EXHIBIT "F"

To Purchasa and Sale Agreement by and batwaen Amoco Production Company, Seller and Hilcorp Energy I, L.P., Buyer

## **CLAIMS, DISPUTES AND LITIGATION**

The following is a summary of tha currently identified pending claims, disputes and litigation associated with the Properties:

- Wilford M. Burton, et. al., vs. Amoco Production Company, et. al., in the Third Judicial District Court, Salt Lake County, Utah, Case No. 96-09017-95CV. This is an oil royalties dispute. The Plaintiffs saek certification of a nationwide class.
- 2. The McMahon Foundation, et. al., vs. Amerada Hess Corporation, et. al., in the United States District Court, Southern District of Texas, Houston Division, Casa No. H-96-1155. The Plaintiffs allege violation of federal anti-trust laws by conspiring to artificially depress the "posted price" on which royalties are paid. The Plaintiffs seek certification of a nationwida class.
- 3. Cameron Parish School Board, et al. v. Texaco, Inc., et al., In the United States District Court, Western District of Louisiana, Lake Chartes Division, Civil Action No. CV 96-1222, Judge Haik, Magistrata Judge Wilson. The Plaintiffs allega defendants hava violated federal anti-trust laws by conspiring to artificially dapress the "postad price" on which royalties are paid, fraudulently concealing price-fixing activities and in turn underpaying plaintiffs for crude oil. The Plaintiffs seek certification of a nationwida class with respect to the anti-trust claims.
- 4. James Donald Stanley, et al. v. Gulf Oil Corporation, et al., In the United Statas District Court for the Southern District of Mississippi, Hattiesburg, Division, Civil Action No. 2:97CV279PG, Jury Trial Requested. Plaintiffs allage that defendants have violated federal antitrust laws, have set their posted prices at levels consistently below a fair market price, and that their royalty payments have been too low as a result. Plaintiffs sua to recover this underpayment and seek certification of a nationwide class.
- 5. Randolph Energy, Inc. v. Amerada Hess Corp. et al., In the United Statas District Court, for the Southern District of Mississippi, Hattiesburg Division, Civil Action No. 2:97CV273PG. Plaintiffs allege conspiracy among the Defendant oil companies to fix, depress, stabilize and maintain at artificially low levals the prices paid for the first purchase of Lease Production Oil sold from leases in which Class members own interests by using posted prices in a sham manner in