

# CGR 2025 Raytracer Report

Student ID: s2288598

## 1 Introduction

This report summarises the implementation of a modular Whitted-style raytracer written in C++ for the CGR 2025 coursework.

## 2 System Overview

The raytracer is built using the provided Makefile. To compile the raytracer, run

```
make raytracer
```

To render a scene with filename `scene.txt`, run

```
./raytracer -i scene.txt
```

The renderer has the following runtime options:

- `-i <file>` – input ASCII scene file.
- `-o <file>` – output PPM image.
- `-w, -h` – override output resolution.
- `-spp <int>` – antialiasing with  $N$  samples per pixel.
- `-d <int>` – maximum recursion depth.
- `-no-bvh` – disable BVH acceleration.
- `-no-shading` – disable shading.
- `--shadow-samples <int>` – soft shadows using  $N$  shadow rays.
- `--glossy-samples <int>` – glossy reflections using  $N$  rays.
- `-exposure <float>` – exposure multiplier.

## 3 Module 1

All components implemented in Module 1 are validated through the test scenes and comparisons shown in later modules.

### 3.1 Blender Exporter

I implemented a Python exporter (`export.py`) that converts Blender scenes into a custom ASCII format.

## 3.2 Camera Space Transformations

I implemented the camera class used throughout the raytracer. A test file reads camera information for `Test1.txt`, displays the camera information, and calculates rays to corner pixels. To run the test, run

```
./Tests/test_camera
```

## 3.3 Image Read and Write

I implemented an `Image` class (`image.h/cpp`) capable of reading and writing ASCII PPM (P3) files. A test file confirms that PPM images are correctly read, manipulated and written. To run the test, run

```
./Tests/test_image
```

# 4 Module 2

## 4.1 Ray Intersection


I implemented ray intersection routines for spheres, cubes and triangles. See Fig.1.

Intersection


**Blend file:** `intersect.blend`

**Raytracer commands:**

```
./raytracer -i intersect.txt -o intersect.ppm -no-shading
```



(a) Raytracer output



(b) Blender reference render

Figure 1: Comparison between my raytracer and Blender for the ray intersection test scene.

## 4.2 Acceleration Hierarchy

I implemented a BVH (Bounding Volume Hierarchy) acceleration structure, which reduces ray intersection cost from  $O(n)$  to  $O(\log n)$ .

To test, the renderer was run twice: once with the BVH enabled and once with it disabled.

## BVH

**Blend file:** manyspheres.blend

**Raytracer commands:**

```
./raytracer -i manyspheres.txt -o manyspheres.ppm -exposure 0.1  
./raytracer -i manyspheres.txt -o manyspheres.ppm -exposure 0.1 -no-bvh
```

The measured times were

Configuration	Render Time (s)
BVH enabled	4.79
BVH disabled	47.48

Turning BVH on results in an approximately **10× speedup** for this scene. See Fig. 2.




Figure 2: BVH test scene containing 200 spheres.

## 5 Module 3

### 5.1 Whitted-style Raytracing

#### 5.1.1 Blinn–Phong Shading


To demonstrate Blinn–Phong shading, I constructed a scene with 3 spheres each with different material properties: rubber (red), plastic (green) and polished (blue). See Fig.3.

## Blinn-Phong Shading


**Blend file:** blinnphong.blend

**Raytracer commands:**

```
./raytracer -i blinnphong.txt -o blinnphong.ppm -exposure 0.2
```



(a) Raytracer render



(b) Blender render

Figure 3: Comparison between raytracer and Blender Blinn–Phong shading.

### 5.1.2 Reflection


See Fig. 4.

#### Reflection


**Blend file:** reflection.blend

**Raytracer commands:**

```
./raytracer -i reflection.txt -o reflection.ppm -exposure 0.02
```



(a) Raytracer render



(b) Blender render

Figure 4: Comparison between raytracer and Blender reflections.

### 5.1.3 Refraction


See Fig. 5.

#### Refraction


**Blend file:** glass2.blend

**Raytracer commands:**

```
./raytracer -i glass2.txt -o glass2.ppm -exposure 0.02 --spp 8
```



(a) Raytracer render



(b) Blender render

Figure 5: Comparison between raytracer and Blender refractions.

## 5.2 Antialiasing

I implemented stratified supersampling antialiasing, where each pixel is subdivided into a jittered grid of rays. Increasing the number of samples reduces jagged edges and improves image smoothness.

### Antialiasing

**Blend file:** spheres.blend

**Raytracer commands:**

```
./raytracer -i spheres.txt -o noaa.ppm -exposure 0.1  
./raytracer -i spheres.txt -o aa.ppm -exposure 0.1 -spp 8
```



(a) AA off

(b) AA on

Figure 6: Effects of antialiasing.

## 5.3 Textures


I implemented texture mapping from .ppm files. See Fig. 7.

### Texture


**Blend file:** texture.blend

**Raytracer commands:**

```
./raytracer -i texture.txt -o texture.ppm -exposure 0.03
```



(a) Raytracer render



(b) Blender render

Figure 7: Comparison between raytracer and Blender textures.

## 6 Final Features

### 6.1 Distributed Raytracing


I implemented soft shadows and glossy reflections. See Fig. 8.

Distributed Raytracing (Both)


**Blend file:** test1.blend

**Raytracer commands:**

```
./raytracer -i test1.txt -o test1.ppm -exposure 0.15  
--shadow-samples 16 --glossy-samples 16
```



(a) Raytracer render



(b) Blender render

Figure 8: Comparison between raytracer and Blender distributed effects.

#### 6.1.1 Soft Shadows


Soft Shadows

**Blend file:** spheres.blend


**Raytracer commands:**

```
./raytracer -i spheres.txt -o spheres_plain.ppm -exposure 0.1  
./raytracer -i spheres.txt -o spheres_soft.ppm -exposure 0.1
```

```
--shadow-samples 16
```



(a) Normal shadows



(b) Soft shadows

Figure 9: Comparison between normal and soft shadows.


### 6.1.2 Glossy Reflections

Glossy Reflections


**Blend file:** spheres.blend

**Raytracer commands:**

```
./raytracer -i spheres.txt -o spheres_plain.ppm -exposure 0.1  
./raytracer -i spheres.txt -o spheres_gloss.ppm -exposure 0.1  
--glossy-samples 16
```



(a) Normal reflections



(b) Glossy reflections

Figure 10: Comparison between normal and glossy reflections.

## 6.2 Lens Effects


I implemented motion blur and depth of field. Motion blur is controlled by the camera's velocity vector property, and depth of field is controlled by the camera's aperture and focal distance properties. See Fig. 11.

## Lens Effects


**Blend files:** Test1\_motionblur.blend, Test1\_dof.blend

**Raytracer commands:**

```
./raytracer -i test1_motionblur.txt -o motionblur.ppm -exposure 0.15 -spp 4  
./raytracer -i test1_dof.txt -o dof.ppm -exposure 0.15 -spp 4
```



(a) Motion blur



(b) Depth of field

Figure 11: Lens effects.

## 7 Exceptionalism

### 7.1 Tone Mapping and Gamma Correction

I implemented ACES tone mapping and gamma correction.

### 7.2 Triangle Meshes

I implemented support for rendering arbitrary triangle meshes. I did not use a blender file, but instead manually adjusted the ASCII. The mesh is read from `Meshes/sculpture.obj`. See Fig. 12. I did not implement exporting meshes from `/texttt.blend` files.

## Triangle Meshes

**Blend file:** N/A

**Raytracer commands:**

```
./raytracer -i meshtest.txt -o meshtest.ppm -exposure 0.2
```



Figure 12: Mesh composed of 7798 triangles, with marble texture.

## 8 Timeliness Bonus

### 8.1 Module 3

The most major change I had made was moving the vector and matrix definitions from `camera.h` to a dedicated header `maths.h`.

## 9 Thoughts on the use of Coding Assistants

I used AI coding assistants throughout this assignment. One of their strengths is that they can save a lot of time on menial tasks: for example, generating Blender scripts, writing boiler plate code, or summarising the strengths and weaknesses of coding assistants. They can complete (smaller) chunks of this assignment in one prompt, which is very helpful under time pressure. To me, their greatest strength is using them to explain new concepts. For instance, implementing BVH would have taken me a lot longer if I had to first scour textbooks about how it works. The assistant helped me understand the idea before writing the code.

However, they also has notable limitations. Its very easy to trust generated code without understanding it. I spend hours trying to fix a bug caused by code I had copy and pasted without reading. The biggest downside is, in my opinion, that using it too much reduces how much you understand the content. From experience, if I work through a problem myself I retain a much deeper understanding than if I ask ChatGPT to explain it to me. To me, there doesn't seem to be any way to avoid the use of coding assistants in the future, especially in take-home assignments.

## 10 Evaluation

Table 1: Summary of Completed Coursework Features

Feature	Status
<b>Module 1</b>	
Blender Exporter	Completed
Camera Space Transformations	Completed
Image Read/Write (PPM)	Completed
<b>Module 2</b>	
Ray Intersection (Sphere, Cube, Plane, Triangle)	Completed
Acceleration Structure (BVH)	Completed
<b>Module 3</b>	
Whitted-Style Raytracing	Completed
Antialiasing	Completed
Texture Mapping	Completed
<b>Final Raytracer</b>	
System Integration	Completed
Distributed Raytracing (Soft Shadows, Glossy)	Completed
Lens Effects (Motion Blur, Depth of Field)	Completed
<b>Exceptionalism</b>	
Tone Mapping / Gamma Correction	Completed
Triangle Mesh Rendering	Completed
Summary of Strengths and Weaknesses of AI Coding Assistants	Completed