stable tree bertsimas

April 1, 2025

0.1 Notebook implementing the algorithm in Bertsimas et al. (ttps://arxiv.org/abs/2305.17299)

```
[1]: import numpy as np
import itertools
from sklearn.model_selection import train_test_split
from sklearn.tree import DecisionTreeClassifier
from sklearn.datasets import load_breast_cancer
from sklearn.metrics import accuracy_score
```

0.1.1 Import dataset and split

```
[2]: # 1a) Load your dataset X, y
# 1b) Split into (XO, yO) and (X1, y1), or just do a single train/test split
# XO, X1, yO, y1 = ... # user choice depending on scenario
# X_full = np.vstack([XO, X1])
# y_full = np.concatenate([yO, y1])
data_breast_cancer = load_breast_cancer(as_frame=True)
X_full = data_breast_cancer["data"]
y_full = data_breast_cancer["target"]
```

```
[3]: print("X_full shape: ", X_full.shape)
X_full.head()
```

X_full shape: (569, 30)

[3]:	mean radius m	mean texture	mean perimeter	mean area	mean smoothness	\
0	17.99	10.38	122.80	1001.0	0.11840	
1	20.57	17.77	132.90	1326.0	0.08474	
2	19.69	21.25	130.00	1203.0	0.10960	
3	11.42	20.38	77.58	386.1	0.14250	
4	20.29	14.34	135.10	1297.0	0.10030	
	mean compactne	ess mean con	cavity mean cor	cave points	mean symmetry	\
0	0.277	760	0.3001	0.14710	0.2419	
	0.070	201	0 0000	0 07047	0 1010	

0	0.27760	0.3001	0.14710	0.2419
1	0.07864	0.0869	0.07017	0.1812
2	0.15990	0.1974	0.12790	0.2069
3	0.28390	0.2414	0.10520	0.2597

```
mean fractal dimension ... worst radius worst texture worst perimeter \
     0
                       0.07871
                                           25.38
                                                           17.33
     1
                       0.05667
                                           24.99
                                                           23.41
                                                                           158.80
                       0.05999
                                           23.57
                                                           25.53
     2
                                                                           152.50
     3
                       0.09744
                                           14.91
                                                           26.50
                                                                            98.87
     4
                                           22.54
                       0.05883 ...
                                                           16.67
                                                                           152.20
        worst area worst smoothness
                                      worst compactness
                                                          worst concavity \
     0
            2019.0
                               0.1622
                                                  0.6656
                                                                    0.7119
     1
            1956.0
                               0.1238
                                                  0.1866
                                                                    0.2416
            1709.0
                               0.1444
                                                  0.4245
                                                                    0.4504
             567.7
                               0.2098
     3
                                                  0.8663
                                                                    0.6869
            1575.0
                               0.1374
                                                  0.2050
                                                                    0.4000
        worst concave points
                             worst symmetry worst fractal dimension
     0
                      0.2654
                                       0.4601
                                                                0.11890
     1
                      0.1860
                                       0.2750
                                                                0.08902
     2
                      0.2430
                                       0.3613
                                                                0.08758
     3
                      0.2575
                                       0.6638
                                                                0.17300
     4
                      0.1625
                                       0.2364
                                                                0.07678
     [5 rows x 30 columns]
[4]: print("y_full shape: ", y_full.shape)
     y_full.head()
    y_full shape:
                    (569,)
[4]: 0
          0
     1
     2
          0
     3
          0
     4
          0
     Name: target, dtype: int64
[5]: X_train, X_test, y_train, y_test = train_test_split(X_full, y_full, test_size=0.
      →2, random_state=42)
     print("X_train shape: {}, X_test shape: {}".format(X_train.shape, X_test.shape))
     print("y_train shape: {}, y_test shape: {}".format(y_train.shape, y_test.shape))
    X_train shape: (455, 30), X_test shape: (114, 30)
    y_train shape: (455,), y_test shape: (114,)
```

0.1980

0.10430

0.1809

4

0.13280

0.1.2 Generate first collection (T0) of trees (trained on X0)

```
[6]: def train_trees(X, y, depths=[3,5,7], min_samples=[5,10]):
         """Train multiple trees for different hyperparams & possibly bootstrap."""
         trees = []
         for depth, min_leaf in itertools.product(depths, min_samples):
             # Possibly do multiple runs (bootstrap)
             # e.g. for seed in range(num_bootstraps):
                   X_bs, y_bs = resample(X, y, random_state=seed)
             clf = DecisionTreeClassifier(
                 max_depth=depth,
                 min_samples_leaf=min_leaf,
                 random_state=42
             )
             clf.fit(X, y)
             trees.append(clf)
         return trees
     T0 = train_trees(X_train, y_train)
     print("Generated {} trees for TO".format(len(TO)))
```

Generated 6 trees for TO

0.1.3 Generate second collection of trees (T) (trained on full data)

```
[7]: T = train_trees(X_full, y_full)
```

0.1.4 Get global ranges of numerical features and their names

(todo: categorical features)

```
[8]: feature_names = X_full.columns
# Lower/upper for each feature over the FULL dataset
global_lower = X_full.min().values
global_upper = X_full.max().values
```

0.1.5 Compute average distance of each tree in T to the T0 collection

```
[9]: from bertsimas_stable.Paths import tree_distance
```

```
Distances to T0: [np.float64(9.941638853041566), np.float64(9.726568660248738), np.float64(9.34090448178685), np.float64(9.872533253986653), np.float64(9.716180344437472), np.float64(9.824395104992929)]
```

0.1.6 Compute predictive performance for each tree

Accuracies on test set: {0.974, 0.965, 0.991, 0.965, 0.991, 0.956}

0.1.7 Identify Pareto frontier

```
Pareto indices: [2]
Number of Pareto-optimal trees: 1
```

0.2 Choose the "best" stable tree from the Pareto set

Chosen stable tree index = 2, dist=9.34090448178685, perf=0.9912280701754386