# On a new method towards proof of Riemann's Hypothesis

#### Akhila Raman

University of California at Berkeley. Email: akhila.raman@berkeley.edu.

#### Abstract

We consider the analytic continuation of Riemann's Zeta Function derived from **Riemann's Xi function**  $\xi(s)$  which is evaluated at  $s = \frac{1}{2} + \sigma + i\omega$ , given by  $\xi(\frac{1}{2} + \sigma + i\omega) = E_{p\omega}(\omega)$ , where  $\sigma, \omega$  are real and  $-\infty \le \omega \le \infty$  and compute its inverse Fourier transform given by  $E_p(t)$ .

We use a new method and show that the Fourier Transform of  $E_p(t)$  given by  $E_{p\omega}(\omega) = \xi(\frac{1}{2} + \sigma + i\omega)$  does not have zeros for finite and real  $\omega$  when  $0 < |\sigma| < \frac{1}{2}$ , corresponding to the critical strip excluding the critical line and prove Riemann's hypothesis.

More importantly, the new method **does not** contradict the existence of non-trivial zeros on the critical line with real part of  $s = \frac{1}{2}$  and **does not** contradict Riemann Hypothesis. It is shown that the new method is **not** applicable to Hurwitz zeta function and related functions and **does not** contradict the existence of their non-trivial zeros away from the critical line.

If the specific solution presented in this paper is incorrect, it is **hoped** that the new method discussed in this paper will lead to a correct solution by other researchers.

Keywords: Riemann, Hypothesis, Zeta, Xi, exponential functions

#### 1. Introduction

It is well known that Riemann's Zeta function given by  $\zeta(s)=\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{m^s}$  converges in the half-plane where the real part of s is greater than 1. Riemann proved that  $\zeta(s)$  has an analytic continuation to the whole s-plane apart from a simple pole at s=1 and that  $\zeta(s)$  satisfies a symmetric functional equation given by  $\xi(s)=\xi(1-s)=\frac{1}{2}s(s-1)\pi^{-\frac{s}{2}}\Gamma(\frac{s}{2})\zeta(s)$  where  $\Gamma(s)=\int_0^\infty e^{-u}u^{s-1}du$  is the Gamma function. [4] [5] We can see that if Riemann's Xi function has a zero in the critical strip, then Riemann's Zeta function also has a zero at the same location. Riemann made his conjecture in his 1859 paper, that all of the non-trivial zeros of  $\zeta(s)$  lie on the critical line with real part of  $s=\frac{1}{2}$ , which is called the Riemann Hypothesis. [1]

Hardy and Littlewood later proved that infinitely many of the zeros of  $\zeta(s)$  are on the critical line with real part of  $s=\frac{1}{2}.^{[2]}$  It is well known that  $\zeta(s)$  does not have non-trivial zeros when real part of  $s=\frac{1}{2}+\sigma+i\omega$ , given by  $\frac{1}{2}+\sigma\geq 1$  and  $\frac{1}{2}+\sigma\leq 0$ . In this paper, **critical strip** 0< Re[s]<1 corresponds to  $0\leq |\sigma|<\frac{1}{2}$ .

In this paper, a **new method** is discussed and a specific solution is presented to prove Riemann's Hypothesis. If the specific solution presented in this paper is incorrect, it is **hoped** that the new method discussed in this paper will lead to a correct solution by other researchers.

In Section 2, we prove Riemann's hypothesis by taking the analytic continuation of Riemann's Zeta Function derived from Riemann's Xi function  $\xi(\frac{1}{2} + \sigma + i\omega) = E_{p\omega}(\omega)$  and compute inverse Fourier transform of  $E_{p\omega}(\omega)$  given by  $E_p(t)$  and show that its Fourier transform  $E_{p\omega}(\omega)$  does not have zeros for finite and real  $\omega$  when  $0 < |\sigma| < \frac{1}{2}$ , corresponding to the critical strip **excluding** the critical line.

In Appendix A to Appendix D, well known results which are used in this paper are re-derived.

We present an **outline** of the new method below.

# 1.1. Step 1: Inverse Fourier Transform of $\xi(\frac{1}{2} + i\omega)$

Let us start with Riemann's Xi Function  $\xi(s)$  evaluated at  $s = \frac{1}{2} + i\omega$  given by  $\xi(\frac{1}{2} + i\omega) = \Xi(\omega) = E_{0\omega}(\omega)$ , where  $-\infty \le \omega \le \infty$ . Its inverse Fourier Transform is given by  $E_0(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} E_{0\omega}(\omega) e^{i\omega t} d\omega$ , where  $\omega, t$  are real, as follows (link).<sup>[3]</sup>

$$E_0(t) = \Phi(t) = 2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[2n^4\pi^2 e^{\frac{9t}{2}} - 3n^2\pi e^{\frac{5t}{2}}\right]e^{-\pi n^2 e^{2t}} = 2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[2\pi^2 n^4 e^{4t} - 3\pi n^2 e^{2t}\right]e^{-\pi n^2 e^{2t}}e^{\frac{t}{2}}$$
(1)

We see that  $E_0(t) = E_0(-t)$  is a real and **even** function of t, given that  $E_{0\omega}(\omega) = E_{0\omega}(-\omega)$  because  $\xi(s) = \xi(1-s)$  and hence  $\xi(\frac{1}{2}+i\omega) = \xi(\frac{1}{2}-i\omega)$  when evaluated at  $s = \frac{1}{2}+i\omega$ .

The inverse Fourier Transform of  $\xi(\frac{1}{2} + \sigma + i\omega) = E_{p\omega}(\omega)$  is given by the real function  $E_p(t)$ . We can write  $E_p(t)$  as follows for  $0 < |\sigma| < \frac{1}{2}$  and this is shown in detail in Appendix A using contour integration.

$$E_p(t) = E_0(t)e^{-\sigma t} = 2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[2\pi^2 n^4 e^{4t} - 3\pi n^2 e^{2t}\right]e^{-\pi n^2 e^{2t}}e^{\frac{t}{2}}e^{-\sigma t}$$
(2)

We can see that  $E_p(t)$  is an analytic function in the interval  $|t| \leq \infty$ , given that the sum and product of exponential functions are analytic in the same interval and hence infinitely differentiable in that interval.

#### 1.2. Step 2: On the zeros of a related function $G(\omega)$

Statement 1: Let us assume that Riemann's Xi function  $\xi(\frac{1}{2} + \sigma + i\omega) = E_{p\omega}(\omega)$  has a zero at  $\omega = \omega_0$  where  $\omega_0$  is real and finite and  $0 < |\sigma| < \frac{1}{2}$ , corresponding to the critical strip excluding the critical line. We will prove that this assumption leads to a **contradiction**.

Let us consider  $0 < \sigma < \frac{1}{2}$  at first. Let us consider a new function  $g(t) = f(t)e^{-\sigma t}u(-t) + f(t)e^{\sigma t}u(t)$ , where  $f(t) = e^{-2\sigma t_0}f_1(t) + e^{2\sigma t_0}f_2(t)$  and  $f_1(t) = e^{\sigma t_0}E_p'(t+t_0)$  and  $f_2(t) = e^{-\sigma t_0}E_p'(t-t_0)$  and  $E_p'(t) = e^{-\sigma t_2}E_p(t-t_2) - e^{\sigma t_2}E_p(t+t_2)$  and  $t_0, t_2$  are real and g(t) is a real function of variable t and u(t) is Heaviside unit step function. We can see that g(t)h(t) = f(t) where  $h(t) = [e^{\sigma t}u(-t) + e^{-\sigma t}u(t)]$ .

In Section 2.1, we will show that the Fourier transform of the **even function**  $g_{even}(t) = \frac{1}{2}[g(t) + g(-t)]$  given by  $G_R(\omega)$  must have **at least one zero** at  $\omega = \omega_z(t_2, t_0) \neq 0$ , for every value of  $t_0$ , to satisfy Statement 1, where  $\omega_z(t_2, t_0)$  is real and finite.

#### 1.3. Step 3: On the zeros of the function $G_R(\omega)$

In Section 2.2, we compute the Fourier transform of the function g(t) and compute its real part given by  $G_R(\omega) = G_R(\omega, t_2, t_0)$  and we can write as follows.

$$G_{R}(\omega, t_{2}, t_{0}) = e^{-2\sigma t_{0}} \int_{-\infty}^{0} \left[ E'_{0}(\tau + t_{0})e^{-2\sigma\tau} + E'_{0n}(\tau - t_{0}) \right] \cos(\omega\tau) d\tau$$
$$+ e^{2\sigma t_{0}} \int_{-\infty}^{0} \left[ E'_{0}(\tau - t_{0})e^{-2\sigma\tau} + E'_{0n}(\tau + t_{0}) \right] \cos(\omega\tau) d\tau$$

(3)

We require  $G_R(\omega, t_2, t_0) = 0$  for  $\omega = \omega_z(t_2, t_0)$  for every value of  $t_0$ , for **each fixed value** of  $t_2$ , to satisfy **Statement 1**. In general  $\omega_z(t_2, t_0) \neq \omega_0$ . Hence we can see that  $P(t_2, t_0) = G_R(\omega_z(t_2, t_0), t_0) = 0$ .

# 1.4. Step 4: Zero Crossing function $\omega_z(t_2,t_0)$ is an even function of variable $t_0$

In Section 2.3, we show the result in Eq. 4 and that  $\omega_z(t_2, t_0) = \omega_z(t_2, -t_0)$ . It is shown that  $P(t_2, t_0) = G_R(\omega_z(t_2, t_0), t_0) = P_{odd}(t_2, t_0) + P_{odd}(t_2, -t_0)$  is an **odd** function of  $t_0$ , for all  $t_0$ , for a given value of  $t_0$  as follows.

$$P_{odd}(t_2, t_0) = \left[\cos\left(\omega_z(t_2, t_0)t_0\right) \int_{-\infty}^{t_0} E_0'(\tau) e^{-2\sigma\tau} \cos\left(\omega_z(t_2, t_0)\tau\right) d\tau + \sin\left(\omega_z(t_2, t_0)t_0\right) \int_{-\infty}^{t_0} E_0'(\tau) e^{-2\sigma\tau} \sin\left(\omega_z(t_2, t_0)\tau\right) d\tau\right] + e^{2\sigma t_0} \left[\cos\left(\omega_z(t_2, t_0)t_0\right) \int_{-\infty}^{t_0} E_{0n}'(\tau) \cos\left(\omega_z(t_2, t_0)\tau\right) d\tau + \sin\left(\omega_z(t_2, t_0)t_0\right) \int_{-\infty}^{t_0} E_{0n}'(\tau) \sin\left(\omega_z(t_2, t_0)\tau\right) d\tau\right]$$

$$(4)$$

#### 1.5. Step 5: Final Step

In Section 3, we set  $t_0 = t_{0c}$  and  $t_2 = t_{2c} = 2t_{0c}$ , such that  $\omega_z(t_{2c}, t_{0c})t_{0c} = \frac{\pi}{2}$  and substitute in the equation for  $P_{odd}(t_2, t_0)$  in Eq. 4 and show that this leads to the result in Eq. 5. We use  $E'_0(t) = E_0(t - t_2) - E_0(t + t_2)$  and  $E'_{0n}(t) = E'_0(-t)$ .

$$\int_0^{t_{0c}} (E_0(\tau - t_{2c}) - E_0(\tau + t_{2c}))(\cosh(2\sigma t_{0c}) - \cosh(2\sigma \tau))\sin(\omega_z(t_{2c}, t_{0c})\tau)d\tau = 0$$
(5)

We show that the **each** of the terms in the integrand in Eq. 5 are **greater than zero**, in the interval  $\tau = [0, t_{0c}]$  where  $t_{0c} > 0$ . For  $\omega_z(t_{2c}, t_{0c})t_{0c} = \frac{\pi}{2}$ , we see that  $\omega_z(t_{2c}, t_{0c})\tau = \frac{\pi}{2t_{0c}}\tau$  lies in the range  $[0, \frac{\pi}{2}]$  and hence  $\sin(\omega_{c1}\tau) > 0$  in that interval  $\tau = [0, t_{0c}]$ .

Hence the result in Eq. 5 leads to a **contradiction** for  $0 < \sigma < \frac{1}{2}$ .

We have shown this result for  $0 < \sigma < \frac{1}{2}$  and then use the property  $\xi(\frac{1}{2} + \sigma + i\omega) = \xi(\frac{1}{2} - \sigma - i\omega)$  to show the result for  $-\frac{1}{2} < \sigma < 0$ . Hence we have produced a **contradiction** of **Statement 1** that the Fourier Transform of the function  $E_p(t) = E_0(t)e^{-\sigma t}$  has a zero at  $\omega = \omega_0$  for  $0 < |\sigma| < \frac{1}{2}$ .

#### 2. An Approach towards Riemann's Hypothesis

**Theorem 1:** Riemann's Xi function  $\xi(\frac{1}{2}+\sigma+i\omega)=E_{p\omega}(\omega)$  does not have zeros for any real value of  $-\infty < \omega < \infty$ , for  $0<|\sigma|<\frac{1}{2}$ , corresponding to the critical strip excluding the critical line, given that  $E_0(t)=E_0(-t)$  is an even function of variable t, where  $E_p(t)=\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}E_{p\omega}(\omega)e^{i\omega t}d\omega$ ,  $E_p(t)=E_0(t)e^{-\sigma t}$  and  $E_0(t)=2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}[2\pi^2n^4e^{4t}-3\pi n^2e^{2t}]e^{-\pi n^2e^{2t}}e^{\frac{t}{2}}$ .

**Proof:** We assume that Riemann Hypothesis is false and prove its truth using proof by contradiction.

**Statement 1**: Let us assume that Riemann's Xi function  $\xi(\frac{1}{2} + \sigma + i\omega) = E_{p\omega}(\omega)$  has a zero at  $\omega = \omega_0$  where  $\omega_0$  is real and finite and  $0 < |\sigma| < \frac{1}{2}$ , corresponding to the critical strip excluding the critical line. We will prove that this assumption leads to a **contradiction**.

We will prove it for  $0 < \sigma < \frac{1}{2}$  first and then use the property  $\xi(\frac{1}{2} + \sigma + i\omega) = \xi(\frac{1}{2} - \sigma - i\omega)$  to show the result for  $-\frac{1}{2} < \sigma < 0$  and hence show the result for  $0 < |\sigma| < \frac{1}{2}$ .

We know that  $\omega_0 \neq 0$ , because  $\zeta(s)$  has no zeros on the real axis between 0 and 1, when  $s = \frac{1}{2} + \sigma + i\omega$  is real,  $\omega = 0$  and  $0 < |\sigma| < \frac{1}{2}$ . [3] This is shown in detail in first two paragraphs in Appendix C.1.

#### 2.1. New function g(t)

Let us consider the function  $E_p'(t) = E_p'(t,t_2) = e^{-\sigma t_2} E_p(t-t_2) - e^{\sigma t_2} E_p(t+t_2) = (E_0(t-t_2) - E_0(t+t_2))e^{-\sigma t} = E_0'(t)e^{-\sigma t}$ , where  $t_2$  is finite and real, and  $E_0'(t) = E_0'(t,t_2) = E_0(t-t_2) - E_0(t+t_2)$ . Its Fourier transform is given by  $E_{p\omega}'(\omega) = E_{p\omega}'(\omega,t_2) = E_{p\omega}(\omega)(e^{-\sigma t_2}e^{-i\omega t_2} - e^{\sigma t_2}e^{i\omega t_2})$  which has a zero at the **same**  $\omega = \omega_0$ .

Let us consider the function  $f(t) = f(t, t_2, t_0) = e^{-2\sigma t_0} f_1(t) + e^{2\sigma t_0} f_2(t)$  where  $f_1(t) = f_1(t, t_2, t_0) = e^{\sigma t_0} E_p'(t + t_0)$  and  $f_2(t) = f_2(t, t_2, t_0) = e^{-\sigma t_0} E_p'(t - t_0)$  where  $t_0$  is finite and real and we can see that the Fourier Transform of this function  $F(\omega) = F(\omega, t_2, t_0) = E_{p\omega}'(\omega)(e^{-\sigma t_0}e^{i\omega t_0} + e^{\sigma t_0}e^{-i\omega t_0})$  also has a zero at the **same**  $\omega = \omega_0$ .

Let us consider a new function  $g(t) = g(t, t_2, t_0) = g_-(t)u(-t) + g_+(t)u(t)$  where g(t) is a real function of variable t and u(t) is Heaviside unit step function and  $g_-(t) = f(t)e^{-\sigma t}$  and  $g_+(t) = f(t)e^{\sigma t}$ . We can see that g(t)h(t) = f(t) where  $h(t) = [e^{\sigma t}u(-t) + e^{-\sigma t}u(t)]$ .

We **note** that we use the **shorthand** notation for the functions  $f(t), g(t), f_1(t), f_2(t), F(\omega)$  and  $G(\omega)$  which are also functions of variables  $t_2, t_0$ . Similarly we use the shorthand notation for the functions  $E'_p(t), E'_0(t)$  and  $E'_{p\omega}(\omega)$  which are also functions of variable  $t_2$ .

We can show that  $E_p(t), E'_p(t), h(t), g(t)$  are real absolutely integrable functions and go to zero as  $t \to \pm \infty$ . Hence their respective Fourier transforms given by  $E_{p\omega}(\omega), E'_{p\omega}(\omega), H(\omega), G(\omega)$  are finite for  $|\omega| \le \infty$  and go to zero as  $|\omega| \to \infty$ , as per Riemann Lebesgue Lemma (link). This is shown in detail in Appendix C.1.

If we take the Fourier transform of the equation g(t)h(t) = f(t) where  $h(t) = [e^{\sigma t}u(-t) + e^{-\sigma t}u(t)]$ , we get  $\frac{1}{2\pi}[G(\omega)*H(\omega)] = F(\omega) = E'_{p\omega}(\omega)(e^{-\sigma t_0}e^{i\omega t_0} + e^{\sigma t_0}e^{-i\omega t_0}) = F_R(\omega) + iF_I(\omega)$  as per convolution theorem (link), where \* denotes convolution operation given by  $F(\omega) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} G(\omega')H(\omega-\omega')d\omega'$  and  $H(\omega) = H_R(\omega) = [\frac{1}{\sigma-i\omega} + \frac{1}{\sigma+i\omega}] = \frac{2\sigma}{(\sigma^2+\omega^2)}$  is real and is the Fourier transform of the function h(t) and  $G(\omega) = G_R(\omega) + iG_I(\omega)$  is the Fourier transform of the function g(t). This is shown in detail in Appendix B.1.

For every value of  $t_0$ , we require the Fourier transform of the function f(t) given by  $F(\omega)$  to have a zero at  $\omega = \omega_0$ . This implies that the Fourier transform of the even function g(t) given by  $G(\omega) = G_R(\omega)$  must have at least one real zero at  $\omega = \omega_z(t_0)$  for every value of  $t_0$ . Because  $H(\omega) = \frac{2\sigma}{(\sigma^2 + \omega^2)}$  does not have real zeros, if  $G_R(\omega)$  does not have real zeros, then  $F_R(\omega) = G_R(\omega) * H_R(\omega)$  obtained by the convolution of  $H_R(\omega)$  and  $G_R(\omega)$ , cannot possibly have real zeros, which goes against **Statement 1**.

We can write  $g(t) = g_{even}(t) + g_{odd}(t)$  where  $g_{even}(t)$  is an even function and  $g_{odd}(t)$  is an odd function of variable t. If Statement 1 is true, then the **real** part of the Fourier transform of the **even function**  $g_{even}(t) = \frac{1}{2}[g(t) + g(-t)]$  given by  $G_R(\omega)$  must have **at least one zero** at  $\omega = \omega_z(t_2, t_0) \neq 0$  where  $\omega_z(t_0)$  is real and finite and can be different from  $\omega_0$  in general. We call this **Statement 2**.

Because  $H(\omega) = \frac{2\sigma}{(\sigma^2 + \omega^2)}$  is real and does not have zeros for any finite value of  $\omega$ , if  $G_R(\omega)$  does not have at least one zero for some  $\omega = \omega_z(t_2, t_0) \neq 0$ , then the **real part** of  $F(\omega)$  given by  $F_R(\omega) = \frac{1}{2\pi}[G_R(\omega) * H(\omega)]$ , obtained by the convolution of  $H(\omega)$  and  $G_R(\omega)$ , cannot possibly have zeros for any non-zero finite value of  $\omega$ , which goes against **Statement 1**. This is shown in detail in Lemma 1.

Lemma 1: If Riemann's Xi function  $\xi(\frac{1}{2} + \sigma + i\omega) = E_{p\omega}(\omega)$  has a zero at  $\omega = \omega_0 \neq 0$  where  $\omega_0$  is real and finite, then the **real** part of the Fourier transform of the **even function**  $g_{even}(t) = \frac{1}{2}[g(t) + g(-t)]$  given by  $G_R(\omega)$  must have **at least one zero** at  $\omega = \omega_z(t_2, t_0) \neq 0$  for **every value** of  $t_0$ , where  $\omega_z(t_0)$  is real and finite, where  $g(t)h(t) = f(t) = e^{-2\sigma t_0}f_1(t) + e^{2\sigma t_0}f_2(t)$  where  $f_1(t) = e^{\sigma t_0}E'_p(t+t_0)$  and  $f_2(t) = e^{-\sigma t_0}E'_p(t-t_0)$ ,  $E'_p(t) = e^{-\sigma t_2}E_p(t-t_2) - e^{\sigma t_2}E_p(t+t_2)$ , and  $h(t) = e^{\sigma t}u(-t) + e^{-\sigma t}u(t)$  and  $0 < \sigma < \frac{1}{2}$ .

**Proof**: If  $E_{p\omega}(\omega)$  has a zero at finite  $\omega = \omega_0 \neq 0$  to satisfy Statement 1, then  $F(\omega) = E'_{p\omega}(\omega)(e^{-\sigma t_0}e^{i\omega t_0} + e^{\sigma t_0}e^{-i\omega t_0}) = E_{p\omega}(\omega)(e^{-\sigma t_2}e^{-i\omega t_2} - e^{\sigma t_2}e^{i\omega t_2})(e^{-\sigma t_0}e^{i\omega t_0} + e^{\sigma t_0}e^{-i\omega t_0})$  also has a zero at  $\omega = \omega_0$  and its real part given

by  $F_R(\omega)$  also has a zero at the same location  $\omega = \omega_0 \neq 0$ .

Let us consider the case where  $G_R(\omega)$  does not have at least one zero for finite  $\omega = \omega_z(t_0) \neq 0$  and show that  $F_R(\omega)$  does not have at least one zero at finite  $\omega \neq 0$  for this case, which **contradicts** Statement 1. Given that  $H(\omega)$  is real, we can write the convolution theorem only for the real parts as follows.

$$F_R(\omega) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} G_R(\omega') H(\omega - \omega') d\omega'$$
 (6)

We can show that the above integral converges for all  $|\omega| \leq \infty$ , given that  $G(\omega)$  and  $H(\omega)$  have fall-off rate of  $\frac{1}{\omega^2}$  as  $|\omega| \to \infty$  because the first derivatives of g(t) and h(t) are discontinuous at t = 0. (Appendix C.2)

We substitute  $H(\omega) = \frac{2\sigma}{(\sigma^2 + \omega^2)}$  in Eq. 6 and we get

$$F_R(\omega) = -\frac{\sigma}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} G_R(\omega') \frac{1}{(\sigma^2 + (\omega - \omega')^2)} d\omega'$$
 (7)

We can split the integral in Eq. 7 as follows.

$$F_R(\omega) = \frac{\sigma}{\pi} \left[ \int_{-\infty}^0 G_R(\omega') \frac{1}{(\sigma^2 + (\omega - \omega')^2)} d\omega' + \int_0^\infty G_R(\omega') \frac{1}{(\sigma^2 + (\omega - \omega')^2)} d\omega' \right]$$
(8)

We see that  $G_R(-\omega) = G_R(\omega)$  because g(t) is a real function (Appendix B.2). We can substitute  $\omega' = -\omega''$  in the first integral in Eq. 8 and substituting  $\omega'' = \omega'$  in the result, we can write as follows.

$$F_R(\omega) = \frac{\sigma}{\pi} \int_0^\infty G_R(\omega') \left[ \frac{1}{(\sigma^2 + (\omega - \omega')^2)} + \frac{1}{(\sigma^2 + (\omega + \omega')^2)} \right] d\omega'$$
(9)

In Appendix C.1 last paragraph, it is shown that  $G(\omega)$  is finite for  $|\omega| \leq \infty$  and goes to zero as  $|\omega| \to \infty$ . We can see that for  $\omega' = 0$  and  $\omega' = \infty$ , the integrand in Eq. 9 is zero. For finite  $\omega > 0$ , and  $0 < \omega' < \infty$ , we can see that the term  $\frac{1}{(\sigma^2 + (\omega - \omega')^2)} + \frac{1}{(\sigma^2 + (\omega + \omega')^2)} > 0$ .

• Case 1:  $G_R(\omega') > 0$  for all finite  $\omega' > 0$ 

We see that  $F_R(\omega) > 0$  for all finite  $\omega > 0$ . We see that  $F_R(-\omega) = F_R(\omega)$  because f(t) is a real function (Appendix B.2). Hence  $F_R(\omega) > 0$  for all finite  $\omega < 0$ .

This **contradicts** Statement 1 which requires  $F_R(\omega)$  to have at least one zero at finite  $\omega \neq 0$  because we showed that  $\omega_0 \neq 0$  in **Section 2** paragraph 5. Therefore  $G_R(\omega')$  must have **at least one zero** at  $\omega' = \omega_z(t_2, t_0) \neq 0$ , where  $\omega_z(t_2, t_0)$  is real and finite.

• Case 2:  $G_R(\omega') < 0$  for all finite  $\omega' > 0$ 

We see that  $F_R(\omega) < 0$  for all finite  $\omega > 0$ . We see that  $F_R(-\omega) = F_R(\omega)$  because f(t) is a real function (Appendix B.2). Hence  $F_R(\omega) < 0$  for all finite  $\omega < 0$ .

This **contradicts** Statement 1 which requires  $F_R(\omega)$  to have at least one zero at finite  $\omega \neq 0$ . Therefore  $G_R(\omega')$  must have at least one zero at  $\omega' = \omega_z(t_2, t_0) \neq 0$ , where  $\omega_z(t_2, t_0)$  is real and finite.

We have shown that,  $G_R(\omega)$  must have at least one zero at finite  $\omega = \omega_z(t_2, t_0) \neq 0$  to satisfy **Statement 1**. We call this **Statement 2**.

#### 2.2. On the zeros of a related function $G(\omega)$

We can compute the fourier transform of the function  $g_{even}(t) = \frac{1}{2}[g(t) + g(-t)]$  given by  $G_R(\omega)$ . We require  $G_R(\omega) = 0$  for  $\omega = \omega_z(t_2, t_0)$  for **every value** of  $t_0$ , for a given value of  $t_2$ , to satisfy **Statement 1**. In general,  $\omega_z(t_2, t_0) \neq \omega_0$ .

First we compute the Fourier transform of the function  $g_1(t)$  given by  $G_1(\omega) = G_{1R}(\omega) + iG_{1I}(\omega)$ . We use  $g_1(t) = f_1(t)e^{-\sigma t}u(-t) + f_1(t)e^{\sigma t}u(t) = e^{\sigma t_0}E_p'(t+t_0)e^{-\sigma t}u(-t) + e^{\sigma t_0}E_p'(t+t_0)e^{\sigma t}u(t)$ .

We **note** that we use the **shorthand** notation for the functions f(t), g(t),  $f_1(t)$ ,  $f_2(t)$ ,  $g_1(t)$ ,  $G(\omega)$  and  $G_1(\omega)$  which are also functions of variables  $t_2$ ,  $t_0$ . Similarly we use the shorthand notation for the functions  $E'_p(t)$ ,  $E'_0(t)$  and  $E'_{0n}(t)$  which are also functions of variable  $t_2$ .

$$G_{1}(\omega) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g_{1}(t)e^{-i\omega t}dt = \int_{-\infty}^{0} g_{1}(t)e^{-i\omega t}dt + \int_{0}^{\infty} g_{1}(t)e^{-i\omega t}dt$$

$$G_{1}(\omega) = \int_{-\infty}^{0} e^{\sigma t_{0}}E'_{p}(t+t_{0})e^{-\sigma t}e^{-i\omega t}dt + \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{\sigma t_{0}}E'_{p}(t+t_{0})e^{\sigma t}e^{-i\omega t}dt$$
(10)

We use  $E_p'(t) = E_0'(t)e^{-\sigma t}$  where  $E_0'(t) = E_0(t-t_2) - E_0(t+t_2)$  and  $E_p'(t+t_0) = E_0'(t+t_0)e^{-\sigma t}e^{-\sigma t_0}$ . Substituting t = -t in the second integral in Eq. 10, we have

$$G_{1}(\omega) = \int_{-\infty}^{0} E'_{0}(t+t_{0})e^{-2\sigma t}e^{-i\omega t}dt + \int_{0}^{\infty} E'_{0}(t+t_{0})e^{-i\omega t}dt$$

$$G_{1}(\omega) = \int_{-\infty}^{0} E'_{0}(t+t_{0})e^{-2\sigma t}e^{-i\omega t}dt + \int_{-\infty}^{0} E'_{0}(-t+t_{0})e^{i\omega t}dt$$
(11)

We define  $E'_{0n}(t) = E'_{0}(-t)$  and get  $E'_{0}(-t+t_{0}) = E'_{0n}(t-t_{0})$  and write Eq. 11 as follows.

$$G_{1}(\omega) = \int_{-\infty}^{0} E_{0}'(t+t_{0})e^{-2\sigma t}e^{-i\omega t}dt + \int_{-\infty}^{0} E_{0n}'(t-t_{0})e^{i\omega t}dt = G_{R}(\omega) + iG_{I}(\omega)$$
(12)

The above equations can be expanded as follows using the identity  $e^{i\omega t} = \cos(\omega t) + i\sin(\omega t)$ . Comparing the **real** parts of  $G(\omega)$ , we have

$$G_{1R}(\omega) = G_{1R}(\omega, t_0) = \int_{-\infty}^{0} E_0'(t + t_0)e^{-2\sigma t} \cos(\omega t)dt + \int_{-\infty}^{0} E_{0n}'(t - t_0) \cos(\omega t)dt$$
(13)

# 2.3. Zero crossing function $\omega_z(t_2,t_0)$ is an even function of variable $t_0$

Now we consider the function  $f(t) = e^{-2\sigma t_0} f_1(t) + e^{2\sigma t_0} f_2(t) = e^{-\sigma t_0} E_p'(t+t_0) + e^{\sigma t_0} E_p'(t-t_0)$  where  $f_1(t) = e^{\sigma t_0} E_p'(t+t_0)$  and  $f_2(t) = f_1(t, -t_0) = e^{-\sigma t_0} E_p'(t-t_0)$  and g(t)h(t) = f(t) where  $g(t) = f(t)e^{-\sigma t}u(-t) + f(t)e^{\sigma t}u(t)$  and  $h(t) = [e^{\sigma t}u(-t) + e^{-\sigma t}u(t)]$  and compute the Fourier transform of the function g(t) and compute its real part using the procedure in above section, similar to Eq. 13 and we can write as follows. We substitute  $t = \tau$ .

$$G_{R}(\omega, t_{0}) = e^{-2\sigma t_{0}} G_{1R}(\omega, t_{0}) + e^{2\sigma t_{0}} G_{1R}(\omega, -t_{0})$$

$$G_{1R}(\omega, t_{0}) = \int_{-\infty}^{0} \left[ E_{0}'(\tau + t_{0})e^{-2\sigma\tau} + E_{0n}'(\tau - t_{0}) \right] \cos(\omega\tau) d\tau$$

$$G_{R}(\omega, t_{0}) = e^{-2\sigma t_{0}} \int_{-\infty}^{0} \left[ E_{0}'(\tau + t_{0})e^{-2\sigma\tau} + E_{0n}'(\tau - t_{0}) \right] \cos(\omega\tau) d\tau$$

$$+ e^{2\sigma t_{0}} \int_{-\infty}^{0} \left[ E_{0}'(\tau - t_{0})e^{-2\sigma\tau} + E_{0n}'(\tau + t_{0}) \right] \cos(\omega\tau) d\tau$$

$$(14)$$

We require  $G_R(\omega, t_0) = 0$  for  $\omega = \omega_z(t_2, t_0)$  for every value of  $t_0$ , for **every given fixed value** of  $t_2$ , to satisfy **Statement 1**. In general  $\omega_z(t_2, t_0) \neq \omega_0$ . Hence we can see that  $P(t_2, t_0) = G_R(\omega_z(t_2, t_0), t_0) = 0$  and we can rearrange the terms as follows.

$$P(t_{2}, t_{0}) = \int_{-\infty}^{0} \left[e^{-2\sigma t_{0}} E_{0}'(\tau + t_{0}) e^{-2\sigma \tau} + e^{2\sigma t_{0}} E_{0n}'(\tau + t_{0})\right] \cos(\omega_{z}(t_{2}, t_{0})\tau) d\tau$$

$$+ \int_{-\infty}^{0} \left[e^{2\sigma t_{0}} E_{0}'(\tau - t_{0}) e^{-2\sigma \tau} + e^{-2\sigma t_{0}} E_{0n}'(\tau - t_{0})\right] \cos(\omega_{z}(t_{2}, t_{0})\tau) d\tau = 0$$

$$(15)$$

We can write as follows, where  $P_{odd}(t_2, t_0)$  is an **odd** function of variable  $t_0$ .

$$P(t_2, t_0) = P_{odd}(t_2, t_0) + P_{odd}(t_2, -t_0) = 0$$

$$P_{odd}(t_2, t_0) = \int_{-\infty}^{0} \left[ e^{-2\sigma t_0} E_0'(\tau + t_0) e^{-2\sigma \tau} + e^{2\sigma t_0} E_{0n}'(\tau + t_0) \right] \cos(\omega_z(t_2, t_0) \tau) d\tau$$
(16)

We see that  $f(t,t_0) = e^{-\sigma t_0} E_p'(t+t_0) + e^{\sigma t_0} E_p'(t-t_0) = f(t,-t_0)$  is **unchanged** by the substitution  $t_0 = -t_0$  and hence  $\omega_z(t_2,t_0)$  is an **even** function of variable  $t_0$ , for **every fixed value** of  $t_2$ .

## 3. Final Step

We expand  $P_{odd}(t_2,t_0)$  in Eq. 16 as follows, using the substitution  $\tau+t_0=\tau'$  and substituting back  $\tau'=\tau$ . We use  $E_{0n}'(\tau)=E_0'(-\tau)$  and  $E_0'(\tau)=E_0(\tau-t_2)-E_0(\tau+t_2)$ .

We **note** that we use the **shorthand** notation for the functions  $E'_0(t)$  and  $E'_{0n}(t)$  which are also functions of variable  $t_2$ .

$$P_{odd}(t_{2}, t_{0}) = \left[\cos\left(\omega_{z}(t_{2}, t_{0})t_{0}\right) \int_{-\infty}^{t_{0}} E_{0}'(\tau)e^{-2\sigma\tau} \cos\left(\omega_{z}(t_{2}, t_{0})\tau\right)d\tau + \sin\left(\omega_{z}(t_{2}, t_{0})t_{0}\right) \int_{-\infty}^{t_{0}} E_{0}'(\tau)e^{-2\sigma\tau} \sin\left(\omega_{z}(t_{2}, t_{0})\tau\right)d\tau\right] + e^{2\sigma t_{0}}\left[\cos\left(\omega_{z}(t_{2}, t_{0})t_{0}\right) \int_{-\infty}^{t_{0}} E_{0n}'(\tau) \cos\left(\omega_{z}(t_{2}, t_{0})\tau\right)d\tau + \sin\left(\omega_{z}(t_{2}, t_{0})t_{0}\right) \int_{-\infty}^{t_{0}} E_{0n}'(\tau) \sin\left(\omega_{z}(t_{2}, t_{0})\tau\right)d\tau\right]$$

$$(17)$$

In Section 2.1,  $\omega_z(t_2, t_0)$  is shown to be **finite** for all  $|t_0| < \infty$ , for a given value of  $t_2$ . This means there are **no** Dirac delta functions present in  $\omega_z(t_2, t_0)$ .

In Section 5, it is shown that  $\omega_z(t_2, t_0)$  is a **continuous** function of variable  $t_0$  for all  $|t_0| < \infty$ , for **every given** fixed value of  $t_2$ .

In Section 4, it is shown that  $E_0(t)$  is **strictly decreasing** for t > 0.

Given  $\omega_z(t_2, t_0)$  is a continuous function of both  $t_0$  and  $t_2$ , we can find a suitable value of  $t_0 = t_{0c}$  and  $t_2 = t_{2c} = 2t_{0c}$  such that  $\omega_z(t_{2c}, t_{0c})t_{0c} = \frac{\pi}{2}$ . Given that  $\omega_z(t_2, t_0)$  is a continuous function of  $t_0$ , for every value of  $t_2$ , and  $t_0$  is a continuous function, we see that the **product** of two continuous functions  $\omega_z(t_2, t_0)t_0$  is a **continuous** function as well. Given that  $0 < \omega_z(t_2, t_0) < \infty$ , we see that  $\omega_z(t_2, t_0)t_0$  will **certainly pass through**  $\pi$ , as  $t_0$  is increased from zero to  $\infty$ .

We use  $P_{odd}(t_2, t_0) + P_{odd}(t_2, -t_0) = 0$  as follows. We set  $t_0 = t_{0c}$  and  $t_2 = t_{2c} = 2t_{0c}$  such that  $\omega_z(t_{2c}, t_{0c})t_{0c} = \frac{\pi}{2}$  in Eq. 17 as follows. We use the fact that  $\omega_z(t_{2c}, -t_{0c}) = \omega_z(t_{2c}, t_{0c})$  shown in Section 2.3.

$$\int_{-\infty}^{t_{0c}} E'_{0}(\tau) e^{-2\sigma\tau} \sin(\omega_{z}(t_{2c}, t_{0c})\tau) d\tau + e^{2\sigma t_{0c}} \int_{-\infty}^{t_{0c}} E'_{0n}(\tau) \sin(\omega_{z}(t_{2c}, t_{0c})\tau) d\tau 
- \int_{-\infty}^{-t_{0c}} E'_{0}(\tau) e^{-2\sigma\tau} \sin(\omega_{z}(t_{2c}, t_{0c})\tau) d\tau - e^{-2\sigma t_{0c}} \int_{-\infty}^{-t_{0c}} E'_{0n}(\tau) \sin(\omega_{z}(t_{2c}, t_{0c})\tau) d\tau = 0$$
(18)

We split the integral in the left hand side of Eq. 18 and write as follows.

$$\left[\int_{-\infty}^{-t_{0c}} E'_{0}(\tau)e^{-2\sigma\tau} \sin\left(\omega_{z}(t_{2c}, t_{0c})\tau\right)d\tau + \int_{-t_{0c}}^{t_{0c}} E'_{0}(\tau)e^{-2\sigma\tau} \sin\left(\omega_{z}(t_{2c}, t_{0c})\tau\right)d\tau\right] \\
+ e^{2\sigma t_{0c}} \left[\int_{-\infty}^{-t_{0c}} E'_{0n}(\tau) \sin\left(\omega_{z}(t_{2c}, t_{0c})\tau\right)d\tau + \int_{-t_{0c}}^{t_{0c}} E'_{0n}(\tau) \sin\left(\omega_{z}(t_{2c}, t_{0c})\tau\right)d\tau\right] \\
- \int_{-\infty}^{-t_{0c}} E'_{0}(\tau)e^{-2\sigma\tau} \sin\left(\omega_{z}(t_{2c}, t_{0c})\tau\right)d\tau - e^{-2\sigma t_{0c}} \int_{-\infty}^{-t_{0c}} E'_{0n}(\tau) \sin\left(\omega_{z}(t_{2c}, t_{0c})\tau\right)d\tau = 0$$
(19)

We combine the terms with common integrals and cancel common terms in Eq. 19 as follows.

$$\int_{-t_{0c}}^{t_{0c}} E'_{0}(\tau) e^{-2\sigma\tau} \sin\left(\omega_{z}(t_{2c}, t_{0c})\tau\right) d\tau + e^{2\sigma t_{0c}} \int_{-t_{0c}}^{t_{0c}} E'_{0n}(\tau) \sin\left(\omega_{z}(t_{2c}, t_{0c})\tau\right) d\tau 
= -2 \sinh\left(2\sigma t_{0c}\right) \int_{-\infty}^{-t_{0c}} E'_{0n}(\tau) \sin\left(\omega_{z}(t_{2c}, t_{0c})\tau\right) d\tau$$
(20)

We can rearrange the terms in Eq. 20 as follows.

$$\int_{-t_{0c}}^{t_{0c}} \left[ E_0'(\tau) e^{-2\sigma\tau} + E_{0n}'(\tau) e^{2\sigma t_{0c}} \right] \sin(\omega_z(t_{2c}, t_{0c})\tau) d\tau 
= -2 \sinh(2\sigma t_{0c}) \int_{-\infty}^{-t_{0c}} E_{0n}'(\tau) \sin(\omega_z(t_{2c}, t_{0c})\tau) d\tau$$
(21)

We denote the right hand side of Eq. 21 as RHS. We can split the integral in Eq. 21 using  $\int_{-t_{0c}}^{t_{0c}} = \int_{-t_{0c}}^{0} + \int_{0}^{t_{0c}}$  as follows.

$$\int_{-t_{0c}}^{0} \left[ E_{0}'(\tau) e^{-2\sigma\tau} + E_{0n}'(\tau) e^{2\sigma t_{0c}} \right] \sin\left(\omega_{z}(t_{2c}, t_{0c})\tau\right) d\tau 
+ \int_{0}^{t_{0c}} \left[ E_{0}'(\tau) e^{-2\sigma\tau} + E_{0n}'(\tau) e^{2\sigma t_{0c}} \right] \sin\left(\omega_{z}(t_{2c}, t_{0c})\tau\right) d\tau = RHS$$
(22)

We substitute  $\tau = -\tau$  in the first integral in Eq. 22 as follows. We use  $E_0'(-\tau) = E_{0n}'(\tau)$  and  $E_{0n}'(-\tau) = E_0'(\tau)$ .

$$\int_{t_{0c}}^{0} \left[ E'_{0n}(\tau) e^{2\sigma\tau} + E'_{0}(\tau) e^{2\sigma t_{0c}} \right] \sin\left(\omega_{z}(t_{2c}, t_{0c})\tau\right) d\tau 
+ \int_{0}^{t_{0c}} \left[ E'_{0}(\tau) e^{-2\sigma\tau} + E'_{0n}(\tau) e^{2\sigma t_{0c}} \right] \sin\left(\omega_{z}(t_{2c}, t_{0c})\tau\right) d\tau = RHS$$
(23)

Given that  $\int_{t_{0c}}^{0} = -\int_{0}^{t_{0c}}$ , we can simplify as follows.

$$\int_{0}^{t_{0c}} \left[ E_{0}'(\tau) (e^{-2\sigma\tau} - e^{2\sigma t_{0c}}) + E_{0n}'(\tau) (-e^{2\sigma\tau} + e^{2\sigma t_{0c}}) \right] \sin(\omega_{z}(t_{2c}, t_{0c})\tau) d\tau = RHS$$
(24)

We substitute  $\tau = -\tau$  in the right hand side of Eq. 21 as follows. We use  $E'_{0n}(-\tau) = E'_{0n}(\tau)$ .

$$RHS = 2\sinh(2\sigma t_{0c}) \int_{t_{0c}}^{\infty} E'_{0}(\tau) \sin(\omega_{z}(t_{2c}, t_{0c})\tau) d\tau$$
(25)

We split the integral on the right hand side in Eq. 25 as follows.

$$RHS = 2\sinh(2\sigma t_{0c})\left[\int_{0}^{\infty} E_{0}^{'}(\tau)\sin(\omega_{z}(t_{2c}, t_{0c})\tau)d\tau - \int_{0}^{t_{0c}} E_{0}^{'}(\tau)\sin(\omega_{z}(t_{2c}, t_{0c})\tau)d\tau\right]$$
(26)

We consolidate the integrals with the term  $\int_0^{t_{0c}} E_0'(\tau)$  in Eq. 24 and Eq. 26 as follows. We use  $2\sinh(2\sigma t_{0c}) = e^{2\sigma t_{0c}} - e^{-2\sigma t_{0c}}$ .

$$\int_{0}^{t_{0c}} \left[ E_{0}'(\tau) (e^{-2\sigma\tau} - e^{2\sigma t_{0c}} + e^{2\sigma t_{0c}} - e^{-2\sigma t_{0c}}) + E_{0n}'(\tau) (-e^{2\sigma\tau} + e^{2\sigma t_{0c}}) \right] \sin(\omega_{z}(t_{2c}, t_{0c})\tau) d\tau$$

$$= 2 \sinh(2\sigma t_{0c}) \int_{0}^{\infty} E_{0}'(\tau) \sin(\omega_{z}(t_{2c}, t_{0c})\tau) d\tau$$
(27)

We cancel common terms in Eq. 27 as follows.

$$\int_{0}^{t_{0c}} [E'_{0}(\tau)(e^{-2\sigma\tau} - e^{-2\sigma t_{0c}}) + E'_{0n}(\tau)(-e^{2\sigma\tau} + e^{2\sigma t_{0c}})] \sin(\omega_{z}(t_{2c}, t_{0c})\tau) d\tau$$

$$= 2 \sinh(2\sigma t_{0c}) \int_{0}^{\infty} E'_{0}(\tau) \sin(\omega_{z}(t_{2c}, t_{0c})\tau) d\tau$$

We substitute  $E_0'(\tau) = E_0(\tau - t_{2c}) - E_0(\tau + t_{2c})$  and  $E_{0n}'(\tau) = E_0'(-\tau) = E_0(-\tau - t_{2c}) - E_0(-\tau + t_{2c})$ . We see that  $E_0(-\tau - t_{2c}) = E_0(\tau + t_{2c})$  and  $E_0(-\tau + t_{2c}) = E_0(\tau - t_{2c})$  given that  $E_0(\tau) = E_0(-\tau)$ . Hence we see that  $E_{0n}'(\tau) = E_0(\tau + t_{2c}) - E_0(\tau - t_{2c}) = -E_0'(\tau)$ . We can write Eq. 28 as follows.

$$\int_{0}^{t_{0c}} (E_{0}(\tau - t_{2c}) - E_{0}(\tau + t_{2c}))(e^{-2\sigma\tau} - e^{-2\sigma t_{0c}} + e^{2\sigma\tau} - e^{2\sigma t_{0c}}) \sin(\omega_{z}(t_{2c}, t_{0c})\tau) d\tau$$

$$= 2 \sinh(2\sigma t_{0c}) \int_{0}^{\infty} (E_{0}(\tau - t_{2c}) - E_{0}(\tau + t_{2c})) \sin(\omega_{z}(t_{2c}, t_{0c})\tau) d\tau$$
(29)

We substitute  $2\cosh(2\sigma\tau) = e^{2\sigma\tau} + e^{-2\sigma\tau}$  and  $2\cosh(2\sigma t_{0c}) = e^{2\sigma t_{0c}} + e^{-2\sigma t_{0c}}$  and cancel the common factor of 2 in Eq. 29 as follows.

$$\int_{0}^{t_{0c}} (E_{0}(\tau - t_{2c}) - E_{0}(\tau + t_{2c}))(\cosh(2\sigma\tau) - \cosh(2\sigma t_{0c})\sin(\omega_{z}(t_{2c}, t_{0c})\tau)d\tau 
= \sinh(2\sigma t_{0c}) \int_{0}^{\infty} (E_{0}(\tau - t_{2c}) - E_{0}(\tau + t_{2c}))\sin(\omega_{z}(t_{2c}, t_{0c})\tau)d\tau$$
(30)

#### Next Step:

We substitute  $\tau + t_{2c} = \tau'$  in the right hand side of Eq. 30 and then substitute  $\tau' = \tau$ . Similarly we substitute  $\tau - t_{2c} = \tau'$  as follows.

$$RHS = \sinh(2\sigma t_{0c}) \left[\cos(\omega_{z}(t_{2c}, t_{0c}))t_{2c}\right] \int_{-t_{2c}}^{\infty} E_{0}(\tau) \sin(\omega_{z}(t_{2c}, t_{0c})\tau) d\tau + \sin(\omega_{z}(t_{2c}, t_{0c})t_{2c}) \int_{-t_{2c}}^{\infty} E_{0}(\tau) \cos(\omega_{z}(t_{2c}, t_{0c})\tau) d\tau - \cos(\omega_{z}(t_{2c}, t_{0c}))t_{2c}\right] \int_{t_{2c}}^{\infty} E_{0}(\tau) \sin(\omega_{z}(t_{2c}, t_{0c})\tau) d\tau + \sin(\omega_{z}(t_{2c}, t_{0c})t_{2c}) \int_{t_{2c}}^{\infty} E_{0}(\tau) \cos(\omega_{z}(t_{2c}, t_{0c})\tau) d\tau$$

$$(31)$$

In Eq. 31, given that  $\omega_z(t_{2c}, t_{0c})t_{0c} = \frac{\pi}{2}$  and  $t_{2c} = 2t_{0c}$  and hence  $\omega_z(t_{2c}, t_{0c})t_{2c} = 2\frac{\pi}{2} = \pi$  and  $\sin(\omega_z(t_{2c}, t_{0c})t_{2c}) = 0$  and  $\cos(\omega_z(t_{2c}, t_{0c})t_{2c}) = -1$ . Hence we cancel common terms and write Eq. 31 and Eq. 30 as follows.

$$\int_{0}^{t_{0c}} (E_{0}(\tau - t_{2c}) - E_{0}(\tau + t_{2c}))(\cosh(2\sigma\tau) - \cosh(2\sigma t_{0c})\sin(\omega_{z}(t_{2c}, t_{0c})\tau)d\tau$$

$$= -\sinh(2\sigma t_{0c})\left[\int_{-t_{2c}}^{\infty} E_{0}(\tau)\sin(\omega_{z}(t_{2c}, t_{0c})\tau)d\tau - \int_{t_{2c}}^{\infty} E_{0}(\tau)\sin(\omega_{z}(t_{2c}, t_{0c})\tau)d\tau\right]$$
(32)

We use  $\int_{-t_{2c}}^{\infty} E_0(\tau) \sin(\omega_z(t_{2c}, t_{0c})\tau) d\tau = \int_{-t_{2c}}^{t_{2c}} E_0(\tau) \sin(\omega_z(t_{2c}, t_{0c})\tau) d\tau + \int_{t_{2c}}^{\infty} E_0(\tau) \sin(\omega_z(t_{2c}, t_{0c})\tau) d\tau$  and cancel the common term  $\int_{t_{2c}}^{\infty} E_0(\tau) \sin(\omega_z(t_{2c}, t_{0c})\tau) d\tau$  in Eq. 32 as follows. Given that  $E_0(\tau) \sin(\omega_z(t_{2c}, t_{0c})\tau)$  is an **odd** function of variable  $\tau$ , we get  $\int_{-t_{2c}}^{t_{2c}} E_0(\tau) \sin(\omega_z(t_{2c}, t_{0c})\tau) d\tau = 0$ .

$$\int_0^{t_{0c}} (E_0(\tau - t_{2c}) - E_0(\tau + t_{2c}))(\cosh(2\sigma\tau) - \cosh(2\sigma t_{0c})\sin(\omega_z(t_{2c}, t_{0c})\tau)d\tau = 0$$

We can multiply Eq. 33 by a factor of -1 as follows.

$$\int_0^{t_{0c}} [E_0(\tau - t_{2c}) - E_0(\tau + t_{2c})] (\cosh 2\sigma t_{0c} - \cosh (2\sigma \tau) \sin (\omega_z(t_{2c}, t_{0c})\tau) d\tau = 0$$
(34)

In Eq. 34, given that  $\omega_z(t_{2c}, t_{0c})t_{0c} = \frac{\pi}{2}$ , as  $\tau$  varies over the interval  $[0, t_{0c}]$ ,  $\omega_z(t_{2c}, t_{0c})\tau = \frac{\pi\tau}{2t_{0c}}$  varies from  $[0, \frac{\pi}{2}]$  and hence the sinusoidal function varies over a **half cycle** and is > 0, in the interval  $0 < \tau < t_{0c}$ , for  $t_{0c} > 0$ .

In Eq. 34, we see that in the interval  $0 < \tau < t_{0c}$ , the integral on the left hand side is > 0 for  $t_{0c} > 0$ , because each of the terms in the integrand are > 0, in the interval  $0 < \tau < t_{0c}$  as follows. Given that  $E_0(t)$  is a **strictly decreasing** function for  $t \ge \frac{1}{8}$ , we see that  $E_0(\tau - t_{2c}) - E_0(\tau + t_{2c})$  is > 0 (Section 4.7). The term  $(\cosh(2\sigma t_{0c}) - \cosh(2\sigma \tau))$  is > 0 in the interval  $0 < \tau < t_{0c}$  and the integrand is zero at  $\tau = 0$  and  $\tau = t_{0c}$  and hence the integral **cannot** equal zero, as required by the right hand side of Eq. 34. Hence this leads to a **contradiction** for  $0 < \sigma < \frac{1}{2}$ .

For  $\sigma = 0$ , both sides of Eq. 34 is zero and **does not** lead to a contradiction.

We have shown this result for  $0 < \sigma < \frac{1}{2}$  and then use the property  $\xi(\frac{1}{2} + \sigma + i\omega) = \xi(\frac{1}{2} - \sigma - i\omega)$  to show the result for  $-\frac{1}{2} < \sigma < 0$ . Hence we have produced a **contradiction** of **Statement 1** that the Fourier Transform of the function  $E_p(t) = E_0(t)e^{-\sigma t}$  has a zero at  $\omega = \omega_0$  for  $0 < |\sigma| < \frac{1}{2}$ .

Therefore, the assumption in **Statement 1** that Riemann's Xi Function given by  $\xi(\frac{1}{2} + \sigma + i\omega) = E_{p\omega}(\omega)$  has a zero at  $\omega = \omega_0$ , where  $\omega_0$  is real and finite, leads to a **contradiction** for the region  $0 < |\sigma| < \frac{1}{2}$  which corresponds to the critical strip excluding the critical line. This means  $\zeta(s)$  does not have non-trivial zeros in the critical strip excluding the critical line and we have proved Riemann's Hypothesis.

## 4. Strictly decreasing $E_0(t)$ for t > 0

Let us consider  $E_0(t)=2\sum_{n=1}^\infty[2\pi^2n^4e^{4t}-3\pi n^2e^{2t}]e^{-\pi n^2e^{2t}}e^{\frac{t}{2}}$  whose Fourier Transform is given by the entire function  $E_{0\omega}(\omega)=\xi(\frac{1}{2}+i\omega)$ . (link)

$$E_{0}(t) = \Phi(t) = 2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[2\pi^{2}n^{4}e^{4t} - 3\pi n^{2}e^{2t}\right]e^{-\pi n^{2}e^{2t}}e^{\frac{t}{2}} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[4\pi^{2}n^{4}e^{4t} - 6\pi n^{2}e^{2t}\right]e^{-\pi n^{2}e^{2t}}e^{\frac{t}{2}}$$

$$E_{0}(t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2\pi n^{2}e^{-\pi n^{2}e^{2t}}e^{\frac{t}{2}}\left[2\pi n^{2}e^{4t} - 3e^{2t}\right]$$

$$(35)$$

We show that  $X(t) = \frac{E_0(t)}{2\pi}$  is a **strictly decreasing** function for  $t \ge 0$  as follows.

- In Section 4.1, it is shown that the second derivative of X(t), given by  $X_2(t) = \frac{d^2 X(t)}{dt^2} < 0$  for t = 0.
- In Section 4.2, it is shown that, as t increases from zero,  $\frac{dX(t)}{dt}$  starts from zero and reaches a **negative minimum** value at  $t = t_{min}$  and then starts increasing towards zero, for  $t > t_{min}$ . (example plot) Hence  $\frac{dX(t)}{dt} < 0$  for  $0 < t \le t_{min}$ .
- In Section 4.3, it is shown that  $\frac{dX(t)}{dt} < 0$  for  $t \ge t'_{min}$ , where  $t'_{min}$  corresponds to the minima of  $X_{11}(t)$  which is the partial term in  $\frac{dX(t)}{dt}$  corresponding to n = 1.
- In Section 4.4, it is shown that  $\frac{dX(t)}{dt} < 0$  for  $t_{min} < t < t'_{min}$ . Hence  $\frac{dX(t)}{dt} < 0$  for all t > 0 and hence X(t) is strictly decreasing for all t > 0 and  $E_0(t) = 2\pi X(t)$  is strictly decreasing for all t > 0.

4.1. 
$$\frac{d^2X(t)}{dt^2} < 0$$
 for  $t = 0$ 

We consider  $X(t) = \frac{E_0(t)}{2\pi} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^2 e^{-\pi n^2 e^{2t}} e^{\frac{t}{2}} [2\pi n^2 e^{4t} - 3e^{2t}]$  and take the first derivative of X(t) as follows. We note that  $E_0(t)$  is an analytic function for  $|t| \leq \infty$  and is infinitely differentiable in that interval.

$$\frac{dX(t)}{dt} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^2 e^{-\pi n^2 e^{2t}} e^{\frac{t}{2}} [8\pi n^2 e^{4t} - 6e^{2t} + (2\pi n^2 e^{4t} - 3e^{2t})(\frac{1}{2} - 2\pi n^2 e^{2t})]$$

$$\frac{dX(t)}{dt} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^2 e^{-\pi n^2 e^{2t}} e^{\frac{t}{2}} [8\pi n^2 e^{4t} - 6e^{2t} + (\pi n^2 e^{4t} - \frac{3}{2}e^{2t} - 4\pi^2 n^4 e^{6t} + 6\pi n^2 e^{4t})]$$

$$\frac{dX(t)}{dt} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^2 e^{-\pi n^2 e^{2t}} e^{\frac{t}{2}} [-4\pi^2 n^4 e^{6t} + 15\pi n^2 e^{4t} - \frac{15}{2}e^{2t}]$$

$$\frac{dX(t)}{dt} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^2 e^{-\pi n^2 e^{2t}} e^{\frac{t}{2}} e^{2t} [-4\pi^2 n^4 e^{4t} + 15\pi n^2 e^{2t} - \frac{15}{2}]$$
(36)

We take the second derivative of X(t) as follows.

$$\frac{d^{2}X(t)}{dt^{2}} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{2}e^{-\pi n^{2}e^{2t}}e^{\frac{5t}{2}}[-16\pi^{2}n^{4}e^{4t} + 30\pi n^{2}e^{2t} + (-4\pi^{2}n^{4}e^{4t} + 15\pi n^{2}e^{2t} - \frac{15}{2})(\frac{5}{2} - 2\pi n^{2}e^{2t})]$$

$$\frac{d^{2}X(t)}{dt^{2}} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{2}e^{-\pi n^{2}e^{2t}}e^{\frac{5t}{2}}[-16\pi^{2}n^{4}e^{4t} + 30\pi n^{2}e^{2t}$$

$$+(-10\pi^{2}n^{4}e^{4t} + \frac{75}{2}\pi n^{2}e^{2t} - \frac{75}{4} + 8\pi^{3}n^{6}e^{6t} - 30\pi^{2}n^{4}e^{4t} + 15\pi n^{2}e^{2t})]$$

$$\frac{d^{2}X(t)}{dt^{2}} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{2}e^{-\pi n^{2}e^{2t}}e^{\frac{5t}{2}}Z(t), \quad Z(t) = 8\pi^{3}n^{6}e^{6t} - 56\pi^{2}n^{4}e^{4t} + \frac{165}{2}\pi n^{2}e^{2t} - \frac{75}{4}$$
(37)

• Case 1: n = 1, t = 0: The partial term for n = 1 and t = 0 in  $\frac{d^2X(t)}{dt^2}$  in Eq. 37, given by  $A_1 < 0$  shown as follows.

$$A_1 = e^{-\pi} \left(8\pi^3 - 56\pi^2 + \frac{165}{2}\pi - \frac{75}{4}\right) < 0 \tag{38}$$

At n=1, t=0, we see that  $Z(t)=8\pi^3-56\pi^2+\frac{165}{2}\pi-\frac{75}{4}=\pi(8\pi^2-56\pi+\frac{165}{2})-\frac{75}{4}\leq 3*(8*10-56*3+83)-18=-33<0$  (**Result K**), because  $3<\pi<3.1429$  and  $\pi^2<10$ . We note that the term in the brackets is negative and hence we multiply it by the minimum value of  $\pi$ . Hence we see that the partial term for n=1 and t=0 in  $\frac{d^2X(t)}{dt^2}$  given by  $A_1<0$ .

• Case 2: n > 1, t = 0: the partial terms for n > 1 and t = 0 in  $\frac{d^2X(t)}{dt^2}$  in Eq. 37, given by  $A_2 > 0$  and  $A_2 < |A_1|$  shown as follows.

$$A_2 = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n^2 e^{-\pi n^2} (8\pi^3 n^6 - 56\pi^2 n^4 + \frac{165}{2}\pi n^2 - \frac{75}{4}) > 0$$

(39)

At n=2, t=0, we see that  $Z(t)=8\pi^3n^6-56\pi^2n^4+\frac{165}{2}\pi n^2-\frac{75}{4}=\pi n^2(8\pi^2n^4-56\pi n^2+\frac{165}{2})-\frac{75}{4}>3*2^2(8*3^2*2^4-56*4*2^2+82)-19=4037>0$ , because  $3<\pi<4$ . At n>2, t=0, we see that Z(t)>0 due to the dominant term  $8\pi^2n^4$ . Hence the partial terms for n>1 and t=0 in  $\frac{d^2X(t)}{dt^2}$  in Eq. 37, given by  $A_2>0$ .

• We can show that at t = 0,  $A_1 \le -0.4283$  and  $A_2 < |A_1|$  and hence  $\frac{d^2X(t)}{dt^2} < 0$  in Eq. 37.

At n=1, t=0, we see that, given  $3 < \pi < \frac{22}{7} = 3.1429$  (link) and  $e^{-\pi} \ge e^{-0.1429} (e^{-\frac{1}{2}})^6$  and  $e^{-0.1429} \ge 1-0.1429 = 0.8571$  and  $e^{-\frac{1}{2}} \ge 1 - \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2}$ , we can write  $e^{-\pi} \ge \frac{0.8571}{2^6}$  and  $|A_1| = e^{-\pi} |Z(t)| \ge 33 * \frac{0.8571}{2^6} \ge 32 * \frac{0.8571}{64} = 0.4283$  (using Result K). Hence we see that the partial term for n=1, t=0 in  $\frac{d^2X(t)}{dt^2}$  in Eq. 37, given by  $A_1 \le -0.4283$  (Result A).

At n > 1, t = 0, we see that  $A_2 = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n^2 e^{-\pi n^2} Z(0) \le \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n^2 e^{-\pi n^2} * (8\pi^3 n^6 + \frac{165}{2}\pi n^2)$  and we want to show  $A_2 < |A_1|$  where  $A_1 \le -0.4283$ . We use the fact that  $(n^2)^4 e^{-\pi n^2} < e^{-(\pi - 1)n^2}$  and  $(n^2)^2 e^{-\pi n^2} < e^{-(\pi - 1)n^2}$ .

$$A_2 \le \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} e^{-(\pi-1)n^2} (8\pi^3 + \frac{165}{2}\pi)$$

(40)

$$A_2 \le (8\pi^3 + \frac{165}{2}\pi) \int_{u=2}^{\infty} e^{-(\pi - 1)u^2} du \le (8\pi^3 + \frac{165}{2}\pi) \int_{u=2}^{\infty} e^{-2u^2} du$$
(41)

We substitute  $\sqrt{2}u = t$  as follows. We use  $8\pi^3 + \frac{165}{2}\pi = \pi(8\pi^2 + \frac{165}{2}) \le 3.1429(8*10+83) \le 513$ . We use the complementary error function erfc(z) and  $\frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2\sqrt{2}} \le 1$  as follows. (link)

$$erfc(z) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{z}^{\infty} e^{-t^{2}} dt$$

$$A_{2} \leq \frac{513}{\sqrt{2}} \int_{u=2\sqrt{2}}^{\infty} e^{-t^{2}} dt \leq \frac{513}{\sqrt{2}} (\frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2} * erfc(2\sqrt{2})) \leq \frac{513}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2} * erfc(2\sqrt{2})$$

$$A_{2} \leq 513 * erfc(2\sqrt{2}) = 0.0325$$

$$(42)$$

Thus we have derived the results below, using Result A and Eq. 42.

$$A_{2} \leq 0.0325, \quad A_{1} \leq -0.4283, \quad A_{2} < |A_{1}|$$

$$A_{1} + A_{2} < 0$$

$$(\frac{d^{2}X(t)}{dt^{2}})_{t=0} = A_{1} + A_{2} < 0$$

$$(43)$$

We have shown that the second derivative of X(t), given by  $X_2(t) = \frac{d^2 X(t)}{dt^2} < 0$  for t = 0. (Result H)

4.2. 
$$\frac{dX(t)}{dt} < 0 \text{ for } 0 < t \le t_{min}$$

- In the sections below, we will show that, as t increases from zero,  $\frac{dX(t)}{dt}$  starts from zero and reaches a **negative** minimum value at  $t = t_{min}$  and then starts increasing towards zero, for  $t > t_{min}$ . Thus we will show that X(t) is a strictly decreasing function of t. We will also show that  $\frac{dX(t)}{dt}$  does not become positive for any t > 0. (example plot)
- We see that  $\frac{d^2X(t)}{dt^2} < 0$  at t = 0 (using Result H). Hence, as t increases from zero (point A),  $\frac{dX(t)}{dt}$  reaches a **negative minimum** value at  $t = t_{min}$ (point B) and then starts increasing towards zero, in the neighborhood of  $t > t_{min}$ . We see that  $\frac{d^2X(t)}{dt^2}$  remains negative in  $0 \le t < t_{min}$  and then reaches a **zero** at  $t = t_{min}$  and then becomes **positive** in the neighborhood of  $t > t_{min}$ . (example plot) Hence  $\frac{dX(t)}{dt} < 0$  for  $0 < t \le t_{min}$ . (**Result I**)

4.3. 
$$\frac{dX(t)}{dt} < 0 \text{ for } t \ge t'_{min}$$

We note that  $\frac{dX(t)}{dt} = X_{11}(t) + X_{12}(t)$  where  $X_{11}(t)$  has partial term corresponding to n = 1 and  $X_{12}(t)$  has partial terms corresponding to n > 1.

$$\frac{dX(t)}{dt} = X_{11}(t) + X_{12}(t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^2 e^{-\pi n^2 e^{2t}} e^{\frac{t}{2}} e^{2t} [-4\pi^2 n^4 e^{4t} + 15\pi n^2 e^{2t} - \frac{15}{2}]$$

$$X_{11}(t) = e^{-\pi e^{2t}} e^{\frac{t}{2}} e^{2t} [-4\pi^2 e^{4t} + 15\pi e^{2t} - \frac{15}{2}]$$

$$X_{12}(t) = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n^2 e^{-\pi n^2 e^{2t}} e^{\frac{t}{2}} e^{2t} [-4\pi^2 n^4 e^{4t} + 15\pi n^2 e^{2t} - \frac{15}{2}]$$

$$14$$

In the interval  $t > t_{min}$ ,  $\frac{d^2X(t)}{dt^2} = X_{21}(t) + X_{22}(t)$  reaches a **positive maximum** at  $t = t_{max2}$  (point C) and then starts decreasing to zero, in the neighborhood  $t > t_{max2}$ .  $X_{21}(t)$  has partial term corresponding to n = 1 and  $X_{22}(t)$  has partial terms corresponding to n > 1. This means that  $\frac{dX(t)}{dt}$  increases towards zero, in the neighborhood  $t > t_{min}$ . (example plot)

$$\frac{d^{2}X(t)}{dt^{2}} = X_{21}(t) + X_{22}(t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{2} e^{-\pi n^{2} e^{2t}} e^{\frac{5t}{2}} Z(t), \quad Z(t) = 8\pi^{3} n^{6} e^{6t} - 56\pi^{2} n^{4} e^{4t} + \frac{165}{2} \pi n^{2} e^{2t} - \frac{75}{4}$$

$$X_{21}(t) = e^{-\pi e^{2t}} e^{\frac{5t}{2}} (8\pi^{3} e^{6t} - 56\pi^{2} e^{4t} + \frac{165}{2} \pi e^{2t} - \frac{75}{4})$$

$$X_{22}(t) = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n^{2} e^{-\pi n^{2} e^{2t}} e^{\frac{5t}{2}} (8\pi^{3} n^{6} e^{6t} - 56\pi^{2} n^{4} e^{4t} + \frac{165}{2} \pi n^{2} e^{2t} - \frac{75}{4})$$

$$(45)$$

As t increases from zero (point A),  $X_{11}(t)$  in Eq. 44 starts from a positive value and **decreases** to a negative value, passing through **zero** at  $t = t_z$ . Given that  $\lim_{t\to\infty} X_{11}(t) = 0$ , it reaches a **negative minimum** value at  $t = t'_{min}$  (point B') and then starts **increasing** towards zero, for  $t > t'_{min}$  (**Result L**). (example plot) We note that  $X_{11}(t)$  **remains negative** for  $t > t_z$  due to the dominant term  $-4\pi^2 e^{4t}$  in Eq. 44.

This means that the derivative of  $X_{11}(t)$  given by  $X_{21}(t)$  in Eq. 45 is **negative** at  $0 \le t < t'_{min}$  and  $X_{21}(t)$  reaches a **zero** at  $t = t'_{min}$  (**Result N**) and then becomes **positive** for  $t > t'_{min}$  (using Result L) and falls to zero as  $t \to \infty$ , given that  $\lim_{t\to\infty} X_{21}(t) = 0$ . We note that, when  $X_{21}(t)$  in Eq. 45 becomes positive after crossing  $t = t'_{min}$ , it **remains positive** as  $t \to \infty$  due to the dominant term  $8\pi^3 e^{6t}$  in Eq. 45(**Result B**).

Hence  $X_{11}(t)$  is a **strictly decreasing** function for  $t < t'_{min}$  and then it starts **increasing** towards zero as  $t \to \infty$ . We note that  $X_{11}(t)$  **cannot** become positive at some  $t > t'_{min}$ , because if it did become positive again, then it would have to decrease to zero as  $t \to \infty$ , which would **require**  $X_{21}(t)$  to become negative again, which is **not** the case as shown in Result B.

We will show that  $\frac{d^2X(t)}{dt^2} = X_{21}(t) + X_{22}(t) = 0$  only for  $t = t_{min}$ , and then it becomes positive and then starts decreasing towards zero, as  $t \to \infty$ .

In Section 4.5, it is shown that  $X_{22}(t)$  is **strictly decreasing** for t > 0 (using Result E) and hence  $X_{22}(t) > 0$  given that  $\lim_{t\to\infty} X_{22}(t) = 0$ . (**Result C**).

We note that  $t_{min} < t'_{min}$  given that  $X_{21}(t) > 0$  for  $t > t'_{min}$  (using Result B) and  $X_{22}(t) > 0$  for  $t > t'_{min}$  (using Result C) and hence  $\frac{d^2X(t)}{dt^2} = X_{21}(t) + X_{22}(t) > 0$  for  $t > t'_{min}$  (Result D).

We see that  $X_{12}(t) < 0$  for all t > 0 in Eq. 44 due to the dominant term  $-4\pi^2 n^4 e^{4t}$ . Because  $\frac{dX(t)}{dt} = X_{11}(t) + X_{12}(t)$  is negative at  $t = t'_{min}$ , we see that  $\frac{dX(t)}{dt}$  starts **increasing** from a negative value towards zero. (using Result D) Hence  $\frac{dX(t)}{dt} < 0$  for  $t \ge t'_{min}$ . (Result G)

We note that  $\frac{dX(t)}{dt}$  cannot become positive at some  $t > t'_{min}$ , because if it did become positive again, then it would have to decrease to zero as  $t \to \infty$ , which would require  $\frac{d^2X(t)}{dt^2}$  to become negative again, which is **not** the case as shown in Result D.

4.4. 
$$\frac{dX(t)}{dt} < 0$$
 for  $t_{min} < t < t'_{min}$ 

We can show that the second derivative  $\frac{d^2X(t)}{dt^2} = X_{21}(t) + X_{22}(t)$  becomes zero **only once** at  $t = t_{min}$ , in the interval  $0 < t < t'_{min}$ . At  $t = t_{min}$ , we see that  $X_{22}(t_{min}) = X_0 > 0$  and hence  $X_{21}(t_{min}) = -X_0 < 0$ . In the interval 15

 $t_{min} < t < t'_{min}$ , we see that  $X_{22}(t)$  is **strictly decreasing** and remains positive (using Result E in Section 4.5) and decreases from  $X_0$  further towards zero at a **slower** rate, while  $X_{21}(t)$  increases from the negative value  $-X_0$  towards zero **faster**, to make  $\frac{d^2X(t)}{dt^2} = X_{21}(t) + X_{22}(t) > 0$ .

We see that  $X_{21}(t)$  is **strictly increasing** for  $t_{min} < t < t'_{min}$  (using Result F in Section 4.6) and **reaches zero** at  $t = t'_{min}$  at a **faster** rate than  $X_{22}(t)$ . Hence  $\frac{d^2X(t)}{dt^2} = X_{21}(t) + X_{22}(t) > 0$  in the interval  $t_{min} < t < t'_{min}$  (**Result M**). Hence  $\frac{dX(t)}{dt}$  is **increasing** from a negative value towards zero, in the interval  $t_{min} < t < t'_{min}$ .

We can **rule out** the Case A that  $\frac{dX(t)}{dt} > 0$  somewhere in the interval  $t > t_{min}$  and reaches a maximum at  $t = t_{max}$ , as follows. We see that  $\frac{d^2X(t)}{dt^2} = X_{21}(t) + X_{22}(t)$  becomes zero **only once** at  $t = t_{min}$ , in the interval  $0 < t < t'_{min}$  and is positive for  $t_{min} < t < t'_{min}$  (using Result M) and **does not** become zero again at  $t = t_{max}$ , which is required for Case A. Hence  $\frac{dX(t)}{dt} < 0$  in the interval  $t_{min} < t < t'_{min}$ . (**Result J**)

We have shown in earlier sections that  $\frac{dX(t)}{dt} < 0$  in the interval  $0 < t \le t_{min}$  (using Result I) and  $t \ge t'_{min}$  (using Result G). We see that  $\frac{dX(t)}{dt} < 0$  in the interval  $t_{min} < t < t'_{min}$  (using Result J) and hence  $\frac{dX(t)}{dt} < 0$  for all t > 0 and hence X(t) is **strictly decreasing** for all t > 0.

#### 4.5. Second derivative given by $X_{22}$ is a strictly decreasing function for t > 0

We consider  $X_{22}(t)$  as follows.

$$X_{22}(t) = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n^2 e^{-\pi n^2 e^{2t}} e^{\frac{5t}{2}} (8\pi^3 n^6 e^{6t} - 56\pi^2 n^4 e^{4t} + \frac{165}{2}\pi n^2 e^{2t} - \frac{75}{4})$$

$$(46)$$

We see that  $X_{22}(t)$  in Eq. 46, is **positive** for each n=2,3,... and hence for **all**  $t\geq 0$ , as follows. We see that  $X_{22}(t)=\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}n^2e^{-\pi n^2e^{2t}}e^{\frac{5t}{2}}(\pi^2n^4e^{4t}(8\pi n^2e^{2t}-56)+\frac{165}{2}\pi n^2e^{2t}-\frac{75}{4})$ . For n=2,t=0, we see that  $X_{22}(t)=2^2e^{-\pi 2^2}(8\pi^32^6-56\pi^22^4+\frac{165}{2}\pi 2^2-\frac{75}{4})=4e^{-4\pi}(\pi^22^4(32\pi-56)+165*2\pi-\frac{75}{4})>0$ . For  $n\geq 2$  and  $t\geq 0$ ,  $X_{22}(t)>0$  due to the **dominant term**  $8\pi^3n^6e^{6t}$ .

We compute the **derivative** of  $X_{22}(t)$  as follows.

$$X_{32}(t) = \frac{dX_{22}(t)}{dt} = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n^2 e^{-\pi n^2 e^{2t}} e^{\frac{5t}{2}} [48\pi^3 n^6 e^{6t} - 56 * 4\pi^2 n^4 e^{4t} + 165\pi n^2 e^{2t} + (8\pi^3 n^6 e^{6t} - 56\pi^2 n^4 e^{4t} + \frac{165}{2}\pi n^2 e^{2t} - \frac{75}{4})(\frac{5}{2} - 2\pi n^2 e^{2t})]$$

$$X_{32}(t) = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n^2 e^{-\pi n^2 e^{2t}} e^{\frac{5t}{2}} [48\pi^3 n^6 e^{6t} - 56 * 4\pi^2 n^4 e^{4t} + 165\pi n^2 e^{2t} + (20\pi^3 n^6 e^{6t} - 28 * 5\pi^2 n^4 e^{4t} + \frac{165 * 5}{4}\pi n^2 e^{2t} - \frac{75 * 5}{8} - 16\pi^4 n^8 e^{8t} + 56 * 2\pi^3 n^6 e^{6t} - 165\pi^2 n^4 e^{4t} + \frac{75}{2}\pi n^2 e^{2t})]$$

$$X_{32}(t) = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n^2 e^{-\pi n^2 e^{2t}} e^{\frac{5t}{2}} Y'(t), \quad Y'(t) = -16\pi^4 n^8 e^{8t} + 180\pi^3 n^6 e^{6t} - 529\pi^2 n^4 e^{4t} + 408.75\pi n^2 e^{2t} - \frac{75 * 5}{8}$$

$$(47)$$

We examine the term  $Y'(t) = -16\pi^4 n^8 e^{8t} + 180\pi^3 n^6 e^{6t} - 529\pi^2 n^4 e^{4t} + 408.75\pi n^2 e^{2t} - \frac{75*5}{8}$  in Eq. 47. We see that, for n=2 and t=0,  $Y'(t)=-\pi^3 2^6 (16\pi*4-180) - \pi 2^2 (529\pi*2^2-408.75) - \frac{75*5}{8} < 0$ . We see that, for all n>1 and  $t\geq 0$ , Y'(t)<0. Hence  $X_{32}(t)<0$  for all  $t\geq 0$ .

Hence  $X_{22}(t)$  is a **strictly decreasing function** for t > 0.(Result E) (example plot)

# 4.6. Second derivative given by $X_{21}$ is a strictly increasing function for $t_{min} < t < t'_{min}$

We can show that  $X_{21}(t)$  is a **strictly increasing function** for  $t_{min} < t < t'_{min}$ . We take the derivative of  $X_{21}(t) = e^{-\pi e^{2t}} e^{\frac{5t}{2}} (8\pi^3 e^{6t} - 56\pi^2 e^{4t} + \frac{165}{2}\pi e^{2t} - \frac{75}{4})$ , given by  $X_{31}(t)$  and set n = 1 in the summand in Eq. 47 as follows.

$$X_{31}(t) = e^{-\pi e^{2t}} e^{\frac{5t}{2}} Z'(t), \quad Z'(t) = -16\pi^4 e^{8t} + 180\pi^3 e^{6t} - 529\pi^2 e^{4t} + 408.75\pi e^{2t} - \frac{75 * 5}{8}$$

$$(48)$$

We see that  $X_{21}(t)$  in Eq. 45 is **negative** at  $0 \le t < t'_{min}$  (using Result N). In the interval  $t_{min} < t < t'_{min}$ , we consider 3 cases for  $X_{21}(t)$  as follows.

- Case 1:  $X_{21}(t)$  starts from the negative value at  $t = t_{min}$  and increases to zero at  $t = t'_{min}$ . This is **possible** because we know that  $X_{21}(t)$  in Eq. 45 is **negative** at  $0 \le t < t'_{min}$  and  $X_{21}(t) = 0$  at  $t = t'_{min}$  (using Result N).
- Case 2:  $X_{21}(t)$  starts from the negative value at  $t = t_{min}$  and decreases to a more negative value and reaches a negative minimum at  $t = t_c < t'_{min}$  and then starts increasing towards zero. This requires  $X_{31}(t) < 0$  in the interval  $t_{min} < t < t_c$  and  $X_{31}(t) > 0$  in the interval  $t_c < t < t'_{min}$ , which is **NOT** possible because of the dominant term  $-16\pi^4 e^{8t}$  in Eq. 48.
- Case 3:  $X_{21}(t)$  starts from the negative value at  $t = t_{min}$  and increases to a less negative value and has a point of inflection at  $t = t_d < t'_{min}$  and then starts decreasing towards a more negative value and has a second point of inflection at  $t = t_e < t'_{min}$  and then starts increasing towards zero. This requires  $X_{31}(t) < 0$  in the interval  $t_d < t < t_e$  and  $X_{31}(t) > 0$  in the interval  $t_e < t < t'_{min}$ , which is **NOT** possible because of the dominant term  $-16\pi^4 e^{8t}$  in Eq. 48.

Similarly, we can extend the argument to the case where  $X_{21}(t)$  has many points of inflection in the interval  $t_{min} < t < t'_{min}$ .

Hence we see that only Case 1 is possible and hence  $X_{21}(t)$  is a strictly increasing function for  $t_{min} < t < t'_{min}$ .(Result F) (example plot)

# 4.7. **Result** $E_0(t-t_{2c}) - E_0(t+t_{2c}) > 0$

It is shown in Section 4 that  $E_0(t)$  is **strictly decreasing** for t > 0. In this section, it is shown that  $E_0(t - t_{2c}) - E_0(t + t_{2c}) > 0$ , for  $0 < t < t_{0c}$  and  $t_{2c} = 2t_{0c}$  in Eq. 34.

Given that  $E_0(t)$  is a **strictly decreasing** function for t > 0 and  $E_0(t)$  is an **even** function of variable t, and  $t_{2c} = 2t_{0c}$ , we see that, in the interval  $0 < t < t_{0c}$ ,  $E_0(t + t_{2c}) = E_0(t + 2t_{0c})$  ranges from  $E_0(2t_{0c})$  to  $E_0(3t_{0c})$ , which is **less than**  $E_0(t - t_{2c}) = E_0(t - 2t_{0c})$  which ranges from  $E_0(-2t_{0c})$  to  $E_0(-t_{0c})$ . Hence we see that  $E_0(t - t_{2c}) > E_0(t + t_{2c})$ , in the interval  $0 < t < t_{0c}$ . At t = 0,  $E_0(t - t_{2c}) = E_0(t + t_{2c})$ . At  $t = t_{0c}$ ,  $E_0(t - t_{2c}) > E_0(t + t_{2c})$  because  $E_0(-t_{0c}) > E_0(3t_{0c})$ .

Hence  $E_0(t - t_{2c}) - E_0(t + t_{2c}) > 0$  for  $0 < t < t_{0c}$  in Eq. 34, for  $t_{0c} > 0$ .

# 5. $\omega_z(t_2, t_0)$ is a continuous function of $t_0$

It is shown in this section that  $\omega_z(t_2, t_0)$  is a continuous function of  $t_0$  in the neighbourhood  $[t_0 - \delta t_0, t_0 + \delta t_0]$  for all  $|t_0| < \infty$ , for **each** fixed value of  $t_2$ .

•  $G_R(\omega) = G_R(\omega, t_2, t_0)$  in Eq. 14 is copied below, which is a **continuous** function of  $\omega$  which is differentiable **at** least once with respect to  $\omega$ . (Eq. 50).

$$G_{R}(\omega) = G_{R}(\omega, t_{2}, t_{0}) = e^{-2\sigma t_{0}} \int_{-\infty}^{0} \left[ E'_{0}(\tau + t_{0}, t_{2}) e^{-2\sigma \tau} + E'_{0n}(\tau - t_{0}, t_{2}) \right] \cos(\omega \tau) d\tau$$

$$+ e^{2\sigma t_{0}} \int_{-\infty}^{0} \left[ E'_{0}(\tau - t_{0}, t_{2}) e^{-2\sigma \tau} + E'_{0n}(\tau + t_{0}, t_{2}) \right] \cos(\omega \tau) d\tau$$

$$(49)$$

Given that  $E_0(\tau) > 0$  for  $|\tau| < \infty$  and  $\lim_{\tau \to \pm \infty} E_0(\tau) = 0$  (Appendix C.1), we see that  $G_R(\omega) > 0$  at  $\omega = 0$ . Set  $t_0 = 0$  and  $G_R(\omega)$  passes through its first zero at  $\omega = \omega_z(t_2, t_0) = \omega_z(t_2, 0)$ . In the rest of this section, we consider the **interval**  $[-\delta t_0, \delta t_0]$  around  $t_0 = 0$ , in  $\omega_z(t_2, t_0)$ . There are 3 possibilities.

Case 1: 
$$G_R(\omega) < 0$$
 for  $\omega = \omega_z(t_2, 0) + dw$ ,  $G_R(\omega) > 0$  for  $\omega = \omega_z(t_2, 0) - dw$  for infinitesimal  $dw$  (example plot)

In this case, we will show in Section 5.1 that  $\omega_z(t_2, t_0)$  is a continuous function of  $t_0$  in the interval  $[-\delta t_0, \delta t_0]$ , in the neighborhood around the first zero crossing at  $\omega = \omega_z(t_2, t_0) = \omega_z(t_2, 0)$ .

Case 2: 
$$G_R(\omega) > 0$$
 for  $\omega = \omega_z(t_2, 0) + dw$ ,  $G_R(\omega) > 0$  for  $\omega = \omega_z(t_2, 0) - dw$  (example plot)

In this case,  $\frac{dG_R(\omega)}{d\omega}=0$  at the **same**  $\omega=\omega_z(t_2,0)$  because  $\frac{dG_R(\omega)}{d\omega}<0$  at  $\omega=\omega_z(t_2,0)-dw$  and  $\frac{dG_R(\omega)}{d\omega}>0$  at  $\omega=\omega_z(t_2,0)+dw$ .

$$\frac{dG_R(\omega, t_2, t_0)}{d\omega} = -\left[e^{-2\sigma t_0} \int_{-\infty}^0 \tau [E_0'(\tau + t_0, t_2)e^{-2\sigma \tau} + E_{0n}'(\tau - t_0, t_2)] \sin(\omega \tau) d\tau + e^{2\sigma t_0} \int_{-\infty}^0 \tau [E_0'(\tau - t_0, t_2)e^{-2\sigma \tau} + E_{0n}'(\tau + t_0, t_2)] \sin(\omega \tau) d\tau \right]$$
(50)

In this case, we will show in Section 5.2 that  $\omega_z(t_2, t_0)$  is a continuous function of  $t_0$  in the interval  $[-\delta t_0, \delta t_0]$ , in the neighborhood around the first zero crossing at  $\omega = \omega_z(t_2, t_0) = \omega_z(t_2, 0)$ .

Case 3: 
$$G_R(\omega) = 0$$
 for  $\omega = \omega_z(t_2, 0)$  and  $\omega = \omega_z(t_2, 0) + dw$ .

In this case,  $\frac{dG_R(\omega)}{d\omega} = 0$  at the **same**  $\omega = \omega_z(t_2, 0)$  because  $\frac{dG_R(\omega)}{d\omega} < 0$  at  $\omega = \omega_z(t_2, 0) - dw$  and  $\frac{dG_R(\omega)}{d\omega} = 0$  at  $\omega = \omega_z(t_2, 0)$ . The arguments are similar to that of Case 2 presented in Section 5.2 where it is shown that  $\omega_z(t_2, t_0)$  is a continuous function of  $t_0$  in the interval  $[-\delta t_0, \delta t_0]$ , in the neighborhood around the first zero crossing at  $\omega = \omega_z(t_2, t_0) = \omega_z(t_2, 0)$ .

5.1. Case 1: 
$$G_R(\omega) < 0$$
 for  $\omega = \omega_z(t_2, 0) + dw$ ,  $G_R(\omega) > 0$  for  $\omega = \omega_z(t_2, 0) - dw$ 

- Consider the **segment** S in  $G_R(\omega, t_2, t_0)$  in the neighborhood around the first zero crossing where  $\frac{dG_R(\omega, t_2, t_0)}{d\omega} < 0$ . (Segment S is the portion between the green lines in example plot)
- In the **segment** S,  $G_R(\omega, t_2, t_0)$  in Eq. 49 is a **continuous** function of  $\omega$ , for **each** value of  $t_0$  and  $t_2$ . Hence  $G_R(\omega, t_2, t_0 \delta t_0)$  and  $G_R(\omega, t_2, t_0 + \delta t_0)$  are **continuous** functions of  $\omega$ , which are differentiable **at least** once, and  $G_R(\omega, t_2, t_0 \pm \delta t_0)$  tends to  $G_R(\omega, t_2, t_0)$ , as infinitesimal  $\delta t_0 \to 0$ .

$$G_{R}(\omega, t_{2}, t_{0}) = e^{-2\sigma t_{0}} \int_{-\infty}^{0} \left[ E_{0}^{'}(\tau + t_{0}, t_{2}) e^{-2\sigma \tau} + E_{0n}^{'}(\tau - t_{0}, t_{2}) \right] \cos(\omega \tau) d\tau$$

$$+ e^{2\sigma t_{0}} \int_{-\infty}^{0} \left[ E_{0}^{'}(\tau - t_{0}, t_{2}) e^{-2\sigma \tau} + E_{0n}^{'}(\tau + t_{0}, t_{2}) \right] \cos(\omega \tau) d\tau$$

$$G_{R}(\omega, t_{2}, t_{0} + \delta t_{0}) = e^{-2\sigma(t_{0} + \delta t_{0})} \int_{-\infty}^{0} \left[ E_{0}^{'}(\tau + t_{0} + \delta t_{0}, t_{2}) e^{-2\sigma \tau} + E_{0n}^{'}(\tau - t_{0} - \delta t_{0}, t_{2}) \right] \cos(\omega \tau) d\tau$$

$$+ e^{2\sigma(t_{0} + \delta t_{0})} \int_{-\infty}^{0} \left[ E_{0}^{'}(\tau - t_{0} - \delta t_{0}, t_{2}) e^{-2\sigma \tau} + E_{0n}^{'}(\tau + t_{0} + \delta t_{0}, t_{2}) \right] \cos(\omega \tau) d\tau$$

$$G_{R}(\omega, t_{2}, t_{0} - \delta t_{0}) = e^{-2\sigma(t_{0} - \delta t_{0})} \int_{-\infty}^{0} \left[ E_{0}^{'}(\tau + t_{0} - \delta t_{0}, t_{2}) e^{-2\sigma \tau} + E_{0n}^{'}(\tau - t_{0} + \delta t_{0}, t_{2}) \right] \cos(\omega \tau) d\tau$$

$$+ e^{2\sigma((t_{0} - \delta t_{0}))} \int_{-\infty}^{0} \left[ E_{0}^{'}(\tau - t_{0} + \delta t_{0}, t_{2}) e^{-2\sigma \tau} + E_{0n}^{'}(\tau + t_{0} - \delta t_{0}, t_{2}) \right] \cos(\omega \tau) d\tau$$

$$\lim_{\delta t_{0} \to 0} G_{R}(\omega, t_{2}, t_{0} + \delta t_{0}) = G_{R}(\omega, t_{2}, t_{0})$$

$$\lim_{\delta t_{0} \to 0} G_{R}(\omega, t_{2}, t_{0} - \delta t_{0}) = G_{R}(\omega, t_{2}, t_{0})$$

• In the segment S,  $G_R(\omega, t_2, t_0)$  in Eq. 51 is a **continuous** function of  $\omega$ , for **each** value of  $t_0$  and  $t_2$  and  $\frac{dG_R(\omega, t_2, t_0)}{d\omega} < 0$  in the neighborhood around the **first zero crossing**. If we **fix** the X-coordinate  $\omega$  and  $t_2$ ,  $G_R(\omega, t_2, t_0)$  is a **continuous** function of  $t_0$ , for **each** fixed value of  $\omega$ . Hence, for **each** fixed value of  $\omega$ , as we change  $t_0$  by an infinitesimal  $\delta t_0$ ,  $G_R(\omega, t_2, t_0 - \delta t_0)$  and  $G_R(\omega, t_2, t_0 + \delta t_0)$  in Eq. 51, move towards  $G_R(\omega, t_2, t_0)$  in a **continuous** manner, as  $\delta t_0 \to 0$ . Every point in the segment S, moves continuously, as we change  $t_0$  by an infinitesimal  $\delta t_0$ .

(51)

This also applies to the first **zero crossing** in  $G_R(\omega, t_2, t_0)$  in the segment S, which corresponds to  $\omega_z(t_2, t_0) = \omega_z(t_2, 0)$  at  $t_0 = 0$  where  $G_R(\omega, t_2, t_0) = 0$  in Eq. 51. The **zero crossing** moves **continuously**, as we change  $t_0$  by an infinitesimal  $\delta t_0$ . This is explained below.

• Explanation: This is shown by an example plot. Red plot corresponds to  $G_R(\omega, t_2, t_0)$  with zero crossing at point  $P_0$ , Green plot corresponds to  $G_R(\omega, t_2, t_0 + \delta t_0)$  with zero crossing at point  $P_{11}$  and Blue plot corresponds to  $G_R(\omega, t_2, t_0 - \delta t_0)$  with zero crossing at point  $P_{21}$ .

We define the point  $P_{12}$  in  $G_R(\omega, t_2, t_0 + \delta t_0)$  as the point which has the fixed **X-coordinate**  $\omega = \omega_z(t_2, 0)$ . We define the point  $P_{22}$  in  $G_R(\omega, t_2, t_0 - \delta t_0)$  as the point which has the fixed **X-coordinate**  $\omega = \omega_z(t_2, 0)$ .

We define the point  $P_{11}$  in  $G_R(\omega, t_2, t_0 + \delta t_0)$  as the **zero crossing point** which has the fixed **Y-coordinate** which equals zero. We define the point  $P_{21}$  in  $G_R(\omega, t_2, t_0 - \delta t_0)$  as the **zero crossing point** which has the fixed **Y-coordinate** which equals zero.

As we change  $t_0$  by an infinitesimal  $\delta t_0$ ,  $G_R(\omega, t_2, t_0 + \delta t_0)$  in Eq. 51 moves towards  $G_R(\omega, t_2, t_0)$  in a **continuous** manner, for **each fixed** value of  $\omega$  and  $t_2$ , including the zero crossing point, as follows. The **point**  $P_{12}$  in  $G_R(\omega, t_2, t_0 + \delta t_0)$  which corresponds to the **fixed X-coordinate**  $\omega = \omega_z(t_2, 0)$ , moves towards corresponding point  $P_0$  in  $G_R(\omega, t_2, t_0)$ , for the **same**  $\omega = \omega_z(t_2, 0)$  in a **continuous** manner, as  $\delta t_0 \to 0$ . Given that  $P_0$  is a **zero crossing point** in  $G_R(\omega, t_2, t_0)$ , this is equivalent to the **Zero crossing point**  $P_{11}$  in  $G_R(\omega, t_2, t_0 + \delta t_0)$  moving towards corresponding **zero crossing** point  $P_0$  in  $G_R(\omega, t_2, t_0)$  in a **continuous** manner, as  $\delta t_0 \to 0$ .

Similarly, as we change  $t_0$  by an infinitesimal  $\delta t_0$ ,  $G_R(\omega, t_2, t_0 - \delta t_0)$  in Eq. 51 moves towards  $G_R(\omega, t_2, t_0)$  in a **continuous** manner as follows. The **point**  $P_{22}$  in  $G_R(\omega, t_2, t_0 - \delta t_0)$  which corresponds to the **fixed X-coordinate**  $\omega = \omega_z(t_2, 0)$ , moves towards corresponding point  $P_0$  in  $G_R(\omega, t_2, t_0)$ , for the **same**  $\omega = \omega_z(t_2, 0)$  in a **continuous** manner, as  $\delta t_0 \to 0$ . Given that  $P_0$  is a **zero crossing point** in  $G_R(\omega, t_2, t_0)$ , this is equivalent to the **Zero crossing point**  $P_{21}$  in  $G_R(\omega, t_2, t_0 - \delta t_0)$  moving towards corresponding **zero crossing** point  $P_0$  in  $G_R(\omega, t_2, t_0)$  in a **continuous** 

**uous** manner, as  $\delta t_0 \to 0$ .

• Hence in the **segment** S,  $\omega_z(t_2, t_0)$  is a **continuous** function of  $t_0$  in the neighborhood  $[-\delta t_0, \delta t_0]$  around the first zero crossing at  $\omega = \omega_z(t_2, t_0) = \omega_z(t_2, 0)$  at  $t_0 = 0$ .

$$G_{R}(\omega_{z}(t_{2},t_{0}),t_{2},t_{0}) = e^{-2\sigma t_{0}} \int_{-\infty}^{0} \left[ E_{0}'(\tau+t_{0},t_{2})e^{-2\sigma\tau} + E_{0n}'(\tau-t_{0},t_{2}) \right] \cos(\omega_{z}(t_{2},t_{0})\tau) d\tau$$

$$+e^{2\sigma t_{0}} \int_{-\infty}^{0} \left[ E_{0}'(\tau-t_{0},t_{2})e^{-2\sigma\tau} + E_{0n}'(\tau+t_{0},t_{2}) \right] \cos(\omega_{z}(t_{2},t_{0})\tau) d\tau = 0$$

$$G_{R}(\omega_{z}(t_{2},t_{0}+\delta t_{0}),t_{2},t_{0}+\delta t_{0}) =$$

$$e^{-2\sigma(t_{0}+\delta t_{0})} \int_{-\infty}^{0} \left[ E_{0}'(\tau+t_{0}+\delta t_{0},t_{2})e^{-2\sigma\tau} + E_{0n}'(\tau-t_{0}-\delta t_{0},t_{2}) \right] \cos(\omega_{z}(t_{2},t_{0}+\delta t_{0})\tau) d\tau$$

$$+e^{2\sigma(t_{0}+\delta t_{0})} \int_{-\infty}^{0} \left[ E_{0}'(\tau-t_{0}-\delta t_{0},t_{2})e^{-2\sigma\tau} + E_{0n}'(\tau+t_{0}+\delta t_{0},t_{2}) \right] \cos(\omega_{z}(t_{2},t_{0}+\delta t_{0})\tau) d\tau = 0$$

$$(52)$$

- 5.2. Case 2:  $G_R(\omega) > 0$  for  $\omega = \omega_z(t_2, 0) + dw$ ,  $G_R(\omega) > 0$  for  $\omega = \omega_z(t_2, 0) dw$
- In this case,  $\frac{dG_R(\omega)}{d\omega} = 0$  at the same  $\omega = \omega_z(t_2, t_0)$  because  $\frac{dG_R(\omega)}{d\omega} < 0$  at  $\omega = \omega_z(t_2, t_0) dw$  and  $\frac{dG_R(\omega)}{d\omega} > 0$  at  $\omega = \omega_z(t_2, t_0) + dw$ .
- Consider the **segment** S' in  $\frac{dG_R(\omega,t_2,t_0)}{d\omega}$  in the neighborhood around the first zero crossing where  $\frac{d^2G_R(\omega,t_2,t_0)}{d\omega^2} > 0$ . (Segment S' is the portion between the green lines in example plot) In this segment S',  $\frac{dG_R(\omega,t_2,t_0)}{d\omega}$  is a **continuous** function of  $\omega$  which is differentiable **at least** once. (Section ??)
- In the **segment** S',  $\frac{dG_R(\omega,t_2,t_0)}{d\omega} = 0$  at the **same**  $\omega = \omega_z(t_2,t_0)$ . The arguments in Section 5.1 can be applied here, with  $G_R(\omega,t_2,t_0)$  replaced by  $\frac{dG_R(\omega,t_2,t_0)}{d\omega}$ .

Hence  $\omega_z(t_2, t_0)$  is a **continuous** function of  $t_0$  in the neighborhood  $[-\delta t_0, \delta t_0]$  around the first zero crossing at  $\omega = \omega_z(t_2, t_0) = \omega_z(t_2, 0)$  at  $t_0 = 0$  in the **segment** S'.

We can use similar arguments and see that  $\omega_z(t_2, t_0)$  is a **continuous** function of  $t_0$  in the neighbourhood  $[t_0 - \delta t_0, t_0 + \delta t_0]$  for all  $|t_0| < \infty$ , for **each** fixed value of  $t_2$ .

#### 5.3. Further Points

- Using arguments in previous subsections, we see that  $\omega_z(t_2, t_0)$  is a **continuous** function of  $t_2$  in the neighbourhood  $[t_2 \delta t_2, t_2 + \delta t_2]$  for all  $|t_2| < \infty$ , for **each** fixed value of  $t_0$ .
- We set  $t_2 = Kt_0$  for even positive integer K. Using arguments in previous subsections, we see that  $\omega_z(Kt_0, t_0)$  is a **continuous** function of  $t_0$  in the neighbourhood  $[t_0 \delta t_0, t_0 + \delta t_0]$  for all  $|t_0| < \infty$ .

## 6. Hurwitz Zeta Function and related functions

We can show that the new method is **not** applicable to Hurwitz zeta function and related zeta functions and **does not** contradict the existence of their non-trivial zeros away from the critical line with real part of  $s = \frac{1}{2}$ . The new method requires the **symmetry** relation  $\xi(s) = \xi(1-s)$  and hence  $\xi(\frac{1}{2}+i\omega) = \xi(\frac{1}{2}-i\omega)$  when evaluated at the critical line  $s = \frac{1}{2}+i\omega$ . This means  $\xi(\frac{1}{2}+i\omega) = E_{0\omega}(\omega) = E_{0\omega}(-\omega)$  and  $E_{0}(t) = E_{0}(-t)$  where

 $E_0(t) = 2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [2\pi^2 n^4 e^{4t} - 3\pi n^2 e^{2t}] e^{-\pi n^2 e^{2t}} e^{\frac{t}{2}}$  and this condition is satisfied for Riemann's Zeta function.

It is **not** known that Hurwitz Zeta Function given by  $\zeta(s,a) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(m+a)^s}$  satisfies a symmetry relation similar to  $\xi(s) = \xi(1-s)$  where  $\xi(s)$  is an entire function, for  $a \neq 1$  and hence the condition  $E_0(t) = E_0(-t)$  is **not** known to be satisfied<sup>[6]</sup>. Hence the new method is **not** applicable to Hurwitz zeta function and **does not** contradict the existence of their non-trivial zeros away from the critical line.

Dirichlet L-functions satisfy a symmetry relation  $\xi(s,\chi) = \epsilon(\chi)\xi(1-s,\bar{\chi})$  [7] which does **not** translate to  $E_0(t) = E_0(-t)$  required by the new method and hence this proof is **not** applicable to them.

We know that  $\zeta(s) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m^s}$  diverges for real part of  $s \leq 1$ . Hence we derive a convergent and entire function  $\xi(s)$ 

using the well known theorem  $F(x) = 1 + 2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-\pi n^2 x} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{x}}(1 + 2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-\pi \frac{n^2}{x}})$ , where x > 0 is real and then derive  $E_0(t) = 2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [2\pi^2 n^4 e^{4t} - 3\pi n^2 e^{2t}]e^{-\pi n^2 e^{2t}}e^{\frac{t}{2}}$  (Appendix D). In the case of **Hurwitz zeta** function and **other** 

 $E_0(t) = 2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [2\pi^2 n^4 e^{4t} - 3\pi n^2 e^{2t}] e^{-\pi n^2 e^{2t}} e^{\frac{t}{2}}$  (Appendix D). In the case of **Hurwitz zeta** function and **other zeta functions** with non-trivial zeros away from the critical line, it is **not** known if a corresponding relation similar to F(x) exists, which enables derivation of a convergent and entire function  $\xi(s)$  and results in  $E_0(t)$  as a Fourier transformable, real, even and analytic function. Hence the new method presented in this paper is **not** applicable to Hurwitz zeta function and related zeta functions.

The proof of Riemann Hypothesis presented in this paper is **only** for the specific case of Riemann's Zeta function and **only** for the **critical strip**  $0 \le |\sigma| < \frac{1}{2}$ . This proof requires both  $E_p(t)$  and  $E_{p\omega}(\omega)$  to be Fourier transformable where  $E_p(t) = E_0(t)e^{-\sigma t}$  is a real analytic function and uses the fact that  $E_0(t)$  is an **even** function which is **strictly decreasing** function for  $t \ge \frac{1}{8}$ . These conditions may **not** be satisfied for many other functions including those which have non-trivial zeros away from the critical line and hence the new method may **not** be applicable to such functions.

If the proof presented in this paper is internally consistent and does not have mistakes and gaps, then it should be considered correct, **regardless** of whether it contradicts any previously known external theorems, because it is possible that those previously known external theorems may be incorrect.

#### References

- [1] Bernhard Riemann, On the Number of Prime Numbers less than a Given Quantity. (Ueber die Anzahl der Primzahlen untereiner gegebenen Grosse.) Monatsberichte der Berliner Akademie, November 1859. (Link to Riemann's 1859 paper)
- [2] Hardy, G.H., Littlewood, J.E. The zeros of Riemann's zeta-function on the critical line. Mathematische Zeitschrift volume 10, pp.283 to 317 (1921).
- [3] E. C. Titchmarsh, The Theory of the Riemann Zeta Function. (1986) pp.254 to 255
- [4] Fern Ellison and William J. Ellison, Prime Numbers (1985).pp147 to 152
- [5] J. Brian Conrey, The Riemann Hypothesis (2003). (Link to Brian Conrey's 2003 article)
- [6] Mathworld article on Hurwitz Zeta functions. (Link)
- [7] Wikipedia article on Dirichlet L-functions. (Link)

#### Appendix A. Derivation of $E_p(t)$

Let us start with Riemann's Xi Function  $\xi(s)$  evaluated at  $s = \frac{1}{2} + i\omega$  given by  $\xi(\frac{1}{2} + i\omega) = E_{0\omega}(\omega)$ . Its inverse Fourier Transform is given by  $E_0(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} E_{0\omega}(\omega) e^{i\omega t} d\omega = 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [2\pi^2 n^4 e^{4t} - 3\pi n^2 e^{2t}] e^{-\pi n^2 e^{2t}} e^{\frac{t}{2}}$  (link). This is

re-derived in Appendix D.

We will show in this section that the inverse Fourier Transform of the function  $\xi(\frac{1}{2} + \sigma + i\omega) = E_{p\omega}(\omega)$ , is given by  $E_p(t) = E_0(t)e^{-\sigma t}$  where  $0 \le |\sigma| < \frac{1}{2}$  is real.

$$\xi(\frac{1}{2} + \sigma + i\omega) = \xi(\frac{1}{2} + i(\omega - i\sigma)) = E_{p\omega}(\omega) = E_{0\omega}(\omega - i\sigma)$$

$$E_{p}(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} E_{p\omega}(\omega) e^{i\omega t} d\omega = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} E_{0\omega}(\omega - i\sigma) e^{i\omega t} d\omega$$
(A.1)

We substitute  $\omega' = \omega - i\sigma$  in Eq. A.1 as follows.

$$E_p(t) = e^{-\sigma t} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty - i\sigma}^{\infty - i\sigma} E_{0\omega}(\omega') e^{i\omega' t} d\omega'$$
(A.2)

We can evaluate the above integral in the complex plane using contour integration, substituting  $\omega' = z = x + iy$  and we use a rectangular contour comprised of  $C_1$  along the line  $x = [-\infty, \infty]$ ,  $C_2$  along the line  $y = [\infty, \infty - i\sigma]$ ,  $C_3$  along the line  $x = [\infty - i\sigma, -\infty - i\sigma]$  and then  $C_4$  along the line  $y = [-\infty - i\sigma, -\infty]$ . We can see that  $E_{0\omega}(z) = \xi(\frac{1}{2} + iz)$  has no singularities in the region bounded by the contour because  $\xi(\frac{1}{2} + iz)$  is an entire function in the Z-plane.

In **Appendix C.1**, we show that  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |E_p(t)| dt$  is finite and  $E_p(t) = E_0(t)e^{-\sigma t}$  is an absolutely integrable function, for  $0 \le |\sigma| < \frac{1}{2}$ .

We use the fact that  $E_{0\omega}(z) = \xi(\frac{1}{2} + iz) = \xi(\frac{1}{2} - y + ix) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} E_0(t)e^{-izt}dt = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} E_0(t)e^{yt}e^{-ixt}dt$ , goes to zero as  $x \to \pm \infty$  when  $-\sigma \le y \le 0$ , as per Riemann-Lebesgue Lemma (link), because  $E_0(t)e^{yt}$  is a absolutely integrable function in the interval  $-\infty \le t \le \infty$ . Hence the integral in Eq. A.2 vanishes along the contours  $C_2$  and  $C_4$ . Using Cauchy's Integral theroem, we can write Eq. A.2 as follows.

$$E_p(t) = e^{-\sigma t} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} E_{0\omega}(\omega') e^{i\omega' t} d\omega'$$

$$E_p(t) = E_0(t)e^{-\sigma t} = 2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [2\pi^2 n^4 e^{4t} - 3\pi n^2 e^{2t}] e^{-\pi n^2 e^{2t}} e^{\frac{t}{2}} e^{-\sigma t}$$
(A.3)

Thus we have arrived at the desired result  $E_p(t) = E_0(t)e^{-\sigma t}$ .

#### Appendix B. Properties of Fourier Transforms Part 1

In this section, some well-known properties of Fourier transforms are re-derived.

# Appendix B.1. Convolution Theorem: Multiplication of g(t) and h(t) corresponds to convolution in Fourier transform domain

We start with the Fourier transform equation  $F(\omega) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(t)e^{-i\omega t}dt$  where f(t) = g(t)h(t) and show that  $F(\omega) = \frac{1}{2\pi}[G(\omega)*H(\omega)] = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} G(\omega')H(\omega-\omega')d\omega'$  obtained by the **convolution** of the functions  $G(\omega)$  and  $H(\omega)$  which correspond to the Fourier transforms of g(t) and h(t) respectively.

$$F(\omega) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(t)e^{-i\omega t}dt = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(t)h(t)e^{-i\omega t}dt$$
(B.1)

We use the inverse Fourier transform equation  $g(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} G(\omega') e^{i\omega't} d\omega'$  and we interchange the order of integration in equations below using Fubini's theorem (link).

$$F(\omega) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left[ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} G(\omega') e^{i\omega't} d\omega' \right] h(t) e^{-i\omega t} dt$$

$$F(\omega) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} G(\omega') \left[ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i\omega't} h(t) e^{-i\omega t} dt \right] d\omega'$$

$$F(\omega) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} G(\omega') \left[ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h(t) e^{-i(\omega - \omega')t} dt \right] d\omega'$$
(B.2)

We substitute  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h(t)e^{-i(\omega-\omega')t}dt = H(\omega-\omega')$  in Eq. B.2 and arrive at the convolution theorem.

$$F(\omega) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} G(\omega') H(\omega - \omega') d\omega' = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(t) h(t) e^{-i\omega t} dt$$
 (B.3)

## Appendix B.2. Fourier transform of Real g(t)

In this section, we show that the Fourier transform of a real function g(t), given by  $G(\omega) = G_R(\omega) + iG_I(\omega)$  has the properties given by  $G_R(-\omega) = G_R(\omega)$  and  $G_I(-\omega) = -G_I(\omega)$ .

$$G(\omega) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(t)e^{-i\omega t}dt = G_R(\omega) + iG_I(\omega)$$

$$G_R(\omega) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(t)\cos(\omega t)dt = G_R(-\omega)$$

$$G_I(\omega) = -\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(t)\sin(\omega t)dt = -G_I(-\omega)$$
(B.4)

# Appendix B.3. Even part of g(t) corresponds to real part of Fourier transform $G(\omega)$

In this section, we show that the **even part** of real function g(t), given by  $g_{even}(t) = \frac{1}{2}[g(t) + g(-t)]$ , corresponds to **real part** of its Fourier transform  $G(\omega)$ . We use the fact that  $G_R(-\omega) = G_R(\omega)$  and  $G_I(-\omega) = -G_I(\omega)$  for a real function g(t).

$$G(\omega) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(t)e^{-i\omega t}dt = G_R(\omega) + iG_I(\omega)$$
$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g_{even}(t)e^{-i\omega t}dt = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2}[g(t) + g(-t)]e^{-i\omega t}dt = \frac{1}{2}[G(\omega) + G(-\omega)] = G_R(\omega)$$
(B.5)

# Appendix B.4. Odd part of g(t) corresponds to imaginary part of Fourier transform $G(\omega)$

In this section, we show that the **odd part** of real function g(t), given by  $g_{odd}(t) = \frac{1}{2}[g(t) - g(-t)]$ , corresponds to **imaginary part** of its Fourier transform  $G(\omega)$ . We use the fact that  $G_R(-\omega) = G_R(\omega)$  and  $G_I(-\omega) = -G_I(\omega)$  for a real function g(t).

$$G(\omega) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(t)e^{-i\omega t}dt = G_R(\omega) + iG_I(\omega)$$

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g_{odd}(t)e^{-i\omega t}dt = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2}[g(t) - g(-t)]e^{-i\omega t}dt = \frac{1}{2}[G(\omega) - G(-\omega)] = iG_I(\omega)$$
(B.6)

#### Appendix C. Properties of Fourier Transforms Part 2

Appendix C.1.  $E_p(t), h(t), g(t)$  are absolutely integrable functions and their Fourier Transforms are finite.

The inverse Fourier Transform of the function  $E_{p\omega}(\omega) = \xi(\frac{1}{2} + \sigma + i\omega)$  is given by  $E_p(t) = E_0(t)e^{-\sigma t} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} E_{p\omega}(\omega) e^{i\omega t} d\omega$ . We see that  $E_0(t) = 2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [2\pi^2 n^4 e^{4t} - 3\pi n^2 e^{2t}] e^{-\pi n^2 e^{2t}} e^{\frac{t}{2}} > 0$  for all  $0 \le t < \infty$ . Given that  $E_0(t) = E_0(-t)$ , we see that  $E_0(t) > 0$  and  $E_p(t) = E_0(t)e^{-\sigma t} > 0$  for all  $-\infty < t < \infty$ .

As  $t \to \infty$ ,  $E_p(t)$  goes to zero, due to the term  $e^{-\pi n^2 e^{2t}}$ . As  $t \to -\infty$ ,  $E_p(t)$  goes to zero, because for every value of n, the term  $e^{-\pi n^2 e^{2t}} e^{\frac{5t}{2}} e^{-\sigma t}$  goes to zero, for  $0 \le |\sigma| < \frac{1}{2}$ . Hence  $E_p(t) = E_0(t) e^{-\sigma t} = 0$  at  $t \to \pm \infty$  and we showed that  $E_p(t) > 0$  for all  $-\infty < t < \infty$ . Hence  $E_{p\omega}(\omega) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} E_p(t) e^{-i\omega t} dt$ , evaluated at  $\omega = 0$  cannot be zero. Hence  $E_{p\omega}(\omega)$  does not have a zero at  $\omega = 0$  and hence  $\omega_0 \ne 0$ .

Given that  $\xi(\frac{1}{2} + \sigma + i\omega) = E_{p\omega}(\omega)$  is an entire function in the whole of s-plane, it is finite for  $|\omega| \leq \infty$  and also for  $\omega = 0$ . Hence  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} E_p(t)dt$  is finite. We see that  $E_p(t) \geq 0$  for all  $|t| \leq \infty$ . Hence we can write  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |E_p(t)|dt$  is finite and  $E_p(t)$  is an absolutely **integrable function** and its Fourier transform  $E_{p\omega}(\omega)$  goes to zero as  $\omega \to \pm \infty$ , as per Riemann Lebesgue Lemma (link).

Let us consider a new function  $g(t) = f(t)e^{-\sigma t}u(-t) + f(t)e^{\sigma t}u(t)$  where g(t) is a real function of variable t and u(t) is Heaviside unit step function and  $0 < \sigma < \frac{1}{2}$ . We can see that g(t)h(t) = f(t) where  $h(t) = e^{\sigma t}u(-t) + e^{-\sigma t}u(t)$ .

We can see that  $h(t) = e^{\sigma t}u(-t) + e^{-\sigma t}u(t)$  is an absolutely **integrable function** because  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |h(t)|dt = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h(t)dt = \left[\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h(t)e^{-i\omega t}dt\right]_{\omega=0} = \left[\frac{1}{\sigma-i\omega} + \frac{1}{\sigma+i\omega}\right]_{\omega=0} = \frac{2}{\sigma}$ , is finite for  $0 < \sigma < \frac{1}{2}$  and its Fourier transform  $H(\omega)$  goes to zero as  $\omega \to \pm \infty$ , as per Riemann Lebesgue Lemma (link).

It is shown in Appendix C.4 that  $E_0(t)$  and  $E_0(t)e^{-2\sigma t}$  have fall-off rates at least  $\frac{1}{t^2}$  as  $|t| \to \infty$  and hence are absolutely **integrable** functions and the integrals  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |E_0(t)| dt < \infty$  and  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |E_0(t)e^{-2\sigma t}| dt < \infty$ . Hence  $g(t) = E_0(t)e^{-2\sigma t}u(-t) + E_0(t)u(t)$  is an absolutely **integrable function** and  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |g(t)| dt = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(t) dt$  is finite and its Fourier transform  $G(\omega)$  goes to zero as  $\omega \to \pm \infty$ , as per Riemann Lebesgue Lemma (link).

#### Appendix C.2. Convolution integral convergence

Let us consider  $h(t) = e^{\sigma t}u(-t) + e^{-\sigma t}u(t)$  whose **first derivative is discontinuous** at t = 0. The second derivative of h(t) given by  $h_2(t)$  has a Dirac delta function  $A_0\delta(t)$  where  $A_0 = -2\sigma$  and its Fourier transform  $H_2(\omega)$  has a constant term  $A_0$ , corresponding to the Dirac delta function.

This means h(t) is obtained by integrating  $h_2(t)$  twice and its Fourier transform  $H(\omega)$  has a term  $-\frac{A_0}{\omega^2}$  (link) and has a **fall off rate** of  $\frac{1}{\omega^2}$  as  $|\omega| \to \infty$  and  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} H(\omega) d\omega$  converges.

We see that 
$$E_p(t) = E_0(t)e^{-\sigma t}$$
 where  $\left[\frac{1}{2}e^{\frac{t}{2}} - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-\pi n^2 e^{-2t}}e^{\frac{-t}{2}}\right]u(-t) + \left[\frac{1}{2}e^{\frac{-t}{2}} - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-\pi n^2 e^{2t}}e^{\frac{t}{2}}\right]u(t)$ .

Let us consider a new function  $g(t) = E_p(t)e^{-\sigma t}u(-t) + E_p(t)e^{\sigma t}u(t)$  where g(t) is a real function of variable t and u(t) is Heaviside unit step function and  $0 < \sigma < \frac{1}{2}$ . We can see that  $g(t)h(t) = E_p(t)$  where  $h(t) = e^{\sigma t}u(-t) + e^{-\sigma t}u(t)$ .

We can see that  $G(\omega), H(\omega)$  have **fall-off rate** of  $\frac{1}{\omega^2}$  as  $|\omega| \to \infty$  because the **first derivatives** of g(t), h(t) are **discontinuous** at t = 0. Also, h(t), g(t) are absolutely integrable functions and their Fourier Transforms are finite. Hence the convolution integral below converges to a finite value for  $|\omega| \le \infty$ .

$$F(\omega) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} G(\omega') H(\omega - \omega') d\omega' = \frac{1}{2\pi} [G(\omega) * H(\omega)]$$
 (C.1)

#### Appendix C.3. Fall off rate of Fourier Transform of functions

Let us consider a real Fourier transformable function  $P(t) = P_+(t)u(t) + P_-(t)u(-t)$  whose  $(N-1)^{th}$  derivative is discontinuous at t = 0. The  $(N)^{th}$  derivative of P(t) given by  $P_N(t)$  has a Dirac delta function  $A_0\delta(t)$  where  $A_0 = \left[\frac{d^{N-1}P_+(t)}{dt^{N-1}} - \frac{d^{N-1}P_-(t)}{dt^{N-1}}\right]_{t=0}$  and its Fourier transform  $P_N(\omega)$  has a constant term  $A_0$ , corresponding to the Dirac delta function.

This means P(t) is obtained by integrating  $P_N(t)$ , N times and its Fourier transform  $P(\omega)$  has a term  $\frac{A_0}{(i\omega)^N}$  (link) and has a **fall off rate** of  $\frac{1}{\omega^N}$  as  $|\omega| \to \infty$ .

We have shown that if the  $(N-1)^{th}$  derivative of the function P(t) is discontinuous at t=0 then its Fourier transform  $P(\omega)$  has a fall-off rate of  $\frac{1}{\omega^N}$  as  $|\omega| \to \infty$ .

# Appendix C.4. Payley-Weiner theorem and Exponential Fall off rate of analytic functions.

We know that Payley-Weiner theorem relates analytic functions and exponential decay rate of their Fourier transforms (link). Using similar arguments, we will show that the functions  $E_0(t)$ ,  $E_p(t)$  and  $x(t) = E_0(t)e^{-2\sigma t}$  and  $\frac{d^{2r}x(t)}{dt^{2r}}$  have fall-off rates at least  $\frac{1}{t^2}$  as  $|t| \to \infty$  for  $0 < \sigma < \frac{1}{2}$ .

We know that the order of Riemann's Xi function  $\xi(\frac{1}{2}+i\omega) = E_{0\omega}(\omega) = \Xi(\omega)$  is given by  $O(\omega^A e^{-\frac{|\omega|\pi}{4}})$  where A is a constant<sup>[3]</sup> (link). Hence both  $E_{0\omega}(\omega)$  and  $E_{p\omega}(\omega) = \xi(\frac{1}{2}+\sigma+i\omega) = E_{0\omega}(\omega-i\sigma)$  have **exponential fall-off** rate  $O(\omega^A e^{-\frac{|\omega|\pi}{4}})$  as  $|\omega| \to \infty$  and they are absolutely integrable and Fourier transformable, given that they are derived from an entire function  $\xi(s)$ .

Given that  $\xi(s)$  is an entire function in the s-plane, we see that  $E_{0\omega}(\omega)$  and  $E_{p\omega}(\omega)$  are **analytic** functions which are infinitely differentiable which produce no discontinuities for all  $|\omega| \leq \infty$  and  $0 < \sigma < \frac{1}{2}$ . Hence their respective **inverse Fourier transforms**  $E_0(t), E_p(t)$  have fall-off rates faster than  $\frac{1}{t^M}$  as  $M \to \infty$ , as  $|t| \to \infty$  (Appendix C.3) and hence it should have **exponential fall-off** rates as  $|t| \to \infty$ .

We can use similar arguments to show that  $x(t) = E_0(t)e^{-2\sigma t}$  and  $\frac{d^{2r}x(t)}{dt^{2r}}$  have fall-off rates at least  $\frac{1}{t^2}$  as  $|t| \to \infty$ , because their Fourier transforms are analytic functions for all  $|\omega| \le \infty$  with exponential fall-off rate  $O(\omega^A e^{-\frac{|\omega|\pi}{4}})$  as  $|\omega| \to \infty$ .

#### Appendix D. Derivation of entire function $\xi(s)$

In this section, we will re-derive Riemann's Xi function  $\xi(s)$  and the inverse Fourier Transform of  $\xi(\frac{1}{2}+i\omega)=E_{0\omega}(\omega)$  and show the result  $E_0(t)=2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}[2\pi^2n^4e^{4t}-3\pi n^2e^{2t}]e^{-\pi n^2e^{2t}}e^{\frac{t}{2}}$ .

We will use the steps in Ellison's book "Prime Numbers" pages 151-152 and re-derive the steps below<sup>[4]</sup> (link). We start with the gamma function  $\Gamma(s) = \int_0^\infty y^{s-1} e^{-y} dy$  and substitute  $y = \pi n^2 x$  and derive as follows.

$$\Gamma(\frac{s}{2}) = \int_0^\infty y^{\frac{s}{2} - 1} e^{-y} dy$$

$$\Gamma(\frac{s}{2}) (\pi n^2)^{-\frac{s}{2}} = \int_0^\infty x^{\frac{s}{2} - 1} e^{-\pi n^2 x} dx$$
(D.1)

For real part of s greater than 1, we can do a summation of both sides of above equation for all positive integers n and obtain as follows. We note that  $\zeta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^s}$ .

$$\Gamma(\frac{s}{2})\pi^{-\frac{s}{2}}\zeta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} x^{\frac{s}{2}-1} e^{-\pi n^{2}x} dx$$
(D.2)

For real part of s ( $\sigma'$ ) greater than 1, we can use theorem of dominated convergence and interchange the order of summation and integration as follows. We use the fact that  $w(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-\pi n^2 x}$  and

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} |x^{\frac{s}{2}-1} e^{-\pi n^{2} x}| dx = \Gamma(\frac{\sigma'}{2}) \pi^{-\frac{\sigma'}{2}} \zeta(\sigma').$$

$$\Gamma(\frac{s}{2})\pi^{-\frac{s}{2}}\zeta(s) = \int_0^\infty x^{\frac{s}{2}-1}w(x)dx$$
(D.3)

For real part of s less than or equal to 1,  $\zeta(s)$  diverges. Hence we do the following. In Eq. D.3, first we consider real part of s greater than 1 and we divide the range of integration into two parts: (0,1] and  $[1,\infty)$  and make the substitution  $x \to \frac{1}{x}$  in the first interval (0,1]. We use **the well known theorem**  $1 + 2w(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{x}}(1 + 2w(\frac{1}{x}))$ , where x > 0 is real.<sup>[4]</sup>

$$\Gamma(\frac{s}{2})\pi^{-\frac{s}{2}}\zeta(s) = \int_{1}^{\infty} x^{\frac{s}{2}-1}w(x)dx + \int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{x^{-(\frac{s}{2}-1)}}{x^{2}} \frac{(1+2w(x))\sqrt{x}-1)}{2}dx$$
(D.4)

Hence we can simplify Eq. D.4 as follows.

$$\Gamma(\frac{s}{2})\pi^{-\frac{s}{2}}\zeta(s) = \frac{1}{s(s-1)} + \int_{1}^{\infty} x^{\frac{s}{2}-1}w(x)dx + \int_{1}^{\infty} x^{\frac{-(s+1)}{2}}w(x)dx$$
(D.5)

We multiply above equation by  $\frac{1}{2}s(s-1)$  and get

$$\xi(s) = \frac{1}{2}s(s-1)\Gamma(\frac{s}{2})\pi^{-\frac{s}{2}}\zeta(s) = \frac{1}{2}\left[1 + s(s-1)\int_{1}^{\infty} (x^{\frac{s}{2}} + x^{\frac{1-s}{2}})w(x)\frac{dx}{x}\right]$$
(D.6)

We see that  $\xi(s)$  is an entire function, for all values of Re[s] in the complex plane and hence we get an analytic continuation of  $\xi(s)$  over the entire complex plane. We see that  $\xi(s) = \xi(1-s)^{-4}$ .

# Appendix D.1. **Derivation of** $E_p(t)$ **and** $E_0(t)$

Given that  $w(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-\pi n^2 x}$ , we substitute  $x = e^{2t}$ ,  $\frac{dx}{x} = 2dt$  in Eq. D.6 and evaluate at  $s = \frac{1}{2} + \sigma + i\omega$  as follows.

$$\xi(\frac{1}{2} + \sigma + i\omega) = \frac{1}{2} \left[ 1 + 2(\frac{1}{2} + \sigma + i\omega)(-\frac{1}{2} + \sigma + i\omega) \int_0^\infty \sum_{n=1}^\infty e^{-\pi n^2 e^{2t}} (e^{\frac{t}{2}} e^{\sigma t} e^{i\omega t} + e^{\frac{t}{2}} e^{-\sigma t} e^{-i\omega t}) dt \right]$$
(D.7)

We can substitute t = -t in the first term in above integral and simplify above equation as follows.

$$\xi(\frac{1}{2} + \sigma + i\omega) = \frac{1}{2} + (-\frac{1}{4} + \sigma^2 - \omega^2 + i\omega(2\sigma)) \left[ \int_{-\infty}^{0} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-\pi n^2 e^{-2t}} e^{\frac{-t}{2}} e^{-\sigma t} e^{-i\omega t} dt + \int_{0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-\pi n^2 e^{2t}} e^{\frac{t}{2}} e^{-\sigma t} e^{-i\omega t} dt \right]$$
(D.8)

We can write this as follows.

$$\xi(\frac{1}{2} + \sigma + i\omega) = \frac{1}{2} + (-\frac{1}{4} + \sigma^2 - \omega^2 + i\omega(2\sigma)) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left[\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-\pi n^2 e^{-2t}} e^{\frac{-t}{2}} u(-t) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-\pi n^2 e^{2t}} e^{\frac{t}{2}} u(t)\right] e^{-\sigma t} e^{-i\omega t} dt \quad (D.9)$$

We define  $A(t) = \left[\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-\pi n^2 e^{-2t}} e^{\frac{-t}{2}} u(-t) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-\pi n^2 e^{2t}} e^{\frac{t}{2}} u(t)\right] e^{-\sigma t}$  and get the **inverse Fourier transform** of  $\xi(\frac{1}{2} + \sigma + i\omega)$  in above equation given by  $E_p(t)$  as follows. We use dirac delta function  $\delta(t)$ .

$$E_{p}(t) = \frac{1}{2}\delta(t) + \left(-\frac{1}{4} + \sigma^{2}\right)A(t) + 2\sigma\frac{dA(t)}{dt} + \frac{d^{2}A(t)}{dt^{2}}$$

$$A(t) = \left[\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-\pi n^{2}e^{-2t}}e^{\frac{-t}{2}}u(-t) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-\pi n^{2}e^{2t}}e^{\frac{t}{2}}u(t)\right]e^{-\sigma t}$$

$$\frac{dA(t)}{dt} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-\pi n^{2}e^{-2t}}e^{\frac{-t}{2}}e^{-\sigma t}\left[-\frac{1}{2} - \sigma + 2\pi n^{2}e^{-2t}\right]u(-t) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-\pi n^{2}e^{2t}}e^{\frac{t}{2}}e^{-\sigma t}\left[\frac{1}{2} - \sigma - 2\pi n^{2}e^{2t}\right]u(t)$$

$$\frac{d^{2}A(t)}{dt^{2}} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-\pi n^{2}e^{-2t}}e^{\frac{-t}{2}}e^{-\sigma t}\left[-4\pi n^{2}e^{-2t} + \left(-\frac{1}{2} - \sigma + 2\pi n^{2}e^{-2t}\right)^{2}\right]u(-t)$$

$$+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-\pi n^{2}e^{2t}}e^{\frac{t}{2}}e^{-\sigma t}\left[-4\pi n^{2}e^{2t} + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \sigma - 2\pi n^{2}e^{2t}\right)^{2}\right]u(t) + \delta(t)\left[\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-\pi n^{2}}(1 - 4\pi n^{2})\right]$$
(D.10)

We can simplify above equation as follows.

$$\frac{d^2A(t)}{dt^2} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-\pi n^2 e^{-2t}} e^{\frac{-t}{2}} e^{-\sigma t} \left[ \frac{1}{4} + \sigma^2 + \sigma + 4\pi^2 n^4 e^{-4t} - 6\pi n^2 e^{-2t} - 4\sigma \pi n^2 e^{-2t} \right] u(-t)$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-\pi n^2 e^{2t}} e^{\frac{t}{2}} e^{-\sigma t} \left[ \frac{1}{4} + \sigma^2 - \sigma + 4\pi^2 n^4 e^{4t} - 6\pi n^2 e^{2t} + 4\sigma \pi n^2 e^{2t} \right] u(t) + \delta(t) \left[ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-\pi n^2} (1 - 4\pi n^2) \right]$$
(D.11)

We use the fact that  $F(x) = 1 + 2w(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{x}}(1 + 2w(\frac{1}{x}))$ , where  $w(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-\pi n^2 x}$  and x > 0 is real<sup>[4]</sup>, and we take the first derivative of F(x) and evaluate it at x = 1. We see that  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-\pi n^2}(1 - 4\pi n^2) = -\frac{1}{2}$  (Appendix D.2) and hence **dirac delta terms cancel each other** in equation below.

$$E_{p}(t) = \frac{1}{2}\delta(t) + \left(-\frac{1}{4} + \sigma^{2}\right)A(t) + 2\sigma\frac{dA(t)}{dt} + \frac{d^{2}A(t)}{dt^{2}}$$

$$E_{p}(t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-\pi n^{2}e^{2t}} e^{\frac{t}{2}} e^{-\sigma t} \left[-\frac{1}{4} + \sigma^{2} + 2\sigma(\frac{1}{2} - \sigma - 2\pi n^{2}e^{2t}) + \frac{1}{4} + \sigma^{2} - \sigma + 4\pi^{2}n^{4}e^{4t} - 6\pi n^{2}e^{2t} + 4\sigma\pi n^{2}e^{2t}\right]u(t)$$

$$+ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-\pi n^{2}e^{-2t}} e^{\frac{-t}{2}} e^{-\sigma t} \left[-\frac{1}{4} + \sigma^{2} + 2\sigma(-\frac{1}{2} - \sigma + 2\pi n^{2}e^{-2t}) + \frac{1}{4} + \sigma^{2} + \sigma + 4\pi^{2}n^{4}e^{-4t} - 6\pi n^{2}e^{-2t} - 4\sigma\pi n^{2}e^{-2t}\right]u(-t)$$

$$(D.12)$$

We can simplify above equation as follows.

$$E_p(t) = [E_0(-t)u(-t) + E_0(t)u(t)]e^{-\sigma t}$$

$$E_0(t) = 2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [2\pi^2 n^4 e^{4t} - 3\pi n^2 e^{2t}]e^{-\pi n^2 e^{2t}}e^{\frac{t}{2}}$$
(D.13)

We use the fact that  $E_0(t) = E_0(-t)$  because  $\xi(s) = \xi(1-s)$  and hence  $\xi(\frac{1}{2} + i\omega) = \xi(\frac{1}{2} - i\omega)$  when evaluated at the critical line  $s = \frac{1}{2} + i\omega$ . This means  $\xi(\frac{1}{2} + i\omega) = E_{0\omega}(\omega) = E_{0\omega}(-\omega)$  and  $E_0(t) = E_0(-t)$  and we arrive at the desired result for  $E_p(t)$  as follows.

$$E_0(t) = 2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [2\pi^2 n^4 e^{4t} - 3\pi n^2 e^{2t}] e^{-\pi n^2 e^{2t}} e^{\frac{t}{2}}$$

$$E_p(t) = E_0(t)e^{-\sigma t} = 2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [2\pi^2 n^4 e^{4t} - 3\pi n^2 e^{2t}] e^{-\pi n^2 e^{2t}} e^{\frac{t}{2}} e^{-\sigma t}$$
(D.14)

Appendix D.2. **Derivation of**  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-\pi n^2} (1 - 4\pi n^2) = -\frac{1}{2}$ 

In this section, we derive  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-\pi n^2} (1 - 4\pi n^2) = -\frac{1}{2}$ . We use the fact that  $F(x) = 1 + 2w(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{x}} (1 + 2w(\frac{1}{x}))$ , where  $w(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-\pi n^2 x}$  and x > 0 is real<sup>[4]</sup>, and we take the first derivative of F(x) and evaluate it at x = 1.

$$F(x) = 1 + 2w(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{x}}(1 + 2w(\frac{1}{x}))$$
 
$$F(x) = 1 + 2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-\pi n^2 x} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{x}}(1 + 2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-\pi n^2 \frac{1}{x}})$$
 
$$\frac{dF(x)}{dx} = 2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-\pi n^2)e^{-\pi n^2 x} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{x}}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (2\pi n^2)e^{-\pi n^2 \frac{1}{x}}(\frac{1}{x^2}) + (1 + 2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-\pi n^2 \frac{1}{x}})(\frac{-1}{2})\frac{1}{x^{\frac{3}{2}}}$$

(D.15)

We evaluate the above equation at x = 1 and we simplify as follows.

$$\left[\frac{dF(x)}{dx}\right]_{x=1} = 2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-\pi n^2)e^{-\pi n^2} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (2\pi n^2)e^{-\pi n^2} + (1 + 2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-\pi n^2})(\frac{-1}{2})$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-\pi n^2}(1 - 4\pi n^2) = -\frac{1}{2}$$
(D.16)

## Appendix D.3. Derivation of Result 1

In this section, we derive the result  $\frac{1}{2}e^{\frac{-t}{2}} - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}e^{-\pi n^2e^{2t}}e^{\frac{t}{2}} = \frac{1}{2}e^{\frac{t}{2}} - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}e^{-\pi n^2e^{-2t}}e^{\frac{-t}{2}}$  for  $|t| < \infty$ . We use the the well known theorem  $F(x) = 1 + 2w(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{x}}(1 + 2w(\frac{1}{x}))$ , where  $w(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}e^{-\pi n^2x}$  and x > 0 is real<sup>[4]</sup>. We substitute  $x = e^{2t}$  as follows.

$$F(x) = 1 + 2w(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{x}} (1 + 2w(\frac{1}{x}))$$

$$F(e^{2t}) = 1 + 2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-\pi n^2 e^{2t}} = \frac{1}{e^t} (1 + 2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-\pi n^2 e^{-2t}})$$
(D.17)

We multiply above equation by  $\frac{1}{2}e^{\frac{t}{2}}$  and derive the result as follows.

$$\frac{1}{2}e^{\frac{t}{2}} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-\pi n^2 e^{2t}} e^{\frac{t}{2}} = \frac{1}{2}e^{\frac{-t}{2}} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-\pi n^2 e^{-2t}} e^{\frac{-t}{2}}$$

$$\frac{1}{2}e^{\frac{-t}{2}} - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-\pi n^2 e^{2t}} e^{\frac{t}{2}} = \frac{1}{2}e^{\frac{t}{2}} - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-\pi n^2 e^{-2t}} e^{\frac{-t}{2}}$$
(D.18)