appendix E.1. **Derivation of**  $E_p(t)$  **and**  $E_0(t)$ 

Given that  $w(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-\pi n^2 x}$ , we substitute  $x = e^{2t}$ ,  $\frac{dx}{x} = 2dt$  in Eq. E.6 and evaluate at  $s = \frac{1}{2} + \sigma + i\omega$  as follows.

$$\xi(\frac{1}{2} + \sigma + i\omega) = \frac{1}{2} \left[1 + 2(\frac{1}{2} + \sigma + i\omega)(-\frac{1}{2} + \sigma + i\omega) \int_0^\infty \sum_{n=1}^\infty e^{-\pi n^2 e^{2t}} (e^{\frac{t}{2}} e^{\sigma t} e^{i\omega t} + e^{\frac{t}{2}} e^{-\sigma t} e^{-i\omega t}) dt\right]$$
(E.7)

We can substitute t = -t in the first term in above integral and simplify above equation as follows.

$$\xi(\frac{1}{2} + \sigma + i\omega) = \frac{1}{2} + (-\frac{1}{4} + \sigma^2 - \omega^2 + i\omega(2\sigma)) \left[ \int_{-\infty}^{0} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-\pi n^2 e^{-2t}} e^{\frac{-t}{2}} e^{-\sigma t} e^{-i\omega t} dt \right]$$

$$+ \int_{0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-\pi n^2 e^{2t}} e^{\frac{t}{2}} e^{-\sigma t} e^{-i\omega t} dt$$
(E.8)

We can write this as follows.

$$\xi(\frac{1}{2} + \sigma + i\omega) = \frac{1}{2} + (-\frac{1}{4} + \sigma^2 - \omega^2 + i\omega(2\sigma)) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} [\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-\pi n^2 e^{-2t}} e^{\frac{-t}{2}} u(-t) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-\pi n^2 e^{2t}} e^{\frac{t}{2}} u(t)] e^{-\sigma t} e^{-i\omega t} dt \quad (E.9)$$

We define  $A(t) = \left[\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-\pi n^2 e^{-2t}} e^{\frac{-t}{2}} u(-t) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-\pi n^2 e^{2t}} e^{\frac{t}{2}} u(t)\right] e^{-\sigma t}$  and get the **inverse Fourier transform** of  $\xi(\frac{1}{2} + \sigma + i\omega)$  in above equation given by  $E_p(t)$  as follows. We use dirac delta function  $\delta(t)$ .

$$E_{p}(t) = \frac{1}{2}\delta(t) + \left(-\frac{1}{4} + \sigma^{2}\right)A(t) + 2\sigma\frac{dA(t)}{dt} + \frac{d^{2}A(t)}{dt^{2}}$$

$$A(t) = \left[\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-\pi n^{2}e^{-2t}}e^{\frac{-t}{2}}u(-t) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-\pi n^{2}e^{2t}}e^{\frac{t}{2}}u(t)\right]e^{-\sigma t}$$

$$\frac{dA(t)}{dt} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-\pi n^{2}e^{-2t}}e^{\frac{-t}{2}}e^{-\sigma t}\left[-\frac{1}{2} - \sigma + 2\pi n^{2}e^{-2t}\right]u(-t) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-\pi n^{2}e^{2t}}e^{\frac{t}{2}}e^{-\sigma t}\left[\frac{1}{2} - \sigma - 2\pi n^{2}e^{2t}\right]u(t)$$

$$\frac{d^{2}A(t)}{dt^{2}} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-\pi n^{2}e^{-2t}}e^{\frac{-t}{2}}e^{-\sigma t}\left[-4\pi n^{2}e^{-2t} + \left(-\frac{1}{2} - \sigma + 2\pi n^{2}e^{-2t}\right)^{2}\right]u(-t)$$

$$+ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-\pi n^{2}e^{2t}}e^{\frac{t}{2}}e^{-\sigma t}\left[-4\pi n^{2}e^{2t} + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \sigma - 2\pi n^{2}e^{2t}\right)^{2}\right]u(t) + \delta(t)\left[\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-\pi n^{2}}(1 - 4\pi n^{2})\right]$$
(E.10)

We can simplify above equation as follows.

$$\frac{d^{2}A(t)}{dt^{2}} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-\pi n^{2}e^{-2t}} e^{\frac{-t}{2}} e^{-\sigma t} \left[ \frac{1}{4} + \sigma^{2} + \sigma + 4\pi^{2}n^{4}e^{-4t} - 6\pi n^{2}e^{-2t} - 4\sigma\pi n^{2}e^{-2t} \right] u(-t)$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-\pi n^{2}e^{2t}} e^{\frac{t}{2}} e^{-\sigma t} \left[ \frac{1}{4} + \sigma^{2} - \sigma + 4\pi^{2}n^{4}e^{4t} - 6\pi n^{2}e^{2t} + 4\sigma\pi n^{2}e^{2t} \right] u(t) + \delta(t) \left[ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-\pi n^{2}} (1 - 4\pi n^{2}) \right] \tag{E.11}$$

We use the fact that  $F(x) = 1 + 2w(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{x}}(1 + 2w(\frac{1}{x}))$ , where  $w(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-\pi n^2 x}$  and x > 0 is real<sup>[4]</sup>, and we take the first derivative of F(x) and evaluate it at x=1. We see that  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-\pi n^2} (1-4\pi n^2) = -\frac{1}{2}$  (Appendix E.2) and hence dirac delta terms cancel each other in equation below. 25

$$E_{p}(t) = \frac{1}{2}\delta(t) + \left(-\frac{1}{4} + \sigma^{2}\right)A(t) + 2\sigma\frac{dA(t)}{dt} + \frac{d^{2}A(t)}{dt^{2}}$$

$$E_{p}(t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-\pi n^{2}e^{2t}} e^{\frac{t}{2}} e^{-\sigma t} \left[-\frac{1}{4} + \sigma^{2} + 2\sigma(\frac{1}{2} - \sigma - 2\pi n^{2}e^{2t}) + \frac{1}{4} + \sigma^{2} - \sigma + 4\pi^{2}n^{4}e^{4t} - 6\pi n^{2}e^{2t} + 4\sigma\pi n^{2}e^{2t}\right]u(t)$$

$$+ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-\pi n^{2}e^{-2t}} e^{\frac{-t}{2}} e^{-\sigma t} \left[-\frac{1}{4} + \sigma^{2} + 2\sigma(-\frac{1}{2} - \sigma + 2\pi n^{2}e^{-2t}) + \frac{1}{4} + \sigma^{2} + \sigma + 4\pi^{2}n^{4}e^{-4t} - 6\pi n^{2}e^{-2t} - 4\sigma\pi n^{2}e^{-2t}\right]u(-t)$$

$$(E.12)$$

We can simplify above equation as follows.

$$E_p(t) = [E_0(-t)u(-t) + E_0(t)u(t)]e^{-\sigma t}$$

$$E_0(t) = 2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [2\pi^2 n^4 e^{4t} - 3\pi n^2 e^{2t}]e^{-\pi n^2 e^{2t}}e^{\frac{t}{2}}$$
(E.13)

We use the fact that  $E_0(t) = E_0(-t)$  because  $\xi(s) = \xi(1-s)$  and hence  $\xi(\frac{1}{2} + i\omega) = \xi(\frac{1}{2} - i\omega)$  when evaluated at the critical line  $s = \frac{1}{2} + i\omega$ . This means  $\xi(\frac{1}{2} + i\omega) = E_{0\omega}(\omega) = E_{0\omega}(-\omega)$  and  $E_0(t) = E_0(-t)$  and we arrive at the desired result for  $E_p(t)$  as follows.

$$E_0(t) = 2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [2\pi^2 n^4 e^{4t} - 3\pi n^2 e^{2t}] e^{-\pi n^2 e^{2t}} e^{\frac{t}{2}}$$

$$E_p(t) = E_0(t)e^{-\sigma t} = 2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [2\pi^2 n^4 e^{4t} - 3\pi n^2 e^{2t}] e^{-\pi n^2 e^{2t}} e^{\frac{t}{2}} e^{-\sigma t}$$
(E.14)

Appendix E.2. **Derivation of**  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-\pi n^2} (1 - 4\pi n^2) = -\frac{1}{2}$ 

In this section, we derive  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-\pi n^2} (1 - 4\pi n^2) = -\frac{1}{2}$ . We use the fact that  $F(x) = 1 + 2w(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{x}} (1 + 2w(\frac{1}{x}))$ , where  $w(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-\pi n^2 x}$  and x > 0 is real<sup>[4]</sup>, and we take the first derivative of F(x) and evaluate it at x = 1.

$$F(x) = 1 + 2w(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{x}}(1 + 2w(\frac{1}{x}))$$

$$F(x) = 1 + 2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-\pi n^2 x} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{x}}(1 + 2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-\pi n^2 \frac{1}{x}})$$

$$\frac{dF(x)}{dx} = 2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-\pi n^2)e^{-\pi n^2 x} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{x}}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (2\pi n^2)e^{-\pi n^2 \frac{1}{x}}(\frac{1}{x^2}) + (1 + 2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-\pi n^2 \frac{1}{x}})(\frac{-1}{2})\frac{1}{x^{\frac{3}{2}}}$$
(E.15)

We evaluate the above equation at x = 1 and we simplify as follows.

$$\left[\frac{dF(x)}{dx}\right]_{x=1} = 2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-\pi n^2)e^{-\pi n^2} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (2\pi n^2)e^{-\pi n^2} + (1 + 2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-\pi n^2})(\frac{-1}{2})$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-\pi n^2}(1 - 4\pi n^2) = -\frac{1}{2}$$
(E.16)