

Computability

Mike Antonenko

Based on lectures by Svetlana Puzynina

Typeset on February 28, 2021

Contents

Note	2
Partial recursive functions	2
Minimisation and partial recursive functions	5
Bounded minimisation	6
Primitive recursive predicates	7
Applications of minimisation	9
Mutual and complete recursion	10
Trivially computable functions	13
Equivalence of Kleene and Turing computability	14
The Ackermann function	16
Some partial recursive functions	20

Here starts the lecture #1, from February 11, Thursday.

Note

For the rest of this course, \mathbb{N} contains zero. For any set S , we think that $S^0 = \{0\} = \{\emptyset\}$.

Partial recursive functions

Definition. Let $f: \subseteq \mathbb{N}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ be a partial function. f is *simplistic*, iff

1. $f(x) = 0$ (*zero*, $f =: 0$).
2. $f(x) = x + 1$ (*successor*, $f =: s$).
3. $f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = x_m$ (*projection*, $f =: I_m^n$)

Definition. There are several operations with functions $\mathbb{N}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$, each of which we assign a letter.

The *composition operator* S . If we have $h(y_1, \dots, y_m)$ and $g_i(x_1, \dots, x_n)$, $i = 1, \dots, m$, we define their *composition* f as

$$f = h(g_1(x_1, \dots, x_n), \dots, g_m(x_1, \dots, x_n)).$$

The *primitive recursion operator* R . f of arity $n + 1$ is defined with g and h of arities n and $n + 2$ as

$$\begin{aligned} f(x_1, \dots, x_n, 0) &= g(x_1, \dots, x_n) \\ f(x_1, \dots, x_n, y + 1) &= h(x_1, \dots, x_n, y, f(x_1, \dots, x_n, y)). \end{aligned}$$

f is said to be a *primitive recursive* function, iff there exists f_1, \dots, f_k — a sequence of functions such that f_i is either simplistic, or gotten from f_1, \dots, f_{i-1} with the help of S and R ; and $f_k = f$.

Example. $f(x, y) = x + 1$ is primitive recursive:

$$\begin{cases} f(x, 0) = x = I_1^1(x), \\ f(x, y + 1) = (x + y) + 1 = s(f(x, y)) = s(I_3^3(x, y, f(x, y))), \end{cases}$$

so we can put $g = I_1^1$ and $h = s \circ I_3^3$ in the definition above.

Lemma. The following are primitive recursive:

1. Constants.
2. Binary sums, products, powers.
3. $[x \neq 0]$.
4. $[x = 0]$.
5. $(x - 1)[x > 0]$.
6. $(x - y)[x \geq y]$.
7. $|x - y|$.

Proof.

1. Suppose $f: A \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$, where $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}^k$, is $c \in \mathbb{N}$ everywhere. If $c = 0$, then f is simplistic, and is primitive as such. Suppose $c > 0$. By induction, the function $g: A \rightarrow \mathbb{N}^k$ that maps $x \mapsto c - 1$ is primitive. We then have

$$f(x) = s(g(x))$$

for any $x \in A$, so f is primitive by the composition rule.

2. For sums this has been shown in the preceding example. Let $f(x, y) = xy$.

$$\begin{aligned} f(x, 0) &= 0, \\ f(x, y + 1) &= x(y + 1) = xy + x = f(x, y) + x. \end{aligned}$$

Since sums are primitive, f is primitive by the recursion rule.

3. Let $f(x, y) = x^y$.

$$\begin{aligned} f(x, 0) &= 1, \\ f(x, y + 1) &= f(x, y) \cdot y. \end{aligned}$$

Since products are primitive, f is primitive by the recursion rule.

4. Let $f(x) = [x \neq 0]$. Let $h: A \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ be the constant 1. Then

$$\begin{aligned} f(0) &= 0, \\ f(y+1) &= 1 = h(y). \end{aligned}$$

Recursion.

5. Let $f(x) = [x = 0]$. Then

$$f(x) = 1 - [x \neq 0].$$

The function $g(x) = 1 - x$, defined on $\{0, 1\}$, is primitive by a trivial application of the recursion rule. Hence f is, by the composition rule.

6. Let $f(x) = (x - 1)[x > 0]$. We denote $f(x) = x \dot{-} 1$.

$$\begin{aligned} f(0) &= 0, \\ f(x+1) &= x. \end{aligned}$$

f is primitive by the recursion rule (the identity function is the projection I_1^1).

7. Let $f(x, y) = (x - y)[x \geq y] = x \dot{-} y$. Observe that

$$x \dot{-} (y + 1) = (x \dot{-} y) \dot{-} 1,$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} f(x, 1) &= (x - 1)[x \geq 1] = (x - 1)[x > 0], \\ f(x, y + 1) &= (f(x, y) - 1)[f(x, y) > 0]. \end{aligned}$$

8. Let $f(x, y) = |x - y|$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} f(x, y) &= \max(0, x - y) - \min(0, x - y) \\ &= \max(0, x - y) + \max(0, y - x) \\ &= (x \dot{-} y) + (y \dot{-} x). \end{aligned}$$

■

Minimisation and partial recursive functions

Definition (minimisation operator μ). If g is a function of arity $n + 1$, we may construct f of arity n as

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \min\{y \mid g(x_1, \dots, x_n, y) = 0\}.$$

Example.

$$x \dot{-} y = \min\{z \mid |(x - y) - z| = 0\}.$$

Bounded minimisation

Notation. $\bar{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$.

Definition (bounded minimisation operator μ_{\leq}). If g and h are functions of arity $n + 1$ and n , respectively, we may construct partial f as

$$f(\bar{x}) = \min\{y \mid g(\bar{x}, y) = 0, y \leq h(\bar{x})\}.$$

Lemma. If $f \in \text{PR}$, binary operation $\odot \in \text{PR}_{n+1}$ is associative, and

$$g(\bar{x}, y) = \bigodot_{i=0}^y f(\bar{x}, i),$$

then $g \in \text{PR}$.

Proof.

$$g(\bar{x}, 0) = f(\bar{x}, 0),$$

$$g(\bar{x}, y + 1) = g(\bar{x}, y) \odot f(\bar{x}, y).$$

■

Lemma. If g and h are total and primitive recursive, and f is as in the previous definition, then f is primitive recursive.

Proof.

$$f(\bar{x}) = \sum_{i=0}^{h(\bar{x})} \prod_{j=0}^i [g(\bar{x}, j) \neq 0].$$

■

Primitive recursive predicates

Definition. The predicate T is called *primitive recursive*, iff its characteristic function $x \mapsto [T(x)]$ is primitive recursive.

Lemma. If P and Q are primitive recursive predicates, then $\neg P, P \vee Q, P \wedge Q, P \Rightarrow Q$ are primitive recursive.

Proof. The last statement is superfluous, but we write the formula, nevertheless:

$$\begin{aligned} [\neg P] &= 1 - [P], \\ [P \wedge Q] &= [P][Q], \\ [P \vee Q] &= [P] + [Q] - [P][Q], \\ [P \Rightarrow Q] &= [\neg P \vee Q] \\ &= 1 - [P][\neg Q]. \end{aligned}$$

■

Lemma. $=, \leq, \geq, <, >$ are primitive recursive predicates.

Proof.

$$1. [x = y] = [|x - y| = 0].$$

2. Let $f(x, y) = [x \leq y]$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} f(x, 0) &= 0, \\ f(x, y + 1) &= [x \leq y + 1] \\ &= [x \leq y] + [x = y + 1] \\ &= f(x, y) + [x = y + 1]. \end{aligned}$$

Now recall the point 1.

3. Composing with projections, we swap arguments of \leq to get \geq .

4. $[x < y] = [x \leq y] \cdot [x \neq y]$.

5. $[x > y] \in \text{PR}$ by the same token as with \geq .

■

Lemma. Let $R \subseteq \mathbb{N}^{n+1}$ be a primitive recursive predicate. Then the predicates

$$\begin{aligned} \exists i \leq y: R(\bar{x}, i), \\ \forall i \leq y: R(\bar{x}, i), \\ \exists i < y: R(\bar{x}, i), \\ \forall i < y: R(\bar{x}, i) \end{aligned}$$

are primitive recursive.

Proof. For the first one, observe that

$$[\exists i \leq y: R(\bar{x}, i)] = \bigvee_{i=0}^y [R(\bar{x}, i)].$$

\vee is an associative operation.

Likewise,

$$[\forall i \leq y: R(\bar{x}, i)] = \bigwedge_{i=0}^y [R(\bar{x}, i)].$$

The last two predicates are gotten by composing the first two ones with $y \dot{-} 1$.

■

Applications of minimisation

Lemma. The functions

1. $\left\lfloor \frac{x}{y} \right\rfloor$,
2. $[x \mid y]$,
3. $[x \in \mathbb{P}]$,
4. $p_x = (\text{the prime } x \text{ in order})$

are primitive recursive.

Proof.

1. $\lfloor x/y \rfloor = \min\{q \mid x < (q+1)y, q \leq xy\}$ (we need the second condition for the minimisation to be bounded). Since multiplication and comparisons are primitive, the predicate is primitive.
2. $[x \mid y] = \left\lfloor \frac{x}{y} \right\rfloor$.
3. Let $f(x)$ be the minimal divisor of x that differs from 1. Then $[x \in \mathbb{P}] = [f(x) = x]$, and

$$f(x) = \min\{d \mid d \mid x, d \neq 1, d \leq x\}.$$

4. The equations

$$p_0 = 2,$$

$$p_{x+1} = \sum_{i=0}^{p_x!+1} \prod_{j=0}^i [j \notin \mathbb{P} \vee j \leq p_x]$$

define p_{\square} , since there is at least one prime in the sum, $p_x! + 1 \in \mathbb{P}$.

■

Lemma. The function

$$x \mapsto \text{undefined}$$

is primitive.

Mutual and complete recursion

Lemma. The function $\binom{x}{2}$ is primitive.

Proof. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned}\binom{0}{2} &= 0, \\ \binom{x+1}{2} &= \binom{x}{2} + x.\end{aligned}$$

■

Definition. Call $f: \mathbb{N}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ a *Cantor enumeration*, iff it is bijective, primitive recursive, and has all coordinate functions of the inverse primitive recursive.

Lemma. Define the $f: \mathbb{N}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ as

$$f(x, y) = \binom{x+y+1}{2} + y.$$

Then f is a Cantor enumeration.

An irrelevant note: $\binom{x+y+1}{2}$ is the number of cells before the diagonal number $x+y$ from the origin. y is the height of the cell (x, y) on this diagonal.

Proof. $\binom{x+y+1}{2}$ is the greatest triangular number not surpassing $f(x, y)$: if the next one, $\binom{x+y+2}{2}$, is $\leq f(x, y)$ (they are monotonous, since there is an injection of pairs), then

$$\sum_{i=0}^{x+y+1} i \leq y + \sum_{i=0}^{x+y} i \iff x+y+1 \leq y \iff x+1 \leq 0,$$

which is hardly true for natural x . Hence $x+y$ is uniquely determined, as is y . This we use to

write down the inverses g_x, g_y . Put

$$\begin{aligned} g_s(z) &= \min \left\{ t \mid \binom{t+2}{2} > z, t \leq z \right\}, \\ g_y(z) &= z - \binom{g_s(z)}{2}, \\ g_x(z) &= g_s(z) - g_y(z). \end{aligned}$$

$\binom{z+2}{2} > z$, since each of the z initial elements gives rise to a pair with the element number $z+1$, and there is a pair which consists of the last two elements. Therefore, g_s is defined everywhere. ■

Theorem. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1}$ there exists a Cantor enumeration of \mathbb{N}^n .

(For $n = 0$, \mathbb{N}^n is finite.)

Proof. By induction over n . In case $n = 1$, we have $\text{id}_{\mathbb{N}}$. Let f and g be Cantor enumerations of \mathbb{N}^n and \mathbb{N}^2 . Define an enumeration h of \mathbb{N}^{n+1} as

$$h(x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}) = g(f(x_1, \dots, x_n), x_{n+1}).$$

Since f_i^{-1} are functional and primitive by induction, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} x_i &= f_i^{-1}(g_1^{-1}(h)) \text{ for all } i \in \{1, \dots, n\}, \\ x_{n+1} &= g_2^{-1}(h). \end{aligned}$$

■

Definition. Denote

$$\text{ex}(i, x) = \max \left\{ k \mid p_i^k \mid x \right\}.$$

Lemma. $\text{ex} \in \text{PR}_2$.

Proof. Since

$$\text{ex}(i, x) = \min \left\{ k \mid p_i^{k+1} \nmid x, k \leq x \right\}.$$

$p_i^{x+1} \nmid x$, since $b^x > x$ for $b \geq 2$.

■

Theorem (complete recursion). Let $s \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1}$, $g \in \text{PR}_n$, $h \in \text{PR}_{n+2}$, $t_1, \dots, t_s \in \text{PR}_1$, $t_i(y) \leq y$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, s\}$. Define f as

$$\begin{aligned} f(\bar{x}, 0) &= g(\bar{x}), \\ f(\bar{x}, y+1) &= h\left(\bar{x}, y, f(\bar{x}, t_1(y)), \dots, f(\bar{x}, t_s(y))\right). \end{aligned}$$

Then $f \in \text{PR}_{n+1}$.

Proof. To simplify notation, we put $n = 0$ (the proof would be the same anyway). Using primitive recursion, define

$$\begin{aligned} q(0) &= 2^g, \\ q(x+1) &= q(x) \cdot p_{x+1}^{h\left(x, \text{ex}(t_1(x), q(x)), \dots, \text{ex}(t_s(x), q(x))\right)}. \end{aligned}$$

Obviously,

$$f(x) = \text{ex}(x, q(x))$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{N}$ — a primitive function. ■

Theorem (mutual recursion). For $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ and some $g_1, \dots, g_k, h_1, \dots, h_k: \mathbb{N}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$, define

$$\begin{aligned} f_i(\bar{x}, 0) &= g_i(\bar{x}), \\ f_i(\bar{x}, y+1) &= h_i\left(\bar{x}, y, f_1(\bar{x}, y), \dots, f_k(\bar{x}, y)\right). \end{aligned}$$

Suppose g_i, h_i for $i \in [1, s]$ are primitive recursive. Then f is primitive recursive.

Proof. Let $c: \mathbb{N}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ be a Cantor enumeration, and, for every $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, $p_i: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ its i th inverse. Define

$$f(\bar{x}, y) = c\left(f_1(\bar{x}, y), \dots, f_n(\bar{x}, y)\right).$$

We assert $f \in \text{PR}_{n+1}$: if this is settled, $f_i = p_i \circ f$ are primitive as well. First, define

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{h}_i(\bar{x}, y, z) &:= h_i(\bar{x}, y, p_1(z), \dots, p_n(z)) \text{ for any } i \in \{1, \dots, n\}, \\ h(\bar{x}, y, z) &:= c\left(\widehat{h}_1(\bar{x}, y, z), \dots, \widehat{h}_n(\bar{x}, y, z)\right). \end{aligned}$$

This h is a primitive function. And now we have made our way to applying the recursion rule:

$$\begin{aligned} f(\bar{x}, 0) &= c(g_1(\bar{x}), \dots, g_n(\bar{x})), \\ f(\bar{x}, y+1) &= h(\bar{x}, y, f(\bar{x}, y)). \end{aligned}$$

■

Proof.

■

Theorem. Let R_0, \dots, R_k be n -ary relations such that

$$R_0 \sqcup \dots \sqcup R_k = \mathbb{N}^n.$$

For some $f_1, \dots, f_k: \mathbb{N}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$, define

$$f(\bar{x}) = \begin{cases} f_0(\bar{x}), & R_0(\bar{x}), \\ \vdots \\ f_k(\bar{x}), & R_k(\bar{x}). \end{cases}$$

Suppose f_i and R_i are primitive recursive. Then f is primitive recursive.

Proof. Indeed,

$$f(\bar{x}) = \sum_{i=0}^k f_i(\bar{x}) [R_k(\bar{x})].$$

■

Trivially computable functions

Definition. A set $X \subseteq \mathbb{N}^k$ is *decidable*, iff its characteristic function is computable.

Lemma. Let $f: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ be a constant almost everywhere function. Then f is computable.

Proof. Indeed, it is a primitive recursive function: if $f|_{[t,+\infty)}$ is constant, then

$$f(x) = f(x)[x \geq t] + \sum_{i=0}^{t-1} f(i)[x = i].$$

■

Example. Let $S \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ be the set of such n that the decimal expansion of e contains n consecutive nines. Then S is decidable, since its characteristic function is nondecreasing.

Lemma. An infinite set $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ is decidable iff there exists a computable increasing function $f: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ such that $A = \text{im } f$.

Proof. Suppose A is decidable. Define f as

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} \min\{a \mid a \in A, a > f(x-1)\}, & x > 0, \\ \min\{a \mid a \in A\}, & x = 0. \end{cases}$$

By what we know about minimisation, this function is indeed computable. By its definition, it is increasing. Its image is the complete A : otherwise take the smallest natural $n \in A \setminus \text{im } f$; all the lesser elements of A are in the image; then there exists x such that $f(x-1)$ is the largest number in the image; but then $f(x) = n$. Finally, f is defined everywhere, since otherwise A would be finite.

Conversely, suppose that there exists such a function. To compute $[x \in A]$ for any $x \in \mathbb{N}$, check all values of f until we reach one that is at least this x . The definition of f allows us to do that for all x . ■

Equivalence of Kleene and Turing computability

Theorem. f is computable iff it is partial recursive.

Proof of \supseteq . Suppose the function f is partial recursive. We agree to represent a tuple of arguments \bar{x} to f as

$$0 \prod_{i=1}^n 1^{x_i} 0.$$

The proof is as follows:

1. *There is a machine for each of the simplistic functions.* Easy to see.
2. *There is a machine for composition of functions.* In terms of the composition operator, copy the input n times; run TMs for the functions g_1, \dots, g_n ; run the TM for h on the result.
3. *There is a machine for functions which are constructed by primitive recursion.*

$$\begin{aligned}
M_1: (\bar{x}, y) &\mapsto (\bar{x}, g(\bar{x})), \\
M_2: (y, \bar{x}, u, z) &\mapsto (y, \bar{x}, u + 1, h(\bar{x}, u, z)), \\
M_3: (y, \bar{x}, u, z) &\mapsto (z), \\
M_4: (y, \bar{x}, u, z) &\mapsto ([u \neq y]).
\end{aligned}$$

Now the wanted machine can be built as

$$M_1; \text{ while } M_4 \text{ do } M_2; M_3.$$

4. *There is machine for functions constructed by bounded minimisation.* Let

$$\begin{aligned}
N_1: (\bar{x}) &\mapsto (\bar{x}, 0), \\
N_2: w &\mapsto w \# w, \\
N_3: (\bar{x}, y) \# (\bar{x}, y) &\mapsto (\bar{x}, y) \# (g(\bar{x}, y)), \\
N_4: w \# v &\mapsto [v \neq \epsilon], \\
N_5: (\bar{x}, y) \# w &\mapsto (y), \\
N_6: (\bar{x}, y) \# w &\mapsto (\bar{x}, y + 1).
\end{aligned}$$

The sought for machine is

$$N_1, N_2, N_3; \text{ while } N_4 \text{ do } N_6, N_2, N_3; N_5.$$

■

Proof of \subseteq . Suppose we have m symbols in the alphabet $\Gamma = \{a_0, \dots, a_{m-1}\}$. We code configurations as

$$\alpha q a \beta \mapsto (\hat{\alpha}, q, \hat{a}, \hat{\beta}),$$

where $\hat{\square}$ is the number in base m which is written as \square ; $\hat{\square} = \square^R$.

By a pair $(q, a) \in Q \times \Gamma$ we can determine the action of the machine, and this will be a PR function (since it takes meaningful values on only a finite set).

We can transform a configuration by a PR function. For example, if the head moved right, the number $\widehat{\alpha}$ becomes $m \cdot \widehat{\alpha} + \widehat{a}$. The new symbol \widehat{a} is found, in this case, by computing $\widehat{\beta} \% m$, and the new string $\widehat{\beta}$ as $\lfloor \widehat{\beta}/m \rfloor$.

We can encode the work of the complete machine using mutual recursion. Define the functions $K, K_\alpha, K_\beta, K_a, K_q$ that compute the elements of the next configuration, based on the previous one. The last parameter of each is some t , so we compute their values on $t + 1$, referring to the ones on t .

We can now find the first moment t_f , on which a final state is reached, by using minimisation on K_q . Afterwards we compute $K_a(t_f)$ and $K_b(t_f)$ to find the computation result (wlog, the machine stops with $\alpha = \epsilon$). ■

Corollary. Any partial recursive function can be computed using at most one minimisation.

Corollary. A function, which is computable on a Turing machine in time $O(f)$ where f is primitive recursive, is primitive recursive.

The Ackermann function

In this section, all powers are functional powers.

Definition. Define

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_0(x) &= x + 1, \\ \alpha_i(x) &= \alpha_{i-1}^{n+2}(x).\end{aligned}$$

The *Ackermann function* $\beta: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ is then defined as

$$\beta(x) = \alpha_x(x).$$

We assert that the function β grows faster than any primitive recursive functions. Yet it is computable (so partial recursive).

Lemma. $\alpha_i(x) > x$ for all $i, x \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. For $i = 0$, $x + 1 > x$. For $i > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_i(x) &= \alpha_{i-1}(\alpha_{i-1}^{x+1}(x)) \\ &> \alpha_{i-1}^{x+1}(x) \\ &\vdots \\ &> x.\end{aligned}$$

■

Lemma. If $x > y$, then $\alpha_i(x) > \alpha_i(y)$.

Proof. By induction on i , then by induction on x . If $i = 0$,

$$x > y \implies x + 1 > y + 1.$$

If $i > 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_i(y) &= \alpha_{i-1}(\alpha_{i-1}^{n+1}(y)) \\ &> \alpha_{i-1}(\alpha_{i-1}^{n+1}(x)) \\ &= \alpha_i(x).\end{aligned}$$

■

Lemma. For every $x \in \mathbb{N}$, if $i > j$, then $\alpha_i(x) > \alpha_j(x)$.

Proof. If $i = j + 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_{j+1}(x) &= \alpha_j^{x+1}(\alpha_j(x)) \\ &> \alpha_j(x),\end{aligned}$$

since $\alpha_j(\square) > \square$. ■

Lemma. $\alpha_i(x) > \alpha_{i-1}(\alpha_{i-1}(x))$.

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_i(y) &= \alpha_{i-1}^{n+1}(\alpha_{i-1}(y)) \\ &> \alpha_{i-1}(\alpha_{i-1}(x)).\end{aligned}$$
■

Lemma. Let $f \in \text{PR}_n$. Then exists k such that, for all $x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n) \leq \alpha_k(\max(x_1, \dots, x_n)).$$

Proof. By induction on the structure of primitive functions.

Consider the simplistic functions.

1. If $f(x) = 0$, then $k = 0$ goes, since $x + 1 > 0$.
2. If $f(x) = x + 1$, then $k = 0$ goes, since $\alpha_1(x) \geq \alpha_0(x) = f(x)$.
3. If $f(\bar{x}) = x_i$, then $k = 0$ goes.

Consider the composition operator. Suppose

$$f(\bar{x}) = h(g_1(\bar{x}), \dots, g_m(\bar{x})).$$

By induction there exist k and l such that

$$\begin{aligned}
 h(g_1(\bar{x}), \dots, g_m(\bar{x})) &\geq \alpha_k(g_i(\bar{x})) \\
 &\geq \alpha_k(\alpha_l(g_i(\max \bar{x}))) \\
 &> \alpha_k(\alpha_l(g_i(\max \bar{x}))) \\
 &> \alpha_k(\max \bar{x}).
 \end{aligned}$$

Consider the primitive recursion operator. Suppose

$$\begin{aligned}
 f(x_1, \dots, x_n, 0) &= g(x_1, \dots, x_n) \\
 f(x_1, \dots, x_n, y+1) &= h(x_1, \dots, x_n, y, f(x_1, \dots, x_n, y)).
 \end{aligned}$$

There exists k such that

$$\begin{aligned}
 f(x_1, \dots, x_n, 0) &= g(x_1, \dots, x_n) \\
 &\leq \alpha_k(\max\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}),
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 f(x_1, \dots, x_n, y+1) &= h(x_1, \dots, x_n, y, f(x_1, \dots, x_n, y)) \\
 &\leq \alpha_k(\max\{x_1, \dots, x_n, y, f(x_1, \dots, x_n, y)\}) \\
 &\leq \alpha_k(\max\{x_1, \dots, x_n, y+1\})
 \end{aligned}$$

■

Theorem. Let $\beta(x) = \alpha_x(x)$, $f \in \text{PR}_n$. There exists $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\beta(x) > f(x)$ for all $x > k$.

Proof. By the previous lemma, there is k such that

$$f(x) \leq \alpha_k(x).$$

If $x > k$, this inequality can be continued to yield

$$f(x) < \alpha_x(x).$$

■

Some partial recursive functions

Lemma. The function

$$f(x, y) = \begin{cases} x/y, & [y \vdash x], \\ \text{undefined}, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

is partial recursive.

Proof. Indeed,

$$f(x, y) = \min\{q \mid qy = x\}.$$

■