

## 0. Notation and Convention

This note uses the following notation and conventions unless otherwise stated.

### Mathematics

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Pauli matrices : } \sigma_0 &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \sigma_x = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \sigma_y = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \sigma_z = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}; \quad \sigma^\mu = (1, \boldsymbol{\sigma}), \bar{\sigma}^\mu = (1, -\boldsymbol{\sigma}); \\ \sigma_+ &= \frac{1}{2}(\sigma_x + i\sigma_y) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_- = \frac{1}{2}(\sigma_x - i\sigma_y) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}; \end{aligned} \quad (0.1)$$

$$\text{Fourier transf. : } \tilde{f}(k) := \int d^4x \, e^{ikx} f(x); \quad f(x) = \int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4} e^{-ikx} \tilde{f}(k). \quad (0.2)$$

### Relativity

$$\text{Minkowski metric : } \eta_{\mu\nu} = \eta^{\mu\nu} = \text{diag}(+, -, -, -), \quad \varepsilon_{0123}^{0123} = \pm 1. \quad (0.3)$$

$$\text{coordinates : } x^\mu = (t, x, y, z), \quad \partial_\mu = \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \boldsymbol{\nabla} \right) \quad (0.4)$$

$$\text{electromagnetism}^{*1} : A^\mu = (\phi, \mathbf{A}), \quad F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu; \quad \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \partial_\nu F_{\rho\sigma} = 0, \quad \partial_\mu F^{\mu\nu} = ej^\nu. \quad (0.5)$$

$$\text{Gamma matrices : } \{\gamma^\mu, \gamma^\nu\} = 2\eta^{\mu\nu}, \quad \gamma_5 = i\gamma^0\gamma^1\gamma^2\gamma^3; \quad \{\gamma^\mu, \gamma_5\} = 0, \quad \gamma^5\gamma^5 = 1. \quad (0.6)$$

$$\text{[Chiral notation]} \quad \gamma^\mu = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma^\mu \\ \bar{\sigma}^\mu & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma_5 = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (0.7)$$

---

<sup>\*1</sup> $\mathbf{E} = -\boldsymbol{\nabla}\phi + \dot{\mathbf{A}}$  and  $\mathbf{B} = \boldsymbol{\nabla} \times \mathbf{A}$ , which lead to  $F_{\mu\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathbf{E} \\ -\mathbf{E} & \begin{smallmatrix} B_3 & -B_3 & B_2 \\ B_2 & 0 & -B_1 \\ -B_2 & B_1 & 0 \end{smallmatrix} \end{pmatrix}_{\mu\nu}$ ,  $F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu} = 2(\|\mathbf{B}\|^2 - \|\mathbf{E}\|^2)$ , and the Maxwell equations  $\boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \mathbf{E} = ej^0$ ,  $\boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0$ ,  $\boldsymbol{\nabla} \times \mathbf{E} + \dot{\mathbf{B}} = 0$ ,  $\boldsymbol{\nabla} \times \mathbf{B} - \dot{\mathbf{E}} = ej$ .

# 1. Kinematics

**Decay rate and cross section** (Note:  $\mathcal{M}$  has a mass dimension of  $4 - N_i - N_f$ .)

$$\text{decay rate (rest frame; } \sqrt{s} = M_0) : \quad d\Gamma = \frac{d\Pi^{N_f}}{2M_0} \left| \mathcal{M}(M_0 \rightarrow \{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_{N_f}\}) \right|^2. \quad (1.1)$$

$$\text{cross section (Lorentz invariant) :} \quad d\sigma = \frac{d\Pi^{N_f}}{4E_A E_B v_{\text{Møll}}} \left| \mathcal{M}(p_A, p_B \rightarrow \{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_{N_f}\}) \right|^2, \quad (1.2)$$

$$\text{Lorentz-invariant phase space :} \quad d\Pi := \frac{d^3\mathbf{p}}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{2E_p}, \quad \overline{d\Pi^n} := d\Pi_1 \cdots d\Pi_n (2\pi)^4 \delta^{(4)}(P_0 - \sum p_n),$$

$$\text{Møller parameter :} \quad 4E_A E_B v_{\text{Møll}} = 2s \lambda^{1/2}(1, m_A^2/s, m_B^2/s).$$

**Mandelstam variables** For  $(k_A, k_B) \rightarrow (p_1, p_2)$  collision,

$$\begin{aligned} s &= (k_A + k_B)^2 = (p_1 + p_2)^2, & t &= (p_1 - k_A)^2 = (p_2 - k_B)^2, & u &= (p_1 - k_B)^2 = (p_2 - k_A)^2; \\ k_A \cdot k_B &= (s - m_A^2 - m_B^2)/2, & k_A \cdot p_1 &= (m_1^2 + m_A^2 - t)/2, & s + t + u &= m_A^2 + m_B^2 + m_1^2 + m_2^2, \\ p_1 \cdot p_2 &= (s - m_1^2 - m_2^2)/2, & k_A \cdot p_2 &= (m_2^2 + m_A^2 - u)/2; \\ (k_A - k_B)^2 &= 2(m_A^2 + m_B^2) - s, & (p_1 - p_2)^2 &= 2(m_1^2 + m_2^2) - s. \end{aligned}$$

**Two-body final state in the rest frame** With final momenta  $(E_{1,2}, \pm\mathbf{p})$  to angle  $\Omega = (\theta, \phi)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{p}\| &= \frac{\sqrt{s}}{2} \lambda^{1/2} \left( 1, \frac{m_1^2}{s}, \frac{m_2^2}{s} \right), & E_1 &= \frac{s + m_1^2 - m_2^2}{2\sqrt{s}}, & E_2 &= \frac{s - m_1^2 + m_2^2}{2\sqrt{s}}, & p_1 \cdot p_2 &= \frac{s - (m_1^2 + m_2^2)}{2}. \\ \overline{d\Pi^2} \Big|_{\text{CM}} &= \frac{\|\mathbf{p}\|}{4\pi\sqrt{s}} \frac{d\Omega}{4\pi} = \frac{\|\mathbf{p}\|}{8\pi\sqrt{s}} d\cos\theta = \frac{\lambda^{1/2}(1, m_1^2/s, m_2^2/s)}{16\pi} d\cos\theta \quad (\sqrt{s} = M_0 \text{ or } E_{\text{CM}}). \end{aligned}$$

Decay rates and 2-to-2 cross sections are


$$d\Gamma \stackrel{\text{CM}}{=} \frac{\lambda^{1/2}(1, m_1^2/s, m_2^2/s)}{32\pi M_0} d\cos\theta |\mathcal{M}|^2, \quad d\sigma \stackrel{\text{CM}}{=} \frac{1}{32\pi s} \frac{\lambda^{1/2}(1, m_1^2/s, m_2^2/s)}{\lambda^{1/2}(1, m_A^2/s, m_B^2/s)} d\cos\theta |\mathcal{M}|^2 \quad (1.3)$$

For “same mass” collisions  $(m_A, m_A) \rightarrow (m_1, m_1)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} t &= m_A^2 + m_1^2 - s/2 + 2kp \cos\theta, & k &= \sqrt{s/4 - m_A^2}, \\ u &= m_A^2 + m_1^2 - s/2 - 2kp \cos\theta, & p &= \sqrt{s/4 - m_1^2}. \end{aligned}$$

For “initially massless” collisions  $(0, 0) \rightarrow (m_1, m_2)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} t &= (m_1^2 + m_2^2 - s)/2 + p\sqrt{s} \cos\theta, & p &= (\sqrt{s}/2) \lambda^{1/2}(1, m_1^2/s, m_2^2/s), \\ u &= (m_1^2 + m_2^2 - s)/2 - p\sqrt{s} \cos\theta. \end{aligned}$$



**Three-body final state** Mandelstam-like variables can be defined, for  $P \rightarrow (p_1, p_2, p_3)$ , as

$$s_{ij} = (p_i + p_j)^2; \quad t_{0i} = (P - p_i)^2 = s_{jk}; \quad s_{12} + s_{23} + s_{31} = P^2 + p_1^2 + p_2^2 + p_3^2.$$

For spherically-symmetric processes, the phase-space integral is reduced to, at the center-of-mass frame,

$$\int \overline{d\Pi^3} \text{ sph. sym. } (\sqrt{s}, \mathbf{0}) = \frac{1}{128\pi^3} \frac{1}{s} \int_{(m_2+m_3)^2}^{(\sqrt{s}-m_1)^2} ds_{23} \int ds_{13}; \quad (1.4)$$

$$(s_{13})_{\min}^{\max} = \frac{(s + m_3^2 - m_1^2 - m_2^2)^2}{4s_{23}} - \frac{1}{4s_{23}} \left[ \lambda^{1/2}(s, m_1^2, s_{23}) \mp \lambda^{1/2}(s_{23}, m_2^2, m_3^2) \right]^2 \quad (1.5)$$

$$= (E_1^* + E_3^*)^2 - \left( \sqrt{E_1^{*2} - m_1^2} \mp \sqrt{E_3^{*2} - m_3^2} \right)^2, \quad (1.6)$$

where  $E_1^* = \frac{s - s_{23} - m_1^2}{2\sqrt{s_{23}}}$ , and  $E_3^* = \frac{s_{23} - m_2^2 + m_3^2}{2\sqrt{s_{23}}}$ .

## 1.1. Fundamentals

Lorentz-invariant phase space:

$$\int d\Pi = \int \frac{d^3\mathbf{p}}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{2E_p} = \int \frac{d^3\mathbf{p}}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{2\sqrt{m^2 + \|\mathbf{p}\|^2}} = \int \frac{dp_0 d^3\mathbf{p}}{(2\pi)^4} (2\pi) \delta(p_0^2 - \|\mathbf{p}\|^2 - m^2) \Theta(p_0)$$

Källén function:

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda(x, y, z) &= x^2 + y^2 + z^2 - 2xy - 2yz - 2zx = (x - y - z)^2 - 4yz; \\ \lambda(1; \alpha_1^2, \alpha_2^2) &= (1 - (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)^2)(1 - (\alpha_1 - \alpha_2)^2) = (1 + \alpha_1 + \alpha_2)(1 - \alpha_1 - \alpha_2)(1 + \alpha_1 - \alpha_2)(1 - \alpha_1 + \alpha_2). \\ \lambda^{1/2}(s; m_1^2, m_2^2) &= s \lambda^{1/2}\left(1; \frac{m_1^2}{s}, \frac{m_2^2}{s}\right); & \lambda^{1/2}\left(1; \frac{m^2}{s}, \frac{m^2}{s}\right) &= \sqrt{1 - \frac{4m^2}{s}}, \\ \lambda^{1/2}\left(1; \frac{m_1^2}{s}, \frac{m_2^2}{s}\right) &= \sqrt{1 - \frac{2(m_1^2 + m_2^2)}{s} + \frac{(m_1^2 - m_2^2)^2}{s^2}}, & \lambda^{1/2}\left(1; \frac{m_1^2}{s}, 0\right) &= \frac{s - m_1^2}{s}. \end{aligned}$$

**Two-body phase space** If  $f(p_1^\mu, p_2^\mu)$  is Lorentz invariant,  $f \equiv f(p_1^2, p_2^2, p_1^\mu p_{2\mu}) \equiv f(p_1, p_2, \cos \theta_{12})$ . Meanwhile,

$$\int d\Pi_1 d\Pi_2 = \int \frac{d^3\mathbf{p}_1}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{d^3\mathbf{p}_2}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{2E_1 2E_2} = \int \frac{(4\pi) dp_1 p_1^2 (2\pi) dp_2 p_2^2 d\cos \theta_{12}}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{2E_1 2E_2} = \int \frac{dE_+ dE_- ds}{128\pi^4}, \quad (1.7)$$

with the replacement of the variables

$$\begin{aligned} E_\pm &= E_1 \pm E_2, & s &= (p_1 + p_2)^2 = m_1^2 + m_2^2 + 2E_1 E_2 - 2\|\mathbf{p}_1\| \|\mathbf{p}_2\| \cos \theta_{12}; \\ \left| \frac{d(E_+, E_-, s)}{d(p_1, p_2, \cos \theta_{12})} \right| &= \frac{4p_1^2 p_2^2}{E_1 E_2}, & \left| \frac{d(E_1, E_2, s)}{d(p_1, p_2, \cos \theta_{12})} \right| &= \frac{2p_1^2 p_2^2}{E_1 E_2}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\int d\Pi_1 d\Pi_2 = \frac{1}{128\pi^4} \int_{(m_1+m_2)^2}^\infty ds \int_{\sqrt{s}}^\infty dE_+ \int_{\min}^{\max} dE_-, \quad (1.8)$$

where the boundary of  $E_-$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \cos \theta_{12} &= \frac{E_+^2 - E_-^2 + 2(m_1^2 + m_2^2 - s)}{\sqrt{(E_+ + E_-)^2 - 4m_1^2} \sqrt{(E_+ - E_-)^2 - 4m_2^2}} \in [-1, 1] \\ \therefore \left| E_- - \frac{m_1^2 - m_2^2}{s} E_+ \right| &\leq \sqrt{E_+^2 - s} \cdot \lambda^{1/2}\left(1; \frac{m_1^2}{s}, \frac{m_2^2}{s}\right) = 2p \sqrt{\frac{E_+^2 - s}{s}}. \end{aligned}$$

**Two-body phase space with momentum conservation** In a frame with total four-momentum being  $(E_0, \mathbf{P}_0)$ ,

$$\frac{d\Pi^2}{16\pi^2} = \frac{d^3\mathbf{p}_1}{16\pi^2} \frac{\delta(E_0 - \sqrt{m_1^2 + p_1^2} - \sqrt{m_2^2 + \|\mathbf{P}_0 - \mathbf{p}_1\|^2})}{E_1 E_2} = \frac{1}{8\pi} \frac{dE_1}{P_0} \left( = \frac{1}{8\pi} \frac{p_1^2 d\cos \theta_1}{E_0 p_1 - P_0 E_1 \cos \theta_1} \right), \quad (1.9)$$

where  $\cos \theta_1$  and  $p_1$  are related by

$$2P_0 p_1 \cos \theta_1 = 2E_0 \sqrt{p_1^2 + m_1^2} - \mathcal{M}; \quad \mathcal{M} := E_0^2 - P_0^2 + m_1^2 - m_2^2. \quad (1.10)$$

If  $\mathbf{P}_0 = \mathbf{0}$ , Eq. (1.10) fixes  $p_1$  and any  $\theta_1$  is allowed, which is the CM result ( $E_0 = \sqrt{s}$ ). Otherwise, Eq. (1.10) associates  $\theta_1$  with zero, one, or two values of  $p_1$ :

$$\cos \theta_1 = \frac{2E_0 E_1 - \mathcal{M}}{2P_0 p_1}, \quad p_1 = \frac{\mathcal{M} P_0 \cos \theta_1 \pm \mathcal{R} E_0}{2(E_0^2 - P_0^2 \cos^2 \theta_1)}, \quad E_1 = \frac{\mathcal{M} E_0 \pm \mathcal{R} P_0 \cos \theta_1}{2(E_0^2 - P_0^2 \cos^2 \theta_1)} \quad (1.11)$$

with  $\mathcal{R} = \sqrt{\mathcal{M}^2 - 4m_1^2(E_0^2 - P_0^2 \cos^2 \theta_1)}$ .

## 1.2. Decay rate and Cross section

As  $\langle \text{out} | \text{in} \rangle = (2\pi)^4 \delta^{(4)}(p_i - p_f) i\mathcal{M}$  (for  $\text{in} \neq \text{out}$ ) and  $\langle \mathbf{p} | \mathbf{p} \rangle = 2E_p (2\pi)^3 \delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{0}) = 2E_p V$  for one-particle state,

$$\frac{N_{\text{ev}}}{\prod_{\text{in}} N_{\text{particle}}} = \int d\Pi^{\text{out}} \frac{|\langle \text{out} | \text{in} \rangle|^2}{\langle \text{in} | \text{in} \rangle} = \int d\Pi^{\text{out}} \frac{(2\pi)^8 |\mathcal{M}|^2}{\prod_{\text{in}} (2E)V} \frac{VT}{(2\pi^4)} \delta^{(4)}(p_i - p_f) = VT \int d\Pi^{\text{out}} \frac{|\mathcal{M}|^2}{\prod_{\text{in}} (2E)V}. \quad (1.12)$$

Therefore, decay rate (at the rest frame) is given by

$$d\Gamma := \frac{1}{T} \frac{dN_{\text{ev}}}{N_{\text{particle}}} = \frac{1}{T} VT d\Pi^{\text{out}} \frac{|\mathcal{M}|^2}{(2E)V} = \frac{1}{2M_0} d\Pi^{\text{out}} |\mathcal{M}|^2. \quad (1.13)$$

We also define Lorentz-invariant cross section  $\sigma$  by  $N_{\text{ev}} =: (n_A v_{\text{Møl}} T \sigma) N_B = (n_A v_{\text{Møl}} T \sigma) (n_B V)$  with number density  $n$ , or

$$d\sigma := \frac{dN_{\text{ev}}}{n_A v_{\text{Møl}} T N_B} = \frac{V}{v_{\text{Møl}} T} VT d\Pi^{\text{out}} \frac{|\mathcal{M}|^2}{2E_A 2E_B V^2} = \frac{1}{2E_A 2E_B v_{\text{Møl}}} d\Pi^{\text{out}} |\mathcal{M}|^2. \quad (1.14)$$

where the Möller parameter  $v_{\text{Møl}}$  is equal to  $v_{\text{rel}}^{\text{NR}} = \|\mathbf{v}_A - \mathbf{v}_B\|$  if  $\mathbf{v}_A \parallel \mathbf{v}_B$  (cf. Ref. [1]). Generally,

$$v_{\text{Møl}} := \frac{\sqrt{(p_A \cdot p_B)^2 - m_A^2 m_B^2}}{E_A E_B} = \frac{\sqrt{\lambda(s, m_A^2, m_B^2)}}{2E_A E_B} = \frac{p_A \cdot p_B}{E_A E_B} v_{\text{rel}} = (1 - \mathbf{v}_A \cdot \mathbf{v}_B) v_{\text{rel}}, \quad (1.15)$$

where  $v_{\text{rel}}$  is the actual relative velocity

$$v_{\text{rel}} = \sqrt{1 - \frac{(1 - v_A^2)(1 - v_B^2)}{1 - (\mathbf{v}_A \cdot \mathbf{v}_B)^2}} = \frac{\sqrt{\|\mathbf{v}_A - \mathbf{v}_B\|^2 - \|\mathbf{v}_A \times \mathbf{v}_B\|^2}}{1 - \mathbf{v}_A \cdot \mathbf{v}_B} = \frac{\lambda^{1/2}(s, m_A^2, m_B^2)}{s - (m_A^2 + m_B^2)} \neq v_{\text{rel}}^{\text{NR}}. \quad (1.16)$$

(Note that  $p_A \cdot p_B / E_A E_B = 1$  if  $\mathbf{p}_A = 0$  or  $\mathbf{p}_B = 0$ . Also, Each of  $v_{\text{rel}}$ ,  $VT$ , and  $E_A E_B v_{\text{Mol}}$  is Lorentz invariant.)

### 1.3. Three body phase space

The phase-space reduction utilizes the identity [2]

$$1 = \int \frac{d^4 p_{ij}}{(2\pi)^4} (2\pi)^4 \delta^{(4)}(p_{ij} - p_i - p_j) \Theta(p_{ij}^0) \quad (1.17)$$

$$= \int \frac{d^4 p_{ij}}{(2\pi)^4} \left[ \int \frac{ds}{2\pi} (2\pi) \delta(s - p_{ij}^2) \right] (2\pi)^4 \delta^{(4)}(p_{ij} - p_i - p_j) \Theta(p_{ij}^0) \quad (1.18)$$

$$= \int \frac{d^3 \mathbf{p}_{ij}}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{ds}{2\pi} \frac{1}{2p_{ij}^0} (2\pi)^4 \delta^{(4)}(p_{ij} - p_i - p_j) \Big|_{p_{ij}^0 = \sqrt{s + \|\mathbf{p}_{ij}\|^2}}. \quad (1.19)$$

For three-body phase space,

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{d\Pi^3} &= \int d\Pi_1 \frac{d^4 p_2 d^4 p_3}{(2\pi)^8} (2\pi) \delta(p_2^2 - m_2^2) (2\pi) \delta(p_3^2 - m_3^2) \Theta(p_2^0) \Theta(p_3^0) (2\pi)^4 \delta^{(4)}(P - p_1 - p_2 - p_3) \\ &\quad \times \frac{d^3 \mathbf{p}_{23}}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{ds_{23}}{2\pi} \frac{1}{2p_{23}^0} (2\pi)^4 \delta^{(4)}(p_{23} - p_2 - p_3) \Big|_{p_{23}^0 = \sqrt{s_{23} + \|\mathbf{p}_{23}\|^2}} \end{aligned} \quad (1.20)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \int \frac{ds_{23}}{2\pi} \int d\Pi_1 \frac{d^3 \mathbf{p}_{23}}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{2p_{23}^0} (2\pi)^4 \delta^{(4)}(P - p_1 - p_{23}) \Big|_{p_{23}^0 = \sqrt{s_{23} + \|\mathbf{p}_{23}\|^2}} \\ &\quad \times \frac{d^4 p_2 d^4 p_3}{(2\pi)^8} (2\pi) \delta(p_2^2 - m_2^2) (2\pi) \delta(p_3^2 - m_3^2) \Theta(p_2^0) \Theta(p_3^0) (2\pi)^4 \delta^{(4)}(p_{23} - p_2 - p_3). \end{aligned} \quad (1.21)$$

$$= \int \frac{ds_{23}}{2\pi} \int d\Pi_1 \frac{d^3 \mathbf{p}_{23}}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{2p_{23}^0} (2\pi)^4 \delta^{(4)}(P - p_1 - p_{23}) \Big|_{p_{23}^0 = \sqrt{s_{23} + \|\mathbf{p}_{23}\|^2}} \times \overline{d\Pi^2}(p_{23}^0, \mathbf{p}_{23}) \quad (1.22)$$

and  $\overline{d\Pi^2}(p_{23}^0, \mathbf{p}_{23})$  is given by Eq. (1.9); explicitly,

$$\overline{d\Pi^2}(p_{23}^0, \mathbf{p}_{23}) = \frac{d \cos \theta_2}{8\pi} \frac{p_2^2}{p_{23}^0 p_2 - \|\mathbf{p}_{23}\| \sqrt{p_2^2 + m_2^2} \cos \theta_2}; \quad (1.23)$$

$$p_2 = \frac{(s_{23} + m_2^2 - m_3^2) \|\mathbf{p}_{23}\| \cos \theta_2 + p_{23}^0 \sqrt{\lambda(s_{23}, m_2^2, m_3^2)} - 4m_2^2 \|\mathbf{p}_{23}\|^2 \sin^2 \theta_2}{2(s_{23} + \|\mathbf{p}_{23}\|^2 \sin^2 \theta_2)}, \quad (1.24)$$

where  $\theta_2$  is the angle between  $\mathbf{p}_{23}$  and  $\mathbf{p}_2$  (in the lab frame).

If the matrix element to integrate is spherically symmetric, so as  $\overline{d\Pi^2}(p_{23}^0, \mathbf{p}_{23}) |\mathcal{M}|^2$ , i.e., it is independent of the angle of  $\mathbf{p}_{23}$ . Then one can simply evaluate  $\int d^3 \mathbf{p}_{23}$ , which leads to, in the center-of-mass frame,

$$\overline{d\Pi^3}_{\text{sph. sym.}}(\sqrt{s}, \mathbf{0}) = \frac{ds_{23} d \cos \theta_2}{64\pi^3} \frac{p_1}{\sqrt{s}} \frac{p_2^2}{p_2 \sqrt{s_{23} + p_1^2} - p_1 \sqrt{p_2^2 + m_2^2} \cos \theta_2} \Big|_{p_1^2 = \lambda(s, m_1^2, s_{23})/4s} = \frac{s}{128\pi^3} dx_1 dx_2, \quad (1.25)$$

where we defined  $x_i := 2E_i/\sqrt{s}$ . Noting that  $s_{23} = s + m_1^2 - 2E_1\sqrt{s} = s(1 - x_1) + m_1^2$  etc.,

$$\overline{d\Pi^3}_{\text{sph. sym.}}(\sqrt{s}, \mathbf{0}) = \frac{1}{128\pi^3} \frac{1}{s} \int_{(m_2 + m_3)^2}^{(\sqrt{s} - m_1)^2} ds_{23} \int ds_{13}; \quad (1.26)$$

$$(s_{13})_{\min}^{\max} = \frac{(s + m_3^2 - m_1^2 - m_2^2)^2}{4s_{23}} - \frac{1}{4s_{23}} \left[ \lambda^{1/2}(s, m_1^2, s_{23}) \mp \lambda^{1/2}(s_{23}, m_2^2, m_3^2) \right]^2. \quad (1.27)$$

This is equal to the PDG-Eq. (47.23) [PDG2018].

## 2. Gauge theory

**SU(N)** Fundamental rep.  $\mathbf{N} \sim (\tau^a)_{ij}$  (Hermitian),  $\overline{\mathbf{N}} \sim (-\tau^{a*})_{ij}$ , and adjoint rep. **adj.**  $\sim (f^a)^{bc}$ .<sup>\*2</sup>

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr}(\tau_a \tau_b) &= \frac{1}{2} \delta_{ab}, & [\tau_a, \tau_b] &= i f_{abc} \tau_c, & [\tau_a, [\tau_b, \tau_c]] &= [[\tau_a, \tau_b], \tau_c] + [\tau_b, [\tau_a, \tau_c]], \\ f^{abc} &= -2i \text{Tr}([\tau^a, \tau^b] \tau^c) : \text{real, anti-symmetric}, & f^{ade} f^{bcd} &+ f^{bde} f^{cad} + f^{cde} f^{abd} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

$$\mathbf{N}_i \mapsto [\exp(i g \theta^a \tau^a)]_{ij} \mathbf{N}_j \simeq \mathbf{N}_i + i g \theta^a \tau_{ij}^a \mathbf{N}_j \quad (2.1)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\mathbf{N}}_i &\mapsto \overline{\mathbf{N}}_j [\exp(-i g \theta^a \tau^a)]_{ji} = [\exp(-i g \theta^a \tau^{a*})]_{ij} \overline{\mathbf{N}}_j \quad (\text{i.e., } \overline{\mathbf{N}}_i = \mathbf{N}_i^*) \\ &\simeq \overline{\mathbf{N}}_j - i g \theta^a \overline{\mathbf{N}}_j \tau_{ji}^a \simeq \overline{\mathbf{N}}_j - i g \theta^a \tau_{ij}^{a*} \overline{\mathbf{N}}_j \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

**SU(2)** Fundamental representation  $\mathbf{2} \sim T^a \equiv \sigma^a/2$  and adjoint representation  $\mathbf{3} \sim \epsilon^{abc}$ .

$$\text{Tr}(T_a T_b) = \frac{1}{2} \delta_{ab}, \quad [T_a, T_b] = i \epsilon_{abc} T_c, \quad \overline{\mathbf{2}} = \mathbf{2}^* = \epsilon \mathbf{2} \quad (\because T^* = \epsilon T \epsilon = -\epsilon T \epsilon^{-1}),$$

where the last identity comes as follows:

$$\epsilon_{ij} \mathbf{2}_j \mapsto \epsilon_{ij} ([\exp(i g \theta^a T^a)]_{jk} \mathbf{2}_k) = [\epsilon \exp(i g \theta^a T^a) \epsilon^{-1}]_{ij} \epsilon \mathbf{2}_j = [\exp(-i g \theta^a T^{a*})]_{ij} (\epsilon_{jk} \mathbf{2}_k). \quad (2.3)$$

**SU(3)** Fundamental rep.  $\mathbf{3} \sim \tau^a \equiv \lambda^a/2$ ,  $\overline{\mathbf{3}} \sim (-\tau^{a*})$ , and adjoint rep.  $\mathbf{8} \sim (f^a)^{bc}$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{3} : \phi_a &\rightarrow [\exp(i g \theta^\alpha \tau^\alpha)]_{ab} \phi_b, & \overline{\mathbf{3}} : \phi_a &\rightarrow [\exp(-i g \theta^\alpha \tau^{\alpha*})]_{ab} \phi_b, \\ \phi_a^* &\rightarrow [\exp(-i g \theta^\alpha \tau^{\alpha*})]_{ab} \phi_b^*, & \phi_a^* &\rightarrow [\exp(i g \theta^\alpha \tau^\alpha)]_{ab} \phi_b^*. \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

---

<sup>\*2</sup>Upper and lower gauge indices are equivalent, while Lorentz indices and Weyl-spinor indices are different for super- and subscripts because they are raised/lowered by, e.g., metric tensors.

## 2.1. Gell-Mann matrices

Gell-Mann matrices and a Mathematica code to generate them are:

$$\lambda_{1-8} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i & 0 \\ i & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -i \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ i & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -i \\ 0 & i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -2 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.5)$$

```
GellMann[0] := DiagonalMatrix[{1,1,1}]/Sqrt[3/2]
GellMann[8] := DiagonalMatrix[{1,1,-2}]/Sqrt[3]
GellMann[a:1|2|3|4|5|6|7] := Module[
  {p=Switch[a,1|2|3,{1,2,0},4|5,{1,0,2},6|7,{0,1,2}]},
  Table[If[i*j==0, 0, PauliMatrix[{1,2,3,1,2,1,2}][[a]]][[i,j]]], {i,p}, {j,p}]
```

### 3. Spinors

$$(\overline{\psi_1}\psi_2)^* = (\psi_2)^\dagger (\overline{\psi_1})^\dagger = \overline{\psi_2}\psi_1. \quad (3.1)$$

### 3.1. Verbose derivation

We here derive the fermion convention under the most generic with signs  $h_i = \pm 1$ , following Refs. [3].

**Lorentz group and Lorentz tensors** The Lorentz transformation  $\Lambda^\mu{}_\nu$  is defined as a linear transformation  $x^\mu \mapsto \Lambda^\mu{}_\nu x^\nu$  that conserves  $x^2 = \eta_{\mu\nu} x^\mu x^\nu$ , where  $x^\mu$  is a spacetime point and  $\eta$  is the Minkowski metric:

$$\eta^{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} h_\eta \times \text{diag}(+1, -1, -1, -1), \quad \eta^{\mu\alpha} \eta_{\alpha\nu} = \delta^\mu_\nu; \quad \eta_{\rho\sigma} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \eta_{\mu\nu} \Lambda^\mu{}_\rho \Lambda^\nu{}_\sigma \text{ (defining equation)}. \quad (3.2)$$

It's nice to denote its inverse, which satisfies  $x_\nu \mapsto x_\mu (\Lambda^{-1})^\mu{}_\nu$ , by  $\Lambda_\nu{}^\mu$ :

$$(\Lambda^{-1})^\mu{}_\nu = \eta^{\mu\alpha} \Lambda^\beta{}_\alpha \eta_{\beta\nu} \equiv \Lambda_\nu{}^\mu; \quad x_\mu \mapsto \Lambda_\mu{}^\nu x_\nu, \quad \delta^\alpha_\beta = \Lambda_\mu{}^\alpha \Lambda^\mu{}_\beta = \Lambda^\alpha{}_\mu \Lambda^\mu{}_\beta. \quad (3.3)$$

They form a group  $L \cong O(1, 3)$  (Lorentz group), which has four disconnected parts:

$$\begin{aligned} L_0 &= \{\Lambda \mid \det \Lambda = +1, \Lambda^0{}_0 \geq 1\} \cong \text{SO}^+(1, 3), & L_P &= \{\Lambda \mid \det \Lambda = -1, \Lambda^0{}_0 \geq 1\}, \\ L_T &= \{\Lambda \mid \det \Lambda = +1, \Lambda^0{}_0 \leq -1\}, & L_{PT} &= \{\Lambda \mid \det \Lambda = -1, \Lambda^0{}_0 \leq -1\}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

Tensors  $T_{\nu_1 \nu_2 \dots}^{\mu_1 \mu_2 \dots}$  and pseudo-tensors  $\tilde{T}_{\nu_1 \nu_2 \dots}^{\mu_1 \mu_2 \dots}$  are objects that satisfy

$$T_{\nu_1 \nu_2 \dots}^{\mu_1 \mu_2 \dots} \mapsto \Lambda^{\mu_1}{}_{\alpha_1} \dots \Lambda_{\nu_1}^{\beta_1} \dots T_{\beta_1 \beta_2 \dots}^{\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \dots}, \quad \tilde{T}_{\nu_1 \nu_2 \dots}^{\mu_1 \mu_2 \dots} \mapsto (\det \Lambda) \Lambda^{\mu_1}{}_{\alpha_1} \dots \Lambda_{\nu_1}^{\beta_1} \dots \tilde{T}_{\beta_1 \beta_2 \dots}^{\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \dots}. \quad (3.5)$$

There are two constants that qualify to be (pseudo)-tensors:  $\eta^{\mu\nu}$  (and  $\eta_{\mu\nu}$ ,  $\delta^\mu_\nu$ ) and the anti-symmetric tensor  $\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}$ :

$$\eta^{\mu\nu} \mapsto \Lambda^\mu{}_\alpha \Lambda^\nu{}_\beta \eta^{\alpha\beta} = \eta^{\mu\nu}, \quad \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \mapsto \Lambda^\mu{}_\alpha \Lambda^\nu{}_\beta \Lambda^\rho{}_\gamma \Lambda^\sigma{}_\delta \varepsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} = (\det \Lambda) \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}; \quad \varepsilon^{0123} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} 1, \quad \varepsilon_{0123} = -1. \quad (3.6)$$

**Infinitesimal transformation and Lorentz algebra** Equation (3.2) gives the representation for a proper orthochronous Lorentz transformation  $\Lambda \in L_0$ :

$$\Lambda = 1 + \lambda + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^2); \quad \lambda = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\omega_x & -\omega_y & -\omega_z \\ -\omega_x & 0 & +\theta_z & -\theta_y \\ -\omega_y & -\theta_z & 0 & +\theta_x \\ -\omega_z & +\theta_y & -\theta_x & 0 \end{pmatrix} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} i\boldsymbol{\omega} \cdot \mathbf{K} + i\boldsymbol{\theta} \cdot \mathbf{J} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{-i}{2} d^{\mu\nu} M_{\mu\nu} \quad (3.7)$$

where  $\theta_i$  is the angle of a *passive* rotation around  $i$ -axis and  $\omega_i$  describes the *passive* boost along  $i$ -axis with velocity  $\beta = \tanh \omega$ .

The last definition of Eq. (3.7) is used to consider Lorentz algebra  $\{M\}$ . As  $[M_{\rho\sigma}]^\mu{}_\nu$  should be a tensor, the parameter  $d$  should also be a tensor and thus  $d^{\mu\nu} = k \cdot \eta^{\mu\rho} \lambda^\nu{}_\rho$  ( $k$  is a constant), i.e.,

$$M_{\mu\nu} = -M_{\nu\mu}, \quad d^{\mu\nu} = -d^{\nu\mu}, \quad \{d^{01}, d^{02}, d^{03}\} = k h_\eta \times \boldsymbol{\omega}, \quad \{d^{32}, d^{13}, d^{21}\} = k h_\eta \times \boldsymbol{\theta}. \quad (3.8)$$

Then the element of the Lorentz algebra is given by  $(M_{\rho\sigma})^\mu{}_\nu = (i/k)(\delta^\mu_\rho \eta_{\nu\sigma} - \delta^\mu_\sigma \eta_{\nu\rho})$ , which gives the Lorentz algebra

$$[M_{\mu\nu}, M_{\rho\sigma}] = (-i/k)(\eta_{\mu\rho} M_{\nu\sigma} - \eta_{\mu\sigma} M_{\nu\rho} + \eta_{\nu\sigma} M_{\mu\rho} - \eta_{\nu\rho} M_{\mu\sigma}). \quad (3.9)$$

We will take  $k = +1$  to match the notation of Ref. [3].

**Rotation and boost** The boost and rotation operators,  $\mathbf{K}$  and  $\mathbf{J}$ , are now given in an abstract form by

$$\mathbf{J} = h_\eta (M_{23}, M_{31}, M_{12}), \quad \mathbf{K} = -h_\eta (M_{01}, M_{02}, M_{03}), \quad (3.10)$$

so their commutation relation is read from Eq. (3.9):

$$[J_i, J_j] = i\epsilon_{ijk} J_k, \quad [J_i, K_j] = i\epsilon_{ijk} K_k, \quad [K_i, K_j] = -i\epsilon_{ijk} J_k, \quad (3.11)$$

which leads to

$$\mathbf{A} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{\mathbf{J} + i\mathbf{K}}{2}, \quad \mathbf{B} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{\mathbf{J} - i\mathbf{K}}{2}; \quad [A_i, A_j] = i\epsilon_{ijk} A_k, \quad [B_i, B_j] = i\epsilon_{ijk} B_k, \quad [A_i, B_j] = 0. \quad (3.12)$$

This means  $\mathfrak{so}(1, 3)$  is somewhat similar to  $\mathfrak{su}(2) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2)$ , or in fact, as discussed in Appendix A.4,  $\mathfrak{so}(1, 3)_\mathbb{C} \cong \mathfrak{su}(2)_\mathbb{C} \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2)_\mathbb{C}$ .

**More isomorphism** Let us see a bit more of mathematical structure, following the discussion in Appendix A.4. The isomorphic groups  $\text{Spin}(1, 3)^+ \cong \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C}) \cong \text{Sp}(2, \mathbb{C})$  is a double cover of  $L_0$ ; in particular,  $L_0 \cong \text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{C}) = \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})/\mathbb{Z}_2$ .

Meanwhile, the Lorentz algebra  $\mathfrak{so}(1, 3)$  is isomorphic to  $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})$  viewed as a real Lie algebra [4, §7.8], and its complexification  $\mathfrak{so}(1, 3)_\mathbb{C}$  is isomorphic to  $\mathfrak{su}(2)_\mathbb{C} \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2)_\mathbb{C} = \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C}) \oplus \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})$ .

**Spinors from Clifford algebra** First we construct spinors from Clifford algebra  $\mathfrak{C}_{1,3}$  and  $\text{Spin}(1, 3)^+$  given in Appendix A.4. A matrix representation  $\gamma^\mu$  of  $\mathfrak{C}_{1,3}$  and “spinors”  $\psi$  are introduced:

$$\bar{\psi} = \psi^\dagger \beta, \quad \beta\beta = 1, \quad \{\gamma^\mu, \gamma^\nu\} = 2\eta^{\mu\nu}; \quad \psi \mapsto T\psi, \quad \bar{\psi} \mapsto \bar{\psi}\beta T^\dagger\beta; \quad T \in \text{Spin}(1, 3)^+. \quad (3.13)$$

For  $\bar{\psi}\psi$  and  $\bar{\psi}\gamma^\mu\psi$  to be respectively scalar and vector,  $T$  should satisfy

$$T^{-1}\gamma^\mu T = \Lambda^\mu{}_\nu \gamma^\nu, \quad \beta T^\dagger \beta T = 1, \quad (3.14)$$

or in infinitesimal form  $T = 1 + (-i/2)d^{\mu\nu}S_{\mu\nu}$ ,

$$(S_{\mu\nu})^\dagger = \beta S_{\mu\nu} \beta, \quad [S_{\mu\nu}, \gamma^\alpha] = -(M_{\mu\nu})^\alpha{}_\beta \gamma^\beta; \quad \therefore S_{\mu\nu} = \frac{i}{4}[\gamma_\mu, \gamma_\nu]; \quad [\gamma_\mu^\dagger, \gamma_\nu^\dagger] = \beta[\gamma_\mu, \gamma_\nu]\beta; \quad (3.15)$$

finally, a representation of the Lorentz group is constructed as

$$\Lambda \stackrel{\text{rep}}{=} \exp\left(\frac{-i}{2}d^{\mu\nu}S_{\mu\nu}\right); \quad [S_{\mu\nu}, S_{\rho\sigma}] = -i(\eta_{\mu\rho}S_{\nu\sigma} - \eta_{\nu\rho}S_{\mu\sigma} + \eta_{\mu\sigma}S_{\nu\rho} - \eta_{\nu\sigma}S_{\mu\rho}), \quad (3.16)$$

which is exactly the same as Eq. (3.9).



### 3.2. Convention ♣TODO:WIP!♣

First we prepare a vector  $x^\mu$  and a symmetric matrix  $\eta^{\mu\nu}$ , which we call “contravariant vector”  $x^\mu$  and the metric  $\eta^{\mu\nu}$ . Then we perform a Lorentz transformation on  $x^\mu$  to obtain  $(x')^\mu$ , with which we can define a matrix  $\Lambda(v, \theta)^\mu_\nu$  through  $\Lambda^\mu_\nu x^\nu = (x')^\mu$ .

We then consider  $\Lambda$ s for infinitesimal transformations and define  $S$ ,  $J$ , and  $K$  by

$$\Lambda^\mu_\nu \simeq \delta^\mu_\nu - i(\theta \cdot J^\mu_\nu + \beta \cdot K^\mu_\nu) \simeq \delta^\mu_\nu - \frac{i}{2} [\Lambda^{\alpha\beta} S_{\alpha\beta}]^\mu_\nu \quad (3.17)$$

Imposing “Lorentz condition” (♣TODO:what?♣), we get the expression for  $S = i(\delta \cdot \dots)$  and  $[J^i, J^j] = \dots$ ; further, we get  $\Lambda^\mu_\nu = \exp(-i\theta \cdot J - i\xi \cdot K)$ ,  $\theta = (\theta_{23}, \theta_{31}, \theta_{12})$ ,  $\xi = \hat{v} \tanh^{-1} \|v\| = (\theta^{10}, \theta^{20}, \theta^{30})$ ;  $J = (S_{23}, S_{31}, S_{12})$ ,  $K = (S^{01}, S^{02}, S^{03}) \dots$ ?

Lorentz transformation with a rotation  $\theta$  around an axis  $\hat{\theta}$  and a boost  $v$  are given by

$$\Lambda = \exp[-i(\theta \cdot J + \beta \cdot K)]; \quad \theta := \theta \hat{\theta}, \quad \beta := \hat{v} \tanh^{-1} \|v\|, \quad (3.18)$$

♣TODO:check!♣

$$\text{Lorentz transformation (infinitesimal): } \Lambda = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \beta^T & & \\ \beta & 0 & -\theta_z & \theta_y \\ & \theta_z & 0 & -\theta_x \\ & -\theta_y & \theta_x & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$[J_{\mu\nu}]^\alpha_\beta = i(\delta^\alpha_\mu \eta_{\nu\beta} - \delta^\alpha_\nu \eta_{\mu\beta})$$

Lorentz tensor  $M^{\mu_1 \mu_2 \dots \mu_n} \propto \bar{\sigma}^{\mu_1 \dot{\beta}_1 \alpha_1} \dots M_{\alpha_1 \dots \dot{\beta}_1 \dots}$

Especially  $V^\mu =: \frac{1}{2} \bar{\sigma}^{\mu \dot{\beta} \alpha} V_{\alpha \dot{\beta}}$ ,  $V_{\alpha \dot{\beta}} = V^\mu \sigma_{\mu \alpha \dot{\beta}}$ ; hermite  $V_{\alpha \dot{\beta}} \Leftrightarrow \text{real } V^\mu$ .

$$(V^T)_{\alpha \dot{\beta}} = V_{\beta \dot{\alpha}}, \quad \text{♣TODO:(correct? possibly wrong dot-positions?)♣} \quad (3.19)$$

$$(V^*)_{\dot{\alpha} \beta} := (V_{\alpha \dot{\beta}})^*, \quad (3.20)$$

$$(V^\dagger)_{\alpha \dot{\beta}} := (V_{\beta \dot{\alpha}})^* = (V^*)_{\dot{\beta} \alpha} \quad (3.21)$$

♣TODO: anyway not very sure about the reasoning; though my old note says like this...♣

In general, metric is symmetric.

$$(\Lambda^{-1})^\mu_\nu = \eta_{\nu\rho} \Lambda^\rho_\sigma (\eta^{-1})^{\sigma\mu} =: \Lambda_\nu^\mu \quad (3.22)$$

## 4. Calculation techniques

### Polarization sum

$$\text{massless: } \sum_{\pm} \epsilon_{\mu}^*(k) \epsilon_{\nu}(k) = -\eta_{\mu\nu} - \frac{n^2 k_{\mu} k_{\nu}}{(n \cdot k)^2} + \frac{n_{\mu} k_{\nu} + n_{\nu} k_{\mu}}{n \cdot k} \quad \left( \rightsquigarrow -\eta_{\mu\nu} \quad \text{with Ward id.} \right), \quad (4.1)$$

$$\text{massive: } \sum_{\pm,0} \epsilon_{\mu}^*(k) \epsilon_{\nu}(k) = -\eta_{\mu\nu} + \frac{k_{\mu} k_{\nu}}{m^2}, \quad (4.2)$$

where  $\epsilon \cdot k = 0$  is assumed and  $n^{\mu}$  should satisfy  $\epsilon \cdot n = 0$ , and  $k \cdot n \neq 0$  (usually  $n^{\mu} = (1, 0, 0, 0)^{\mu}$ ). ♣**TODO: derivation**♣

## 5. Loop calculation

Notation follows LoopTools [5]; capital  $M$ s and  $P$ s respectively denote squared masses and momenta.

### Passarino–Veltman scalar integrals

$$A_0(M)/M = \Delta_\epsilon + \log \mu^2 + 1 - \log M, \quad (5.1)$$

$$B_0(P, M_0, M_1) = \Delta_\epsilon + \log \mu^2 - \int_0^1 dx \log [-x(1-x)P + xM_1 + (1-x)M_0] \quad (5.2)$$

$$C_0(P_1, P_2, P_3, M_1, M_2, M_3) = \int_0^1 dx \int_0^1 dy \frac{x}{Q_1} \quad (5.3)$$

$$= \int_0^1 dx \int_0^x dy \frac{1}{Q_2}; \quad (5.4)$$

$$Q_1 = x(1-x)(1-y)P_2 + x^2y(1-y)P_3 + x(1-x)yP_1 - xyM_1 - (1-x)M_2 - x(1-y)M_3,$$

$$Q_2 = -P_2x^2 - P_1y^2 + (P_1 + P_2 - P_3)xy + (P_2 - M_2 + M_3)x + (M_2 - M_1 + P_3 - P_2)y - M_3.$$

Kinematical invariance:

$$B_0(P, M_0, M_1) = B_0(P, M_1, M_0), \quad C_0(P_1, P_2, P_3, M_1, M_2, M_3) = C_0(P_2, P_3, P_1, M_2, M_3, M_1) \\ = C_0(P_1, P_3, P_2, M_2, M_1, M_3) \quad (5.5)$$

Special cases:

$$C_0(0, P, P, M, M, M') = \int_0^1 dx \int_0^x dy \frac{-1}{Px^2 - (P - M + M')x + M'}; \quad (5.6) \\ = \int_0^1 dx \frac{-x/P}{(x - \alpha)^2 - \lambda(P, M, M')/4P^2}; \quad \alpha = (P - M + M')/2P.$$

$$\left| \begin{array}{l} Q_1 = \{x(1-x)(1-y), x^2y(1-y), x(1-x)y, -xy, -(1-x), -x(1-y)\} \cdot \{P_2, P_3, P_1, M_1, M_2, M_3\} \\ Q_2 = -P_2x^2 - P_1y^2 + (P_1+P_2-P_3)xy + (P_2-M_2+M_3)x + (M_2-M_1+P_3-P_2)y - M_3 \end{array} \right.$$

### 5.1. Passarino–Veltman scalar integrals

See `calculator/loop/PaVeAnalytic.wl` for validation. We use the notation [5,6]

$$\Delta_\epsilon = \frac{2}{4-d} - \gamma + \log 4\pi \equiv \text{GetDelta[]} \quad (= 0 \text{ in } \overline{\text{MS}}), \quad \mu^2 \equiv \text{GetMudim[]}, \quad (5.7)$$

where  $\mu$  is introduced due to the different mass dimension of vector and spinor fields in  $d$ -dimensional theory:

$$[A_\mu] = 1 - \frac{4-d}{2}, \quad [\psi] = \frac{3}{2} - \frac{4-d}{2}, \quad [\text{gauge couplings}] = \frac{4-d}{2} \quad \Rightarrow \quad e = (e)_{4\text{-dim.}} \mu^{(4-d)/2}. \quad (5.8)$$

The analytic form of scalar integrals are given in Refs. [6–8].

## 6. Cosmology

**FLRW metric** With a scale factor normalized by  $a(t_0) = 1$ ,

$$ds^2 = dt^2 - a^2(t) \left[ \frac{dr^2}{1 - Kr^2} + r^2 d\theta^2 + r^2 \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2 \right] \quad (6.1)$$

comoving coordinate  $\mathbf{r} = (r \sin \theta \cos \phi, r \sin \theta \sin \phi, r \cos \theta)$ ,

proper coordinate  $\mathbf{x}(t) = a(t)\mathbf{r}$ ,

$$\text{comoving distance } \chi_{AB} = \int_{r_A}^{r_B} \frac{dr}{\sqrt{1 - Kr^2}},$$

proper distance  $d_{AB}(t) = a(t)\chi_{AB}$ .

Ricci tensor and scalar are given by

$$\begin{aligned} R_{00} &= R^0_0 = \frac{3\ddot{a}}{a}, & R_{0i} &= R_{i0} = R^0_i = R^i_0 = 0, & R_{ij} &\neq 0, \\ R^i_j &= \delta^i_j \left( \frac{\ddot{a}}{a} + \frac{2\dot{a}^2}{a^2} + \frac{2K}{a^2} \right); & R &= 6 \left( \frac{\ddot{a}}{a} + \frac{\dot{a}^2}{a^2} + \frac{K}{a^2} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (6.2)$$

**Particle density** For a massless particle, with  $L_n^\pm = \pm \text{PolyLog}(n, \pm e^{\mu/T})$  and arrows denoting  $\mu \rightarrow 0$ ,

$$n_{\text{MB}} = \frac{e^{\mu/T}}{\pi^2} g T^3 \rightarrow \frac{1}{\pi^2} g T^3, \quad \rho_{\text{MB}} = 3T n_{\text{MB}} \rightarrow \frac{3}{\pi^2} g T^4, \quad (6.3)$$


$$n_{\text{BE}} = \frac{L_3^+}{\pi^2} g T^3 \rightarrow \frac{\zeta_3}{\pi^2} g T^3, \quad \rho_{\text{BE}} = \frac{3L_4^+}{\pi^2} g T^4 \rightarrow \frac{\pi^2}{30} g T^4, \quad (6.4)$$

$$n_{\text{FD}} = \frac{L_3^-}{\pi^2} g T^3 \rightarrow \frac{3}{4} \frac{\zeta_3}{\pi^2} g T^3, \quad \rho_{\text{FD}} = \frac{3L_4^-}{\pi^2} g T^4 \rightarrow \frac{7}{8} \frac{\pi^2}{30} g T^4, \quad (6.5)$$

For massive particle, with  $x = m/T$  and  $K_n(x) = \text{BesselK}(n, x)$ ,

$$n_{\text{MB}} = g e^{\mu/T} \cdot \frac{T^3}{2\pi^2} x^2 K_2(x) \xrightarrow{x \gg 1} g e^{\mu/T} \frac{T^3}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} x^{3/2} e^{-x}, \quad (6.6)$$

$$\rho_{\text{MB}} = \left( 3 + \frac{x K_1(x)}{K_2(x)} \right) T n_{\text{MB}} \xrightarrow{x \gg 1} \left( m + \frac{3}{2} T + \frac{15 T^2}{8 m} \right) n_{\text{MB}}, \quad p_{\text{MB}} = T n_{\text{MB}}. \quad (6.7)$$



## 6.1. FLRW metric

Two conventions are known for FLRW (Фридман-Lemaître-Robertson-Walker) metric:

$$ds^2 = dt^2 - a^2(t) \left[ \frac{dr^2}{1 - Kr^2} + r^2 d\theta^2 + r^2 \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2 \right] \quad [r] = (\text{length}), a \text{ is unitless with } a(t_0) = 1 \quad (6.8)$$

$$= dt^2 - R^2(t) \left[ \frac{d\tilde{r}^2}{1 - \tilde{K}\tilde{r}^2} + \tilde{r}^2 d\theta^2 + \tilde{r}^2 \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2 \right] \quad [R] = (\text{length}), \tilde{r} \text{ is unitless}, \tilde{K} = \{0, \pm 1\} \quad (6.9)$$

related by a rescaling,  $R(t)/a(t) = R(t_0) \equiv R_0$ , i.e.,  $r = \tilde{r}R_0$  and  $K = \tilde{K}/R_0^2$ . The curvature radius is given by  $6K/a^2$  and a spherical, flat, and hyperspherical universe are respectively given by  $K > 0$ ,  $K = 0$ , and  $K < 0$ .

FLRW metric can have several forms. For  $\{K > 0, K = 0, K < 0\}$ ,

$$ds^2 = dt^2 - a^2(t) \left( \frac{dr^2}{1 - Kr^2} + r^2 d\Omega \right) \quad d\Omega = d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2, \quad (6.10)$$

$$= dt^2 - a^2(t) \left[ d\mathbf{r}^2 + \frac{K(\mathbf{r} \cdot d\mathbf{r})^2}{1 - K\|\mathbf{r}\|^2} \right] \quad \mathbf{r} = (r \sin \theta \cos \phi, r \sin \theta \sin \phi, r \cos \theta) \quad (6.11)$$

$$= dt^2 - \left[ \frac{a(t)}{1 + (K/4)\rho^2} \right]^2 (d\rho^2 + \rho^2 d\Omega) \quad \rho = R_0 \tilde{\rho} := \frac{2r}{1 + \sqrt{1 - Kr^2}} = \frac{2\tilde{r}R_0}{1 + \sqrt{1 - \tilde{K}\tilde{r}^2}} \quad (6.12)$$

$$= dt^2 - \left[ \frac{R(t)}{1 + (\tilde{K}/4)\tilde{\rho}^2} \right]^2 (d\tilde{\rho}^2 + \tilde{\rho}^2 d\Omega) \quad (6.13)$$

$$= dt^2 - R^2(t) (d\tilde{\chi}^2 + \{\sin \tilde{\chi}, \tilde{\chi}, \sinh \tilde{\chi}\}^2 d\Omega) \quad d\chi = R_0 d\tilde{\chi} = \frac{dr'}{\sqrt{1 - Kr'^2}} \quad [\text{comoving distance}] \quad (6.14)$$

$$= a^2(t) (d\eta^2 - d\chi^2 - R_0^2 \{\sin \tilde{\chi}, \tilde{\chi}, \sinh \tilde{\chi}\}^2 d\Omega) \quad d\eta := \frac{dt'}{a(t')} \quad [\text{conformal time}]. \quad (6.15)$$

Explicitly,  $\chi$  is given by

$$\chi = \int_0^r \frac{dr'}{\sqrt{1 - Kr'^2}} = \int_0^{\tilde{r}} \frac{R_0 d\tilde{r}'}{\sqrt{1 - \tilde{K}\tilde{r}'^2}} = R_0 \{\sin^{-1} \tilde{r}, \tilde{r}, \sinh^{-1} \tilde{r}\} = 2R_0 \left\{ \tan^{-1} \frac{\tilde{\rho}}{2}, \frac{\tilde{\rho}}{2}, \tanh^{-1} \frac{\tilde{\rho}}{2} \right\}. \quad (6.16)$$

The Christoffel symbol, Riemann tensor, Ricci tensor, and Ricci scalar are given by

$$\Gamma_{ij}^n = \frac{g^{nk}}{2} (g_{jk,i} + g_{ik,j} - g_{ij,k}), \quad R_{ijk}^l = \Gamma_{jk,i}^l - \Gamma_{ik,j}^l + \Gamma_{jk}^a \Gamma_{ai}^l - \Gamma_{ik}^a \Gamma_{aj}^l, \quad R_{ij} = R_{ikj}^k, \quad R = g^{ij} R_{ij}.$$

## 6.2. Particle cosmology

The particle number density, pressure, and energy density are calculated from distribution functions:

$$f_{\text{MB}}(\mathbf{k}) = \frac{g}{e^{(E-\mu)/T}}, \quad f_{\text{BE}}(\mathbf{k}) = \frac{g}{e^{(E-\mu)/T} - 1}, \quad f_{\text{FD}}(\mathbf{k}) = \frac{g}{e^{(E-\mu)/T} + 1}; \quad (6.17)$$

$$n = \int \frac{d^3 \mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^3} f(\mathbf{k}), \quad \rho = \int \frac{d^3 \mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^3} E f(\mathbf{k}), \quad p = \int \frac{d^3 \mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^3} k_z v_z f(\mathbf{k}) = \int \frac{d^3 \mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{k^2 \cos^2 \theta}{E} f(\mathbf{k}). \quad (6.18)$$

Note the pressure is (momentum)  $\times$  (flux per time) on a “wall”; assuming MB,  $p = \rho/3$  for  $m \ll T$  and  $p = T\rho/m$  for  $m \gg T$ .

A thermal average of a cross section  $\sigma(s)$  is schematically given by

$$\langle \sigma v \rangle_{A \rightarrow 12 \dots n}(T) = \frac{1}{n_A n_B} \int \frac{d^3 \mathbf{k}_A}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{d^3 \mathbf{k}_B}{(2\pi)^3} (f_A f_B) \left\{ \phi_1 \dots \phi_n \sigma(s) \right\} v_{\text{Mol}}; \quad \phi_X = e^{(E-\mu)/T} f_X/g, \quad (6.19)$$

Here, the final state statistical factor  $\phi_1 \dots \phi_n$  are subject to the phase space integral of the calculation of  $\sigma(s)$ . They are specifically given by  $\phi_{\text{MB}} = 1$ ,  $\phi_{\text{BE}} = 1 + f_{\text{BE}}/g$ , and  $\phi_{\text{FD}} = 1 - f_{\text{FD}}/g$ . Similarly, a thermal averaged decay rate is given by

$$\langle \Gamma \rangle_{A \rightarrow 12 \dots n} = \frac{1}{n_A} \int \frac{d^3 \mathbf{k}_A}{(2\pi)^3} f_A \left\{ \phi_1 \dots \phi_n \frac{m_A}{E_A} \Gamma \right\}. \quad (6.20)$$

With MB approximation,

$$\langle \sigma v \rangle = \frac{g_A g_B}{n_A n_B} e^{(\mu_A + \mu_B)/T} \int \frac{d^3 \mathbf{k}_A}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{d^3 \mathbf{k}_B}{(2\pi)^3} e^{-(E_A + E_B)/T} \sigma(s) v_{\text{Mol}} \quad (6.21)$$

$$= \int \frac{ds dE_+ dE_-}{32 m_A^2 m_B^2 T^2 K_2(m_A/T) K_2(m_B/T)} e^{-E_+/T} 4 E_A E_B \sigma(s) v_{\text{Mol}} \quad (\times 1/2 \text{ if } A = B) \quad (6.22)$$

$$= \frac{1}{16 m_A^2 m_B^2 T K_2(m_A/T) K_2(m_B/T)} \int \frac{K_1(\sqrt{s}/T) ds}{\sqrt{s}} \sqrt{\lambda(s, m_A^2, m_B^2)} \cdot 2 E_A 2 E_B v_{\text{Mol}} \sigma(s) \quad (\times 1/2), \quad (6.23)$$

$$\langle \Gamma \rangle = \frac{K_1(m_A/T)}{K_2(m_A/T)} \Gamma. \quad (6.24)$$

<sup>\*3</sup>Overall sign is convention-dependent.

## 7. Standard Model

(summary page)

### 7.1. Particle content and convention

### 7.2. Lagrangian

### 7.3. Higgs mechanism

A general expression for composing a Dirac fermion from  $\psi_L(T_{3L}, Y_L)$  and  $\psi_R(T_{3R}, Y_R)$  is given by

$$(g_2 \not{W}_3 T_{3L} + g_Y \not{B} Y_L) P_L + (g_2 \not{W}_3 T_{3R} + g_Y \not{B} Y_R) P_R \quad (7.1)$$

$$= \left[ (|e| \not{A} + g_Z c_w^2 \not{Z}) T_{3L} + (|e| \not{A} - g_Z s_w^2 \not{Z}) Y_L \right] P_L + (\text{right}) \quad (7.2)$$

$$= \frac{T_{3L} + T_{3R} + Y_L + Y_R}{2} |e| \not{A} + \frac{T_{3L} c_w^2 - Y_L s_w^2 + T_{3R} c_w^2 - Y_R s_w^2}{2} g_Z \not{Z} \\ + \frac{-T_{3L} - Y_L + T_{3R} + Y_R}{2} |e| \not{A} \gamma_5 + \frac{-c_w^2 T_{3L} + s_w^2 Y_L + c_w^2 T_{3R} - s_w^2 Y_R}{2} g_Z \not{Z} \gamma_5. \quad (7.3)$$

In the SM,  $T_{3L} + Y_L = Y_R =: Q$  and  $T_{3R} = 0$  lead to

$$Q |e| \not{A} + g_Z \not{Z} (T_{3L} P_L - Q s_w^2). \quad (7.4)$$

### 7.4. Lagrangian in mass eigenstates



## 7.5. CKM matrix and Yukawa convention

We use the following convention for the Yukawa interaction terms:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{Yukawa}} = \bar{U}Y_u H P_L Q - \bar{D}Y_d H^\dagger P_L Q - \bar{E}Y_e H^\dagger P_L L + \text{h.c.} \quad (7.5)$$

$$= \bar{U}_i Y_{uij} \epsilon^{ab} H^a P_L Q_j^b - \bar{D}_i Y_{dij} H^{a*} P_L Q_j^a - \bar{E}_i Y_{eij} H^{a*} P_L L_j^a + \text{h.c.} \quad (7.6)$$

$$= -\bar{Q}^a Y_u^\dagger \epsilon^{ab} H^{b*} P_R U - \bar{Q}^a Y_d^\dagger H^a P_R D - \bar{L}^a Y_e^\dagger H^a P_R E + \text{h.c.}, \quad (7.7)$$

where the last equality uses  $(\bar{\psi}_A P_L \psi_B)^* = \bar{\psi}_B P_R \psi_A$ .

These terms are diagonalized by the singular value decomposition  $Y = UY^{\text{diag}}V^\dagger$  (see Appendix A.3):

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{Yukawa}} = \epsilon^{ab} \bar{U}U_u Y_u^{\text{diag}} H^a P_L V_u^\dagger Q^b - \bar{D}U_d Y_d^{\text{diag}} H^{a*} P_R V_d^\dagger Q^a - \bar{E}U_e Y_e^{\text{diag}} H^{a*} P_R V_e^\dagger L^a + \text{h.c.} \quad (7.8)$$

$$\rightsquigarrow -\frac{v}{\sqrt{2}} \bar{U}U_u Y_u^{\text{diag}} V_u^\dagger P_L Q^1 - \frac{v}{\sqrt{2}} \bar{D}U_d Y_d^{\text{diag}} V_d^\dagger P_L Q^2 - \frac{v}{\sqrt{2}} \bar{E}U_e Y_e^{\text{diag}} V_e^\dagger P_L L^2 + \text{h.c.} \quad (7.9)$$

under the EWSB with  $v \simeq 246$  GeV. Mass eigenstates are

$$\{Q^1, Q^2, L, \bar{U}, \bar{D}, \bar{E}\}^{\text{mass basis}} = \{V_u^\dagger Q^1, V_d^\dagger Q^2, V_e^\dagger L, \bar{U}U_u, \bar{D}U_d, \bar{E}U_e\} \quad (7.10)$$

and, since  $Q^1$  and  $Q^2$  are rotated by different matrices, the weak interaction receives flavor violation amended as

$$\mathcal{L} \supset \bar{Q}i\gamma^\mu (-ig_2 W_\mu) P_L Q \supset \frac{g_2}{\sqrt{2}} [\bar{Q}^1 W^+ P_L Q^2 + \bar{Q}^2 W^- P_L Q^1] \quad (7.11)$$

$$= \frac{g_2}{\sqrt{2}} [(\bar{Q}^1)^{\text{mass}} V_u^\dagger W^+ P_L V_d (Q^2)^{\text{mass}} + (\bar{Q}^2)^{\text{mass}} V_d^\dagger W^- P_L V_u (Q^1)^{\text{mass}}] \quad (7.12)$$

$$= \frac{g_2}{\sqrt{2}} [(\bar{Q}^1)^{\text{mass}} V_{\text{CKM}} W^+ P_L (Q^2)^{\text{mass}} + (\bar{Q}^2)^{\text{mass}} V_{\text{CKM}}^\dagger W^- P_L (Q^1)^{\text{mass}}], \quad (7.13)$$

where the CKM matrix are defined with positive angles:

$$V_{\text{CKM}} = V_u^\dagger V_d = \begin{pmatrix} V_{ud} & V_{us} & V_{ub} \\ V_{cd} & V_{cs} & V_{cb} \\ V_{td} & V_{ts} & V_{tb} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & c_{23} & s_{23} \\ & -s_{23} & c_{23} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_{13} & s_{13} e^{-i\delta} \\ & 1 \\ -s_{13} e^{i\delta} & c_{13} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_{12} & s_{12} \\ -s_{12} & c_{12} \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (7.14)$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} c_{12}c_{13} & s_{12}c_{13} & s_{13}e^{-i\delta} \\ -s_{12}c_{23} - c_{12}s_{23}s_{13}e^{i\delta} & c_{12}c_{23} - s_{12}s_{23}s_{13}e^{i\delta} & s_{23}c_{13} \\ s_{12}s_{23} - c_{12}c_{23}s_{13}e^{i\delta} & -c_{12}s_{23} - s_{12}c_{23}s_{13}e^{i\delta} & c_{23}c_{13} \end{pmatrix} [s_{ij} > 0, c_{ij} > 0]. \quad (7.15)$$

Here in the Standard Model, five phases in a unitary matrix (A.17) are removed by rotating fermion phases.

**PDG convention** [PDG2018, §12] [PDG2020, §12]

$$\mathcal{L} \supset -Y_{ij}^d \bar{Q}_{Li}^d \phi_{Rj}^I - Y_{ij}^u \bar{Q}_{Li}^I \epsilon \phi_{Rj}^* u_{Rj}^I, \quad Y^{\text{diag}} = V_L Y V_R^\dagger, \quad V_{\text{CKM}} = V_L^\dagger V_R. \quad (7.16)$$

So,  $Y^u = Y_u^\dagger$ ,  $Y^d = Y_d^\dagger$ ;  $Y^{\text{diag}} = V_R Y V_L^\dagger = V_R Y V_L^\dagger$  leads  $V_L = V^\dagger$ , and the CKM matrix (and components) is in the same convention:  $V_{\text{CKM}} = V_u^\dagger V_d = V_{\text{CKM}}$ .

**SLHA2 convention** [9]

$$W \supset \epsilon_{ab} [(Y_E)_{ij} H_1^a L_i^b \bar{E}_j + (Y_D)_{ij} H_1^a Q_i^b \bar{D}_j + (Y_U)_{ij} H_2^b Q_i^a \bar{U}_j]; \quad (7.17)$$

$$\mathcal{L} \supset -\epsilon_{ab} [(Y_E)_{ij} H_1^a \psi_{Li}^b \bar{\psi}_{Ej} + (Y_D)_{ij} H_1^a \psi_{Li}^b \bar{\psi}_{Dj} + (Y_U)_{ij} H_2^b \psi_{Qi}^a \bar{\psi}_{Uj}] \quad (7.18)$$

$$\rightsquigarrow -[\psi_{E\bar{v}d} Y_E^T \psi_L^2 + \psi_{D\bar{v}d} Y_D^T \psi_Q^2 + \psi_{U\bar{v}d} Y_U^T \psi_Q^1]; \quad Y^{\text{diag}} = U^\dagger Y^T V, \quad V_{\text{CKM}} = V_u^\dagger V_d. \quad (7.19)$$

Hence,  $Y_E = Y_e^T$ ,  $Y_D = Y_d^T$ ,  $Y_U = Y_u^T$ ;  $Y^{\text{diag}} = U^\dagger Y V$ ,  $V = V$  and  $V_{\text{CKM}} = V_{\text{CKM}}$ .

**Wolfenstein parameterization** The CKM matrix is precisely written in terms of  $\lambda$ ,  $A$ , and  $\bar{\rho} + i\bar{\eta}$ .

$$\lambda := s_{12} = \frac{|V_{us}|}{\sqrt{|V_{ud}|^2 + |V_{us}|^2}}, \quad A := \frac{s_{23}}{\lambda^2} = \lambda^{-1} \left| \frac{V_{cb}}{V_{us}} \right|, \quad \bar{\rho} + i\bar{\eta} := \frac{-V_{ud} V_{ub}^*}{V_{cd} V_{cb}^*}. \quad (7.20)$$

They are independent of the phase convention and used for SLHA2 input, i.e.,  $V_{\text{CKMIN}}$  should contain  $(\lambda, A, \bar{\rho}, \bar{\eta})$ .

Also,  $\bar{\rho} + i\bar{\eta}$  is approximately written by

$$R = \rho + i\eta := \frac{s_{13} e^{i\delta}}{A\lambda^3} = \frac{V_{ub}^* V_{ud}}{A\lambda^3 |V_{ud}|} = \frac{(\bar{\rho} + i\bar{\eta})\sqrt{1 - A^2\lambda^4}}{\sqrt{1 - \lambda^2} [1 - A^2\lambda^4(\bar{\rho} + i\bar{\eta})]} = (\bar{\rho} + i\bar{\eta}) \left( 1 + \frac{\lambda^2}{2} + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^4) \right), \quad (7.21)$$

with which

$$V_{\text{CKM}} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 - \lambda^2/2 & \lambda & A\lambda^3 R^* \\ -\lambda & 1 - \lambda^2/2 & A\lambda^2 \\ A\lambda^3(1 - R) & -A\lambda^2 & 1 \end{pmatrix} e^{i\Theta} + \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{O}(\lambda^4) & \mathcal{O}(\lambda^7) & 0 \\ \mathcal{O}(\lambda^5) & \mathcal{O}(\lambda^4) & \mathcal{O}(\lambda^8) \\ \mathcal{O}(\lambda^5) & \mathcal{O}(\lambda^4) & \mathcal{O}(\lambda^4) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (7.22)$$

## 7.6. General Higgs doublet and Nambu–Goldstone bosons

In linear parameterization,

$$H = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} i\sqrt{2}\phi^+ \\ v+h+i\phi_3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad D_\mu H = \begin{pmatrix} i\partial_\mu\phi^+ - \frac{ig_2}{2}(v+h+i\phi_3)W_\mu^+ + \left(|e|A_\mu + \frac{c_w^2-s_w^2}{2}g_Z Z_\mu\right)\phi^+ \\ \partial_\mu(h+i\phi_3)/\sqrt{2} + \frac{ig_Z}{2}Z_\mu(v+h+i\phi_3)/\sqrt{2} + g_2 W_\mu^- \phi^+/\sqrt{2} \end{pmatrix}; \quad (7.23)$$

$$\begin{aligned} |D_\mu H|^2 &= \frac{(\partial_\mu h)^2 + (\partial_\mu \phi_3)^2}{2} + \partial_\mu \phi^+ \partial^\mu \phi^- + \frac{(v+h)^2}{8} (2g_2^2 W^{+\mu} W_\mu^- + g_Z^2 Z^\mu Z_\mu) \\ &+ \frac{\partial^\mu h}{2} [g_2 W_\mu^+ \phi^- + g_2 W_\mu^- \phi^+ - g_Z Z_\mu \phi_3] + \frac{\partial^\mu \phi_3}{2} [g_Z(v+h)Z_\mu + ig_2(W_\mu^+ \phi^- - W_\mu^- \phi^+)] \\ &+ \left\{ \frac{\partial^\mu \phi^+}{2} [-g_2(v+h-i\phi_3)W_\mu^- + (2|e|A_\mu + (c_w^2-s_w^2)g_Z Z_\mu)i\phi^-] + \text{H.c.} \right\} \\ &+ \frac{ig_2(v+h)}{2} (|e|A^\mu - g_Z s_w^2 Z^\mu)(W_\mu^- \phi^+ - W_\mu^+ \phi^-) + \frac{g_2 \phi_3}{2} (|e|A^\mu - g_Z s_w^2 Z^\mu)(W_\mu^- \phi^+ + W_\mu^+ \phi^-) \\ &+ \frac{\phi_3^2}{8} (2g_2^2 W^{+\mu} W_\mu^- + g_Z^2 Z^\mu Z_\mu) + \frac{\phi^+ \phi^-}{4} [2g_2^2 W^{+\mu} W_\mu^- + (2|e|A_\mu + g_Z(c_w^2-s_w^2)Z_\mu)^2]; \end{aligned} \quad (7.24)$$

$$V = \lambda|H|^4 - \mu^2|H|^2 = \frac{\lambda}{4}h^4 + \lambda v h^3 + \frac{2\lambda v^2}{2}h^2 - \frac{\lambda}{4}v^4 + \frac{\lambda}{4}(2\phi^+ \phi^- + \phi_3^2)^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2}(h^2 + 2vh)(2\phi^+ \phi^- + \phi_3^2), \quad (7.25)$$

where  $v = \mu/\sqrt{\lambda} \sim 246$  GeV,  $\lambda \sim 0.13$ , and  $\mu \sim 89$  GeV. In exponential parameterization,

$$H = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \exp\left(\frac{i}{v}\sigma_i \varphi_i\right) \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ v+h \end{pmatrix}, \quad (7.26)$$

$$D_\mu H = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} e^{i\sigma_i \varphi_i/v} \left[ i\sigma_i \partial_\mu \varphi_i \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1+h/v \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \partial_\mu h \end{pmatrix} \right] + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{-i}{2} (g_2 \sigma_i W_{i\mu} + g_Y B_\mu) e^{i\sigma_i \varphi_i/v} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ v+h \end{pmatrix} \quad (7.27)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} e^{i\sigma_i \varphi_i/v} \left[ \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \partial_\mu h \end{pmatrix} + i \left( \sigma_i \partial_\mu \varphi_i - \frac{g_2 v}{2} e^{-i\sigma_j \varphi_j/v} \sigma_i e^{i\sigma_k \varphi_k/v} W_{i\mu} - \frac{g_Y v}{2} B_\mu \right) \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1+h/v \end{pmatrix} \right], \quad (7.28)$$

$$V = \lambda|H|^4 - \mu^2|H|^2 = \frac{\lambda}{4}h^4 + \lambda v h^3 + \frac{2\lambda v^2}{2}h^2 - \frac{\lambda}{4}v^4. \quad (7.29)$$

These expressions have gauge degeneracy (i.e., without gauge-fixing terms and ghost terms) and thus not ready for calculations. If we choose the unitarity gauge,  $\phi_i(x) = 0$ ,

$$\mathcal{L}_H = |D_\mu H|^2 - V(H) = \frac{1}{2}(\partial_\mu h)^2 - \frac{2\lambda v^2}{2}h^2 - \frac{\lambda}{4}h^4 - \lambda v h^3 + \frac{(v+h)^2}{8} (2g_2^2 W^{+\mu} W_\mu^- + g_Z^2 Z^\mu Z_\mu) + \frac{\lambda}{4}v^4. \quad (7.30)$$

## 7.7. CP-violating $F\tilde{F}$ terms

The Standard Model contains CP-violating terms

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{gauge,CP}} = \frac{g_3^2 \Theta_g}{64\pi^2} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} G_{\mu\nu}^a G_{\rho\sigma}^a + \frac{g_2^2 \Theta_W}{64\pi^2} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} W_{\mu\nu}^a W_{\rho\sigma}^a + \frac{g_Y^2 \Theta_B}{64\pi^2} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} B_{\mu\nu} B_{\rho\sigma}. \quad (7.31)$$

We here discuss we can ignore  $\Theta_W$  and  $\Theta_B$ , while  $\Theta_g$  causes the strong  $CP$  problem.

One should first note that the value of  $\Theta_i$  depends on the basis of the chiral fermions: in Sec. 7.5 fermions are redefined by rotations. These rotations generate these terms and the angles are modified. It is then found that  $\Theta_W$  can be rotated away. Let us see this explicitly, starting from the mass basis, i.e.,  $Y_{u,d,e}$  are positive diagonal and  $SU(2)$  interactions are amended by  $V_{\text{CKM}}$ . As we do not introduce phases in, e.g.,  $W-u-d$  interaction and fermion mass matrix, the possible rotation is limited to

$$(Q, U, D) \rightarrow e^{i\theta}(Q, U, D), \quad (L_i, E_i) \rightarrow e^{i\theta_i}(L_i, E_i). \quad (7.32)$$

These rotations affect the CP-violating terms (Cf. Fujikawa method):<sup>\*4</sup>

$$\Delta\Theta_W \propto 9\theta_Q + \sum \theta_{L_i} = 9\theta + \sum \theta_i \quad \Delta\Theta_B \propto \frac{1}{2}\theta_Q + \frac{3}{2}\theta_L - (4\theta_U + \theta_D + 3\sum \theta_{E_i}) = -\frac{9}{2}\theta - \frac{1}{2}\sum \theta_i, \quad (7.33)$$

which means either  $\Theta_W$  or  $\Theta_B$  can be rotated away. As we discuss below, it is convenient to set  $\Theta_W = 0$  and  $\Theta_B \neq 0$ .

Meanwhile, as  $\Delta\Theta_g = 0$ , we cannot remove  $\Theta_g$ .<sup>\*5</sup> We define  $\Theta_{\text{QCD}} := (\Theta_g \text{ in the mass basis})$ , which induces  $CP$ -violation in the strong sector. However, such  $CP$ -violation is not observed yet; this contradiction is called strong  $CP$  problem.

The form  $\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} F_{\mu\nu}^a F_{\rho\sigma}^a$  is a total derivative and the effect is pushed away to the surface.<sup>\*6</sup> As discussed in [10, §23], the  $U(1)_Y$  surface term does not do anything (in the simple spacetime) but the  $SU(N)$  surface term corresponds to topologically non-trivial configuration of the gauge fields, labeled by a winding number  $\nu$ . Such different configuration should be summed up in, e.g., the path integral formalism, and observed as the instanton effect (“sphaleron” for  $SU(2)_W$ ). If  $\Theta_W \neq 0$ , the processes  $\nu \rightarrow \nu \pm 1$  would have different rate and  $CP$  would be violated in the processes. As  $\Theta_B$  is not related to such process, we take  $\Theta_W = 0$  and, though  $\Theta_B \neq 0$ , do not further consider  $\Theta_B$ .

<sup>\*4</sup>Fail-safe memo: chiral transformation  $\psi \rightarrow \exp[i\gamma_5 \alpha(x)]\psi$  generates  $\Delta\mathcal{L} = -(g^2/16\pi^2) \text{Tr}[\alpha F\tilde{F}]$  (cf. Weinberg II Eq.(2.2.24) but the overall sign may differ). For a constant (and non-matrix)  $\alpha$ ,  $\Delta\mathcal{L} = -(\alpha g^2/32\pi^2) F^a \tilde{F}^a$ . Also, the absence of gauge anomaly means the corresponding gauge transformations do not induce additional  $\Theta$ -terms.

<sup>\*5</sup>If, e.g.,  $u$  were massless, we can take  $\theta_{u_R} \neq \theta_Q$  and rotate  $\Theta_g$  away.

<sup>\*6</sup>Sho thanks to Kyohei Mukaida and Teppei Kitahara for a very useful discussion.

## 8. Standard Model Values<sup>\*7</sup>

### Mass and width

$e$ : 0.510 998 9461(31) MeV	$m_{\nu;\text{tot}} < 0.2\text{--}0.3$ eV	
$\mu$ : 105.658 3745(24) MeV, 2.196 9811(22) $\mu\text{s} = 659$ m	$h$ : 125.10(14) GeV	
$\tau$ : 1.776 86(12) GeV, $290.3(5) \times 10^{-15}$ s = 87.0 $\mu\text{m}$	$W$ : 80.379(12) GeV, 2.085(42) GeV	
$t$ : 172.76(30) GeV <sup>*8</sup> , $1.42^{+0.19}_{-0.15}$ GeV	$Z$ : 91.1876(21) GeV, 2.4952(23) GeV	
$(u, d, s)_{2\text{GeV}}^{\overline{\text{MS}}} : (2.16^{+0.49}_{-0.26}, 4.67^{+0.48}_{-0.17}, 93^{+11}_{-5}) \text{ MeV}^{*9}$	$c$ : 1.27(2) GeV $_{m_c}^{\overline{\text{MS}}}$ (1.67(7) GeV <sup>pole</sup> )	
$(\frac{u+d}{2}, \frac{u}{d}, \frac{2s}{u+d})_{2\text{GeV}}^{\overline{\text{MS}}} : (3.45^{+0.55}_{-0.15} \text{ MeV}, 0.47^{+0.06}_{-0.07}, 27.3^{+0.7}_{-1.3})$	$b$ : 4.18 $^{+0.03}_{-0.02}$ GeV $_{m_b}^{\overline{\text{MS}}}$ (4.78(6) GeV <sup>pole</sup> )	
$\pi^\pm$ : 139.570 39(18) MeV	$\rho_{770}^\pm$ : 775.11(34) MeV	$\eta_c(1S)$ : 2983.9(5) MeV
$\pi^0$ : 134.9768(5) MeV	$\rho_{770}^0$ : 775.26(25) MeV	$J/\psi(1S)$ : 3096.900(6) MeV
$\eta$ : 547.862(17) MeV	$\phi_{1020}$ : 1019.461(16) MeV	$\eta_b(1S)$ : 9398.7(20) MeV
$\eta'$ : 957.78(6) MeV	$\omega_{782}$ : 782.65(12) MeV	$\Upsilon(1S)$ : 9460.30(26) MeV
$K^\pm$ : 493.677(16) MeV	$K_{892}^{*\pm}$ : 891.66(26) MeV	$\Upsilon(2S)$ : 10023.26(31) MeV
$K^0$ : 497.611(13) MeV	$K_{892}^{*0}$ : 895.55(20) MeV	$\Upsilon(3S)$ : 10355.2(5) MeV
$D^0$ : 1864.83(5) MeV	$B^\pm$ : 5279.34(12) MeV	$\Upsilon(4S)$ : 10579.4(12) MeV
$D^\pm$ : 1869.65(5) MeV	$B^0$ : 5279.65(12) MeV	$p$ : 938.272 0813(58) MeV
$D_s^\pm$ : 1968.34(7) MeV	$B_s$ : 5366.88(14) MeV	$n$ : 939.565 413(6) MeV
	$B_c^\pm$ : 6274.9(8) MeV	
$\pi^\pm$ : $2.6033(5) \times 10^{-8}$ s = 7.80 m	$K^\pm$ : $1.2380(20) \times 10^{-8}$ s = 3.71 m	$p \stackrel{\diamond}{>} 3.6 \times 10^{29}$ yr
$\pi^0$ : $8.52(18) \times 10^{-17}$ s = 0.0255 $\mu\text{m}$	$K_S^0$ : $0.8954(4) \times 10^{-10}$ s = 26.8 mm	$n$ : 879.4(6) s
	$K_L^0$ : $5.116(21) \times 10^{-8}$ s = 15.3 m	

$n^{2s+1}l_J J^{PC}$	$I = 1$	$I = 1/2$	$I = 0$	$c\bar{c}$	$b\bar{b}$	charm		bottom			
$1^1S_0 \quad 0^{-+}$	$\pi$	$K$	$\eta$	$\eta'_{958}$	$\eta_c(1S)$	$\eta_b(1S)$	$D$	$D_s$	$B$	$B_s$	$B_c$
$1^1S_1 \quad 1^{--}$	$\rho_{770}$	$K^*_{892}$	$\phi_{1020}$	$\omega_{782}$	$J/\psi(1S)$	$\Upsilon(1S)$	$D^*$	$D^*_s$	$B^*$	$B^*_s$	

### Electric and magnetic moment, important branching ratios, and neutrino property

$a_e = 11\,596\,521.8091(26) \times 10^{-10}$	$\text{Br}(\tau \rightarrow e, \mu) \simeq 35.2\%$	$\Delta m_{21}^2/\text{eV}^2 = 7.53(18) \times 10^{-5}$
$a_\mu = 11\,659\,208.9(54)(33) \times 10^{-10}$	$\text{Br}(\tau \rightarrow \text{had}) \simeq 64.8\%$	$\Delta m_{32}^2/\text{eV}^2 =$
$a_\tau \stackrel{**}{\in} [-0.052, 0.013]$	$\text{Br}(\tau; 1\text{-prong}) = 85.24(6)\%$	$2.453(34) \times 10^{-3}(\text{NH})$
$\mu_p = 2.792\,847\,3446(8)\mu_N$	$\text{Br}(\tau; 3\text{-prong}) = 14.55(6)\%$	$-2.546^{+0.034}_{-0.040} \times 10^{-3}(\text{IH})$
$\mu_n = -1.913\,0427(5)\mu_N$	$\text{Br}(Z \rightarrow \text{had}) = 69.911(56)\%$	$\sin^2 \theta_{12} = 0.307(13)$
$d_e \stackrel{\diamond}{<} 0.11 \times 10^{-28} e \text{ cm}$	$\text{Br}(Z \rightarrow b\bar{b}) = 15.12(5)\%$	$\sin^2 \theta_{13} = 0.0218(7)$
$d_\mu \stackrel{**}{<} 1.8 \times 10^{-19} e \text{ cm}$	$\text{Br}(Z \rightarrow e, \mu, \tau) \simeq 10.10\%$	$\sin^2 \theta_{23}(\text{NH}) = 0.545(21)$
$d_p \stackrel{?}{<} 0.021 \times 10^{-23} e \text{ cm}$	$\text{Br}(Z \rightarrow \text{inv}) = 20.000(55)\%$	$\sin^2 \theta_{23}(\text{IH}) = 0.547(21)$
$d_n \stackrel{\diamond}{<} 0.18 \times 10^{-25} e \text{ cm}$	$\text{Br}(W \rightarrow \text{had}) = 67.41(27)\%$	$\delta = 1.36(17)\pi$

<sup>\*7</sup>Data source: [PDG2020](#). Confidence levels are shown by the marks \*, \*\*, \*\*\* (1–3 $\sigma$ ),  $\diamond$  (90%), and  $\diamond\diamond$  (99%).

<sup>\*8</sup>Cross section measurement gives  $\overline{\text{MS}}$  top mass  $162.5^{+2.1}_{-1.5}$  GeV, equivalent to 172.4(7) GeV.

<sup>\*9</sup> $m_{1\text{GeV}}^{\overline{\text{MS}}} = m_{2\text{GeV}}^{\overline{\text{MS}}} \times 1.35$ .

**CKM matrix**

$$V_{\text{CKM}} = \begin{pmatrix} V_{ud} & V_{us} & V_{ub} \\ V_{cd} & V_{cs} & V_{cb} \\ V_{td} & V_{ts} & V_{tb} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0.97401(11) & 0.22650(48) & 0.00361^{(+11)}_{(-9)} \\ 0.22636(48) & 0.97320(11) & 0.04053^{(+83)}_{(-61)} \\ 0.00854^{(+23)}_{(-16)} & 0.03978^{(+82)}_{(-60)} & 0.0999172^{(+24)}_{(-35)} \end{pmatrix}; \quad J = 3.00^{(+15)}_{(-9)} \times 10^{-5}$$

$$(\lambda, A, \bar{\rho}, \bar{\eta}) = (0.22650(48), 0.790^{(+17)}_{(-12)}, 0.141^{(+16)}_{(-17)}, 0.357(11))$$

$$(\sin \theta_{12}, \sin \theta_{13}, \sin \theta_{23}, \delta) = (0.22650(48), 0.00361^{(+11)}_{(-9)}, 0.04053^{(+83)}_{(-61)}, 1.196^{(+45)}_{(-43)})$$

**Astrophysical**

$$\begin{aligned} T_0 &= 2.7255(6) \text{ K} & H_0 &= 100h \text{ km/s/Mpc}, \quad h = 0.674(5) & M_\odot &= 1.98841(4) \times 10^{30} \text{ kg} \\ n_\gamma &= 410.7(3) \hat{T}_0^3 \text{ cm}^{-3} & \rho_{\text{crit}} &= 1.053\,672(24) \times 10^{-5} h^2 \text{ GeV/cm}^3 & M_\oplus &= 5.97217(13) \times 10^{24} \text{ kg} \\ \rho_\gamma &= 0.2606(2) \hat{T}_0^4 \text{ eV/cm}^3 & G_N &= 6.708\,83(15) \times 10^{-39} \text{ GeV}^{-2} & R_0 &= 8.178(13)(22) \text{ kpc} \\ s &= 2891.2 \hat{T}_0^3 \text{ cm}^{-3} & M_{\text{Pl}} &= 1.220\,890(14) \times 10^{19} \text{ GeV} & v_0 &= 240(8) \text{ km/s} \\ \Omega_\gamma h^2 &= 2.473 \times 10^{-5} \hat{T}_0^4 & M_0 &= 2.435\,323(28) \times 10^{18} \text{ GeV} & \rho_{\text{disk}} &= 3.7(5) \text{ GeV/cm}^3 \\ [\hat{T}_0 = T_0/2.7255 \text{ K}] & & \eta = n_b/n_\gamma^{**} & \in [5.8, 6.5] \times 10^{-10} & & \end{aligned}$$

Planck 2018 6-parameter fit to flat  $\Lambda$ CDM cosmology:

$$\begin{aligned} \{\Omega_b h^2, \Omega_{\text{CDM}} h^2\} &= \{0.02237(15), 0.1200(12)\} & (z, t)_{\text{M=R}} &= 3402(26), 5.11(8) \times 10^4 \text{ yr} \\ \Omega_{\{b, \text{CDM}, \Lambda\}} &= \{0.0493(6), 0.265(7), 0.685(7)\} & (z, t)_* &= 1089.92(25), 3.729(10) \times 10^5 \text{ yr} \\ \Lambda &= 1.088(30) \times 10^{-56} \text{ cm}^{-2} & (z, t)_i &= 7.7(7), 6.90(90) \times 10^8 \text{ yr} \\ \Omega_K &= 0.0007(19) & (z, t)_q &= 0.636(18), 7.70(10) \times 10^9 \text{ yr} \\ N_{\text{eff}} &= 2.99(17) & t_0 &= 1.3797(23) \times 10^{10} \text{ yr} \end{aligned}$$

**Standard Model parameter fit**

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{\text{EM}}^{-1}(0) &= 137.035\,999\,084(21) & \sin^2 \theta^{\overline{\text{MS}}}(M_Z) &= 0.23121(4) & \alpha_s(m_Z) &= 0.1179(10) \\ \hat{\alpha}^{(4)}(m_\tau)^{-1} &= 133.472(7) & \sin^2 \theta^{\overline{\text{MS}}}(0) &= 0.23857(5) & G_F &= 1.166\,378\,7(6) \times 10^{-5} \text{ GeV}^{-2} \\ \hat{\alpha}^{(5)}(m_Z)^{-1} &= 127.952(9) & \sin^2 \theta^{\text{on-shell}} &= 0.22337(10) & \stackrel{\text{tree}}{=} g_2^2/(4\sqrt{2}m_W^2) &= 1/(\sqrt{2}v^2) \\ \Delta\alpha_{\text{had}}^{(5)}(m_Z) &= 0.02766(7) & \stackrel{\text{tree}}{=} (g'/g_Z)^2 &= 1 - (m_W/m_Z)^2 & & \end{aligned}$$

$\overline{\text{MS}}$  parameters at  $Q_0 = 173.1 \text{ GeV}$  based on Ref. [11] (cf. Ref. [12]):

$$\begin{aligned} g_s &= 1.161\,8(45) & v &= 246.605(12) \text{ GeV} & \lambda &= 0.126\,07(30) \\ g &= 0.647\,653(281) & -m^2 &= 8612.0(22.8) \text{ GeV}^2 = (92.80(12) \text{ GeV})^2 \\ g' &= 0.358\,542(70) & y_{t,c,u} &= \{0.931(4), 0.0341(10), 6.8(1.1) \times 10^{-6}\} \\ |e| &= 0.313\,68(18) & y_{b,s,d} &= \{0.015\,53(14), 0.000\,293(25), 1.47(10) \times 10^{-5}\} \\ g_Z &= 0.740\,27(25) & y_{\tau,\mu,e} &= \{0.009\,994\,4(8), 0.000\,588\,38(11), 2.793\,0(26) \times 10^{-6}\} \end{aligned}$$

## 9. Neutrino

(summary page)

## 9.1. Convention and Nomenclature

We define the neutrino mixing matrix  $U$  (or  $U_{\alpha i}$ ) by, following the standard convention,

$$|\nu_{\alpha}^{\text{flavor}}\rangle = U_{\alpha i}^* |\nu_i^{\text{mass}}\rangle, \quad \nu^{\text{flavor}} = U \nu^{\text{mass}}, \quad [\alpha = e, \mu, \tau, \tau_1, \tau_2, \dots]. \quad (9.1)$$

The mass basis are labelled by  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ , while  $\alpha$  labels the “flavor” basis. The flavor basis for  $\alpha = e, \mu, \tau$  is defined by the charged leptons, i.e., so that the lepton doublets are aligned and  $\nu_{\alpha} l_{\beta}^{\pm} W^{\mp}$  interactions are diagonal. Meanwhile, for other “extra” neutrinos, the flavor basis can be left arbitrary (undefined) because it is usually not of our interests.

We, in this note, use the term “PMNS (matrix)” only if the neutrino mixing matrix is a  $3 \times 3$  unitary matrix.

## 9.2. Models

**Dirac neutrino model** The simplest model for neutrino masses are given by extending the SM Yukawa (7.5) with ( $n_{\text{RHN}}$  copies of) right-handed neutrino  $N$ :

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{Dirac-}\nu} = \left( \bar{U} Y_u H P_L Q - \bar{D} Y_d H^{\dagger} P_L Q + \bar{N} Y_n H P_L L - \bar{E} Y_e H^{\dagger} P_L L \right) + \text{h.c.} \quad (9.2)$$

$$= \left( -\bar{Q}^a Y_u^{\dagger} \epsilon^{ab} H^{b*} P_R U - \bar{Q}^a Y_d^{\dagger} H^a P_R D - \bar{L}^a Y_n^{\dagger} \epsilon^{ab} H^{b*} P_R N - \bar{L}^a Y_e^{\dagger} H^a P_R E \right) + \text{h.c.} \quad (9.3)$$

Neutrinos become Dirac fermions and the discussion goes parallel to the CKM matrix:

$$Y_n = U_n Y_n^{\text{diag}} V_n^{\dagger}, \quad \{\nu_L, e_L, \bar{N}, \bar{E}\}^{\text{mass basis}} = \{V_n^{\dagger} L^1, V_e^{\dagger} L^2, \bar{N} U_n, \bar{E} U_e\}, \quad (9.4)$$

where  $Y_n \in \mathbb{C}^{n_{\text{RHN}} \times 3}$ ,  $V_n \in \mathbb{U}_3^{\mathbb{C}}$ , and  $U_n \in \mathbb{U}_{\mathbb{C}}^{n_{\text{RHN}}}$ . The Lagrangian is now given by

$$\mathcal{L} \supset \bar{L} i \gamma^{\mu} (-i g_2 W_{\mu}) P_L L \supset \frac{g_2}{\sqrt{2}} \left[ \bar{L}^1 \tilde{W}^+ P_L L^2 + \bar{L}^2 \tilde{W}^- P_L L^1 \right] \quad (9.5)$$

$$= \frac{g_2}{\sqrt{2}} \left[ (\bar{L}^1)^{\text{mass}} V_n^{\dagger} V_e \tilde{W}^+ P_L (L^2)^{\text{mass}} + (\bar{L}^2)^{\text{mass}} V_e^{\dagger} V_n \tilde{W}^- P_L (L^1)^{\text{mass}} \right] \quad (9.6)$$

$$= \frac{g_2}{\sqrt{2}} \left[ \nu_L^{\text{mass}} U^{\dagger} \tilde{W}^+ e_L^{\text{mass}} + e_L^{\text{mass}} U \tilde{W}^- \nu_L^{\text{mass}} \right], \quad (9.7)$$

where the definition (9.1) provides the equality

$$U = V_e^{\dagger} V_n \equiv U_{\text{PMNS}}. \quad (9.8)$$

According to our terminology, this  $3 \times 3$  unitary matrix  $U$  is called “the PMNS matrix” for this model. Note that this is given in the *opposite manner* to the CKM matrix ( $V_{\text{CKM}} = V_u^{\dagger} V_d$ ).

The mass eigenstate Dirac fields are given by

$$\nu^{\text{mass}} = \begin{pmatrix} \nu_L^{\text{mass}} \\ N^{\text{mass}} \end{pmatrix} = \nu_L^{\text{mass}} + N^{\text{mass}} = V_n^{\dagger} L^1 + U_n^{\dagger} N \quad \left( L^1 = P_L V_n \nu^{\text{mass}}, N = P_R U_n \nu^{\text{mass}} \right). \quad (9.9)$$

Note that  $n_{\text{RHN}} = \{2, 3\}$  because models with  $n_{\text{RHN}} < 3$  yields  $3 - n_{\text{RHN}}$  massless left-handed neutrinos, while  $n_{\text{RHN}} > 3$  results in  $n_{\text{RHN}} - 3$  massless right-handed neutrinos.

**Type-I see-saw** The type-I see-saw models are given by

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{type-I}} = \left( \bar{U} Y_u H P_L Q - \bar{D} Y_d H^{\dagger} P_L Q + \bar{N} Y_n H P_L L - \bar{E} Y_e H^{\dagger} P_L L - \frac{1}{2} \bar{N} M_N N^c \right) + \text{h.c.} \quad (9.10)$$

$$= \left( -\bar{Q}^a Y_u^{\dagger} \epsilon^{ab} H^{b*} P_R U - \bar{Q}^a Y_d^{\dagger} H^a P_R D - \bar{L}^a Y_n^{\dagger} \epsilon^{ab} H^{b*} P_R N - \bar{L}^a Y_e^{\dagger} H^a P_R E - \frac{1}{2} \bar{N} M_N N^c \right) + \text{h.c.}, \quad (9.11)$$

where  $M_N$  is a  $n_{\text{RHN}} \times n_{\text{RHN}}$  complex symmetric matrix. Models with  $n_{\text{RHN}} \geq 2$  are viable, because  $n_{\text{RHN}} < 3$  gives  $3 - n_{\text{RHN}}$  massless (Weyl) neutrinos and  $2n_{\text{RHN}}$  massive (Majorana) neutrinos, while all neutrinos acquire Majorana mass if  $n_{\text{RHN}} \geq 3$ .

We introduce left-handed Weyl spinors  $\xi$  and  $\chi$  to avoid notational confusion and diagonalize the Dirac mass term  $M_D$ :

$$L^1 \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \xi \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \nu_L \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad N \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \chi \end{pmatrix} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ n_R \end{pmatrix}, \quad M_D := \frac{v}{\sqrt{2}} Y_n, \quad M_D^{\text{diag}} := U_n M_D Y_n^{\dagger}. \quad (9.12)$$

Then the mass term is written in matrix form:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{type-I}} \supset -\frac{v}{\sqrt{2}} \bar{N} Y_n P_L L^1 - \frac{1}{2} \bar{N} M_N N^c + \text{h.c.} = -\frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \xi & \chi \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & M_D^{\text{T}} \\ M_D & M_N \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \xi \\ \chi \end{pmatrix} + \text{h.c.} =: -\frac{1}{2} \tilde{\nu}^{\text{T}} \tilde{M} \tilde{\nu} + \text{h.c.}, \quad (9.13)$$

where tildes denote “larger” objects. We can AT-diagonalize  $\tilde{M}$ , which is a symmetric  $\mathbb{C}^{(3+n_{\text{RHN}}) \times (3+n_{\text{RHN}})}$  matrix, as usual:

$$\tilde{M} = \tilde{R} \tilde{M}^{\text{diag}} \tilde{R}^{\text{T}}; \quad -\mathcal{L}_{\text{Dirac-}\nu} \supset \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\nu}^{\text{T}} \tilde{M} \tilde{\nu} = \frac{1}{2} (\tilde{\nu}^{\text{mass}})^{\text{T}} \tilde{M}^{\text{diag}} \tilde{\nu}^{\text{mass}}; \quad \tilde{\nu}^{\text{mass}} = \tilde{R}^{\text{T}} \tilde{\nu}. \quad (9.14)$$

Mass eigenstates  $\nu^{\text{mass}}$  are Majorana fermions given by left-handed Weyl spinors. The neutrino mixing are given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} \xi \\ \chi \end{pmatrix} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \nu_L \\ (n_R)^{\dagger} \end{pmatrix} = \tilde{R}^* \begin{pmatrix} \nu_{1-3} \\ \nu_4 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \therefore U = \begin{pmatrix} V_e^{\dagger} & 0 \\ 0 & X \end{pmatrix} \tilde{R}^*, \quad (9.15)$$

where  $Y_e$  comes from the charged lepton mass diagonalization, while  $X$  is a unitary matrix (but unphysical if, as usual, the right-handed neutrinos are indistinguishable).

**Connection of the above two models** If we set  $M_N = 0$  in the Type-I see-saw ( $n_{\text{RHN}} = 3$ ), the AT-diagonalization becomes

$$\tilde{M} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & (U_n M_D^{\text{diag}} V_n^\dagger)^T \\ U_n M_D^{\text{diag}} V_n^\dagger & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \tilde{R} = \begin{pmatrix} V_n^* & iV_n^* \\ U_n & -iU_n \end{pmatrix}, \quad \tilde{M}^{\text{diag}} = \begin{pmatrix} M_D^{\text{diag}} & 0 \\ 0 & M_D^{\text{diag}} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (9.16)$$

As three pairs of degenerate Weyl fermions form three Dirac neutrinos, the Dirac neutrino model is reproduced as expected.

### 9.3. Neutrino mixings

Experiments have revealed that the upper-left  $3 \times 3$  submatrix is close to a unitary matrix, which we call “the PMNS matrix”  $U_{\text{PMNS}}$ . Thus<sup>\*10</sup> we decompose the general neutrino mixing angle  $U$  to

$$U = U' \begin{pmatrix} U_{\text{PMNS}} & 0 \\ 0 & U_X \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} U'_{11} & U'_{12} \\ U'_{21} & U'_{22} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} U_{\text{PMNS}} & 0 \\ 0 & U_X \end{pmatrix} \quad (9.17)$$

with  $U_X$  (and hence  $U'$ ) being unitary. Here  $U'_{11} \approx 1$  and  $U'_{12}, U'_{21} \approx 0$ . We do not care much about  $U'_{22}$  but in type-I see-saw models  $U'_{22} U_X$  inherits the redundancy of  $X$  and thus we can take, e.g.,  $U'_{22} \approx 1$  or  $U_X = 1$ .

The matrix  $U'$  is theoretically given with some matrix  $\Theta$  by [13]<sup>\*11</sup>

$$U' \equiv \exp \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\Theta^\dagger \\ \Theta & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \begin{pmatrix} (-\Theta^\dagger \Theta)^n / (2n)! & -(\Theta^\dagger \Theta)^n \Theta^\dagger / (2n+1)! \\ +\Theta(-\Theta^\dagger \Theta)^n / (2n+1)! & (-\Theta \Theta^\dagger)^n / (2n)! \end{pmatrix} \quad (9.18)$$

but practically we derive it in perturbative expansion. For example, the type-I case is evaluated as<sup>\*12</sup>

$$(\text{diag.}) = U^T \begin{pmatrix} 0 & M_D^T \\ M_D & M_N \end{pmatrix} U; \quad U' \approx \begin{pmatrix} 1 - \frac{1}{2} \Theta_0^\dagger \Theta_0 & -\Theta_0^\dagger \\ \Theta_0 & 1 - \frac{1}{2} \Theta_0 \Theta_0^\dagger \end{pmatrix}; \quad \Theta_0 := -M_N^{-1} M_D, \quad (9.19)$$

$$(\text{block diag.}) = U'^T \begin{pmatrix} 0 & M_D^T \\ M_D & M_N \end{pmatrix} U' \approx \begin{pmatrix} -M_D^T M_N^{-1} M_D & 0 \\ 0 & M_N \end{pmatrix}, \quad (9.20)$$

where however note that  $\Theta_0$  is close but not equal to  $\Theta$ .

If we focus only on the upper-left  $3 \times 3$  part and are allowed to neglect  $\Theta^4$  (or  $\Theta_0^4$ ) terms, the above decompositions of a unitary matrix into two unitary matrices [14] can be expressed by

$$[U]_{\text{upper left}} \simeq (1 - \eta) U_{\text{PMNS}}; \quad \eta := \Theta^\dagger \Theta / 2 \text{ is a complex positive-semidefinite Hermitian matrix}, \quad (9.21)$$

where the positive-semidefiniteness yields

$$\eta_{ii} \geq 0, \quad |\eta_{ij}| \leq \sqrt{\eta_{ii} \eta_{jj}} \leq (\eta_{ii} + \eta_{jj}) / 2. \quad (9.22)$$

The QR decomposition is also useful [14]; together with the polar decomposition, it is summarized by

$$[U]_{\text{upper left}} = (1 - \alpha) U_{\text{QR}}; \quad \text{QR decomposition; } \alpha \text{ is a complex upper-triangle matrix}, \quad (9.23)$$

$$[U]_{\text{upper left}} = \eta' U_{\text{polar}}; \quad \text{polar decomposition; } \eta' \text{ is a complex positive-semidefinite Hermitian matrix}, \quad (9.24)$$

where  $U_{\text{QR}} \neq U_{\text{polar}}$ . However, as experiments claim that  $[U]_{\text{upper left}} \approx U_{\text{PMNS}}$  and  $\eta$  and  $\alpha$  are still consistent with zero, they are consistent with  $U_{\text{PMNS}}$ . Note also that, as  $[U]_{\text{upper left}}$  seems to be invertible, these decompositions are given uniquely.

### 9.4. PMNS matrix

As experiments have not found any deviation from  $[U]_{\text{upper left}}$  being unitary, we express the mixing with the PMNS matrix (Pontecorvo–牧–中川–坂田) parameterized by

$$U_{\text{PMNS}} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & c_{23} & s_{23} \\ & -s_{23} & c_{23} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_{13} & s_{13} e^{-i\delta_{\text{CP}}} & \\ & 1 & \\ -s_{13} e^{i\delta_{\text{CP}}} & & c_{13} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_{12} & s_{12} & \\ -s_{12} & c_{12} & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\eta_1} & & \\ & e^{i\eta_2} & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (9.25)$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} c_{12} c_{13} & s_{12} c_{13} & s_{13} e^{-i\delta_{\text{CP}}} \\ -s_{12} c_{23} - c_{12} s_{23} s_{13} e^{i\delta_{\text{CP}}} & c_{12} c_{23} - s_{12} s_{23} s_{13} e^{i\delta_{\text{CP}}} & s_{23} c_{13} \\ s_{12} s_{23} - c_{12} c_{23} s_{13} e^{i\delta_{\text{CP}}} & -c_{12} s_{23} - s_{12} c_{23} s_{13} e^{i\delta_{\text{CP}}} & c_{23} c_{13} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\eta_1} & & \\ & e^{i\eta_2} & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $\theta_{ij} \in [0, \pi/2]$  and  $\delta_{\text{CP}} \in [0, 2\pi]$  as shown in Eq. (A.17). In the Dirac neutrino model,  $\eta_1$  and  $\eta_2$  (“Majorana phases”) are unphysical because we can rotate  $n_{\text{R}}$  to eliminate them, while the rotation is not allowed in presence of the Majorana mass term.

It should be noted that the discussion in Sec. 7.7 holds. As far as the baryon number is conserved, we can remove the  $\Theta_W W \tilde{W}$  term by quark rotation. Hence, the above-discussed models have CP violation only in the CKM matrix and the neutrino mixing matrix.

**PDG and NuFIT convention** Our convention is the same with PDG [PDG2020, §14]<sup>\*13</sup>.

It also agrees with NuFIT [15, v5.0] (their convention is given in Ref. [16]) except for the Majorana phases,  $\eta_i = \alpha_i$ .

<sup>\*10</sup>Sho thanks Josu Hernandez-Garcia for discussion useful in this whole section.

<sup>\*11</sup>**TODO: proof?** or obvious if considers  $SU(6)/(SU(3) \times SU(3))$ ?

<sup>\*12</sup>Cf. `calculator/neutrino/diagonalization_perturbative.wl`

<sup>\*13</sup>Sho thinks Eq. (14.9) of PDG2020 lacks 1/2 in the right-most term.

### 9.5. Casas–Ibarra parameterization

We start from the approximation given in Eq. (9.20):

$$m_L \approx -U_{\text{PMNS}}^T M_D^T M_N^{-1} M_D U_{\text{PMNS}}, \quad m_H \approx U_X^T M_N U_X, \quad (9.26)$$

where  $m_L$  and  $m_H$  are diagonal mass matrices for three light neutrinos and heavier neutrinos. We then obtain

$$m_L \approx -U_{\text{PMNS}}^T M_D^T (U_X^* m_H U_X^\dagger)^{-1} M_D U_{\text{PMNS}} = -U_{\text{PMNS}}^T M_D^T U_X m_H^{-1} U_X^T M_D U_{\text{PMNS}} \quad (9.27)$$

and decompose it as

$$[im_L]^{1/2} [im_L]^{1/2} \approx \left( \frac{v}{\sqrt{2}} m_H^{-1/2} U_X^T Y_n U_{\text{PMNS}} \right)^T \left( \frac{v}{\sqrt{2}} m_H^{-1/2} U_X^T Y_n U_{\text{PMNS}} \right), \quad (9.28)$$

which is the master equation for Casas–Ibarra parameterization [17]. Note that  $U_X$  is unphysical, i.e., we can fix the basis of  $n_R$  so that  $U_X = 1$ . This basis is equivalent (under our approximation) to the basis in which  $M_N$  becomes diagonal.

**Example: three right-handed neutrinos** Let us assume all the neutrinos are massive thanks to three right-handed neutrinos. Then  $M_L^{\text{diag}}$  is invertible and

$$R := -im_H^{-1/2} U_X^T M_D U_{\text{PMNS}} m_L^{-1/2} \implies R^T R \approx 1. \quad (9.29)$$

Conversely, with a matrix  $R$  satisfying  $R^T R = 1$ , the Yukawa matrix is given by

$$\frac{v}{\sqrt{2}} Y_n \approx i U_X^* \sqrt{m_H} R \sqrt{m_L} U_{\text{PMNS}}^\dagger \quad (9.30)$$

or

$$\frac{v}{\sqrt{2}} Y_n \Big|_{M_N \text{ being diagonal}} \approx i \sqrt{m_H} R \sqrt{m_L} U_{\text{PMNS}}^\dagger. \quad (9.31)$$

Now we successfully parameterized  $Y_n$  by a “complex orthogonal” matrix  $R$ , which can be parameterized by<sup>\*14</sup>

$$R = \begin{pmatrix} c_{12}c_{13} & s_{12}c_{13} & s_{13} \\ -\zeta s_{12}c_{23} - c_{12}s_{23}s_{13} & \zeta c_{12}c_{23} - s_{12}s_{23}s_{13} & s_{23}c_{13} \\ \zeta s_{12}s_{23} - c_{12}c_{23}s_{13} & -\zeta c_{12}s_{23} - s_{12}c_{23}s_{13} & c_{23}c_{13} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (9.32)$$

where  $c_{12} \equiv \cos \theta_{12}$  etc. and

$$\zeta = \pm 1; \quad (\theta_{12}, \theta_{23}, \theta_{13}) \in \mathbb{C}, \quad |\text{Re } \theta_{12}| \leq \pi, \quad |\text{Re } \theta_{23}| \leq \pi, \quad |\text{Re } \theta_{13}| \leq \frac{\pi}{2}. \quad (9.33)$$

This  $R$  satisfies  $RR^T = 1$ , which however is not general (as in the next example).

With this parameterization, the neutrino mixing matrix is given by, in the basis with  $M_N$  being diagonal,

$$U \approx \begin{pmatrix} U_{\text{PMNS}} & M_D^\dagger M_N^{*-1} U_X \\ -M_N^{-1} M_D U_{\text{PMNS}} & U_X \end{pmatrix} \approx \begin{pmatrix} U_{\text{PMNS}} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -(-im_H^{-1/2} R m_L^{1/2})^\dagger \\ -im_H^{-1/2} R m_L^{1/2} & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (9.34)$$

**Example: two right-handed neutrinos** For models with two right-handed neutrinos, one neutrino is massless and  $M_L^{\text{diag}}$  is not invertible. However the parameterization

$$\frac{v}{\sqrt{2}} Y_n \approx i U_X^* \sqrt{m_H} R \sqrt{m_L} U_{\text{PMNS}}^\dagger \quad (9.35)$$

works with<sup>\*15</sup>

$$R_{\text{normal hierarchy}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \cos z & \zeta \sin z \\ 0 & -\sin z & \zeta \cos z \end{pmatrix}, \quad R_{\text{inverse hierarchy}} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos z & \zeta \sin z & 0 \\ -\sin z & \zeta \cos z & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (9.36)$$

where  $z \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $\zeta = \pm 1$ .

<sup>\*14</sup>For  $w \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $\sin z_1 = w$  and  $\cos z_2 = w$  always have solutions  $z_{1,2} \in \mathbb{C}$ . Meanwhile,  $\tan z = w$  has no solution if and only if  $w = \pm i$ . Then, using this fact, one first expresses  $R_{i3}$  components by  $\zeta_{A,B,C} = \pm 1$  and  $\theta_{A,B} \in \mathbb{C}$ , restricting  $0 \leq \text{Re } \theta_{A,B} \leq \pi/2$  ( $\Leftrightarrow \text{Re } \sin \theta \geq 0 \wedge \text{Re } \cos \theta \geq 0$ ), and then gets an expression of  $R$  with three angles and six signs. Five signs are absorbed by enlarging  $\text{Re } \theta$  and one sign remains, which is  $\zeta$ .

<sup>\*15</sup>See, e.g., Ref. [18]. Sho also thanks Kai Schmitz for his note.



## 10. Supersymmetry with $\eta = \text{diag}(+, -, -, -)$

**Convention** Our convention follows DHM (except for  $D_\mu$ ):

$$\begin{aligned}\eta &= \text{diag}(1, -1, -1, -1); \quad \varepsilon^{0123} = -\varepsilon_{0123} = 1, \quad \varepsilon^{12} = \varepsilon_{21} = \varepsilon^{\dot{1}\dot{2}} = \varepsilon_{\dot{2}\dot{1}} = 1 \quad (\varepsilon_{\alpha\beta}\varepsilon^{\beta\gamma} = \varepsilon^{\alpha\beta}\varepsilon_{\beta\gamma} = \delta_\gamma^\alpha), \\ \psi^\alpha &= \varepsilon^{\alpha\beta}\psi_\beta, \quad \psi_\alpha = \varepsilon_{\alpha\beta}\psi^\beta, \quad \bar{\psi}^{\dot{\alpha}} = \varepsilon^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}\bar{\psi}_{\dot{\beta}}, \quad \bar{\psi}_{\dot{\alpha}} = \varepsilon_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}\bar{\psi}^{\dot{\beta}}; \\ \sigma_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}^\mu &:= (\mathbf{1}, \boldsymbol{\sigma})_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}, \quad \sigma^{\mu\nu}{}_\alpha{}^\beta := \frac{i}{4}(\sigma^\mu\bar{\sigma}^\nu - \sigma^\nu\bar{\sigma}^\mu)_\alpha{}^\beta, \quad *^{16} \quad (\sigma_{\alpha\dot{\beta}}^\mu = \varepsilon_{\alpha\delta}\varepsilon_{\dot{\beta}\dot{\gamma}}\bar{\sigma}^{\mu\dot{\gamma}\delta}, \quad \bar{\sigma}^{\mu\dot{\alpha}\beta} = \varepsilon^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\delta}}\varepsilon^{\beta\gamma}\sigma_{\gamma\dot{\delta}}^\mu) \\ \bar{\sigma}^{\mu\dot{\alpha}\alpha} &:= (\mathbf{1}, -\boldsymbol{\sigma})^{\dot{\alpha}\alpha}, \quad \bar{\sigma}^{\mu\nu}{}_{\dot{\beta}}{}^{\dot{\alpha}} := \frac{i}{4}(\bar{\sigma}^\mu\sigma^\nu - \bar{\sigma}^\nu\sigma^\mu)_{\dot{\beta}}{}^{\dot{\alpha}}, \quad *^{16} \\ (\psi\xi) &:= \psi^\alpha\xi_\alpha, \quad (\bar{\psi}\bar{\chi}) := \bar{\psi}_{\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\chi}^{\dot{\alpha}}; \quad \frac{d}{d\theta^\alpha}(\theta\theta) := \theta_\alpha \quad [\text{left derivative}].\end{aligned}$$

Especially, spinor-index contraction is done as  ${}^\alpha_\alpha$  and  ${}_{\dot{\alpha}}^{\dot{\alpha}}$  except for  $\varepsilon_{ab}$  (which always comes from left). Noting that complex conjugate reverses spinor order:  $(\psi^\alpha\xi^\beta)^* := (\xi^\beta)^*(\psi^\alpha)^*$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{\psi}^{\dot{\alpha}} &:= (\psi^\alpha)^*, \quad \varepsilon^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} := (\varepsilon^{ab})^*, \quad (\psi\chi)^* = (\bar{\psi}\bar{\chi}), \\ (\sigma_{\alpha\dot{\beta}}^\mu)^* &= \bar{\sigma}^{\mu\dot{\alpha}\beta} = \varepsilon_{\beta\delta}\varepsilon_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\gamma}}\bar{\sigma}^{\mu\dot{\gamma}\delta}, \quad (\sigma^{\mu\nu})^\dagger_{\alpha\beta} = \bar{\sigma}^{\mu\nu}{}_{\dot{\alpha}}{}^{\dot{\beta}}, \quad (\sigma^{\mu\nu}{}_\alpha{}^\beta)^* = \bar{\sigma}^{\mu\nu}{}_{\dot{\alpha}}{}^{\dot{\beta}} = \varepsilon_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\gamma}}\varepsilon^{\dot{\beta}\delta}\bar{\sigma}^{\mu\nu}{}_{\delta}{}^{\dot{\gamma}}, \\ (\bar{\sigma}^{\mu\dot{\alpha}\beta})^* &= \sigma^{\mu\alpha\dot{\beta}} = \varepsilon^{\dot{\beta}\delta}\varepsilon^{\alpha\gamma}\sigma_{\gamma\dot{\delta}}^\mu, \quad (\bar{\sigma}^{\mu\nu})^\dagger_{\dot{\alpha}}{}^{\dot{\beta}} = \sigma^{\mu\nu}{}_\alpha{}^\beta, \quad (\bar{\sigma}^{\mu\nu}{}_{\dot{\beta}}{}^{\dot{\alpha}})^* = \sigma^{\mu\nu}{}_\beta{}^\alpha = \bar{\sigma}^{\mu\nu}{}_\beta{}^\alpha = \varepsilon_{\beta\delta}\varepsilon^{\alpha\gamma}\sigma_{\gamma}{}^{\delta}{}_{\dot{\delta}}{}^{\dot{\delta}}.\end{aligned}$$

### Contraction formulae

$$\begin{aligned}\theta^\alpha\theta^\beta &= -\frac{1}{2}(\theta\theta)\varepsilon^{\alpha\beta} & \bar{\theta}^{\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\theta}^{\dot{\beta}} &= \frac{1}{2}(\bar{\theta}\bar{\theta})\varepsilon^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} & (\theta\xi)(\theta\chi) &= -\frac{1}{2}(\theta\theta)(\xi\chi) & (\theta\sigma^\nu\bar{\theta})\theta^\alpha &= \frac{1}{2}(\theta\theta)(\bar{\theta}\bar{\sigma}^\nu)^\alpha \\ \theta_\alpha\theta_\beta &= \frac{1}{2}(\theta\theta)\varepsilon_{\alpha\beta} & \bar{\theta}_{\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\theta}_{\dot{\beta}} &= -\frac{1}{2}(\bar{\theta}\bar{\theta})\varepsilon_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} & (\bar{\theta}\bar{\xi})(\bar{\theta}\bar{\chi}) &= -\frac{1}{2}(\bar{\theta}\bar{\theta})(\bar{\xi}\bar{\chi}) & (\theta\sigma^\nu\bar{\theta})\bar{\theta}_{\dot{\alpha}} &= -\frac{1}{2}(\theta\sigma^\nu)_{\dot{\alpha}}(\bar{\theta}\bar{\theta}) \\ \theta^\alpha\theta_\beta &= \frac{1}{2}(\theta\theta)\delta_\beta^\alpha & \bar{\theta}_{\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\theta}^{\dot{\beta}} &= \frac{1}{2}(\bar{\theta}\bar{\theta})\delta_{\dot{\alpha}}^{\dot{\beta}} & (\theta\sigma^\mu\bar{\theta})(\theta\sigma^\nu\bar{\theta}) &= \frac{1}{2}(\theta\theta)(\bar{\theta}\bar{\theta})\eta^{\mu\nu} \\ (\theta\sigma^\mu\bar{\sigma}^\nu\theta) &= (\theta\theta)\eta^{\mu\nu} & (\bar{\theta}\bar{\sigma}^\mu\sigma^\nu\bar{\theta}) &= (\bar{\theta}\bar{\theta})\eta^{\mu\nu} & (\sigma^\mu\bar{\theta})_\alpha(\theta\sigma^\nu\bar{\theta}) &= \frac{1}{2}(\bar{\theta}\bar{\theta})(\sigma^\mu\bar{\sigma}^\nu)_\alpha\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma^\mu\bar{\sigma}^\nu &= \eta^{\mu\nu} - 2i\sigma^{\mu\nu} & \sigma^\mu\bar{\sigma}^\nu\sigma^\rho + \sigma^\rho\bar{\sigma}^\nu\sigma^\mu &= 2(\sigma^\mu\eta^{\rho\nu} - \sigma^\nu\eta^{\mu\rho} + \sigma^\rho\eta^{\mu\nu}) \\ \bar{\sigma}^\mu\sigma^\nu &= \eta^{\mu\nu} - 2i\bar{\sigma}^{\mu\nu} & \sigma^\mu\bar{\sigma}^\nu\sigma^\rho - \sigma^\rho\bar{\sigma}^\nu\sigma^\mu &= 2i\sigma_\sigma\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \\ \text{Tr}(\sigma^\mu\bar{\sigma}^\nu) &= \text{Tr}(\bar{\sigma}^\mu\sigma^\nu) = 2\eta^{\mu\nu} & \bar{\sigma}^\mu\sigma^\nu\bar{\sigma}^\rho + \bar{\sigma}^\rho\sigma^\nu\bar{\sigma}^\mu &= 2(\bar{\sigma}^\mu\eta^{\rho\nu} - \bar{\sigma}^\nu\eta^{\mu\rho} + \bar{\sigma}^\rho\eta^{\mu\nu}) \\ \sigma_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}^\mu\bar{\sigma}_{\dot{\beta}}{}^\beta &= 2\delta_{\dot{\alpha}}^{\dot{\beta}}\delta_\alpha^\beta & \bar{\sigma}^\mu\sigma^\nu\bar{\sigma}^\rho - \bar{\sigma}^\rho\sigma^\nu\bar{\sigma}^\mu &= -2i\bar{\sigma}_\sigma\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \\ \sigma_{\mu\alpha\dot{\alpha}}\sigma_{\beta\dot{\beta}}^\mu &= 2\varepsilon_{\alpha\beta}\varepsilon_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} & \varepsilon_{\dot{\beta}\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\sigma}^{\mu\dot{\alpha}\alpha} &= \varepsilon_{\dot{\beta}\dot{\alpha}}\varepsilon^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\gamma}}\varepsilon^{\alpha\gamma}\sigma_{\gamma\dot{\gamma}}^\mu = \varepsilon^{\alpha\gamma}\sigma_{\gamma\dot{\beta}}^\mu \\ \bar{\sigma}_{\mu}{}^{\dot{\alpha}\alpha}\bar{\sigma}^{\mu\dot{\beta}\beta} &= 2\varepsilon^{\alpha\beta}\varepsilon^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} & \varepsilon_{\beta\alpha}\bar{\sigma}^{\mu\dot{\alpha}\alpha} &= \varepsilon_{\beta\alpha}\varepsilon^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\gamma}}\varepsilon^{\alpha\gamma}\sigma_{\gamma\dot{\gamma}}^\mu = \varepsilon^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\gamma}}\sigma_{\beta\dot{\gamma}}^\mu \\ \text{Tr}(\sigma^{\mu\nu}) &= \text{Tr}(\bar{\sigma}^{\mu\nu}) = 0 & \text{Tr}(\sigma^{\mu\nu}\sigma^{\rho\sigma}) &= \frac{1}{2}(\eta^{\mu\rho}\eta^{\nu\sigma} - \eta^{\mu\sigma}\eta^{\nu\rho}) - \frac{1}{2}i\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \\ \bar{\sigma}^{\mu\nu} &= -\bar{\sigma}^{\nu\mu} \quad \sigma^{\mu\nu} = -\sigma^{\nu\mu} & \text{Tr}(\bar{\sigma}^{\mu\nu}\bar{\sigma}^{\rho\sigma}) &= \frac{1}{2}i\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} + \frac{1}{2}(\eta^{\mu\rho}\eta^{\nu\sigma} - \eta^{\mu\sigma}\eta^{\nu\rho}) \\ \sigma^{\mu\nu}{}_\alpha{}^\beta\varepsilon_{\beta\gamma} &= \sigma^{\mu\nu}{}_\gamma{}^\beta\varepsilon_{\beta\alpha} & \sigma_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}^\mu\sigma_{\beta\dot{\beta}}^\nu - \sigma_{\beta\dot{\beta}}^\nu\sigma_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}^\mu &= -2i\varepsilon_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\gamma}}\bar{\sigma}^{\mu\nu\dot{\gamma}}{}_{\dot{\beta}}\varepsilon_{\alpha\beta} - 2i\sigma_{\alpha}{}^\gamma\varepsilon_{\gamma\beta}\varepsilon_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} \\ \bar{\sigma}^{\mu\nu\dot{\alpha}}{}_{\dot{\beta}}\varepsilon^{\dot{\beta}\dot{\gamma}} &= \bar{\sigma}^{\mu\nu\dot{\gamma}}{}_{\dot{\beta}}\varepsilon^{\dot{\beta}\dot{\alpha}} & \sigma_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}^\mu\sigma_{\beta\dot{\beta}}^\nu + \sigma_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}^\nu\sigma_{\beta\dot{\beta}}^\mu &= 4\sigma_{\rho}{}^\mu{}_\alpha{}^\gamma\varepsilon_{\gamma\beta}\varepsilon_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\gamma}}\bar{\sigma}^{\sigma\nu\dot{\gamma}}{}_{\dot{\beta}}\eta_{\rho\sigma} + \varepsilon_{\alpha\beta}\varepsilon_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}\eta^{\mu\nu} \\ \bar{\sigma}_{\rho\sigma}\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} &= -2i\bar{\sigma}^{\mu\nu} & \bar{\sigma}^{\mu\dot{\alpha}\alpha}\bar{\sigma}^{\nu\dot{\beta}\beta} - \bar{\sigma}^{\nu\dot{\alpha}\alpha}\bar{\sigma}^{\mu\dot{\beta}\beta} &= -2i\bar{\sigma}^{\mu\nu\dot{\gamma}}{}_{\dot{\alpha}}\varepsilon^{\dot{\gamma}\dot{\beta}}\varepsilon^{\alpha\beta} - 2i\varepsilon^{\alpha\gamma}\sigma_{\gamma}{}^{\mu\nu}{}_{\dot{\beta}}\varepsilon_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} \\ \sigma_{\rho\sigma}\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} &= 2i\sigma^{\mu\nu} & \bar{\sigma}^{\mu\dot{\alpha}\alpha}\bar{\sigma}^{\nu\dot{\beta}\beta} + \bar{\sigma}^{\nu\dot{\alpha}\alpha}\bar{\sigma}^{\mu\dot{\beta}\beta} &= 4\varepsilon^{\alpha\gamma}\sigma_{\gamma}{}^{\mu\nu}{}_{\dot{\beta}}\bar{\sigma}^{\rho\mu\dot{\alpha}}{}_{\dot{\gamma}}\varepsilon^{\dot{\gamma}\dot{\beta}}\eta_{\rho\sigma} + \varepsilon^{\alpha\beta}\varepsilon^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}\eta^{\mu\nu}\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{\xi}\bar{\sigma}^\mu\chi &= -\chi\sigma^\mu\bar{\xi} & \bar{\xi}\bar{\sigma}^\mu\sigma^\nu\bar{\chi} &= \bar{\chi}\bar{\sigma}^\nu\sigma^\mu\bar{\xi} & \xi\sigma^\mu\bar{\sigma}^\nu\chi &= \chi\sigma^\nu\bar{\sigma}^\mu\xi & \bar{\xi}\bar{\sigma}^\mu\sigma^\nu\bar{\sigma}^\rho\chi &= -\chi\sigma^\rho\bar{\sigma}^\nu\sigma^\mu\bar{\xi} \\ (\xi\sigma^\mu\bar{\chi})^* &= \chi\sigma^\mu\bar{\xi} & (\bar{\xi}\bar{\sigma}^\mu\chi)^* &= \bar{\chi}\bar{\sigma}^\mu\xi & (\bar{\chi}\bar{\sigma}^\mu\sigma^\nu\bar{\xi})^* &= \xi\sigma^\nu\bar{\sigma}^\mu\chi & (\xi[\sigma s]\chi)^* &= \bar{\chi}[\sigma s_{\text{reversed}}]\bar{\xi} \\ (\xi\chi)\psi^\alpha &= -(\psi\xi)\chi^\alpha - (\psi\chi)\xi^\alpha & (\xi\chi)\bar{\psi}_{\dot{\alpha}} &= \frac{1}{2}(\xi\sigma^\mu\bar{\psi})(\chi\sigma_\mu)_{\dot{\alpha}} \\ i\psi_i\sigma^\mu\partial_\mu\bar{\psi}_j &= -i\partial_\mu\bar{\psi}_j\sigma^\mu\psi_i \equiv i\bar{\psi}_j\bar{\sigma}^\mu\partial_\mu\psi_i = -i\partial_\mu\psi_i\sigma^\mu\bar{\psi}_j\end{aligned}$$

\*<sup>16</sup>As the definition of  $\sigma^{\mu\nu}$  and  $\bar{\sigma}^{\mu\nu}$  are not unified in literature, they are not used in this CheatSheet except for this page.

## Superfields

$$\Phi = \phi(x) + \sqrt{2}\theta\psi(x) - i\partial_\mu\phi(x)(\theta\sigma^\mu\bar{\theta}) + F(x)\theta^2 + \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}(\partial_\mu\psi(x)\sigma^\mu\bar{\theta})\theta^2 - \frac{\theta^4}{4}\partial^2\phi(x), \quad (10.1)$$

$$\Phi^* = \phi^*(x) + \sqrt{2}\bar{\psi}(x)\bar{\theta} + F^*(x)\bar{\theta}^2 + i\partial_\mu\phi^*(x)(\theta\sigma^\mu\bar{\theta}) - \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}[\theta\sigma^\mu\partial_\mu\bar{\psi}(x)]\bar{\theta}^2 - \frac{\theta^4}{4}\partial^2\phi^*(x), \quad (10.2)$$

$$V = (\bar{\theta}\bar{\sigma}^\mu\theta)A_\mu(x) + \bar{\theta}^2\theta\lambda(x) + \theta^2\bar{\theta}\bar{\lambda}(x) + \frac{\theta^4}{2}D(x) \quad (\text{in Wess-Zumino supergauge}). \quad (10.3)$$

## Without gauge symmetries

$$\mathcal{L} = \Phi_i^*\Phi_i\Big|_{\theta^4} + \left(W(\Phi_i)\Big|_{\theta^2} + \text{H.c.}\right); \quad (10.4)$$

$$\Phi_i^*\Phi_i\Big|_{\theta^4} = (\partial_\mu\phi_i^*)(\partial^\mu\phi_i) + i\bar{\psi}_i\sigma^\mu\partial_\mu\psi_i + F_i^*F_i, \quad (10.5)$$

$$\begin{aligned} W(\Phi_i)\Big|_{\theta^2} &\rightsquigarrow \left[\kappa_i\Phi_i + m_{ij}\Phi_i\Phi_j + y_{ijk}\Phi_i\Phi_j\Phi_k\right]\Big|_{\theta^2} \\ &= \kappa_i F_i + m_{ij}(-\psi_i\psi_j + F_i\phi_j + \phi_i F_j) \\ &\quad + y_{ijk}\left[-(\psi_i\psi_j\phi_k + \psi_i\phi_j\psi_k + \phi_i\psi_j\psi_k) + \phi_i\phi_j F_k + \phi_i F_j\phi_k + F_i\phi_j\phi_k\right]. \end{aligned} \quad (10.6)$$

## With a U(1) gauge symmetry <sup>\*17</sup>

$$\mathcal{L} = \Phi_i^* e^{2gVQ_i}\Phi_i\Big|_{\theta^4} + \left[\left(\frac{1}{4} - \frac{ig^2\Theta}{32\pi^2}\right)\mathcal{W}^\alpha\mathcal{W}_\alpha\Big|_{\theta^2} + W(\Phi_i)\Big|_{\theta^2} + \text{H.c.}\right] + \Lambda_{\text{FI}}D; \quad (10.7)$$

$$\Phi_i e^{2gQ_i V}\Phi_i\Big|_{\theta^4} \equiv D^\mu\phi_i^* D_\mu\phi_i + i\bar{\psi}_i\bar{\sigma}^\mu D_\mu\psi_i + F_i^*F_i - \sqrt{2}gQ_i\phi_i^*\lambda\psi_i - \sqrt{2}gQ_i\bar{\psi}_i\bar{\lambda}\phi_i + gQ_i\phi_i^*\phi_i D, \quad (10.8)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{1}{4} - \frac{ig^2\Theta}{32\pi^2}\right)\mathcal{W}^\alpha\mathcal{W}_\alpha\Big|_{\theta^2} + \text{H.c.} &= \frac{1}{2}\text{Re}\mathcal{W}\mathcal{W}\Big|_{\theta^2} + \frac{g^2\Theta}{16\pi^2}\text{Im}\mathcal{W}\mathcal{W}\Big|_{\theta^2} \\ &\equiv i\bar{\lambda}\bar{\sigma}^\mu D_\mu\lambda + \frac{1}{2}DD - \frac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu} + \frac{g^2\Theta}{64\pi^2}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}F_{\mu\nu}F_{\rho\sigma}, \end{aligned} \quad (10.9)$$

$$\begin{aligned} D_\mu\phi_i &= (\partial_\mu - igQ_i A_\mu)\phi_i, & D_\mu\psi_i &= (\partial_\mu - igQ_i A_\mu)\psi_i, \\ D^\mu\phi_i^* &= (\partial^\mu + igQ_i A^\mu)\phi_i^*, & F_{\mu\nu} &= \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu, & D_\mu\lambda &= \partial_\mu\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

$$\{\phi, \psi, F\} \xrightarrow{\text{gauge}} e^{igQ_i\theta}\{\phi, \psi, F\}, \quad A_\mu \xrightarrow{\text{gauge}} A_\mu + \partial_\mu\theta, \quad \lambda \xrightarrow{\text{gauge}} \lambda, \quad D \xrightarrow{\text{gauge}} D. \quad (10.10)$$

<sup>\*17</sup>We use the convention with  $V \ni \lambda(x)\theta\bar{\theta}^2$ , which corresponds to  $\lambda = i\lambda_{\text{SLHA}}$ . In SLHA convention, the scalar-fermion-gaugino interaction is replaced to

$$-\sqrt{2}g i\lambda_{\text{SLHA}}^a(\phi^* t^a \psi) - \sqrt{2}g(-i\bar{\lambda}_{\text{SLHA}}^a)(\bar{\psi} t^a \phi).$$

**With an  $SU(N)$  gauge symmetry**

$$\mathcal{L} = \Phi^* e^{2gV} \Phi \Big|_{\theta^4} + \left[ \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{ig^2\Theta}{16\pi^2} \right) \text{Tr } \mathcal{W}^\alpha \mathcal{W}_\alpha \Big|_{\theta^2} + W(\Phi) \Big|_{\theta^2} + \text{H.c.} \right]; \quad (10.11)$$

$$\Phi^* e^{2gV} \Phi \Big|_{\theta^4} := \Phi_i^* \left[ e^{2gV^a t_\Phi^a} \right]_{ij} \Phi_j \Big|_{\theta^4} \quad (10.12)$$

$$= (\partial_\mu \phi_i^*)(\partial^\mu \phi_i) + i\bar{\psi}_i \bar{\sigma}^\mu \partial_\mu \psi_i + F_i^* F_i - \sqrt{2}g\lambda^a (\phi^* t^a \psi) - \sqrt{2}g\bar{\lambda}^a (\bar{\psi}^* t^a \phi) \\ + gA_\mu^a \bar{\psi} \bar{\sigma}^\mu (t^a \psi) + 2igA_\mu^a \phi^* \partial_\mu (t^a \phi) + g^2 A^{a\mu} A_\mu^b (\phi^* t^a t^b \phi) + gD^a (\phi^* t^a \phi) \quad (10.13)$$

$$= D^\mu \phi^* D_\mu \phi + i\bar{\psi}_i \bar{\sigma}^\mu D_\mu \psi_i + F^* F - \sqrt{2}g\lambda^a (\phi^* t^a \psi) - \sqrt{2}g\bar{\lambda}^a (\bar{\psi} t^a \phi) + gD^a (\phi^* t^a \phi) \quad (10.14)$$

$$\left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{ig^2\Theta}{16\pi^2} \right) \text{Tr } \mathcal{W}^\alpha \mathcal{W}_\alpha \Big|_{\theta^2} + \text{H.c.} = \text{Re Tr } \mathcal{W} \mathcal{W} \Big|_{\theta^2} + \frac{g^2\Theta}{8\pi^2} \text{Im Tr } \mathcal{W} \mathcal{W} \Big|_{\theta^2} \quad (10.15)$$

$$= i\lambda^a \sigma^\mu D_\mu \bar{\lambda}^a + \frac{1}{2} D^a D^a - \frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu}^a F^{a\mu\nu} + \frac{g^2\Theta}{64\pi^2} \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} F_{\mu\nu}^a F_{\rho\sigma}^a;$$

$$D_\mu \phi_i = \partial_\mu \phi_i - igA_\mu^a t_{ij}^a \phi_j, \quad D_\mu \psi_i = \partial_\mu \psi_i - igA_\mu^a t_{ij}^a \psi_j, \quad F_{\mu\nu}^a = \partial_\mu A_\nu^a - \partial_\nu A_\mu^a + gA_\mu^b A_\nu^c f^{abc}, \\ D^\mu \phi_i^* = \partial^\mu \phi_i^* + igA^{a\mu} \phi_j^* t_{ji}^a, \quad D_\mu \lambda_\alpha^a = \partial_\mu \lambda_\alpha^a + gf^{abc} A_\mu^b \lambda_\alpha^c.$$

$$\{\phi, \psi, F\} \xrightarrow{\text{gauge}} e^{ig\theta^a t^a} \{\phi, \psi, F\}, \\ A_\mu^a \xrightarrow{\text{gauge}} A_\mu^a + \partial_\mu \theta^a + gf^{abc} A_\mu^b \theta^c + \mathcal{O}(\theta^2), \quad \lambda^a \xrightarrow{\text{gauge}} \lambda^a + gf^{abc} \lambda^b \theta^c + \mathcal{O}(\theta^2), \\ D^a \xrightarrow{\text{gauge}} D^a + gf^{abc} D^b \theta^c + \mathcal{O}(\theta^2), \quad \bar{\lambda}^a \xrightarrow{\text{gauge}} \bar{\lambda}^a + gf^{abc} \bar{\lambda}^b \theta^c + \mathcal{O}(\theta^2).^{*18}$$

**Auxiliary fields and Scalar potential** In all of the above three theories,

$$\mathcal{L} \supset F_i^* F_i + F_i \frac{\partial W}{\partial \Phi_i} \Big|_{\text{scalar}} + F_i^* \frac{\partial W^*}{\partial \Phi_i^*} \Big|_{\text{scalar}} + \frac{1}{2} D^a D^a + gD^a (\phi^* t^a \phi); \quad (10.16)$$

$$\langle F_i^* \rangle = - \frac{\partial W}{\partial \Phi_i} \Big|_{\text{scalar}}, \quad \langle D^a \rangle = -g\phi^* t^a \phi; \quad (10.17)$$

$$\mathcal{L} \supset -V_{\text{SUSY}} = - \left[ \langle F_i^* \rangle \langle F_i \rangle + \frac{g^2}{2} (\phi^* t^a \phi) (\phi^* t^a \phi) \right]. \quad (10.18)$$

---

\*18 ♣️TODO: give in non-infinitesimal form♣️

## 10.1. Lorentz symmetry as $SU(2) \times SU(2)$

## 10.2. Supersymmetry algebra

We define the generators as

$$P_\mu := i\partial_\mu, \quad \{Q_\alpha, \bar{Q}_{\dot{\alpha}}\} = -2i\sigma^\mu_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}\partial_\mu = -2\sigma^\mu_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}P_\mu, \quad \{Q_\alpha, Q_\beta\} = \{\bar{Q}_{\dot{\alpha}}, \bar{Q}_{\dot{\beta}}\} = 0, \quad (10.19)$$

which is realized by

$$\begin{aligned} Q_\alpha &= \frac{\partial}{\partial\theta^\alpha} + i(\sigma^\mu\bar{\theta})_\alpha\partial_\mu, & \bar{Q}_{\dot{\alpha}} &= -\frac{\partial}{\partial\bar{\theta}^{\dot{\alpha}}} - i(\theta\sigma^\mu)_{\dot{\alpha}}\partial_\mu, & Q^\alpha &= -\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta_\alpha} - i(\bar{\theta}\bar{\sigma}^\mu)^\alpha\partial_\mu, & \bar{Q}^{\dot{\alpha}} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial\bar{\theta}_{\dot{\alpha}}} + i(\bar{\sigma}^\mu\theta)^{\dot{\alpha}}\partial_\mu, \\ \mathcal{D}_\alpha &= \frac{\partial}{\partial\theta^\alpha} - i(\sigma^\mu\bar{\theta})_\alpha\partial_\mu, & \bar{\mathcal{D}}_{\dot{\alpha}} &= -\frac{\partial}{\partial\bar{\theta}^{\dot{\alpha}}} + i(\theta\sigma^\mu)_{\dot{\alpha}}\partial_\mu, & \mathcal{D}^\alpha &= -\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta_\alpha} + i(\bar{\theta}\bar{\sigma}^\mu)^\alpha\partial_\mu, & \bar{\mathcal{D}}^{\dot{\alpha}} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial\bar{\theta}_{\dot{\alpha}}} - i(\bar{\sigma}^\mu\theta)^{\dot{\alpha}}\partial_\mu; \end{aligned}$$

$\mathcal{D}_\alpha$  etc. works as covariant derivatives because of the commutation relations

$$\{\mathcal{D}_\alpha, \bar{\mathcal{D}}_{\dot{\alpha}}\} = +2i\sigma^\mu_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}\partial_\mu, \quad \{Q_\alpha, \mathcal{D}_\beta\} = \{Q_\alpha, \bar{\mathcal{D}}_{\dot{\beta}}\} = \{\bar{Q}_{\dot{\alpha}}, \mathcal{D}_\beta\} = \{\bar{Q}_{\dot{\alpha}}, \bar{\mathcal{D}}_{\dot{\beta}}\} = \{\mathcal{D}_\alpha, \mathcal{D}_\beta\} = \{\bar{\mathcal{D}}_{\dot{\alpha}}, \bar{\mathcal{D}}_{\dot{\beta}}\} = 0.$$

### Derivative formulae

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon^{\alpha\beta} \frac{\partial}{\partial\theta^\beta} &= -\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta_\alpha} & \frac{\partial}{\partial\theta^\alpha} \theta\theta &= 2\theta_\alpha & \frac{\partial}{\partial\theta^\alpha} \frac{\partial}{\partial\theta_\beta} \theta\theta &= -2\delta_\alpha^\beta & \frac{\partial}{\partial\bar{\theta}^{\dot{\alpha}}} \frac{\partial}{\partial\bar{\theta}_{\dot{\beta}}} \bar{\theta}\bar{\theta} &= 2\delta_{\dot{\alpha}}^{\dot{\beta}} \\ \varepsilon_{\alpha\beta} \frac{\partial}{\partial\theta_\beta} &= -\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta^\alpha} & \frac{\partial}{\partial\theta_\alpha} \theta\theta &= -2\theta^\alpha & \frac{\partial}{\partial\theta_\alpha} \frac{\partial}{\partial\theta_\beta} \theta\theta &= 2\varepsilon^{\alpha\beta} & \frac{\partial}{\partial\bar{\theta}_{\dot{\alpha}}} \frac{\partial}{\partial\bar{\theta}_{\dot{\beta}}} \bar{\theta}\bar{\theta} &= -2\varepsilon^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} \\ \varepsilon^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} \frac{\partial}{\partial\bar{\theta}^{\dot{\beta}}} &= -\frac{\partial}{\partial\bar{\theta}_{\dot{\alpha}}} & \frac{\partial}{\partial\bar{\theta}^{\dot{\alpha}}} \bar{\theta}\bar{\theta} &= 2\bar{\theta}_{\dot{\alpha}} & \frac{\partial}{\partial\theta_\alpha} \frac{\partial}{\partial\bar{\theta}^{\dot{\beta}}} \theta\theta &= 2\delta_\alpha^{\dot{\beta}} & \frac{\partial}{\partial\bar{\theta}_{\dot{\alpha}}} \frac{\partial}{\partial\bar{\theta}^{\dot{\beta}}} \bar{\theta}\bar{\theta} &= -2\delta_{\dot{\alpha}}^{\dot{\beta}} \\ \varepsilon_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} \frac{\partial}{\partial\bar{\theta}_{\dot{\beta}}} &= -\frac{\partial}{\partial\bar{\theta}^{\dot{\alpha}}} & \frac{\partial}{\partial\bar{\theta}_{\dot{\alpha}}} \bar{\theta}\bar{\theta} &= -2\bar{\theta}^{\dot{\alpha}} & \frac{\partial}{\partial\theta^\alpha} \frac{\partial}{\partial\bar{\theta}^{\dot{\beta}}} \theta\theta &= -2\varepsilon_{\alpha\dot{\beta}} & \frac{\partial}{\partial\bar{\theta}^{\dot{\alpha}}} \frac{\partial}{\partial\bar{\theta}^{\dot{\beta}}} \bar{\theta}\bar{\theta} &= 2\varepsilon_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} \end{aligned}$$

In addition, we define

$$(y, \theta', \bar{\theta}') := (x - i\theta\sigma^\mu\bar{\theta}, \theta, \bar{\theta}) : \quad (10.20)$$

$$\bar{\mathcal{D}}_{\dot{\alpha}} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial\bar{\theta}'^{\dot{\alpha}}}; \quad \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial\theta^\alpha} \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial\bar{\theta}^{\dot{\alpha}}} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \delta_\mu^\nu & 0 & 0 \\ -i(\sigma^\nu\bar{\theta})_\alpha & \delta_\alpha^\beta & 0 \\ i(\theta\sigma^\nu)_{\dot{\alpha}} & 0 & \delta_{\dot{\alpha}}^{\dot{\beta}} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^\nu} \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial\theta'^\beta} \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial\bar{\theta}'^{\dot{\beta}}} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^\nu} \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial\theta'^\beta} \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial\bar{\theta}'^{\dot{\beta}}} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \delta_\nu^\mu & 0 & 0 \\ i(\sigma^\mu\bar{\theta})_\beta & \delta_\beta^\alpha & 0 \\ -i(\theta\sigma^\mu)_{\dot{\beta}} & 0 & \delta_{\dot{\beta}}^{\dot{\alpha}} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial\theta^\alpha} \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial\bar{\theta}^{\dot{\alpha}}} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (10.21)$$

and a function  $f : \mathbb{C}^4 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  (independent of  $\theta'$  and  $\bar{\theta}'$ ) is expanded as

$$f(y) = f(x - i\theta\sigma^\mu\bar{\theta}) = f(x) - i(\theta\sigma^\mu\bar{\theta})\partial_\mu f(x) - \frac{1}{4}\theta^4\partial^2 f(x). \quad (10.22)$$

Note that we differentiate  $[f(y)]^*$  and  $f^*(y)$ :

$$[f(y)]^* = f(x) + i(\theta\sigma^\mu\bar{\theta})\partial_\mu f^*(x) - \frac{1}{4}\theta^4\partial^2 f^*(x) = f^*(y + i\theta\sigma^\mu\bar{\theta}) = f^*(y^*). \quad (10.23)$$

## 10.3. Superfields

**SUSY-invariant Lagrangian** SUSY transformation is induced by  $\xi Q + \bar{\xi}\bar{Q} = \xi^\alpha\partial_\alpha + \bar{\xi}_{\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\partial}^{\dot{\alpha}} + i(\xi\sigma^\mu\bar{\theta} + \bar{\xi}\bar{\sigma}^\mu\theta)\partial_\mu$ . Therefore, for an object  $\Psi$  in the superspace,

$$[\Psi]_{\theta^4} \xrightarrow{\text{SUSY}} \left[ \Psi + \xi^\alpha\partial_\alpha\Psi + \bar{\xi}_{\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\partial}^{\dot{\alpha}}\Psi + i(\xi\sigma^\mu\bar{\theta} + \bar{\xi}\bar{\sigma}^\mu\theta)\partial_\mu\Psi \right]_{\theta^4} = [\Psi + i(\xi\sigma^\mu\bar{\theta} + \bar{\xi}\bar{\sigma}^\mu\theta)\partial_\mu\Psi]_{\theta^4}, \quad (10.24)$$

which means  $[\Psi]_{\theta^4}$  is SUSY-invariant up to total derivative, i.e.,  $\int d^4x [\Psi]_{\theta^4}$  is SUSY-invariant action. Also,

$$[\Psi]_{\theta^2} \xrightarrow{\text{SUSY}} \left[ \Psi + \bar{\xi}_{\dot{\alpha}} \left( \bar{\partial}^{\dot{\alpha}} + i(\bar{\sigma}^\mu\theta)^{\dot{\alpha}}\partial_\mu \right) \Psi \right]_{\theta^2} = \left[ \Psi + \bar{\xi}_{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\mathcal{D}}^{\dot{\alpha}}\Psi + 2i(\bar{\sigma}^\mu\theta)^{\dot{\alpha}}\partial_\mu\Psi \right]_{\theta^2} \quad (10.25)$$

will be SUSY-invariant if  $\bar{\mathcal{D}}_{\dot{\alpha}}\Psi = 0$ , i.e.,  $\Psi$  is a chiral superfield. Therefore, SUSY-invariant Lagrangian is given by

$$\mathcal{L} = \left[ (\text{any real superfield}) \right]_{\theta^4} + \left[ (\text{any chiral superfield}) \right]_{\theta^2} + \left[ (\text{any chiral superfield})^* \right]_{\bar{\theta}^2}. \quad (10.26)$$

**Chiral superfield** A chiral superfield is a superfield that satisfies  $\bar{\mathcal{D}}_{\dot{\alpha}}\Phi = 0$ , i.e., we find

$$\Phi = \phi(y) + \sqrt{2}\theta'\psi(y) + \theta'^2 F(y) \quad (10.27)$$

$$= \phi(x) + \sqrt{2}\theta\psi(x) - i\partial_\mu\phi(x)(\theta\sigma^\mu\bar{\theta}) + F(x)\theta^2 + \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}(\partial_\mu\psi(x)\sigma^\mu\bar{\theta})\theta^2 - \frac{1}{4}\partial^2\phi(x)\theta^4 \quad (10.28)$$

$$\Phi^* = \phi^*(x) + \sqrt{2}\bar{\theta}\bar{\psi}(x) + F^*(x)\bar{\theta}^2 + i\partial_\mu\phi^*(x)(\theta\sigma^\mu\bar{\theta}) - \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}[\theta\sigma^\mu\partial_\mu\bar{\psi}(x)]\bar{\theta}^2 - \frac{1}{4}\partial^2\phi^*(x)\theta^4; \quad (10.29)$$

their product is expanded as

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_i^* \Phi_j &= \phi_i^* \phi_j + \sqrt{2} \phi_i^* (\theta \psi_j) + \sqrt{2} (\bar{\psi}_i \bar{\theta}) \phi_j + \phi_i^* F_j \theta^2 + 2 (\bar{\psi}_i \bar{\theta}) (\theta \psi_j) - i (\phi_i^* \partial_\mu \phi_j - \partial_\mu \phi_i^* \phi_j) (\theta \sigma^\mu \bar{\theta}) + F_i^* \phi_j \bar{\theta}^2 \\ &+ \left[ \sqrt{2} \bar{\psi}_i \bar{\theta} F_j - \frac{i (\partial_\mu \phi_i^* \cdot \psi_j \sigma^\mu \bar{\theta} - \phi_i^* \partial_\mu \psi_j \sigma^\mu \bar{\theta})}{\sqrt{2}} \right] \theta^2 + \left[ \sqrt{2} F_i^* \theta \psi_j + \frac{i (\theta \sigma^\mu \bar{\psi}_i \partial_\mu \phi_j - \theta \sigma^\mu \partial_\mu \bar{\psi}_i \phi_j)}{\sqrt{2}} \right] \bar{\theta}^2 \\ &+ \frac{1}{4} (4 F_i^* F_j - \phi_i^* \partial^2 \phi_j - (\partial^2 \phi_i^*) \phi_j + 2 (\partial_\mu \phi_i^*) (\partial^\mu \phi_j) + 2 i (\psi_j \sigma^\mu \partial_\mu \bar{\psi}_i) - 2 i (\partial_\mu \psi_j \sigma^\mu \bar{\psi}_i)) \theta^4\end{aligned}\quad (10.30)$$

$$\begin{aligned}&\equiv \phi_i^* \phi_j + \sqrt{2} \phi_i^* (\theta \psi_j) + \sqrt{2} (\bar{\psi}_i \bar{\theta}) \phi_j + \phi_i^* F_j \theta^2 + 2 (\bar{\psi}_i \bar{\theta}) (\theta \psi_j) - 2 i (\phi_i^* \partial_\mu \phi_j) (\theta \sigma^\mu \bar{\theta}) + F_i^* \phi_j \bar{\theta}^2 \\ &+ \sqrt{2} (\bar{\psi}_i \bar{\theta} F_j + i \phi_i^* \partial_\mu \psi_j \sigma^\mu \bar{\theta}) \theta^2 + \sqrt{2} (F_i^* \theta \psi_j - i \theta \sigma^\mu \partial_\mu \bar{\psi}_i \phi_j) \bar{\theta}^2 \\ &+ (F_i^* F_j + (\partial_\mu \phi_i^*) (\partial^\mu \phi_j) + i \bar{\psi}_i \bar{\sigma}^\mu \partial_\mu \psi_j) \theta^4\end{aligned}\quad (10.31)$$

$$\Phi_i \Phi_j \Big|_{\theta^2} = -\psi_i \psi_j + F_i \phi_j + \phi_i F_j \quad (10.32)$$

$$\Phi_i \Phi_j \Phi_k \Big|_{\theta^2} = -(\psi_i \psi_j) \phi_k - (\psi_k \psi_i) \phi_j - (\psi_j \psi_k) \phi_i + \phi_i \phi_j F_k + \phi_k \phi_i F_j + \phi_j \phi_k F_i \quad (10.33)$$

$$e^{k\Phi} = e^{k\phi} \left[ 1 + \sqrt{2} k \theta \psi + \left( k F - \frac{k^2}{2} \psi \psi \right) \theta^2 - i k \partial_\mu \phi (\theta \sigma^\mu \bar{\theta}) + \frac{i k (\partial_\mu \psi + k \psi \partial_\mu \phi) \sigma^\mu \bar{\theta}^2}{\sqrt{2}} - \frac{k}{4} (\partial^2 \phi + k \partial_\mu \phi \partial^\mu \phi) \theta^4 \right]; \quad (10.34)$$

note that  $\Phi_i \Phi_j$ ,  $\Phi_i \Phi_j \Phi_k$ , and  $e^{k\Phi}$  are all chiral superfields.

**Vector superfield** A vector superfield is a superfield  $V$  that satisfies  $V = V^*$ . It is given by real fields  $\{C, M, N, D, A_\mu\}$  and Grassmann fields  $\{\chi, \lambda\}$  as<sup>\*19</sup>

$$\begin{aligned}V(x, \theta, \bar{\theta}) &= C(x) + i \theta \chi(x) - i \bar{\theta} \bar{\chi}(x) + \frac{1}{2} (M(x) + i N(x)) \theta^2 + \frac{1}{2} (M(x) - i N(x)) \bar{\theta}^2 + (\bar{\theta} \bar{\sigma}^\mu \theta) A_\mu(x) \\ &\quad \left( \lambda(x) + \frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu \bar{\chi}(x) \bar{\sigma}^\mu \right) \theta \bar{\theta}^2 + \theta^2 \bar{\theta} \left( \bar{\lambda}(x) + \frac{1}{2} \bar{\sigma}^\mu \partial_\mu \chi(x) \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left( D(x) - \frac{1}{2} \partial^2 C(x) \right) \theta^4.\end{aligned}\quad (10.35)$$

With this convention,

$$V \rightarrow V - i \Phi + i \Phi^* \iff \begin{cases} C \rightarrow C - i \phi + i \phi^*, & \chi \rightarrow \chi - \sqrt{2} \psi, & \lambda \rightarrow \lambda, \\ M + i N \rightarrow M + i N - 2 i F, & A_\mu \rightarrow A_\mu + \partial_\mu (\phi + \phi^*), & D \rightarrow D. \end{cases} \quad (10.36)$$

The exponential of a vector superfield is also a vector superfield:

$$\begin{aligned}e^{kV} &= e^{kC} \left\{ 1 + i k (\theta \chi - \bar{\theta} \bar{\chi}) + \left( \frac{M + i N}{2} k + \frac{\chi \chi}{4} k^2 \right) \theta^2 + \left( \frac{M - i N}{2} k + \frac{\bar{\chi} \bar{\chi}}{4} k^2 \right) \bar{\theta}^2 + (k^2 \theta \chi \bar{\theta} \bar{\chi} - k \theta \sigma^\mu \bar{\theta} A_\mu) \right. \\ &+ \left[ k \bar{\theta} \bar{\lambda} - i k \bar{\theta} \bar{\chi} \left( \frac{M + i N}{2} k + \frac{\chi \chi}{4} k^2 \right) + \frac{1}{2} k \bar{\theta} \bar{\sigma}^\mu (\partial_\mu \chi - i k \chi A_\mu) \right] \theta^2 \\ &+ \left[ k \theta \lambda + i k \theta \chi \left( \frac{M - i N}{2} k + \frac{\bar{\chi} \bar{\chi}}{4} k^2 \right) - \frac{1}{2} k \theta \sigma^\mu (\partial_\mu \bar{\chi} + i k \bar{\chi} A_\mu) \right] \bar{\theta}^2 \\ &+ \left[ \frac{k}{2} \left( D - \frac{1}{2} \partial^2 C \right) - \frac{1}{2} i k^2 (\lambda \chi - \bar{\lambda} \bar{\chi}) + \left( \frac{M + i N}{2} k + \frac{\chi \chi}{4} k^2 \right) \left( \frac{M - i N}{2} k + \frac{\bar{\chi} \bar{\chi}}{4} k^2 \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + \frac{k^3}{4} \bar{\chi} \bar{\sigma}^\mu \chi A_\mu + \frac{k^2}{4} (i \bar{\chi} \bar{\sigma}^\mu \partial_\mu \chi - i \partial_\mu \bar{\chi} \bar{\sigma}^\mu \chi + A^\mu A_\mu) \right] \theta^4 \right\}.\end{aligned}\quad (10.37)$$

**Supergauge symmetry** The gauge transformation  $\phi(x) \rightarrow e^{ig\theta^a(x)t^a} \phi(x)$  is not closed in the chiral superfield:  $e^{ig\theta^a(x)t^a} \Phi(x)$  is not a chiral superfield if the parameter  $\theta(x)$  has  $x^\mu$ -dependence. Hence, in supersymmetric theories, it is extended to *supergauge symmetry* parameterized by a chiral superfield  $\Omega(x)$ , which is given by

$$\Phi \rightarrow e^{2ig\Omega^a(x)t^a} \Phi, \quad \Phi^* \rightarrow \Phi^* e^{-2ig\Omega^{*a}(x)t^a} \quad (10.38)$$

for a chiral superfield  $\Phi$  and an anti-chiral superfield  $\Phi^*$ . The supergauge-invariant Lagrangian should be

$$\mathcal{L} \sim \Phi^* \cdot (\text{real superfield}) \cdot \Phi; \quad (10.39)$$

we parameterize the “real superfield” as  $e^{2gV^a(x)t^a}$ :

$$\mathcal{L} = \left[ \Phi^* e^{2gV^a(x)t^a} \Phi \right]_{\theta^4}; \quad e^{2gV^a(x)t^a} \rightarrow e^{2ig\Omega^{*a}(x)t^a} e^{2gV^a(x)t^a} e^{-2ig\Omega^a(x)t^a}. \quad (10.40)$$

<sup>\*19</sup>Different coordination of “i”s are found in literature. Take care, especially,  $\lambda(\text{ours}) = i\lambda(\text{Wess-Bagger}) = i\lambda(\text{SLHA})$ .

In Abelian case,  $t^a$  is replaced by the charge  $Q$  of  $\Phi$  and

$$\mathcal{L} = \left[ \Phi^* e^{2gQV(x)} \Phi \right]_{\theta^4}; \quad \Phi \rightarrow e^{2igQ\Omega(x)} \Phi, \quad \Phi^* \rightarrow \Phi^* e^{-2igQ\Omega^*(x)}, \quad (10.41)$$

$$e^{2gQV(x)} \rightarrow e^{2igQ\Omega^*(x)} e^{2gQV(x)} e^{-2igQ\Omega(x)} = e^{2gQ(V-i\Omega+i\Omega^*)}. \quad (10.42)$$

The usual gauge transformation corresponds to the real part of the lowest component of  $\Omega$ , i.e.,  $\theta \equiv 2 \operatorname{Re} \phi = \phi + \phi^*$ , and we use the other components to fix the supergauge so that  $C$ ,  $M$ ,  $N$  and  $\chi$  are eliminated:

$$\text{supergauge fixing: } V(x) \longrightarrow (\bar{\theta}\bar{\sigma}^\mu\theta)A_\mu(x) + \bar{\theta}^2\theta\lambda(x) + \theta^2\bar{\theta}\bar{\lambda}(x) + \frac{1}{2}D(x) \quad (\text{Wess-Zumino gauge}); \quad (10.43)$$

$$e^{2gQV} \longrightarrow 1 + gQ(-2\theta\sigma^\mu\bar{\theta}A_\mu + 2\theta^2\bar{\theta}\bar{\lambda} + 2\bar{\theta}^2\theta\lambda + D\theta^4) + g^2Q^2A^\mu A_\mu\theta^4. \quad (10.44)$$

The gauge transformation is the remnant freedom:  $\Theta = \phi(y) = \phi - i\partial_\mu\phi(\theta\sigma^\mu\bar{\theta}) - \partial^2\phi\theta^4/4$  with  $\phi$  being real;

$$\Phi_i \rightarrow e^{2igQ\Theta}\Phi_i, \quad e^{2gQV} \rightarrow e^{2gQ(V-i\Theta+i\Theta^*)}. \quad (10.45)$$

Rules for each component is obvious in  $(y, \theta, \bar{\theta})$ -basis and given by

$$\{\phi, \psi, F\} \rightarrow e^{igQ\theta}\{\phi, \psi, F\}, \quad A_\mu \rightarrow A_\mu + \partial_\mu\theta, \quad \lambda \rightarrow \lambda, \quad D \rightarrow D. \quad (10.46)$$

For non-Abelian gauges, the supergauge transformation for the real field is evaluated as

$$e^{2gV} \rightarrow e^{2ig\Omega^*} e^{2gV} e^{-2ig\Omega} \quad (10.47)$$

$$= \left( e^{2ig\Omega^*} e^{2gV} e^{-2ig\Omega^*} \right) \left( e^{2ig\Omega^*} e^{-2ig\Omega} \right) \quad (10.48)$$

$$= \exp \left( e^{[2ig\Omega^*, 2gV]} e^{2ig(\Omega^* - \Omega)} + \mathcal{O}(\Omega^2) \right) \quad (10.49)$$

$$= \exp \left( 2gV + [2ig\Omega^*, 2gV] \right) e^{2ig(\Omega^* - \Omega)} + \mathcal{O}(\Omega^2); \quad (10.50)$$

$$= \exp \left[ 2gV + [2ig\Omega^*, 2gV] + \int_0^1 dt g(e^{[2gV, \cdot]} 2ig(\Omega^* - \Omega)) + \mathcal{O}(\Omega^2) \right] \quad (10.51)$$

$$= \exp \left[ 2gV + [2ig\Omega^*, 2gV] + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{B_n([2gV, \cdot]^n)}{n!} 2ig(\Omega^* - \Omega) \right] + \mathcal{O}(\Omega^2) \quad (10.52)$$

$$= \exp \left[ 2g \left( V + i(\Omega^* - \Omega) - [V, ig(\Omega^* + \Omega)] + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{iB_n([2gV, \cdot]^n)}{n!} (\Omega^* - \Omega) \right) + \mathcal{O}(\Omega^2) \right]. \quad (10.53)$$

Here, again we can use the “non-gauge” component of  $\Omega$  to eliminate the  $C$ -term etc., i.e., we fix  $i(\Omega^* - \Omega)$ , the second term of the expansion, to remove those terms:

$$V - [V, ig(\Omega^* + \Omega)] + \left( i + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{iB_n([2gV, \cdot]^n)}{n!} \right) (\Omega^* - \Omega) + \mathcal{O}(\Omega^2) = (\bar{\theta}\bar{\sigma}^\mu\theta)A_\mu + \bar{\theta}^2\theta\lambda + \theta^2\bar{\theta}\bar{\lambda} + \frac{1}{2}D; \quad (10.54)$$

this defines the Wess-Zumino gauge:

$$\text{supergauge fixing: } V^a(x) \longrightarrow (\bar{\theta}\bar{\sigma}^\mu\theta)A_\mu^a(x) + \bar{\theta}^2\theta\lambda^a(x) + \theta^2\bar{\theta}\bar{\lambda}^a(x) + \frac{1}{2}D^a(x), \quad (10.55)$$

$$e^{2gV^a t^a} \longrightarrow 1 + g(-2\theta\sigma^\mu\bar{\theta}A_\mu^a + 2\theta^2\bar{\theta}\bar{\lambda}^a + 2\bar{\theta}^2\theta\lambda^a + D^a\theta^4) t^a + g^2 A^{a\mu} A_\mu^b \theta^4 t^a t^b. \quad (10.56)$$

The gauge transformation is given by

$$\Phi \rightarrow e^{2ig\Theta^a t^a} \Phi, \quad e^{2gV^a t^a} \rightarrow e^{2ig\Theta^b t^b} e^{2gV^a t^a} e^{-2ig\Theta^c t^c}. \quad (10.57)$$

For components in chiral superfields,

$$\{\phi, \psi, F\} \rightarrow e^{ig\theta^a t^a} \{\phi, \psi, F\}, \quad (10.58)$$

while for vector superfield we can express as infinitesimal transformation:

$$V \rightarrow V' \simeq V + i(\Theta^* - \Theta) - [V, ig(\Theta^* + \Theta)] + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{iB_n([2gV, \cdot]^n)}{n!} (\Theta^* - \Theta) \quad (10.59)$$

$$= V + 2(\bar{\theta}\bar{\sigma}^\mu\theta)\partial_\mu\phi - \left[ V, ig \left( 2\phi - \frac{\theta^4}{2}\partial^2\phi \right) \right] + 2 \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{B_n([2gV, \cdot]^n)}{n!} (\bar{\theta}\bar{\sigma}^\mu\theta)\partial_\mu\phi \quad (10.60)$$

$$= V + 2(\bar{\theta}\bar{\sigma}^\mu\theta)\partial_\mu\phi + 2gf^{abc}V^b\phi^c t^a \quad (\text{Wess-Zumino gauge}) \quad (10.61)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore A_\mu^a &\rightarrow A_\mu^a + \partial_\mu\theta^a + gf^{abc}A_\mu^b\theta^c + \mathcal{O}(\theta^2), & \lambda^a &\rightarrow \lambda^a + gf^{abc}\lambda^b\theta^c + \mathcal{O}(\theta^2), \\ D^a &\rightarrow D^a + gf^{abc}D^b\theta^c + \mathcal{O}(\theta^2), & \bar{\lambda}^a &\rightarrow \bar{\lambda}^a + gf^{abc}\bar{\lambda}^b\theta^c + \mathcal{O}(\theta^2). \end{aligned} \quad (10.62)$$

**Gauge-field strength** The real superfield  $e^V$  is gauge-invariant in Abelian case and a candidate in Lagrangian term, but this is not case in non-Abelian case. We thus define a chiral superfield from  $e^V$ :

$$\mathcal{W}_\alpha = \frac{1}{4} \bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{D}^{\dot{\alpha}} \left( e^{-2gV} \mathcal{D}_\alpha e^{2gV} \right); \quad (10.63)$$

$$\mathcal{W}_\alpha \xrightarrow{\text{gauge}} e^{2ig\Omega} \mathcal{W}_\alpha e^{-2ig\Omega} \left( \mathcal{W}_\alpha^a \xrightarrow{\text{gauge}} [e^{+2g\tilde{f}^c \Omega^c}]^{ab} \mathcal{W}_\alpha^b \text{ with } [\tilde{f}^c]_{ab} = f^{abc} \right);^{*20} \quad (10.64)$$

it is not supergauge- or Lorentz-invariant, but  $\text{Tr}(\mathcal{W}^\alpha \mathcal{W}_\alpha) = \text{Tr}(\varepsilon^{\alpha\beta} \mathcal{W}_\beta \mathcal{W}_\alpha)$  is supergauge- and Lorentz-invariant, and its  $\theta^2$ -term is SUSY-invariant, which becomes a candidate in SUSY Lagrangian with its Hermitian conjugate.

In Wess-Zumino gauge, it is given by

$$\mathcal{W}_\alpha = \left\{ \lambda_\alpha^a(y) + \theta_\alpha D^a(y) + \frac{[\mathbf{i}(\sigma^\mu \bar{\sigma}^\nu - \sigma^\nu \bar{\sigma}^\mu) \theta]_\alpha}{4} F_{\mu\nu}^a(y) + \theta^2 [\mathbf{i} \sigma^\mu D_\mu \bar{\lambda}^a(y^*)]_\alpha \right\} t^a \quad (10.65)$$

$$= \left[ \lambda_\alpha^a + \theta_\alpha D^a + \frac{\mathbf{i}}{2} (\sigma^\mu \bar{\sigma}^\nu \theta)_\alpha F_{\mu\nu}^a + \mathbf{i} \theta^2 (\sigma^\mu D_\mu \bar{\lambda}^a)_\alpha + \mathbf{i} (\bar{\theta} \bar{\sigma}^\mu \theta) \partial_\mu \lambda_\alpha^a - \frac{\theta^4}{4} \partial^2 \lambda_\alpha^a \right. \\ \left. + \frac{\mathbf{i} \theta^2 (\sigma^\mu \bar{\theta})_\alpha}{2} \left( \partial_\mu D^a + \mathbf{i} \partial^\nu F_{\mu\nu}^a - g f^{abc} \varepsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} A^{\nu b} \partial^\rho A^{\sigma c} \right) \right] T^a, \quad (10.66)$$

where, as usual,

$$F_{\mu\nu}^a = \partial_\mu A_\nu^a - \partial_\nu A_\mu^a + g A_\mu^b A_\nu^c f^{abc}, \quad D_\mu \lambda_\alpha^a = \partial_\mu \lambda_\alpha^a + g f^{abc} A_\mu^b \lambda_\alpha^c. \quad (10.67)$$

Also,

$$[\text{Tr}(\mathcal{W}^\alpha \mathcal{W}_\alpha)]_{\theta^2} = \left[ \mathbf{i} \lambda^a \sigma^\mu D_\mu \bar{\lambda}^b + \mathbf{i} \lambda^b \sigma^\mu D_\mu \bar{\lambda}^a + D^a D^b - \frac{1}{4} (\mathbf{i} \varepsilon^{\sigma\mu\nu\rho} + 2\eta^{\mu\rho} \eta^{\nu\sigma}) F_{\mu\nu}^a F_{\rho\sigma}^b \right] \text{Tr}(t^a t^b) \quad (10.68)$$

$$= \mathbf{i} \lambda^a \sigma^\mu D_\mu \bar{\lambda}^a + \frac{1}{2} D^a D^a - \frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu}^a F^{a\mu\nu} + \frac{\mathbf{i}}{8} \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} F_{\mu\nu}^a F_{\rho\sigma}^a, \quad (10.69)$$

$$[\text{Tr}(\mathcal{W}^\alpha \mathcal{W}_\alpha)]_{\theta^4} = \frac{\theta^4}{4} \left( 2(\partial^\mu \lambda^a)(\partial_\mu \lambda^b) - \lambda^a \partial^2 \lambda^b - (\partial^2 \lambda^a) \lambda^b \right) \text{Tr}(t^a t^b) = \frac{\theta^4}{4} ((\partial^\mu \lambda^a)(\partial_\mu \lambda^a) - \lambda^a \partial^2 \lambda^a). \quad (10.70)$$

For Abelian theory,

$$\mathcal{W}_\alpha = \frac{1}{4} \bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{D}^{\dot{\alpha}} \left( e^{-2gV} \mathcal{D}_\alpha e^{2gV} \right) = \frac{1}{4} \bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{D}^{\dot{\alpha}} \mathcal{D}_\alpha (2gV), \quad (10.71)$$

$$\mathcal{W}^\alpha \mathcal{W}_\alpha \Big|_{\theta^2} = 2 \left( \mathbf{i} \lambda \sigma^\mu D_\mu \bar{\lambda} + \frac{1}{2} D D - \frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} + \frac{\mathbf{i}}{8} \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} F_{\mu\nu} F_{\rho\sigma} \right). \quad (10.72)$$

## 10.4. Lagrangian blocks

**Lagrangian construction** The supergauge transformation is summarized as

$$\Phi_i \rightarrow [U_\Phi]_{ij} \Phi_j, \quad \tilde{\Phi}_j \rightarrow \tilde{\Phi}_i [U_\Phi^{-1}]_{ij}, \quad \mathcal{W}_\alpha \rightarrow U_\mathcal{W} \mathcal{W}_\alpha U_\mathcal{W}^{-1}, \quad (10.73)$$

where

$$\tilde{\Phi}_j^* := \Phi_i^* [e^{2gV t_\Phi^a}]_{ij}, \quad U_\Phi := \exp(2ig\Omega^a t_\Phi^a), \quad U_\mathcal{W} := \exp(2ig\Omega^a t_\mathcal{W}^a), \quad (10.74)$$

$t_\Phi^a$  is the representation matrix or U(1) charge for the field  $\Phi$ , and  $t_\mathcal{W}^a$  is the representation matrix that is used to define  $\mathcal{W}_\alpha$ . To construct a Lagrangian, we should composite these ingredients in real and invariant under SUSY, supergauge, and Lorentz transformation. A sufficient condition for SUSY invariance is given by (10.26), so

$$\mathcal{L} = \left[ K(\Phi_i, \tilde{\Phi}_j^*) \right]_{\theta^4} + \left\{ \left[ f_{ab}(\Phi_i) \mathcal{W}^a \mathcal{W}^b \right]_{\theta^2} + \text{H.c.} \right\} + \left\{ \left[ W(\Phi_i) \right]_{\theta^2} + \text{H.c.} \right\} + D \quad (10.75)$$

is one possible construction. The Kähler function  $K$  should be real and supergauge invariant, the gauge kinetic function  $f$  should be holomorphic and supergauge invariant with  $\mathcal{W}^a \mathcal{W}^b$ , and the superpotential  $W$  is holomorphic and supergauge invariant. The last term  $D$  (Fayet-Iliopoulos term) comes from  $V$  of an U(1) gauge boson; note that its supergauge invariance is due to the intentional definition of  $V$ .

One can construct more general Lagrangian; for example, one can introduce a vector superfield that is not associated to a gauge symmetry, but then the supergauge fixing is not available and one has to include  $C$  or  $M$  fields.

**Renormalizable Lagrangian** Since  $[\Phi]_{\theta^4}$  is a total derivative, renormalizable Lagrangian is limited to

$$\mathcal{L} = \left[ \Phi_i^* [e^{2gV t_\Phi^a}]_{ij} \Phi_j \right]_{\theta^4} + \left\{ [\mathcal{W}^a \mathcal{W}^a]_{\theta^2} + [W(\Phi_i)]_{\theta^2} + \text{H.c.} \right\} + D \quad (10.76)$$

up to numeric coefficients. With multiple gauge groups, the Kähler part is extended as  $\Phi_i^* [e^{2gV t_\Phi^a} e^{2gV' t_\Phi'^a} \dots]_{ij} \Phi_j$ , where the inner part is obviously commutable.

<sup>\*20</sup> ♣ TODO: This equivalence should be checked/explained in gauge-theory section; especially, the sign is not verified and might be opposite. ♣

## 11. Minimal Supersymmetric Standard Model

Gauge symmetry:  $SU(3)_{\text{color}} \times SU(2)_{\text{weak}} \times U(1)_Y$

Particle content:

(a) Chiral superfields						(b) Vector superfields			
	SU(3)	SU(2)	U(1)	$B$	$L$	scalar/spinor	SU(3)	SU(2)	U(1) ino/boson
$Q_i$	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>	1/6	1/3		$\tilde{q}_L, q_L \rightarrow (u_L, d_L)$	$g$	adj.	$\tilde{g}, g_\mu$
$L_i$		<b>2</b>	-1/2		1	$\tilde{l}_L, l_L \rightarrow (\nu_L, l_L)$	$W$		$\tilde{w}, W_\mu$
$U_i^c$	$\bar{\mathbf{3}}$		-2/3	-1/3		$\tilde{u}_R^c, u_R^c$	$B$	adj.	$\tilde{b}, B_\mu$
$D_i^c$	$\bar{\mathbf{3}}$		1/3	-1/3		$\tilde{d}_R^c, d_R^c$			
$E_i^c$			1		-1	$\tilde{e}_R^c, e_R^c$			
$H_u$		<b>2</b>	1/2			$h_u, \tilde{h}_u \rightarrow (h_u^+, h_u^0)$			
$H_d$		<b>2</b>	-1/2			$h_d, \tilde{h}_d \rightarrow (h_d^0, h_d^-)$			

Here, each of the column groups shows (from left to right) superfield name, charges for the gauge symmetries, other quantum numbers if relevant, and notation for corresponding fields (and SU(2) decomposition).

**“c”-notation** For scalars,  $\tilde{\phi}_R^c := \phi_R^* = C\phi_R C$  (because the intrinsic phase for  $C$  is +1 for quarks and leptons.)  
For matter spinors,  $\psi_R^c := \psi_R$  (and  $\psi_R = \bar{\psi}_R^c$ ); Dirac spinors are thus

$$\psi_L = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_L \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \bar{\psi}_L = (0 \quad \bar{\psi}_L), \quad \psi_R^c := \begin{pmatrix} \psi_R^c \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = C \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \psi_R \end{pmatrix} = C\psi_R, \quad \bar{\psi}_R^c = (0 \quad \psi_R) = (\bar{\psi}_R \quad 0) C = \bar{\psi}_R C.$$

### Superpotential and SUSY-terms

$$W_{\text{RPC}} = \mu H_u H_d - y_{uij} U_i^c H_u Q_j + y_{dij} D_i^c H_d Q_j + y_{eij} E_i^c H_d L_j, \quad (11.1)$$

$$W_{\text{RPV}} = -\kappa_i L_i H_u + \frac{1}{2} \lambda_{ijk} L_i L_j E_k^c + \lambda'_{ijk} L_i Q_j D_k^c + \frac{1}{2} \lambda''_{ijk} U_i^c D_j^c D_k^c, \quad (11.2)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{SUSY}} = -\frac{1}{2} (M_3 \tilde{g}_0 \tilde{g}_0 + M_2 \tilde{w} \tilde{w} + M_1 \tilde{b} \tilde{b} + \text{H.c.}) - V_{\text{SUSY}}, \quad (11.3)$$

$$V_{\text{SUSY}}^{\text{RPC}} = (\tilde{q}_L^* m_Q^2 \tilde{q}_L + \tilde{l}_L^* m_L^2 \tilde{l}_L + \tilde{u}_R^* m_{U^c}^2 \tilde{u}_R + \tilde{d}_R^* m_{D^c}^2 \tilde{d}_R + \tilde{e}_R^* m_{E^c}^2 \tilde{e}_R + m_{H_u}^2 |h_u|^2 + m_{H_d}^2 |h_d|^2) \\ + (-\tilde{u}_R^* h_u a_u \tilde{q}_L + \tilde{d}_R^* h_d a_d \tilde{q}_L + \tilde{e}_R^* h_d a_e \tilde{l}_L + b H_u H_d + \text{H.c.}) \\ + (+\tilde{u}_R^* h_d^* c_u \tilde{q}_L + \tilde{d}_R^* h_u^* c_d \tilde{q}_L + \tilde{e}_R^* h_u^* c_e \tilde{l}_L + \text{H.c.}), \quad (11.4)$$

$$V_{\text{SUSY}}^{\text{RPV}} = \left( -b_i \tilde{l}_{Li} H_u + \frac{1}{2} T_{ijk} \tilde{l}_{Li} \tilde{l}_{Lj} \tilde{e}_{Rk}^* + T'_{ijk} \tilde{l}_{Li} \tilde{q}_{Lj} \tilde{d}_{Rk}^* + \frac{1}{2} T''_{ijk} \tilde{u}_{Ri}^* \tilde{d}_{Rj}^* \tilde{d}_{Rk}^* + \tilde{l}_{Li}^* M_{Li}^2 H_d + \text{H.c.} \right) \\ + \left( C_{ijk}^1 \tilde{l}_{Li}^* \tilde{q}_{Lj} \tilde{u}_{Rk}^* + C_i^2 h_u^* h_d \tilde{e}_{Ri}^* + C_{ijk}^3 \tilde{d}_{Ri} \tilde{u}_{Rj}^* \tilde{e}_{Rk}^* + \frac{1}{2} C_{ijk}^4 \tilde{d}_{Ri} \tilde{q}_{Lj} \tilde{q}_{Lk} + \text{H.c.} \right), \quad (11.5)$$

$$(\lambda_{ijk} = -\lambda_{jik}, \lambda''_{ijk} = -\lambda''_{ikj}, \text{ and } C_{ijk}^4 = C_{ikj}^4.)$$



### 11.1. Notation

Our notation in this section (and the previous section) follows DHM [19, PhysRept] and Martin [20, v7] (but note that Martin uses  $(-, +, +, +)$ -metric) for RPC part and SLHA2 convention for RPV part. In particular, the sign of gauge bosons are fixed by  $D_\mu \phi = \partial_\mu \phi - ig A_\mu^a t_{ij}^a \phi_j$ , and the phase of gauginos are by  $\mathcal{L} \ni \sqrt{2}g(\phi^{*t^a}\psi\lambda^a)$ . Phases of  $\phi$  and  $\psi$  in chiral superfields are not yet specified; they are later used to remove  $F\tilde{F}$  terms and diagonalize Yukawa matrices.

### 11.2. Lagrangian construction

The most generic form of the Lagrangian is given by

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{\text{matter}} + \mathcal{L}_{\text{gauge}} + \mathcal{L}_{\text{super}} + \mathcal{L}_{\text{FI}} + \mathcal{L}_{\text{SUSY}}; \quad (11.6)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{matter}} = \Phi_Q^* \exp \left( 2g_Y \left( \frac{1}{6} \right) V_B + 2g_2 V_W^a T^a + 2g_3 V_g^a \tau^a \right) \Phi_Q \Big|_{\theta^4} + \dots; \quad (11.7)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{gauge}} = \left[ \frac{1}{4} \left( 1 - \frac{ig_Y^2 \Theta_B}{8\pi^2} \right) \mathcal{W}_B \mathcal{W}_B + \frac{1}{4} \left( 1 - \frac{ig_2^2 \Theta_W}{8\pi^2} \right) \mathcal{W}_W^a \mathcal{W}_W^a + \frac{1}{4} \left( 1 - \frac{ig_3^2 \Theta_g}{8\pi^2} \right) \mathcal{W}_g^a \mathcal{W}_g^a \right]_{\theta^2} + \text{H.c.}; \quad (11.8)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{super}} = W(\Phi) \Big|_{\theta^2} + \text{H.c.}, \quad (11.9)$$

$$W(\Phi) = W_{\text{RPC}} + W_{\text{RPV}}, \quad (11.10)$$

$$W_{\text{RPC}} = \mu H_u H_d - y_{uij} U_i^c H_u Q_j + y_{dij} D_i^c H_d Q_j + y_{eij} E_i^c H_d L_j, \quad (11.11)$$

$$W_{\text{RPV}} = -\kappa_i L_i H_u + \frac{1}{2} \lambda_{ijk} L_i L_j E_k^c + \lambda'_{ijk} L_i Q_j D_k^c + \frac{1}{2} \lambda''_{ijk} U_i^c D_j^c D_k^c; \quad (11.12)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{FI}} = \Lambda_{\text{FI}} D_B; \quad (11.13)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{SUSY}} = -\frac{1}{2} (M_3 \tilde{g}_0 \tilde{g}_0 + M_2 \tilde{w} \tilde{w} + M_1 \tilde{b} \tilde{b} + \text{H.c.}) - (V_{\text{SUSY}}^{\text{RPC}} + V_{\text{SUSY}}^{\text{RPV}}), \quad (11.14)$$

$$\begin{aligned} V_{\text{SUSY}}^{\text{RPC}} = & (\tilde{q}_L^* m_Q^2 \tilde{q}_L + \tilde{l}_L^* m_L^2 \tilde{l}_L + \tilde{u}_R^* m_{U^c}^2 \tilde{u}_R + \tilde{d}_R^* m_{D^c}^2 \tilde{d}_R + \tilde{e}_R^* m_{E^c}^2 \tilde{e}_R + m_{H_u}^2 |h_u|^2 + m_{H_d}^2 |h_d|^2) \\ & + (-\tilde{u}_R^* h_u a_u \tilde{q}_L + \tilde{d}_R^* h_d a_d \tilde{q}_L + \tilde{e}_R^* h_d a_e \tilde{l}_L + b H_u H_d + \text{H.c.}) \\ & + (\tilde{u}_R^* h_d^* c_u \tilde{q}_L + \tilde{d}_R^* h_u^* c_d \tilde{q}_L + \tilde{e}_R^* h_u^* c_e \tilde{l}_L + \text{H.c.}), \end{aligned} \quad (11.15)$$

$$\begin{aligned} V_{\text{SUSY}}^{\text{RPV}} = & \left( -b_i \tilde{l}_{Li} H_u + \frac{1}{2} T_{ijk} \tilde{l}_{Li} \tilde{l}_{Lj} \tilde{e}_{Rk}^* + T'_{ijk} \tilde{l}_{Li} \tilde{q}_{Lj} \tilde{d}_{Rk}^* + \frac{1}{2} T''_{ijk} \tilde{u}_{Ri}^* \tilde{d}_{Rj}^* \tilde{d}_{Rk}^* + \tilde{l}_{Li}^* M_{Li}^2 H_d + \text{H.c.} \right) \\ & + \left( C_{ijk}^1 \tilde{l}_{Li}^* \tilde{q}_{Lj} \tilde{u}_{Rk}^* + C_{ijk}^2 h_u^* h_d \tilde{e}_{Ri}^* + C_{ijk}^3 \tilde{d}_{Ri} \tilde{u}_{Rj}^* \tilde{e}_{Rk}^* + \frac{1}{2} C_{ijk}^4 \tilde{d}_{Ri} \tilde{q}_{Lj} \tilde{q}_{Lk} + \text{H.c.} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (11.16)$$

Hereafter we do not consider  $\Theta_W$  and  $\Theta_B$  as in the Standard Model (Sec. 7.7)<sup>\*21</sup>, while the  $\text{SU}(3)$  angle  $\Theta_g$  forms QCD phase  $\Theta_{\text{QCD}}$  together with the phases from Yukawa matrices. Also we assume the absence of Fayet-Illiopoulos term:  $\Lambda_{\text{FI}} = 0$ . Then,

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{matter}} = \sum_{\text{matters}} \left[ D^\mu \phi^* D_\mu \phi + i \bar{\psi} \bar{\sigma}^\mu D_\mu \psi - \sqrt{2} \sum_{\text{gauge}} g (\lambda^a (\phi^* t^a \psi) + \bar{\lambda}^a (\bar{\psi} t^a \phi)) \right] + (F\text{-terms}), \quad (11.17)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{gauge}} = \sum_{\text{gauges}} \left( -\frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu}^a F^{a\mu\nu} + i \bar{\lambda}^a \bar{\sigma}^\mu D_\mu \lambda^a \right) + \frac{g_3^2 \Theta_g}{64\pi^2} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} G_{\mu\nu}^a G_{\rho\sigma}^a + (D\text{-terms}), \quad (11.18)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\text{super}} = & \epsilon^{ab} \left( -\mu \tilde{h}_u^a \tilde{h}_d^b - y_{dij} h_d^a d_{Ri}^{cx} q_{Lj}^{bx} - y_{dij} \tilde{d}_{Ri}^{x*} \tilde{h}_d^a q_{Lj}^{bx} + y_{dij} \tilde{q}_{Li}^{ax} \tilde{h}_d^b d_{Rj}^{cx} \right. \\ & - y_{eij} \tilde{e}_{Ri}^{x*} \tilde{h}_d^a l_{Lj}^b - y_{eij} h_d^a e_{Ri}^c l_{Lj}^b + y_{eij} \tilde{l}_{Li}^a \tilde{h}_d^b e_{Rj}^c + y_{uij} h_u^a u_{Ri}^{cx} q_{Lj}^{bx} + y_{uij} \tilde{u}_{Ri}^{x*} \tilde{h}_u^a q_{Lj}^{bx} - y_{uij} \tilde{q}_{Li}^{ax} \tilde{h}_u^b u_{Rj}^{cx} \\ & - \kappa_i \tilde{h}_u^a l_{Li}^b - \lambda_{ikj} \tilde{l}_{Li}^a e_{Rj}^c l_{Lk}^b - \frac{1}{2} \lambda_{jki} \tilde{e}_{Ri}^{x*} l_{Lj}^a l_{Lk}^b - \lambda'_{ikj} \tilde{l}_{Li}^a d_{Rj}^{cx} q_{Lk}^{bx} + \lambda'_{kij} \tilde{q}_{Li}^{ax} d_{Rj}^{cx} l_{Lk}^b + \lambda'_{kji} \tilde{q}_{Ri}^{x*} q_{Lj}^a l_{Lk}^b \Big) \\ & - \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{xyz} \lambda''_{ijk} \tilde{u}_{Ri}^{x*} d_{Rj}^{cy} d_{Rk}^{cz} + \epsilon^{xyz} \lambda''_{jik} \tilde{d}_{Ri}^{x*} u_{Rj}^{cy} d_{Rk}^{cz} + \text{H.c.} + (F\text{-terms}), \end{aligned} \quad (11.19)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{SUSY}} = -\frac{1}{2} (M_3 \tilde{g}_0 \tilde{g}_0 + M_2 \tilde{w} \tilde{w} + M_1 \tilde{b} \tilde{b} + \text{H.c.}) - (V_{\text{SUSY}}^{\text{RPC}} + V_{\text{SUSY}}^{\text{RPV}}), \quad (11.20)$$

and the  $F$ - and  $D$ -terms form the supersymmetric scalar potential

$$V_{\text{SUSY}} = F_i^* F_i + \frac{1}{2} D^a D^a; \quad F_i = -W_i^* = -\frac{\delta W^*}{\delta \phi_i^*}, \quad D^a = -g(\phi^* t^a \phi), \quad (11.21)$$

$$V = V_{\text{SUSY}} + V_{\text{SUSY}}^{\text{RPC}} + V_{\text{SUSY}}^{\text{RPV}}, \quad (11.22)$$

where  $t_a$  corresponds to the gauge-symmetry generator relevant for each  $\phi$ .

<sup>\*21</sup>The rotations to remove  $\Theta_W$  may generate phases in the RPV terms. In other words, we define the RPV terms in the  $\Theta_W = 0$  basis.

Each auxiliary term is given by

$$-F_{h_u^a}^* = \epsilon^{ab} \left( -\tilde{u}_R^{x*} y_u \tilde{q}_L^{bx} + \mu h_d^b + \kappa_i \tilde{l}_{Li}^b \right), \quad (11.23)$$

$$-F_{h_d^a}^* = \epsilon^{ab} \left( \tilde{e}_R^* y_e \tilde{l}_L^b + \tilde{d}_R^{x*} y_d \tilde{q}_L^{bx} - \mu h_u^b \right), \quad (11.24)$$

$$-F_{\tilde{q}_{Li}^{ax}}^* = \epsilon^{ab} \left( -y_{dj} h_d^b \tilde{d}_{Rj}^{x*} + y_{uj} h_u^b \tilde{u}_{Rj}^{x*} - \lambda'_{kij} \tilde{d}_{Rj}^{x*} \tilde{l}_{Lk}^b \right), \quad (11.25)$$

$$-F_{\tilde{u}_{Ri}^{ax}}^* = -y_{uj} h_u \tilde{q}_{Lj}^x + \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{xyz} \lambda''_{ijk} \tilde{d}_{Rj}^{y*} \tilde{d}_{Rk}^{z*}, \quad (11.26)$$

$$-F_{\tilde{d}_{Ri}^{ax}}^* = y_{dj} h_d \tilde{q}_{Lj}^x + \lambda'_{jki} \tilde{l}_{Lj} \tilde{q}_{Lk}^x - \lambda''_{jik} \epsilon^{xyz} \tilde{u}_{Rj}^{y*} \tilde{d}_{Rk}^{z*}, \quad (11.27)$$

$$-F_{\tilde{l}_{Li}^a}^* = \epsilon^{ab} \left( -y_{ej} \tilde{e}_{Rj}^* h_d^b - \kappa_i h_u^b + \lambda_{ikj} \tilde{e}_{Rj}^* \tilde{l}_{Lk}^b + \lambda'_{ikj} \tilde{d}_{Rj}^{x*} \tilde{q}_{Lk}^{bx} \right), \quad (11.28)$$

$$-F_{\tilde{e}_{Ri}^a}^* = y_{ej} h_d \tilde{l}_{Lj} + \frac{1}{2} \lambda_{jki} \tilde{l}_{Lj} \tilde{l}_{Lk}. \quad (11.29)$$

$$D_{\text{SU}(3)}^\alpha = -g_3 \sum_{i=1}^3 \left( \sum_{a=1,2} \tilde{q}_{Li}^{a*} \tau^\alpha \tilde{q}_{Li}^a - \tilde{u}_{Ri}^* \tau^\alpha \tilde{u}_{Ri} - \tilde{d}_{Ri}^* \tau^\alpha \tilde{d}_{Ri} \right), \quad (11.30)$$

$$D_{\text{SU}(2)}^\alpha = -g_2 \left[ \sum_{i=1}^3 \left( \sum_{x=1} \tilde{q}_{Li}^{x*} T^\alpha \tilde{q}_{Li}^x + \tilde{l}_{Li}^* T^\alpha \tilde{l}_{Li} \right) + h_u^* T^\alpha h_u + h_d^* T^\alpha h_d \right], \quad (11.31)$$

$$D_{\text{U}(1)} = -g_1 \left( \frac{1}{6} |\tilde{q}_L|^2 - \frac{1}{2} |\tilde{l}_L|^2 - \frac{2}{3} |\tilde{u}_R|^2 + \frac{1}{3} |\tilde{d}_R|^2 + |\tilde{e}_R|^2 + \frac{1}{2} |h_u|^2 - \frac{1}{2} |h_d|^2 \right). \quad (11.32)$$

### 11.3. Full Lagrangian

Here the Lagrangian  $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{\text{vector}} + \mathcal{L}_{\text{fermions}} + \mathcal{L}_{\text{SFG}} + \mathcal{L}_{\text{scalar}}$  is explicitly given:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{vector}} = -\frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu}^a F^{a\mu\nu} + \frac{g_3^2 \Theta_g}{64\pi^2} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} G_{\mu\nu}^a G_{\rho\sigma}^a, \quad (11.33)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{fermions}} = i\bar{\psi} \bar{\sigma}^\mu D_\mu \psi + i\bar{\lambda}^a \bar{\sigma}^\mu D_\mu \lambda^a - \frac{1}{2} (M_3 \tilde{g}_0 \tilde{g}_0 + M_2 \tilde{w} \tilde{w} + M_1 \tilde{b} \tilde{b} + \text{H.c.}) + \mathcal{L}_{\text{super}}|_{\text{no } F\text{-terms}}, \quad (11.34)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{SFG}} = -\sqrt{2} g \lambda^a (\phi^* t^a \psi) - \sqrt{2} g \bar{\lambda}^a (\bar{\psi} t^a \phi), \quad (11.35)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{scalar}} = D^\mu \phi^* D_\mu \phi - V. \quad (11.36)$$

#### 11.3.1. Vector part

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\text{vector}} = & -\frac{1}{2} (\partial_\mu B_\nu - \partial_\nu B_\mu) \partial^\mu B^\nu - \frac{1}{2} (\partial_\mu g_\nu^a - \partial_\nu g_\mu^a) \partial^\mu g^{a\nu} - \frac{1}{2} (\partial_\mu W_\nu^a - \partial_\nu W_\mu^a) \partial^\mu W^{a\nu} \\ & - g_2 \epsilon^{abc} W_\mu^b W_\nu^c \partial^\mu W^{a\nu} - \frac{g_2^2}{4} \epsilon^{abe} \epsilon^{cde} W_\mu^a W_\nu^b W^{c\mu} W^{d\nu} \end{aligned} \quad (11.37)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & - g_3 f^{abc} g_\mu^b g_\nu^c \partial^\mu g^{a\nu} - \frac{g_3^2}{4} f^{cde} f^{abe} g_\mu^a g_\nu^b g^{c\mu} g^{d\nu} + \frac{g_3^2 \Theta_g}{64\pi^2} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} G_{\mu\nu}^a G_{\rho\sigma}^a, \\ = & (\text{gluons}) - \frac{1}{2} (\partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu) \partial^\mu A^\nu - (\partial_\mu W_\nu^- - \partial_\nu W_\mu^-) \partial^\mu W^{+\nu} - \frac{1}{2} (\partial_\mu Z_\nu - \partial_\nu Z_\mu) \partial^\mu Z^\nu \\ & + ig_2 c_w [(W_\mu^- Z_\nu - W_\nu^- Z_\mu) \partial^\mu W^{+\nu} - (W_\mu^+ Z_\nu - W_\nu^+ Z_\mu) \partial^\mu W^{-\nu} + (W_\mu^+ W_\nu^- - W_\nu^+ W_\mu^-) \partial^\mu Z^\nu] \\ & + i|e| [(W_\mu^- A_\nu - W_\nu^- A_\mu) \partial^\mu W^{+\nu} - (W_\mu^+ A_\nu - W_\nu^+ A_\mu) \partial^\mu W^{-\nu} + (W_\mu^+ W_\nu^- - W_\nu^+ W_\mu^-) \partial^\mu A^\nu] \\ & + \frac{g_2^2}{2} W^{+\mu} W_\mu^+ W^{-\nu} W_\nu^- - \frac{g_2^2}{2} W^{+\mu} W^{+\nu} W_\mu^- W_\nu^- - g_2^2 W^{+\mu} W_\mu^- Z^\nu Z_\nu + g_2^2 W^{+\mu} W^{-\nu} Z_\mu Z_\nu \\ & - e^2 W^{+\mu} W_\mu^- A^\nu A_\nu + e^2 W^{+\mu} W_\mu^- Z^\nu Z_\nu + e^2 W^{+\mu} W^{-\nu} A_\mu A_\nu - e^2 W^{+\mu} W^{-\nu} Z_\mu Z_\nu \\ & - 2g_2^2 c_w s_w W^{+\mu} W_\mu^- A^\nu Z_\nu + g_2^2 c_w s_w W^{+\mu} W^{-\nu} A_\mu Z_\nu + g_2^2 c_w s_w W^{+\mu} W^{-\nu} A_\nu Z_\mu, \end{aligned} \quad (11.38)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} W_\mu^1 &= \frac{W_\mu^+ + W_\mu^-}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad W_\mu^2 = \frac{i(W_\mu^+ - W_\mu^-)}{\sqrt{2}}; \quad W_\mu^\pm = \frac{W_\mu^1 \mp iW_\mu^2}{\sqrt{2}}; \\ \begin{pmatrix} W_\mu^3 \\ B_\mu \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} c_w & s_w \\ -s_w & c_w \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} Z_\mu \\ A_\mu \end{pmatrix}; \quad \begin{pmatrix} Z_\mu \\ A_\mu \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} c_w & -s_w \\ s_w & c_w \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} W_\mu^3 \\ B_\mu \end{pmatrix}; \\ |e| &= g_2 s_w = g_Y c_w = g_Z s_w c_w, \quad g_Z = g_2 / c_w = g_Y / s_w; \quad g_Y = |e| / c_w = g_Z s_w = g_2 t_w, \quad g_2 = |e| / s_w = g_Z c_w. \end{aligned}$$

### 11.3.2. Fermion part

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{L}_{\text{fermions}} \\
&= i\bar{q}_L \bar{\sigma}^\mu (\partial_\mu - ig_3 g_\mu^a \tau^a - ig_2 W_\mu^a T^a - \frac{1}{6} ig_Y B_\mu) q_L \\
&\quad + i\bar{u}_R^c \bar{\sigma}^\mu (\partial_\mu + ig_3 g_\mu^a \tau^{a*} + \frac{2}{3} ig_Y B_\mu) u_R^c + i\bar{d}_R^c \bar{\sigma}^\mu (\partial_\mu + ig_3 g_\mu^a \tau^{a*} - \frac{1}{3} ig_Y B_\mu) d_R^c \\
&\quad + i\bar{l}_L \bar{\sigma}^\mu (\partial_\mu - ig_2 W_\mu^a T^a + \frac{1}{2} ig_Y B_\mu) l_L + i\bar{e}_R^c \bar{\sigma}^\mu (\partial_\mu - ig_Y B_\mu) e_R^c \\
&\quad + i\bar{h}_u \bar{\sigma}^\mu (\partial_\mu - ig_2 W_\mu^a T^a - \frac{1}{2} ig_Y B_\mu) h_u + i\bar{h}_d \bar{\sigma}^\mu (\partial_\mu - ig_2 W_\mu^a T^a + \frac{1}{2} ig_Y B_\mu) h_d \\
&\quad + i\bar{g}_0^a \bar{\sigma}^\mu (\partial_\mu \tilde{g}_0^a + g_3 f^{abc} g_\mu^b \tilde{g}_0^c) + i\bar{w}^a \bar{\sigma}^\mu (\partial_\mu \tilde{w}^a + g_2 \epsilon^{abc} W_\mu^b \tilde{w}^c) + i\bar{b} \bar{\sigma}^\mu \partial_\mu \tilde{b} \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2} (M_3 \tilde{g}_0^a \tilde{g}_0^a + M_2 \tilde{w}^a \tilde{w}^a + M_1 \tilde{b} \tilde{b} + \text{H.c.}) + \mathcal{L}_{\text{super}}|_{\text{no } F\text{-terms}} \\
&= i\bar{b} \bar{\sigma}^\mu \partial_\mu \tilde{b} - \frac{1}{2} (M_1 \tilde{b} \tilde{b} + M_1^* \tilde{b}^* \tilde{b}^*) + i\bar{g}_0^a \bar{\sigma}^\mu \partial_\mu \tilde{g}_0^a - \frac{1}{2} (M_3 \tilde{g}_0^a \tilde{g}_0^a + M_3^* \tilde{g}_0^a \tilde{g}_0^a) - ig_3 f^{abc} (\tilde{g}_0^a \bar{\sigma}^\mu \tilde{g}_0^b) g_\mu^c \\
&\quad + i\bar{w}^+ \bar{\sigma}^\mu \partial_\mu \tilde{w}^+ + i\bar{w}^- \bar{\sigma}^\mu \partial_\mu \tilde{w}^- + i\bar{w}^3 \bar{\sigma}^\mu \partial_\mu \tilde{w}^3 - (M_2 \tilde{w}^+ \tilde{w}^- + M_2^* \tilde{w}^+ \tilde{w}^-) - \frac{1}{2} (M_2 \tilde{w}^3 \tilde{w}^3 + M_2^* \tilde{w}^3 \tilde{w}^3) \\
&\quad + g_2 (\tilde{w}^3 \bar{\sigma}^\mu \tilde{w}^- - \tilde{w}^+ \bar{\sigma}^\mu \tilde{w}^3) W_\mu^+ - g_2 (\tilde{w}^3 \bar{\sigma}^\mu \tilde{w}^+ - \tilde{w}^- \bar{\sigma}^\mu \tilde{w}^3) W_\mu^- + g_2 (\tilde{w}^+ \bar{\sigma}^\mu \tilde{w}^+ - \tilde{w}^- \bar{\sigma}^\mu \tilde{w}^-) (c_w Z_\mu + s_w A_\mu) \\
&\quad + \bar{u}_L \bar{\sigma}^\mu (i\partial_\mu + g_3 \tau^a g_\mu^a) u_L + \bar{u}_R^c \bar{\sigma}^\mu (i\partial_\mu - g_3 \tau^{a*} g_\mu^a) u_R^c + i\bar{\nu}_L \bar{\sigma}^\mu \partial_\mu \nu_L \\
&\quad + \bar{d}_L \bar{\sigma}^\mu (i\partial_\mu + g_3 \tau^a g_\mu^a) d_L + \bar{d}_R^c \bar{\sigma}^\mu (i\partial_\mu - g_3 \tau^{a*} g_\mu^a) d_R^c + i\bar{e}_L \bar{\sigma}^\mu \partial_\mu e_L + i\bar{e}_R^c \bar{\sigma}^\mu \partial_\mu e_R^c \\
&\quad + i\bar{h}_u^- \bar{\sigma}^\mu \partial_\mu \tilde{h}_u^- + i\bar{h}_d^0 \bar{\sigma}^\mu \partial_\mu \tilde{h}_d^0 + i\bar{h}_u^+ \bar{\sigma}^\mu \partial_\mu \tilde{h}_u^+ + i\bar{h}_d^0 \bar{\sigma}^\mu \partial_\mu \tilde{h}_d^0 \\
&\quad + \frac{g_2}{\sqrt{2}} (\bar{u}_L \bar{\sigma}^\mu d_L + \bar{\nu}_L \bar{\sigma}^\mu e_L + \tilde{h}_u^+ \bar{\sigma}^\mu \tilde{h}_u^0 + \tilde{h}_d^0 \bar{\sigma}^\mu \tilde{h}_d^-) W_\mu^+ + \frac{g_2}{\sqrt{2}} (\bar{d}_L \bar{\sigma}^\mu u_L + \bar{e}_L \bar{\sigma}^\mu \nu_L + \tilde{h}_u^0 \bar{\sigma}^\mu \tilde{h}_u^+ + \tilde{h}_d^- \bar{\sigma}^\mu \tilde{h}_d^0) W_\mu^- \\
&\quad + \frac{g_Z(3-4s_w^2)}{6} \bar{u}_L \bar{\sigma}^\mu u_L Z_\mu + \frac{g_Z s_w^2}{3} \bar{u}_R^c \bar{\sigma}^\mu u_R^c Z_\mu + \frac{g_Z(2s_w^2-3)}{6} \bar{d}_L \bar{\sigma}^\mu d_L Z_\mu - \frac{g_Z s_w^2}{3} \bar{d}_R^c \bar{\sigma}^\mu d_R^c Z_\mu \\
&\quad + \frac{g_Z}{2} \bar{\nu}_L \bar{\sigma}^\mu \nu_L Z_\mu + \frac{g_Z(2s_w^2-1)}{2} \bar{e}_L \bar{\sigma}^\mu e_L Z_\mu - g_Z s_w^2 \bar{e}_R^c \bar{\sigma}^\mu e_R^c Z_\mu \\
&\quad + \frac{g_Z(1-2s_w^2)}{2} \tilde{h}_u^+ \bar{\sigma}^\mu \tilde{h}_u^+ Z_\mu + \frac{g_Z}{2} \tilde{h}_u^0 \bar{\sigma}^\mu \tilde{h}_u^0 Z_\mu + \frac{g_Z(2s_w^2-1)}{2} \tilde{h}_d^- \bar{\sigma}^\mu \tilde{h}_d^- Z_\mu + \frac{g_Z}{2} \tilde{h}_d^0 \bar{\sigma}^\mu \tilde{h}_d^0 Z_\mu \\
&\quad + \frac{2|e|}{3} (\bar{u}_L \bar{\sigma}^\mu u_L - \bar{u}_R^c \bar{\sigma}^\mu u_R^c) A_\mu - \frac{|e|}{3} (\bar{d}_L \bar{\sigma}^\mu d_L - \bar{d}_R^c \bar{\sigma}^\mu d_R^c) A_\mu - |e| (\bar{e}_L \bar{\sigma}^\mu e_L - \bar{e}_R^c \bar{\sigma}^\mu e_R^c) A_\mu \\
&\quad + |e| \tilde{h}_u^+ \bar{\sigma}^\mu \tilde{h}_u^+ A_\mu - |e| \tilde{h}_d^- \bar{\sigma}^\mu \tilde{h}_d^- A_\mu + \mathcal{L}_{\text{super}}|_{\text{no } F\text{-terms}};
\end{aligned} \tag{11.39}$$

here,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_{\text{super}}|_{\text{no } F\text{-terms}} &= -\mu \tilde{h}_u^+ \tilde{h}_d^- + \mu \tilde{h}_u^0 \tilde{h}_d^0 + y_{uij} h_u^+ u_{Ri}^c d_{Lj} - y_{uij} h_u^0 u_{Ri}^c u_{Lj} + y_{uij} \tilde{d}_{Lj} \tilde{h}_u^+ u_{Ri}^c - y_{uij} \tilde{u}_{Lj} \tilde{h}_u^0 u_{Ri}^c \\
&\quad + y_{uji} \tilde{u}_{Rj}^* \tilde{h}_u^+ d_{Li} - y_{uji} \tilde{u}_{Rj}^* \tilde{h}_u^0 u_{Li} + y_{dij} h_d^- d_{Ri}^c u_{Lj} - y_{dij} h_d^0 d_{Ri}^c d_{Lj} - y_{dij} \tilde{d}_{Lj} \tilde{h}_d^0 d_{Ri}^c \\
&\quad + y_{dij} \tilde{u}_{Lj} \tilde{h}_d^- d_{Ri}^c + y_{dij} \tilde{d}_{Rj}^* \tilde{h}_d^- u_{Li} - y_{dij} \tilde{d}_{Rj}^* \tilde{h}_d^0 d_{Li} + y_{eij} h_d^- e_{Ri}^c \nu_{Lj} - y_{eij} h_d^0 e_{Ri}^c e_{Lj} \\
&\quad - y_{eij} \tilde{e}_{Lj} \tilde{h}_d^0 e_{Ri}^c + y_{eij} \tilde{\nu}_{Lj} \tilde{h}_d^- e_{Ri}^c + y_{eji} \tilde{e}_{Rj}^* \tilde{h}_d^- \nu_{Li} - y_{eji} \tilde{e}_{Rj}^* \tilde{h}_d^0 e_{Li} \\
&\quad - \kappa_i \tilde{h}_u^+ e_{Li} + \kappa_i \tilde{h}_u^0 \nu_{Li} - \lambda_{ijk} \tilde{e}_{Rk}^* \nu_{Li} e_{Lj} - \lambda_{jki} \tilde{e}_{Lk} e_{Ri}^c \nu_{Lj} + \lambda_{jki} \tilde{\nu}_{Lk} e_{Ri}^c e_{Lj} \\
&\quad - \lambda'_{jik} \tilde{d}_{Rk}^* d_{Li} \nu_{Lj} + \lambda'_{jik} \tilde{d}_{Rk}^* u_{Li} e_{Lj} - \lambda'_{jki} \tilde{d}_{Lk} d_{Ri}^c \nu_{Lj} + \lambda'_{jki} \tilde{u}_{Lk} d_{Ri}^c e_{Lj} + \lambda'_{kji} \tilde{e}_{Lk} d_{Ri}^c u_{Lj} \\
&\quad - \lambda'_{kji} \tilde{\nu}_{Lk} d_{Ri}^c d_{Lj} - \epsilon^{xyz} \lambda_{ijk}'' \tilde{d}_{Rk}^* u_{Ri}^{cy} d_{Rj}^{cz} - \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{xyz} \lambda_{kij}'' \tilde{u}_{Rk}^* d_{Ri}^{cy} d_{Rj}^{cz} + \text{H.c.}
\end{aligned} \tag{11.40}$$

### 11.3.3. Scalar-fermion-gaugino interaction

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_{\text{SFG}} = & -g_2 \tilde{u}_L^* d_L \tilde{w}^+ - g_2 \tilde{u}_L \bar{d}_L \tilde{w}^+ - g_2 \tilde{d}_L^* u_L \tilde{w}^- - g_2 \tilde{d}_L \bar{u}_L \tilde{w}^- \\
& - \sqrt{2} g_3 \tilde{u}_L^* \tau^a u_L \tilde{g}_0^a + \sqrt{2} g_3 \tilde{u}_R^* \tau^a \bar{u}_R^c \tilde{g}_0^a - \sqrt{2} g_3 \tilde{u}_L \tau^{a*} \bar{u}_L \tilde{g}_0^a + \sqrt{2} g_3 \tilde{u}_R \tau^{a*} u_R^c \tilde{g}_0^a \\
& - \frac{g_2}{\sqrt{2}} \tilde{u}_L^* u_L \tilde{w}^3 - \frac{g_2}{\sqrt{2}} \tilde{u}_L \bar{u}_L \tilde{w}^3 - \frac{g_Y}{3\sqrt{2}} \tilde{u}_L^* u_L \tilde{b} + \frac{2\sqrt{2}g_Y}{3} \tilde{u}_R^* \bar{u}_R^c \tilde{b} - \frac{g_Y}{3\sqrt{2}} \tilde{u}_L \bar{u}_L \tilde{b} + \frac{2\sqrt{2}g_Y}{3} \tilde{u}_R u_R^c \tilde{b} \\
& - \sqrt{2} g_3 \tilde{d}_L^* \tau^a d_L \tilde{g}_0^a + \sqrt{2} g_3 \tilde{d}_R^* \tau^a \bar{d}_R^c \tilde{g}_0^a - \sqrt{2} g_3 \tilde{d}_L \tau^{a*} \bar{d}_L \tilde{g}_0^a + \sqrt{2} g_3 \tilde{d}_R \tau^{a*} d_R^c \tilde{g}_0^a \\
& + \frac{g_2}{\sqrt{2}} \tilde{d}_L^* d_L \tilde{w}^3 + \frac{g_2}{\sqrt{2}} \tilde{d}_L \bar{d}_L \tilde{w}^3 - \frac{g_Y}{3\sqrt{2}} \tilde{d}_L^* d_L \tilde{b} - \frac{\sqrt{2}g_Y}{3} \tilde{d}_R^* \bar{d}_R^c \tilde{b} - \frac{g_Y}{3\sqrt{2}} \tilde{d}_L \bar{d}_L \tilde{b} - \frac{\sqrt{2}g_Y}{3} \tilde{d}_R d_R^c \tilde{b} \\
& - g_2 \tilde{e}_L \bar{\nu}_L \tilde{w}^- - g_2 \tilde{\nu}_L \bar{e}_L \tilde{w}^+ - g_2 \tilde{e}_L^* \nu_L \tilde{w}^- - g_2 \tilde{\nu}_L^* e_L \tilde{w}^+ \\
& - \frac{g_2}{\sqrt{2}} \tilde{\nu}_L^* \nu_L \tilde{w}^3 + \frac{g_Y}{\sqrt{2}} \tilde{\nu}_L^* \nu_L \tilde{b} - \frac{g_2}{\sqrt{2}} \tilde{\nu}_L \bar{\nu}_L \tilde{w}^3 + \frac{g_Y}{\sqrt{2}} \tilde{\nu}_L \bar{\nu}_L \tilde{b} \\
& + \frac{g_2}{\sqrt{2}} \tilde{e}_L^* e_L \tilde{w}^3 + \frac{g_Y}{\sqrt{2}} \tilde{e}_L^* e_L \tilde{b} - \sqrt{2} g_Y \tilde{e}_R^* \bar{e}_R^c \tilde{b} + \frac{g_2}{\sqrt{2}} \tilde{e}_L \bar{e}_L \tilde{w}^3 + \frac{g_Y}{\sqrt{2}} \tilde{e}_L \bar{e}_L \tilde{b} - \sqrt{2} g_Y \tilde{e}_R e_R^c \tilde{b} \\
& - g_2 h_u^{+*} \tilde{h}_u^0 \tilde{w}^+ - g_2 h_d^0 \tilde{h}_d^- \tilde{w}^+ - g_2 h_u^{+*} \tilde{h}_u^0 \tilde{w}^- - g_2 h_u^0 \tilde{h}_u^+ \tilde{w}^- \\
& - g_2 h_d^{0*} \tilde{h}_d^- \tilde{w}^+ - g_2 h_u^{0*} \tilde{h}_u^+ \tilde{w}^- - g_2 h_u^+ \tilde{h}_u^0 \tilde{w}^+ - g_2 h_d^- \tilde{h}_d^0 \tilde{w}^- \\
& - \frac{g_2}{\sqrt{2}} h_u^+ \tilde{h}_u^+ \tilde{w}^3 - \frac{g_Y}{\sqrt{2}} h_u^+ \tilde{h}_u^+ \tilde{b} - \frac{g_2}{\sqrt{2}} h_u^{+*} \tilde{h}_u^+ \tilde{w}^3 - \frac{g_Y}{\sqrt{2}} h_u^{+*} \tilde{h}_u^+ \tilde{b} + \frac{g_2}{\sqrt{2}} h_d^{+*} \tilde{h}_d^- \tilde{w}^3 + \frac{g_Y}{\sqrt{2}} h_d^{+*} \tilde{h}_d^- \tilde{b} \\
& + \frac{g_2}{\sqrt{2}} h_d^- \tilde{h}_d^- \tilde{w}^3 + \frac{g_Y}{\sqrt{2}} h_d^- \tilde{h}_d^- \tilde{b} + \frac{g_2}{\sqrt{2}} h_u^{0*} \tilde{h}_u^0 \tilde{w}^3 - \frac{g_Y}{\sqrt{2}} h_u^{0*} \tilde{h}_u^0 \tilde{b} - \frac{g_2}{\sqrt{2}} h_d^{0*} \tilde{h}_d^0 \tilde{w}^3 + \frac{g_Y}{\sqrt{2}} h_d^{0*} \tilde{h}_d^0 \tilde{b} \\
& + \frac{g_2}{\sqrt{2}} h_u^0 \tilde{h}_u^0 \tilde{w}^3 - \frac{g_Y}{\sqrt{2}} h_u^0 \tilde{h}_u^0 \tilde{b} - \frac{g_2}{\sqrt{2}} h_d^0 \tilde{h}_d^0 \tilde{w}^3 + \frac{g_Y}{\sqrt{2}} h_d^0 \tilde{h}_d^0 \tilde{b}
\end{aligned} \tag{11.42}$$

### 11.3.4. Scalar part

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_{\text{scalar}} = & (\partial_\mu \tilde{u}_L^* + ig_3 \tilde{u}_L^* \tau^a g_\mu^a)(\partial^\mu \tilde{u}_L - ig_3 g^{b\mu} \tau^b \tilde{u}_L) + (\partial_\mu \tilde{u}_R - ig_3 \tilde{u}_R \tau^{a*} g_\mu^a)(\partial^\mu \tilde{u}_R^* + ig_3 g_\mu^b \tau^{b*} \tilde{u}_R^*) \\
& + (\partial_\mu \tilde{d}_L^* + ig_3 \tilde{d}_L^* \tau^a g_\mu^a)(\partial^\mu \tilde{d}_L - ig_3 g^{b\mu} \tau^b \tilde{d}_L) + (\partial_\mu \tilde{d}_R - ig_3 \tilde{d}_R \tau^{a*} g_\mu^a)(\partial^\mu \tilde{d}_R^* + ig_3 g_\mu^b \tau^{b*} \tilde{d}_R^*) \\
& + \sqrt{2} g_2 g_3 \tilde{u}_L^* \tau^a \tilde{d}_L W^{+\mu} g_\mu^a + \sqrt{2} g_2 g_3 \tilde{d}_L^* \tau^a \tilde{u}_L W^{-\mu} g_\mu^a + \frac{4}{3} g_3 |e| (\tilde{u}_L^* \tau^a \tilde{u}_L + \tilde{u}_R^* \tau^a \tilde{u}_R) g^{a\mu} A_\mu \\
& - \frac{2}{3} g_3 |e| (\tilde{d}_L^* \tau^a \tilde{d}_L + \tilde{d}_R^* \tau^a \tilde{d}_R) g^{a\mu} A_\mu + \frac{(3 - 4s_w^2) g_Z}{3} g_3 \tilde{u}_L^* \tau^a \tilde{u}_L g^{a\mu} Z_\mu - \frac{4s_w^2 g_Z}{3} g_3 \tilde{u}_R^* \tau^a \tilde{u}_R g^{a\mu} Z_\mu \\
& + \frac{(2s_w^2 - 3) g_Z}{3} g_3 \tilde{d}_L^* \tau^a \tilde{d}_L g^{a\mu} Z_\mu + \frac{2s_w^2 g_Z}{3} g_3 \tilde{d}_R^* \tau^a \tilde{d}_R g^{a\mu} Z_\mu \\
& + \frac{ig_2}{\sqrt{2}} W_\mu^+ (\tilde{u}_L^* \partial^\mu \tilde{d}_L - \tilde{d}_L \partial^\mu \tilde{u}_L^*) - \frac{ig_2}{\sqrt{2}} W_\mu^- (\tilde{u}_L \partial^\mu \tilde{d}_L^* - \tilde{d}_L^* \partial^\mu \tilde{u}_L) \\
& + \frac{2i}{3} |e| A_\mu (\tilde{u}_L^* \partial^\mu \tilde{u}_L - \tilde{u}_L \partial^\mu \tilde{u}_L^* + \tilde{u}_R^* \partial^\mu \tilde{u}_R - \tilde{u}_R \partial^\mu \tilde{u}_R^*) - \frac{i}{3} |e| A_\mu (\tilde{d}_L^* \partial^\mu \tilde{d}_L - \tilde{d}_L \partial^\mu \tilde{d}_L^* + \tilde{d}_R^* \partial^\mu \tilde{d}_R - \tilde{d}_R \partial^\mu \tilde{d}_R^*) \\
& + \frac{i(4s_w^2 - 3) g_Z}{6} Z_\mu (\tilde{u}_L \partial^\mu \tilde{u}_L^* - \tilde{u}_L^* \partial^\mu \tilde{u}_L) + \frac{i(2s_w^2 - 3) g_Z}{6} Z_\mu (\tilde{d}_L^* \partial^\mu \tilde{d}_L - \tilde{d}_L \partial^\mu \tilde{d}_L^*) \\
& - \frac{2is_w^2 g_Z}{3} Z_\mu (\tilde{u}_R^* \partial^\mu \tilde{u}_R - \tilde{u}_R \partial^\mu \tilde{u}_R^*) + \frac{is_w^2 g_Z}{3} Z_\mu (\tilde{d}_R^* \partial^\mu \tilde{d}_R - \tilde{d}_R \partial^\mu \tilde{d}_R^*) \\
& + \frac{g_2^2}{2} (|\tilde{u}_L|^2 + |\tilde{d}_L|^2) W^{+\mu} W_\mu^- - \frac{s_w^2 g_2 g_Z}{3\sqrt{2}} \tilde{u}_L^* \tilde{d}_L W^{+\mu} Z_\mu - \frac{s_w^2 g_2 g_Z}{3\sqrt{2}} \tilde{d}_L^* \tilde{u}_L W^{-\mu} Z_\mu \\
& + \frac{(3 - 4s_w^2)^2 g_Z^2}{36} |\tilde{u}_L|^2 Z^\mu Z_\mu + \frac{4s_w^4 g_Z^2}{9} |\tilde{u}_R|^2 Z^\mu Z_\mu + \frac{(3 - 2s_w^2)^2 g_Z^2}{36} |\tilde{d}_L|^2 Z^\mu Z_\mu + \frac{4s_w^4 g_Z^2}{9} |\tilde{d}_R|^2 Z^\mu Z_\mu \\
& + \frac{4}{9} e^2 (|\tilde{u}_L|^2 + |\tilde{u}_R|^2) A^\mu A_\mu + \frac{1}{9} e^2 (|\tilde{d}_L|^2 + |\tilde{d}_R|^2) A^\mu A_\mu + \frac{|e| g_2}{3\sqrt{2}} \tilde{u}_L^* \tilde{d}_L W^{+\mu} A_\mu + \frac{|e| g_2}{3\sqrt{2}} \tilde{d}_L^* \tilde{u}_L W^{-\mu} A_\mu \\
& + \frac{2(3 - 4s_w^2) g_Z |e|}{9} |\tilde{u}_L|^2 A^\mu Z_\mu - \frac{8s_w^2 g_Z |e|}{9} |\tilde{u}_R|^2 A^\mu Z_\mu + \frac{(3 - 2s_w^2) g_Z |e|}{9} |\tilde{d}_L|^2 A^\mu Z_\mu - \frac{2s_w^2 g_Z |e|}{9} |\tilde{d}_R|^2 A^\mu Z_\mu \\
& + \partial_\mu \tilde{e}_R \partial^\mu \tilde{e}_R^* + \partial_\mu \tilde{e}_L^* \partial^\mu \tilde{e}_L + \partial_\mu \tilde{\nu}_L^* \partial^\mu \tilde{\nu}_L + i \frac{g_2}{\sqrt{2}} W_\mu^+ (\tilde{\nu}_L^* \partial^\mu \tilde{e}_L - \tilde{e}_L \partial^\mu \tilde{\nu}_L^*) + i \frac{g_2}{\sqrt{2}} W_\mu^- (\tilde{e}_L^* \partial^\mu \tilde{\nu}_L - \tilde{\nu}_L \partial^\mu \tilde{e}_L^*) \\
& - \frac{i(1 - 2s_w^2) g_Z}{2} Z_\mu (\tilde{e}_L^* \partial^\mu \tilde{e}_L - \tilde{e}_L \partial^\mu \tilde{e}_L^*) + \frac{ig_Z}{2} Z_\mu (\tilde{\nu}_L^* \partial^\mu \tilde{\nu}_L - \tilde{\nu}_L \partial^\mu \tilde{\nu}_L^*) + is_w^2 g_Z Z_\mu (\tilde{e}_R^* \partial^\mu \tilde{e}_R - \tilde{e}_R \partial^\mu \tilde{e}_R^*) \\
& + i|e| A_\mu (\tilde{e}_L \partial^\mu \tilde{e}_L^* - \tilde{e}_L^* \partial^\mu \tilde{e}_L + \tilde{e}_R \partial^\mu \tilde{e}_R^* - \tilde{e}_R^* \partial^\mu \tilde{e}_R) \\
& + \frac{g_2^2}{2} (|\tilde{\nu}_L|^2 + |\tilde{e}_L|^2) W^{+\mu} W_\mu^- + \frac{g_2 g_Z s_w^2}{\sqrt{2}} (\tilde{e}_L^* \tilde{\nu}_L W_\mu^- Z^\mu + \tilde{\nu}_L^* \tilde{e}_L W_\mu^+ Z^\mu) - \frac{g_2 |e|}{\sqrt{2}} (\tilde{\nu}_L^* \tilde{e}_L W_\mu^+ A^\mu + \tilde{e}_L^* \tilde{\nu}_L W_\mu^- A^\mu) \\
& + \frac{(1 - 2s_w^2)^2 g_Z^2}{4} |\tilde{e}_L|^2 Z^\mu Z_\mu + \frac{g_Z^2}{4} |\tilde{\nu}_L|^2 Z^\mu Z_\mu + g_Z^2 s_w^4 |\tilde{e}_R|^2 Z^\mu Z_\mu \\
& + e^2 (|\tilde{e}_L|^2 + |\tilde{e}_R|^2) A^\mu A_\mu + (1 - 2s_w^2) |e| g_Z |\tilde{e}_L|^2 A^\mu Z_\mu - 2s_w^2 g_Z |e| |\tilde{e}_R|^2 A^\mu Z_\mu \\
& + \partial_\mu h_d^{-*} \partial^\mu h_d^- + \partial_\mu h_d^{0*} \partial^\mu h_d^0 + \partial_\mu h_u^{+*} \partial^\mu h_u^+ + \partial_\mu h_u^{0*} \partial^\mu h_u^0 + i \frac{g_2}{\sqrt{2}} W_\mu^+ (h_u^{+*} \partial^\mu h_u^0 - h_u^0 \partial^\mu h_u^{+*}) \\
& + i \frac{g_2}{\sqrt{2}} W_\mu^- (h_u^{0*} \partial^\mu h_u^+ - h_u^+ \partial^\mu h_u^{0*}) + i \frac{g_2}{\sqrt{2}} W_\mu^+ (h_d^{0*} \partial^\mu h_d^- - h_d^- \partial^\mu h_d^{0*}) + i \frac{g_2}{\sqrt{2}} W_\mu^- (h_d^{-*} \partial^\mu h_d^0 - h_d^0 \partial^\mu h_d^{-*}) \\
& + \frac{i(1 - 2s_w^2) g_Z}{2} Z_\mu (h_u^{+*} \partial^\mu h_u^+ - h_u^+ \partial^\mu h_u^{+*}) + \frac{i(2s_w^2 - 1) g_Z}{2} Z_\mu (h_d^{-*} \partial^\mu h_d^- - h_d^- \partial^\mu h_d^{-*}) \\
& - \frac{ig_Z}{2} Z_\mu (h_u^{0*} \partial^\mu h_u^0 - h_u^0 \partial^\mu h_u^{0*}) + \frac{ig_Z}{2} Z_\mu (h_d^{0*} \partial^\mu h_d^0 - h_d^0 \partial^\mu h_d^{0*}) \\
& - i|e| A_\mu (h_d^{-*} \partial^\mu h_d^- - h_d^- \partial^\mu h_d^{-*}) + i|e| A_\mu (h_u^{+*} \partial^\mu h_u^+ - h_u^+ \partial^\mu h_u^{+*}) \\
& + \frac{g_2^2}{2} (|h_u^+|^2 + |h_u^0|^2 + |h_d^0|^2 + |h_d^-|^2) W^{+\mu} W_\mu^- + \frac{s_w^2 g_Z g_2}{\sqrt{2}} (h_d^{0*} h_d^- - h_u^{+*} h_u^0) W_\mu^+ Z^\mu \\
& + \frac{s_w^2 g_Z g_2}{\sqrt{2}} (h_d^{-*} h_d^0 - h_u^{0*} h_u^+) W_\mu^- Z^\mu + \frac{g_2 |e|}{\sqrt{2}} (h_u^{+*} h_u^0 - h_d^{0*} h_d^-) W_\mu^+ A^\mu + \frac{g_2 |e|}{\sqrt{2}} (h_u^{0*} h_u^+ - h_d^{-*} h_d^0) W_\mu^- A^\mu \\
& + \frac{(1 - 2s_w^2)^2 g_Z^2}{4} (|h_u^+|^2 + |h_d^-|^2) Z^\mu Z_\mu + \frac{g_Z^2}{4} (|h_u^0|^2 + |h_d^0|^2) Z^\mu Z_\mu + e^2 (|h_u^+|^2 + |h_d^-|^2) A^\mu A_\mu \\
& + (1 - 2s_w^2) |e| g_Z (|h_u^+|^2 + |h_d^-|^2) A^\mu Z_\mu \\
& - (V_{\text{SUSY}} + V_{\text{SUSY}}),
\end{aligned}$$

(11.43)

where the scalar potential is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
V_{\text{SUSY}} = & |h_u|^2 \left( |\mu|^2 + \sum_i |\kappa_i|^2 \right) + |\mu|^2 |h_d|^2 + (\kappa_i^* \mu \tilde{l}_{Li}^* h_d + \text{H.c.}) + \kappa_i^* \kappa_j \tilde{l}_{Li}^* \tilde{l}_{Lj} \\
& + \left[ -y_{uij} \mu^* h_d^* \tilde{u}_{Ri}^* \tilde{q}_{Lj} - y_{uij} \kappa_k^* \tilde{u}_{Ri}^* \tilde{q}_{Lj} \tilde{l}_{Lk}^* - (y_{dij} \mu^* + \lambda'_{kji} \kappa_k^*) h_u^* \tilde{d}_{Ri}^* \tilde{q}_{Lj} \right. \\
& \quad \left. + y_{eij} \kappa_j^* \tilde{e}_{Ri}^* h_u^* h_d + (\lambda_{jki} \kappa_k^* - y_{eij} \mu^*) h_u^* \tilde{e}_{Ri}^* \tilde{l}_{Lj} + \text{H.c.} \right] \\
& + \frac{1}{8} (g_2^2 + g_Y^2) |h_d|^4 + \frac{1}{8} (g_2^2 + g_Y^2) |h_u|^4 + \left( -\frac{g_2^2}{4} |h_d|^2 |h_u|^2 - \frac{g_Y^2}{4} |h_d|^2 |h_u|^2 + \frac{g_2^2}{2} |h_d^* h_u|^2 \right) \\
& + \left( -\frac{g_2^2}{4} |h_u|^2 |\tilde{q}_L|^2 + \frac{g_Y^2}{12} |h_u|^2 |\tilde{q}_L|^2 + \frac{g_2^2}{2} |h_u^b \tilde{q}_{Li}|^2 + \epsilon^{ac} \epsilon^{bd} (y_u^\dagger y_u)_{ji} h_u^a h_u^{b*} \tilde{q}_{Li}^c \tilde{q}_{Lj}^{d*} \right) \\
& + \left( -\frac{g_2^2}{4} |h_d|^2 |\tilde{q}_L|^2 - \frac{g_Y^2}{12} |h_d|^2 |\tilde{q}_L|^2 + \frac{g_2^2}{2} |h_d^* \tilde{q}_{Li}|^2 + \epsilon^{ac} \epsilon^{bd} (y_d^\dagger y_d)_{ji} h_d^a h_d^{b*} \tilde{q}_{Li}^c \tilde{q}_{Lj}^{d*} \right) \\
& + \left( -\frac{g_2^2}{4} |h_u|^2 |\tilde{l}_L|^2 - \frac{g_Y^2}{4} |h_u|^2 |\tilde{l}_L|^2 + \frac{g_2^2}{2} |h_u^* \tilde{l}_{Li}|^2 \right) \\
& + \left( -\frac{g_2^2}{4} |h_d|^2 |\tilde{l}_L|^2 + \frac{g_Y^2}{4} |h_d|^2 |\tilde{l}_L|^2 + \frac{g_2^2}{2} |h_d^* \tilde{l}_{Li}|^2 + \epsilon^{ac} \epsilon^{bd} (y_e^\dagger y_e)_{ji} h_d^a h_d^{b*} \tilde{l}_{Li}^c \tilde{l}_{Lj}^{d*} \right) \\
& + |h_u|^2 \left( -\frac{g_Y^2}{3} |\tilde{u}_R|^2 + (y_u y_u^\dagger)_{ij} \tilde{u}_{Ri}^* \tilde{u}_{Rj} \right) + \frac{g_Y^2}{3} |h_d|^2 |\tilde{u}_R|^2 \\
& + \frac{g_Y^2}{6} |h_u|^2 |\tilde{d}_R|^2 + |h_d|^2 \left( -\frac{g_Y^2}{6} |\tilde{d}_R|^2 + (y_d y_d^\dagger)_{ij} \tilde{d}_{Ri}^* \tilde{d}_{Rj} \right) - \left[ (y_u y_d^\dagger)_{ij} \tilde{u}_{Ri}^* \tilde{d}_{Rj} (h_d^* h_u) + \text{H.c.} \right] \\
& + \frac{g_Y^2}{2} |h_u|^2 |\tilde{e}_R|^2 + |h_d|^2 \left( -\frac{g_Y^2}{2} |\tilde{e}_R|^2 + (y_e y_e^\dagger)_{ij} \tilde{e}_{Ri}^* \tilde{e}_{Rj} \right) \\
& + \left[ -\frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{ab} \epsilon^{xyz} y_{ulkl} \lambda_{lij}'' h_u^a \tilde{d}_{Ri}^x \tilde{d}_{Rj}^y \tilde{q}_{Lk}^{bz} + \epsilon^{ab} \epsilon^{xyz} y_{dlkl} \lambda_{ijl}'' h_d^a \tilde{u}_{Ri}^x \tilde{d}_{Rj}^y \tilde{q}_{Lk}^{bz} - y_{uil} \lambda_{klj}' h_u^a \tilde{u}_{Ri}^* \tilde{d}_{Rj} \tilde{l}_{Lk}^{a*} \right. \\
& \quad \left. + y_{dil} \lambda_{klj}' h_d^a \tilde{d}_{Ri}^* \tilde{d}_{Rj} \tilde{l}_{Lk}^{a*} - \epsilon^{ab} \epsilon^{cd} y_{dlij} \lambda_{kjl}' h_d^a \tilde{q}_{Li}^b \tilde{q}_{Lj}^c \tilde{l}_{Lk}^{d*} + y_{eil} \lambda_{klj}' h_d^a \tilde{e}_{Ri}^* \tilde{e}_{Rj} \tilde{l}_{Lk}^{a*} \right. \\
& \quad \left. - y_{eij} \lambda_{kli}' h_u^a \tilde{e}_{Ri}^* \tilde{d}_{Rj} \tilde{l}_{Lk}^{a*} + \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{ab} \epsilon^{cd} y_{elil} \lambda_{jkl}' h_d^a \tilde{l}_{Li}^b \tilde{l}_{Lj}^c \tilde{l}_{Lk}^{d*} + \text{H.c.} \right] \\
& + \left[ \left( -\frac{g_3^2}{12} + \frac{g_Y^2}{72} - \frac{g_2^2}{8} \right) |\tilde{q}_L|^4 + \frac{g_2^2}{4} \tilde{q}_{Li}^{ax} \tilde{q}_{Lj}^{by} \tilde{q}_{Li}^{bx*} \tilde{q}_{Lj}^{ay*} + \frac{g_3^2}{4} \tilde{q}_{Li}^{ax} \tilde{q}_{Lj}^{by} \tilde{q}_{Li}^{ay*} \tilde{q}_{Lj}^{bx*} \right] \\
& + \left[ \left( -\frac{g_3^2}{12} + \frac{2g_Y^2}{9} \right) |\tilde{u}_R|^4 + \frac{g_3^2}{4} \tilde{u}_{Ri}^{x*} \tilde{u}_{Rj}^{y*} \tilde{u}_{Ri}^y \tilde{u}_{Rj}^x \right] \\
& + \left[ \left( -\frac{g_3^2}{12} + \frac{g_Y^2}{18} \right) |\tilde{d}_R|^4 + \frac{g_3^2}{4} \tilde{d}_{Ri}^{x*} \tilde{d}_{Rj}^{y*} \tilde{d}_{Ri}^y \tilde{d}_{Rj}^x + \frac{1}{2} \lambda_{mij}'' \lambda_{mkl}'' \tilde{d}_{Ri}^{x*} \tilde{d}_{Rj}^{y*} \tilde{d}_{Rk}^x \tilde{d}_{Rl}^y \right] \\
& + \left[ \left( \frac{g_3^2}{6} - \frac{g_Y^2}{9} \right) |\tilde{u}_R|^2 |\tilde{q}_L|^2 - \frac{g_3^2}{2} \tilde{u}_{Ri}^{x*} \tilde{q}_{Lj}^{ax} \tilde{u}_{Ri}^y \tilde{q}_{Lj}^{ay*} + y_{uik} y_{ujl}^* \tilde{u}_{Ri}^{x*} \tilde{u}_{Rj}^y \tilde{q}_{Lk}^{ax} \tilde{q}_{Ll}^{ay*} \right] \\
& + \left[ \left( \frac{g_3^2}{6} + \frac{g_Y^2}{18} \right) |\tilde{d}_R|^2 |\tilde{q}_L|^2 - \frac{g_3^2}{2} \tilde{d}_{Ri}^{x*} \tilde{q}_{Lj}^{ax} \tilde{d}_{Ri}^y \tilde{q}_{Lj}^{ay*} + (y_{dik} y_{djl}^* + \lambda'_{mki} \lambda'_{mlj}) \tilde{d}_{Ri}^{x*} \tilde{q}_{Lk}^{ax} \tilde{d}_{Rj}^y \tilde{q}_{Ll}^{ay*} \right] \\
& + \left[ -\left( \frac{g_3^2}{6} + \frac{2g_Y^2}{9} \right) |\tilde{d}_R|^2 |\tilde{u}_R|^2 + \left( \frac{g_3^2}{2} - \lambda_{ikm}'' \lambda_{ljm}'' \right) \tilde{u}_{Ri}^{x*} \tilde{d}_{Rj}^x \tilde{d}_{Rj}^{y*} \tilde{u}_{Ri}^y + \lambda_{ikm}'' \lambda_{ljm}'' \tilde{u}_{Ri}^{x*} \tilde{u}_{Rj}^x \tilde{d}_{Rk}^{y*} \tilde{d}_{Rl}^y \right] \\
& + \left[ -\left( \frac{g_2^2}{4} + \frac{g_Y^2}{12} \right) |\tilde{l}_L|^2 |\tilde{q}_L|^2 + \frac{g_2^2}{2} \tilde{q}_{Li}^{ax} \tilde{q}_{Li}^{bx*} \tilde{l}_{Lj}^a \tilde{l}_{Lj}^{b*} + \epsilon^{ac} \epsilon^{bd} \lambda'_{kim} \lambda'_{ljm} \tilde{q}_{Li}^{ax} \tilde{q}_{Lj}^{bx*} \tilde{l}_{Lk}^c \tilde{l}_{Ll}^{d*} \right] + \frac{g_Y^2}{6} |\tilde{e}_R|^2 |\tilde{q}_L|^2 \\
& + \left( -\frac{g_Y^2}{6} |\tilde{d}_R|^2 |\tilde{l}_L|^2 + \lambda'_{lmi} \lambda'_{kmj} \tilde{d}_{Ri}^* \tilde{d}_{Rj} \tilde{l}_{Lk}^* \tilde{l}_{Ll} \right) + \frac{g_Y^2}{3} |\tilde{u}_R|^2 |\tilde{l}_L|^2 - \frac{2g_Y^2}{3} |\tilde{u}_R|^2 |\tilde{e}_R|^2 + \frac{g_Y^2}{3} |\tilde{d}_R|^2 |\tilde{e}_R|^2 \\
& + \left[ \left( -\frac{g_2^2}{8} + \frac{g_Y^2}{8} \right) |\tilde{l}_L|^4 + \frac{g_2^2}{4} \tilde{l}_{Li}^a \tilde{l}_{Lj}^b \tilde{l}_{Li}^{b*} \tilde{l}_{Lj}^{a*} + \frac{1}{4} \epsilon^{ab} \epsilon^{cd} \lambda_{ijm} \lambda_{klm}^* \tilde{l}_{Li}^a \tilde{l}_{Lj}^b \tilde{l}_{Lk}^{c*} \tilde{l}_{Ll}^{d*} \right] \\
& + \frac{g_Y^2}{2} |\tilde{e}_R|^4 + \left[ -\frac{g_Y^2}{2} |\tilde{e}_R|^2 |\tilde{l}_L|^2 + (y_{eik} y_{ejl}^* + \lambda_{kmi} \lambda_{lmj}^*) \tilde{e}_{Ri}^* \tilde{e}_{Rj} \tilde{l}_{Lk} \tilde{l}_{Ll}^* \right] \\
& + \left[ (y_{dik} y_{ejl}^* - \lambda'_{mki} \lambda'_{lmj}) \tilde{d}_{Ri}^{x*} \tilde{q}_{Lk}^{ax} \tilde{e}_{Rj} \tilde{l}_{Ll}^{a*} - \epsilon^{ab} \epsilon^{xyz} \lambda'_{ikm} \lambda_{ijm}'' \tilde{l}_{Li}^b \tilde{q}_{Lk}^{az} \tilde{d}_{Rj}^y \tilde{u}_{Ri}^x + \text{H.c.} \right].
\end{aligned}
\tag{11.44}$$

## 11.4. Higgs mechanism and fermion composition

The scalar potential includes

$$V_{\text{SUSY}} \supset |h_u|^2 \left( |\mu|^2 + \sum |\kappa_i|^2 \right) + |\mu|^2 |h_d|^2 + \frac{g_Z^2}{8} (|h_u|^2 - |h_d|^2)^2 + \frac{g_2^2}{2} |h_d^* h_u|^2 + \left( \kappa_i^* \mu \tilde{l}_{Li}^* h_d + \text{H.c.} \right) + \kappa_i^* \kappa_j \tilde{l}_{Li}^* \tilde{l}_{Lj} \quad (11.45)$$

$$V_{\text{SUSY}} \supset m_{H_u}^2 |h_u|^2 + m_{H_d}^2 |h_d|^2 + \epsilon^{ab} \left( b h_u^a h_d^b + b^* h_u^{a*} h_d^{b*} - b_i \tilde{l}_{Li}^a h_u^b - b_i^* \tilde{l}_{Li}^{a*} h_u^{b*} \right) + \tilde{l}_{Li}^* M_{Li}^2 h_d + \tilde{l}_{Li} M_{Li}^{2*} h_d^*; \quad (11.46)$$

the Higgs mass term is given by

$$V \supset \begin{pmatrix} h_u & h_d^* & \tilde{l}_{Li}^* \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} |\mu|^2 + m_{H_u}^2 + \sum |\kappa_i|^2 & b & -b_j \\ b^* & |\mu|^2 + m_{H_d}^2 & \kappa_j \mu^* + M_{Lj}^{2*} \\ -b_i^* & \kappa_i^* \mu + M_{Li}^2 & (m_L^2)_{ij} + \kappa_i^* \kappa_j \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} h_u^* \\ h_d \\ \tilde{l}_{Lj} \end{pmatrix} \quad (11.47)$$

while corresponding fermion terms are

$$\mathcal{L} \supset \epsilon^{ab} \left( -\mu \tilde{h}_u^a \tilde{h}_d^b - \kappa_i \tilde{h}_u^a \tilde{l}_{Li}^b \right). \quad (11.48)$$

If the  $R$ -parity is not conserved, we redefine  $(H_d, L)$  superfields so that the mass matrix is block-diagonal, which corresponds to  $U(4)_{H_d, L} \rightarrow U(3)_L \times U(1)_{H_d}$  (DOF counting:  $16 \rightarrow 9 + 1$  to remove  $b'_i$ ). Then lepton and  $h_d$  are mixed.<sup>\*22</sup> With  $R$ -parity conservation, we do not suffer from these mixings.

### 11.4.1. Higgs potential and induced mass in $R$ -parity conserved case

We perform “SU(2)-notation fixing”, i.e., use the freedom associated to  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  of SU(2), so that  $\langle h_u^+ \rangle = 0$ . Then  $\langle h_d^- \rangle = 0$  and effectively

$$V_{\text{pot}} = (|\mu|^2 + m_{H_u}^2) |h_u^0|^2 + (|\mu|^2 + m_{H_d}^2) |h_d^0|^2 + \frac{g_Z^2}{8} (|h_u^0|^2 - |h_d^0|^2)^2 - (b h_u^0 h_d^0 + \text{H.c.}). \quad (11.49)$$

We redefine  $H_d$  superfield so that  $b > 0$ .<sup>\*23</sup> Then  $\arg \langle h_u^0 \rangle = -\arg \langle h_d^0 \rangle$  and, with  $T_3$ -rotation,  $\langle h_u^0 \rangle > 0$  and  $\langle h_d^0 \rangle > 0$ :

$$\langle h_u^0 \rangle =: v_u =: \frac{v_{\text{SM}}}{\sqrt{2}} \sin \beta, \quad \langle h_d^0 \rangle =: v_d =: \frac{v_{\text{SM}}}{\sqrt{2}} \cos \beta; \quad (11.50)$$

$$V_{\text{pot}} = \frac{1}{2} (|\mu|^2 + m_{H_u}^2) v_{\text{SM}}^2 \sin^2 \beta + \frac{1}{2} (|\mu|^2 + m_{H_d}^2) v_{\text{SM}}^2 \cos^2 \beta + \frac{g_Z^2}{32} v_{\text{SM}}^4 \cos^2 2\beta - \frac{1}{2} v_{\text{SM}}^2 b \sin 2\beta. \quad (11.51)$$

This potential can have two minima; one with  $0 < \beta \leq \pi/4$  and the other with  $\pi/4 \leq \beta < \pi/2$ :

$$\tan \beta = \frac{B \mp \sqrt{B^2 - 4b^2}}{2b} \quad \left( \cos 2\beta = \pm \frac{\sqrt{B^2 - 4b^2}}{B} \right), \quad m_Z^2 := \frac{g_Z^2}{4} v_{\text{SM}}^2 = \left( \pm \frac{m_{H_d}^2 - m_{H_u}^2}{\sqrt{B^2 - 4b^2}} - 1 \right) B, \quad (11.52)$$

where  $B := 2|\mu|^2 + m_{H_u}^2 + m_{H_d}^2 > 2b > 0$  and  $m_Z$  is the  $Z$ -boson tree-level mass. Also,

$$\sin 2\beta = \frac{2b}{2|\mu|^2 + m_{H_u}^2 + m_{H_d}^2}, \quad m_Z^2 = \frac{-(m_{H_d}^2 - m_{H_u}^2)}{\cos 2\beta} - (2|\mu|^2 + m_{H_u}^2 + m_{H_d}^2) \quad (11.53)$$

are satisfied in both solutions.

**Higgs sector** The Nambu-Goldstone-Higgs mixings and the mass terms for the charged Higgs bosons are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} \supset & \partial_\mu h_d^* \partial^\mu h_d^- + \partial_\mu h_u^{+*} \partial^\mu h_u^+ + \left( -b - \frac{1}{2} g_2^2 v_u v_d \right) (h_u^+ h_d^- + h_u^{+*} h_d^{*-}) \\ & + \left[ \frac{g_Y^2 (v_u^2 - v_d^2) - g_2^2 (v_u^2 + v_d^2)}{4} - |\mu|^2 - m_{H_d}^2 \right] |h_d^-|^2 + \left[ \frac{g_Y^2 (v_d^2 - v_u^2) - g_2^2 (v_u^2 + v_d^2)}{4} - |\mu|^2 - m_{H_u}^2 \right] |h_u^+|^2 \\ & + \frac{ig_2}{\sqrt{2}} W_\mu^- \partial^\mu (v_u h_u^+ - v_u h_u^{+*} - v_d h_d^- + v_d h_d^-) \end{aligned} \quad (11.54)$$

and those for the neutral Higgs bosons are

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} \supset & \partial_\mu h_d^{0*} \partial^\mu h_d^0 + \partial_\mu h_u^{0*} \partial^\mu h_u^0 - \frac{g_Z^2 v_d^2}{8} (h_d^0 h_d^0 + h_d^{0*} h_d^{0*}) - \frac{g_Z^2 v_u^2}{8} (h_u^0 h_u^0 + h_u^{0*} h_u^{0*}) \\ & + \left( b + \frac{g_Z^2 v_u v_d}{4} \right) (h_u^0 h_d^0 + h_u^{0*} h_d^{0*}) + \frac{g_Z^2 v_u v_d}{4} (h_u^0 h_d^{0*} + h_u^{0*} h_d^0) \\ & + \left( \frac{g_Z^2 (v_u^2 - 2v_d^2)}{4} - |\mu|^2 - m_{H_d}^2 \right) |h_d^0|^2 + \left( \frac{g_Z^2 (v_d^2 - 2v_u^2)}{4} - |\mu|^2 - m_{H_u}^2 \right) |h_u^0|^2 \\ & + \frac{ig_Z}{2} Z_\mu \partial^\mu (v_d h_d^0 - v_d h_d^{0*} - v_u h_u^0 + v_u h_u^{0*}). \end{aligned} \quad (11.55)$$

<sup>\*22</sup>If we separated leptons and  $\tilde{h}_d$  first, sleptons would acquire VEVs and lepton-gaugino mixings would be induced.

<sup>\*23</sup>Note that  $T_3$ -rotation induces  $h_u^0 \rightarrow e^{i\theta/2} h_u^0$  and  $h_d^0 \rightarrow e^{-i\theta/2} h_d^0$ ; it cannot remove the phase of  $b$ .

Therefore, with  $m_W := c_w m_Z$  and

$$\begin{pmatrix} h_u^+ \\ h_d^{*-} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} s_\beta & c_\beta \\ -c_\beta & s_\beta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -iG^+ \\ H^+ \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} h_u^0 \\ h_d^0 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} \phi_u \\ \phi_d \end{pmatrix} + \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} s_\beta & c_\beta \\ -c_\beta & s_\beta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} G^0 \\ A^0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (11.56)$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} \supset & \partial_\mu G^{+*} \partial^\mu G^+ + \partial_\mu H^{+*} \partial^\mu H^+ + m_W (W_\mu^- \partial^\mu G^+ + W_\mu^+ \partial^\mu G^{+*}) + \left( \frac{m_{H_d}^2 - m_{H_u}^2}{\cos 2\beta} + m_Z^2 s_w^2 \right) |H^+|^2 \\ & + \frac{1}{2} (\partial_\mu \phi_1)^2 + \frac{1}{2} (\partial_\mu \phi_2)^2 + \frac{1}{2} (\partial_\mu A^0)^2 + \frac{1}{2} (\partial_\mu G^0)^2 + m_Z Z_\mu \partial^\mu G^0 - \frac{B}{2} A_0^2 \\ & - \frac{1}{4} (B + m_Z^2 + (B - m_Z^2) \cos 2\beta) \phi_u^2 - \frac{1}{4} (B + m_Z^2 - (B - m_Z^2) \cos 2\beta) \phi_d^2 + \frac{1}{2} (B + m_Z^2) (\sin 2\beta) \phi_u \phi_d. \end{aligned} \quad (11.57)$$

In particular, the tree-level masses are

$$m_{A_0}^2 = B = 2|\mu|^2 + m_{H_u}^2 + m_{H_d}^2, \quad (11.58)$$

$$m_{H^\pm}^2 = m_{A_0}^2 + m_W^2, \quad (11.59)$$

$$m_{h,H}^2 = \frac{1}{2} \left( m_{A_0}^2 + m_Z^2 \mp \sqrt{(m_{A_0}^2 - m_Z^2)^2 + 4m_{A_0}^2 m_Z^2 \sin^2 2\beta} \right) \quad (11.60)$$

with

$$\begin{pmatrix} \phi_d \\ \phi_u \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \alpha & -\sin \alpha \\ \sin \alpha & \cos \alpha \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} H \\ h \end{pmatrix}, \quad \frac{\tan 2\alpha}{\tan 2\beta} = \frac{m_{A_0}^2 + m_Z^2}{m_{A_0}^2 - m_Z^2}. \quad (11.61)$$

The mixing  $\alpha$  is stored in ALPHA block of SLHA, while HMX stores

$$\mu = \mu, \quad \tan \beta = \tan \beta, \quad v = v_{\text{SM}} (\sim 246 \text{ GeV}), \quad m_A^2 = \frac{2b}{\sin 2\beta}, \quad (11.62)$$

at the scale specified. The above discussion holds even with  $CP$ -violation, but quantum corrections mix the three Higgs bosons; such information should be stored in (IM)VCHMIX. ♣TODO:discuss when needed♣

**Mass terms in the Lagrangian** The other mass terms are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} \supset & m_W^2 W^{+\mu} W_\mu^- + \frac{1}{2} m_Z^2 Z_\mu Z^\mu - \frac{1}{2} M_3 \tilde{g}_0^a \tilde{g}_0^a - \frac{1}{2} M_3^* \tilde{g}_0^a \tilde{g}_0^a \\ & + \left( -\frac{1}{2} M_1 \tilde{b} \tilde{b} - \frac{1}{2} M_2 \tilde{w}^3 \tilde{w}^3 + \mu \tilde{h}_d^0 \tilde{h}_d^0 + c_\beta m_Z s_w \tilde{h}_d^0 \tilde{b} - c_w c_\beta m_Z \tilde{h}_d^0 \tilde{w}^3 - m_Z s_w s_\beta \tilde{h}_d^0 \tilde{b} + c_w m_Z s_\beta \tilde{h}_d^0 \tilde{w}^3 + \text{h.c.} \right) \\ & - M_2 \tilde{w}^+ \tilde{w}^- - \mu \tilde{h}_u^+ \tilde{h}_d^- - M_2^* \tilde{w}^+ \tilde{w}^- - \mu^* \tilde{h}_u^+ \tilde{h}_d^- - \sqrt{2} m_W \left( c_\beta \tilde{h}_d^- \tilde{w}^+ + s_\beta \tilde{h}_u^+ \tilde{w}^- + c_\beta \tilde{h}_d^- \tilde{w}^+ + s_\beta \tilde{h}_u^+ \tilde{w}^- \right) \\ & - v_u y_{uij} u_{Ri}^c u_{Lj} - v_d y_{dij} d_{Ri}^c d_{Lj} - v_d y_{eij} e_{Ri}^c e_{Lj} - v_u y_{uij}^* \tilde{u}_{Ri}^c \tilde{u}_{Lj} - v_d y_{dij}^* \tilde{d}_{Ri}^c \tilde{d}_{Lj} - v_d y_{eij}^* \tilde{e}_{Ri}^c \tilde{e}_{Lj} \\ & - \tilde{u}_L^* \left( m_Q^2 + v_u^2 y_u^\dagger y_u + \frac{3 - 4s_w^2}{6} c_{2\beta} m_Z^2 \right) \tilde{u}_L - \tilde{u}_R^* \left( m_{U^c}^2 + v_u^2 y_u y_u^\dagger + \frac{4s_w^2}{6} c_{2\beta} m_Z^2 \right) \tilde{u}_R \\ & - v_u a_{uij} \tilde{u}_{Ri}^* \tilde{u}_{Lj} + v_d \mu^* y_{uij} \tilde{u}_{Ri}^* \tilde{u}_{Lj} - v_u a_{uij}^* \tilde{u}_{Ri} \tilde{u}_{Lj}^* + v_d \mu y_{uij} \tilde{u}_{Ri} \tilde{u}_{Lj}^* \\ & - \tilde{d}_L^* \left( m_Q^2 + v_d^2 y_d^\dagger y_d + \frac{-3 + 2s_w^2}{6} c_{2\beta} m_Z^2 \right) \tilde{d}_L - \tilde{d}_R^* \left( m_{D^c}^2 + v_d^2 y_d y_d^\dagger + \frac{-2s_w^2}{6} c_{2\beta} m_Z^2 \right) \tilde{d}_R \\ & - v_d a_{dij} \tilde{d}_{Ri}^* \tilde{d}_{Lj} + v_u \mu^* y_{dij} \tilde{d}_{Ri}^* \tilde{d}_{Lj} - v_d a_{dij}^* \tilde{d}_{Ri} \tilde{d}_{Lj}^* + v_u \mu y_{dij} \tilde{d}_{Ri} \tilde{d}_{Lj}^* \\ & - \tilde{\nu}_L^* \left( m_L^2 + \frac{1}{2} c_{2\beta} m_Z^2 \right) \tilde{\nu}_L \\ & - \tilde{e}_L^* \left( m_L^2 + v_d^2 y_e^\dagger y_e + \frac{-1 + 2s_w^2}{2} c_{2\beta} m_Z^2 \right) \tilde{e}_L - \tilde{e}_R^* \left( m_{E^c}^2 + v_d^2 y_e y_e^\dagger + (-s_w^2) c_{2\beta} m_Z^2 \right) \tilde{e}_R \\ & - v_d a_{eij} \tilde{e}_{Ri}^* \tilde{e}_{Lj} + v_u \mu^* y_{eij} \tilde{e}_{Ri}^* \tilde{e}_{Lj} - v_d a_{eij}^* \tilde{e}_{Ri} \tilde{e}_{Lj}^* + v_u \mu y_{eij} \tilde{e}_{Ri} \tilde{e}_{Lj}^*, \end{aligned} \quad (11.63)$$

where, at the tree level, the gauge boson mass  $m_W$  and  $m_Z$ , the gluino mass  $M_3$ , and matter-fermion masses  $v_u y_u$ ,  $v_d y_d$ , and  $v_d y_e$  are given with the “correct” sign (as far as  $M_3 > 0$ , etc.).

**Neutralinos and charginos** The mass matrices for neutralinos and charginos are given by

$$\begin{aligned} -\mathcal{L} \supset & \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{b} \\ \tilde{w}^3 \\ \tilde{h}_d^0 \\ \tilde{h}_u^0 \end{pmatrix}^T \begin{pmatrix} M_1 & 0 & -c_\beta s_w m_Z & +s_\beta s_w m_Z \\ 0 & M_2 & +c_\beta c_w m_Z & -s_\beta c_w m_Z \\ -c_\beta s_w m_Z & +c_\beta c_w m_Z & 0 & -\mu \\ +s_\beta s_w m_Z & -s_\beta c_w m_Z & -\mu & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{b} \\ \tilde{w}^3 \\ \tilde{h}_d^0 \\ \tilde{h}_u^0 \end{pmatrix} + \text{h.c.} \\ & + (\tilde{w}^- \quad \tilde{h}_d^-) \begin{pmatrix} M_2 & \sqrt{2} s_\beta m_W \\ \sqrt{2} c_\beta m_W & \mu \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{w}^+ \\ \tilde{h}_u^+ \end{pmatrix} + (\tilde{w}^- \quad \tilde{h}_d^-) \begin{pmatrix} M_2^* & \sqrt{2} s_\beta m_W \\ \sqrt{2} c_\beta m_W & \mu^* \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{w}^+ \\ \tilde{h}_u^+ \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (11.64)$$



Note that the mass matrices themselves are the same as those in SLHA convention,  $\mathcal{M}_{\tilde{\psi}0}$  and  $\mathcal{M}_{\tilde{\psi}+}$ , while the fields are in different convention. Therefore, we continue our discussion based only on the mass matrices so that the discussion is free from the choice of field convention.

As  $\mathcal{M}_{\tilde{\psi}0}$  is a complex symmetric matrix, there is a unitary matrix  $\tilde{N}$  such that  $M_{\tilde{\psi}0} = \tilde{N}^* \mathcal{M}_{\tilde{\psi}0} \tilde{N}^\dagger$ , where  $M_{\tilde{\psi}0}$  is a *positive* diagonal matrix whose elements are (non-negative) singular values of  $\mathcal{M}_{\tilde{\psi}0}$  and in increasing order (Autonne-Takagi factorization). In SLHA2 convention with  $CP$ -violation, this matrix  $\tilde{N}$  is stored as the (IM)NMIX blocks and the (positive) masses are stored in the MASS block. Meanwhile, if  $M_1$ ,  $M_2$  and  $\mu$  are real,  $\mathcal{M}_{\tilde{\psi}0}$  is a real symmetric matrix and there is a real orthogonal matrix  $\hat{N}$  such that  $\hat{M}_{\tilde{\psi}0} = \hat{N}^* \mathcal{M}_{\tilde{\psi}0} \hat{N}^\dagger = \hat{N} \mathcal{M}_{\tilde{\psi}0} \hat{N}^T$ , where  $\hat{M}_{\tilde{\psi}0}$  is a *real* diagonal matrix whose elements are the eigenvalues of  $\mathcal{M}_{\tilde{\psi}0}$  and in absolute-value-increasing order (spectral theorem). This matrix  $\hat{N}$  is the NMIX block of SLHA convention and  $\hat{M}_{ii}$  is stored in the MASS block, hence MASS block may have negative values for neutralinos.

The chargino mass matrix  $\mathcal{M}_{\tilde{\psi}+}$  is decomposed as  $M_{\tilde{\psi}+} = U^* \mathcal{M}_{\tilde{\psi}+} V^\dagger$ , where  $U$  and  $V$  are unitary matrices and the elements of the diagonal matrix  $M_{\tilde{\psi}+}$  are singular values of  $\mathcal{M}_{\tilde{\psi}+}$  (thus non-negative) and sorted in increasing order (singular value decomposition). These  $U$  and  $V$  are stored in (IM)UMIX and (IM)VMIX, and the singular values are stored in MASS block. Because the SVD theorem is closed in  $\mathbb{R}$ , if  $M_2$  and  $\mu$  are real,  $U$  and  $V$  can be real, and the IM-blocks are omitted.

In summary,

$$M_{\tilde{\psi}0} = \tilde{N}^* \mathcal{M}_{\tilde{\psi}0} \tilde{N}^\dagger, \quad \tilde{N} = (\text{IM})\text{NMIX}, \quad (\text{MASS}) = [M_{\tilde{\psi}0}]_{ii} \geq 0 \quad (\text{singular values}); \quad (11.65)$$

$$\hat{M}_{\tilde{\psi}0} = \hat{N} \mathcal{M}_{\tilde{\psi}0} \hat{N}^T, \quad \hat{N} = \text{NMIX}, \quad (\text{MASS}) = [\hat{M}_{\tilde{\psi}0}]_{ii} \in \mathbb{R} \quad (\text{eigenvalues}); \quad (11.66)$$

$$M_{\tilde{\psi}+} = U^* \mathcal{M}_{\tilde{\psi}+} V^\dagger, \quad U = (\text{IM})\text{UMIX}, \quad V = (\text{IM})\text{VMIX}, \quad (\text{MASS}) = [M_{\tilde{\psi}+}]_{ii} \geq 0 \quad (\text{singular values}). \quad (11.67)$$

Note that the singular values are equal to absolute values of the eigenvalues, which guarantees consistency of the two decomposition.

We then define matrix  $N$  by<sup>\*24</sup>

$$N = \begin{cases} \tilde{N} \\ \text{diag}(\varphi_i) \cdot \tilde{N} \end{cases} = \text{diag}(\varphi_i) \cdot ((\text{NMIX}) + i(\text{IMNMIX})); \quad \varphi_i = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } (\text{MASS})_i \geq 0, \\ i & \text{if } (\text{MASS})_i < 0. \end{cases} \quad (11.68)$$

It gives the proper mass diagonalization in both of the NMIX convention:

$$N^* \mathcal{M}_{\tilde{\psi}0} N^\dagger = \begin{cases} \tilde{N}^* \mathcal{M}_{\tilde{\psi}0} \tilde{N}^\dagger = M_{\tilde{\psi}0}, \\ \text{diag}(\varphi_i^*) \tilde{N}^* \mathcal{M}_{\tilde{\psi}0} \tilde{N}^\dagger \text{diag}(\varphi_i^*) = \text{diag}(\varphi_i^*) \hat{M}_{\tilde{\psi}0} \text{diag}(\varphi_i^*) \end{cases} = M_{\tilde{\psi}0} \quad (\text{neutralino masses} \geq 0). \quad (11.69)$$

Noting that the discussion up here is irrelevant of the convention, we have the neutralino/chargino mass eigenstates,

$$\tilde{\chi}_i^0 = N_{ij} \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{b} \\ \tilde{w}_0^3 \\ \tilde{h}_d^0 \\ \tilde{h}_u^0 \end{pmatrix}_j, \quad \tilde{\chi}_i^+ = V_{ij} \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{w}_+^+ \\ \tilde{h}_u^+ \end{pmatrix}_j, \quad \tilde{\chi}_i^- = U_{ij} \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{w}_-^- \\ \tilde{h}_d^- \end{pmatrix}_j, \quad (11.70)$$

in our convention and the mass terms are now

$$-\mathcal{L} \supset \frac{1}{2} (\tilde{\chi}^0)^T M_{\tilde{\psi}0} \tilde{\chi}^0 + (\tilde{\chi}^-)^T M_{\tilde{\psi}+} \tilde{\chi}^+ + \text{h.c.} \quad (11.71)$$

**Quarks, leptons, and super-CKM basis** We here take the super-CKM basis. In the “original” Lagrangian,

$$-\mathcal{L} \supset u_R^c (v_u y_u) u_L + d_R^c (v_d y_d) d_L + e_R^c (v_e y_e) e_L + \text{h.c.} \quad (11.72)$$

$$= u_R^c (v_u U_u y_u^{\text{diag}} V_u^\dagger) u_L + d_R^c (v_d U_d y_d^{\text{diag}} V_d^\dagger) d_L + e_R^c (v_e U_e y_e^{\text{diag}} V_e^\dagger) e_L + \text{h.c.}, \quad (11.73)$$

so the super-CKM basis is given by

$$[Q^1, Q^2, L, U^c, D^c, E^c]_{\text{super-CKM}} = [V_u^\dagger Q^1, V_d^\dagger Q^2, V_e^\dagger L, U^c U_u, D^c U_d, E^c U_e]_{\text{“original”}}. \quad (11.74)$$

Then the CKM mixings appear as, for example,

$$[\bar{u}_L \bar{\sigma}^\mu d_L W_\mu^+ + \bar{d}_L \bar{\sigma}^\mu u_L W_\mu^-]_{\text{“original”}} = [\bar{u}_L V_u^\dagger V_d \bar{\sigma}^\mu d_L W_\mu^+ + \bar{d}_L V_d^\dagger V_u \bar{\sigma}^\mu u_L W_\mu^-]_{\text{super-CKM}}; \quad (11.75)$$

i.e., defining  $V_{\text{CKM}} = V_u^\dagger V_d$  as in Sec. 7.5, the Lagrangian is amended as, e.g.,  $\bar{u}_L d_L \rightarrow \bar{u}_L V_{\text{CKM}} d_L$ ,  $\bar{d}_L^* \tilde{u}_L \rightarrow \bar{d}_L^* V_{\text{CKM}}^\dagger \tilde{u}_L$ .

<sup>\*24</sup>The sign of  $\varphi_i$  is arbitrary and (should be) unphysical.

**Squark masses in super-CKM basis** Finally, the squark masses are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
-\mathcal{L} \supset & \tilde{u}_L^* \left( m_Q^2 + m_u^2 + \frac{3-4s_w^2}{6} c_{2\beta} m_Z^2 \right) \tilde{u}_L + \tilde{u}_R^* \left( m_{U^c}^2 + m_u^2 + \frac{4s_w^2}{6} c_{2\beta} m_Z^2 \right) \tilde{u}_R \\
& + \tilde{u}_L^* (v_u a_u - \mu^* m_u \cot \beta) \tilde{u}_L + \tilde{u}_L^* (v_u a_u^\dagger - \mu m_u \cot \beta) \tilde{u}_R \\
& + \tilde{d}_L^* \left( V_d^\dagger (V_u m_Q^2 V_u^\dagger) V_d + m_d^2 + \frac{-3+2s_w^2}{6} c_{2\beta} m_Z^2 \right) \tilde{d}_L + \tilde{d}_R^* \left( m_{D^c}^2 + m_d^2 + \frac{-2s_w^2}{6} c_{2\beta} m_Z^2 \right) \tilde{d}_R \\
& + \tilde{d}_L^* (v_d a_d - \mu^* m_d \tan \beta) \tilde{d}_L + \tilde{d}_L^* (v_d a_d^\dagger - \mu m_d \tan \beta) \tilde{d}_R \\
& + \tilde{\nu}_L^* \left( m_L^2 + \frac{1}{2} c_{2\beta} m_Z^2 \right) \tilde{\nu}_L \\
& + \tilde{e}_L^* \left( m_L^2 + m_e^2 + \frac{-1+2s_w^2}{2} c_{2\beta} m_Z^2 \right) + \tilde{e}_R^* (m_{E^c}^2 + m_e^2 + (-s_w^2) c_{2\beta} m_Z^2) \tilde{e}_R \\
& + \tilde{e}_L^* (v_e a_e - \mu^* m_e \tan \beta) \tilde{e}_L + \tilde{e}_L^* (v_e a_e^\dagger - \mu m_e \tan \beta) \tilde{e}_R,
\end{aligned} \tag{11.76}$$

where the sfermion soft masses, yukawas, and  $a$ -terms are rewritten in super-CKM basis:

$$[m_Q^2, m_{U^c}^2, m_{D^c}^2, m_L^2, m_{E^c}^2]_{\text{super-CKM}} = [V_d^\dagger m_Q^2 V_d, U_u^\dagger m_{U^c}^2 U_u, U_d^\dagger m_{D^c}^2 U_d, V_e^\dagger m_L^2 V_e, U_e^\dagger m_{E^c}^2 U_e]_{\text{original}}, \tag{11.77}$$

$$[a_u, a_d, a_e]_{\text{super-CKM}} = [U_u^\dagger a_u V_u, U_d^\dagger a_d V_d, U_e^\dagger a_e V_e]_{\text{original}} \tag{11.78}$$

(note that  $m_Q^2$  is diagonalized for down-type; not for up-type). In matrix form,

$$\begin{aligned}
-\mathcal{L} \supset & \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{u}_{Li}^* \\ \tilde{u}_{Ri}^* \end{pmatrix}^T \begin{pmatrix} [V_{\text{CKM}} m_Q^2 V_{\text{CKM}}^\dagger]_{ij} + \left( m_u^2 + \frac{3-4s_w^2}{6} c_{2\beta} m_Z^2 \right) \delta_{ij} & v_u [a_u^\dagger]_{ij} - (\mu m_u \cot \beta) \delta_{ij} \\ v_u [a_u]_{ij} - (\mu^* m_u \cot \beta) \delta_{ij} & [m_{U^c}^2]_{ij} + \left( m_u^2 + \frac{2s_w^2}{3} c_{2\beta} m_Z^2 \right) \delta_{ij} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{u}_{Lj} \\ \tilde{u}_{Rj} \end{pmatrix} \\
& + \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{d}_{Li}^* \\ \tilde{d}_{Ri}^* \end{pmatrix}^T \begin{pmatrix} [m_Q^2]_{ij} + \left( m_d^2 + \frac{-3+2s_w^2}{6} c_{2\beta} m_Z^2 \right) \delta_{ij} & v_d [a_d^\dagger]_{ij} - (\mu m_d \tan \beta) \delta_{ij} \\ v_d [a_d]_{ij} - (\mu^* m_d \tan \beta) \delta_{ij} & [m_{D^c}^2]_{ij} + \left( m_d^2 - \frac{s_w^2}{3} c_{2\beta} m_Z^2 \right) \delta_{ij} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{d}_{Lj} \\ \tilde{d}_{Rj} \end{pmatrix} \\
& + \tilde{\nu}_{Li}^* \left( [m_L^2]_{ij} + \left( \frac{1}{2} c_{2\beta} m_Z^2 \right) \delta_{ij} \right) \tilde{\nu}_{Lj} \\
& + \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{e}_{Li}^* \\ \tilde{e}_{Ri}^* \end{pmatrix}^T \begin{pmatrix} [m_L^2]_{ij} + \left( m_e^2 + \frac{-1+2s_w^2}{2} c_{2\beta} m_Z^2 \right) \delta_{ij} & v_e [a_e^\dagger]_{ij} - (\mu m_e \tan \beta) \delta_{ij} \\ v_e [a_e]_{ij} - (\mu^* m_e \tan \beta) \delta_{ij} & [m_{E^c}^2]_{ij} + \left( m_e^2 - s_w^2 c_{2\beta} m_Z^2 \right) \delta_{ij} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{e}_{Lj} \\ \tilde{e}_{Rj} \end{pmatrix}
\end{aligned} \tag{11.79}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{u}_{Li}^* \\ \tilde{u}_{Ri}^* \end{pmatrix}^T \mathcal{M}_u \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{u}_{Lj} \\ \tilde{u}_{Rj} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{d}_{Li}^* \\ \tilde{d}_{Ri}^* \end{pmatrix}^T \mathcal{M}_d \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{d}_{Lj} \\ \tilde{d}_{Rj} \end{pmatrix} + \tilde{\nu}_L^* \mathcal{M}_\nu \tilde{\nu}_L + \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{e}_{Li}^* \\ \tilde{e}_{Ri}^* \end{pmatrix}^T \mathcal{M}_e \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{e}_{Lj} \\ \tilde{e}_{Rj} \end{pmatrix} \tag{11.80}$$

The sfermion mass matrices are diagonalized by unitary matrices as

$$\mathcal{M} = R \mathcal{M}^{\text{diag}} R^\dagger; \quad \tilde{f}_i = R_{ij} \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{f}_L \\ \tilde{f}_R \end{pmatrix}_j = (R_{ij}^L \quad R_{ij}^R) \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{f}_{Lj} \\ \tilde{f}_{Rj} \end{pmatrix}; \quad \tilde{f}_{Li} = [R^{L\dagger}]_{ij} \tilde{f}_i, \quad \tilde{f}_{Ri} = [R^{R\dagger}]_{ij} \tilde{f}_i. \tag{11.81}$$

where  $R_{ij}$  is  $6 \times 6$  and  $R_{ij}^{L,R}$  are  $3 \times 6$  matrices (except for sneutrinos).

These  $R$ -matrices are the same as DSQMIX etc. of SLHA2 format, but note that our notation for the other parameters is slightly different from SLHA's:

$$m_{\tilde{Q},\tilde{L}}^2 = m_{Q,L}^2|_{\text{orig}}, \quad m_{\tilde{u},\tilde{d},\tilde{e}}^2 = (m_{U^c,D^c,E^c}^2|_{\text{orig}})^T, \quad T_{U,D,E} = (a_{u,d,e}|_{\text{orig}})^T, \tag{11.82}$$

$$\hat{m}_{\tilde{Q},\tilde{L}}^2 = m_{Q,L}^2|_{\text{SCKM}}, \quad \hat{m}_{\tilde{u},\tilde{d},\tilde{e}}^2 = U^\dagger T^T V = m_{U^c,D^c,E^c}^2|_{\text{SCKM}}, \quad \hat{T}_{U,D,E} = U^\dagger T^T V = a_{u,d,e}|_{\text{SCKM}}, \tag{11.83}$$

together with  $Y_{u,d,e} = (y_{u,d,e})^T$ . Anyway, the SLHA2 blocks corresponds to the variable in our convention as

$$(\text{IM})\text{MSX2}(\text{IN}) = m_{Q,L,U^c,D^c,E^c}^2|_{\text{SCKM};\overline{\text{DR}}}, \quad (\text{IM})\text{TX}(\text{IN}) = a_{u,d,e}|_{\text{SCKM};\overline{\text{DR}}}, \quad YX = y_{u,d,e}|_{\text{SCKM};\overline{\text{DR}}}. \tag{11.84}$$

The sfermion mass matrices above are in super-CKM basis, so their off-diagonal entries immediately induce flavor violation or sfermion left-right mixing. In old SLHA format, we assume that flavor- and  $CP$ -violation is absent and left-right mixing is ignorable except for third generation, which leads

$$\mathcal{M}_d = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{m}_{dL11}^2 & & & & & \\ & \tilde{m}_{dL22}^2 & & & & \\ & & \tilde{m}_{dL33}^2 & & & \\ & & & \tilde{m}_{dR11}^2 & & \\ & & & & \tilde{m}_{dR22}^2 & \\ & & & & & \tilde{m}_{dR33}^2 \end{pmatrix}; \quad \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{d}_L \\ \tilde{s}_L \\ \tilde{b}_1 \\ \tilde{d}_R \\ \tilde{s}_R \\ \tilde{b}_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & & & \\ & F_{11} & & & & \\ & & 1 & & & \\ & & & F_{21} & & \\ & & & & 1 & \\ & & & & & F_{22} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{d}_{Lj} \\ \tilde{d}_{Rj} \end{pmatrix} \tag{11.85}$$

and these  $F_{ij}$  are stored in SBOTMIX etc.

**Squark masses in super-CKM basis** The gluino mass and phase is given by

$$m_{\tilde{g}} = |M_3|; \quad M_3 = m_{\tilde{g}} e^{i\theta_3} = m_{\tilde{g}} \varphi_{\tilde{g}}^{-2}; \quad \tilde{g}_0 = \varphi_{\tilde{g}} \tilde{g}. \tag{11.86}$$

### 11.4.2. Fermion composition

Now we show the fermion-related Lagrangian terms verbosely. In super-CKM basis, the interaction terms are

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_{\text{fermions}} + \mathcal{L}_{\text{SFG}} = & i\bar{\chi}_i^0 \bar{\sigma}^\mu \partial_\mu \chi_i^0 + i\bar{\chi}_i^- \bar{\sigma}^\mu \partial_\mu \chi_i^- + i\bar{\chi}_i^+ \bar{\sigma}^\mu \partial_\mu \chi_i^+ + i\bar{g}^a \bar{\sigma}^\mu \partial_\mu g^a + i\bar{u}_{Li} \bar{\sigma}^\mu \partial_\mu u_{Li} + i\bar{u}_{Ri} \bar{\sigma}^\mu \partial_\mu u_{Ri} \\
& + i\bar{d}_{Li} \bar{\sigma}^\mu \partial_\mu d_{Li} + i\bar{d}_{Ri} \bar{\sigma}^\mu \partial_\mu d_{Ri} + i\bar{\nu}_{Li} \bar{\sigma}^\mu \partial_\mu \nu_{Li} + i\bar{e}_{Li} \bar{\sigma}^\mu \partial_\mu e_{Li} + i\bar{e}_{Ri} \bar{\sigma}^\mu \partial_\mu e_{Ri} - \frac{m_{\tilde{g}}}{2} (\tilde{g}^a \tilde{g}^a + \text{h.c.}) \\
& - m_{\tilde{\chi}_i^\pm} (\tilde{\chi}_i^- \tilde{\chi}_i^+ + \tilde{\chi}_i^- \tilde{\chi}_i^+) - m_{di} (d_{Ri}^\dagger d_{Li} + \bar{d}_{Ri}^\dagger \bar{d}_{Li}^\dagger) - m_{ui} (u_{Ri}^\dagger u_{Lj} + \bar{u}_{Ri}^\dagger \bar{u}_{Li}) - m_{ei} (e_{Ri}^\dagger e_{Li} + \bar{e}_{Ri}^\dagger \bar{e}_{Li}) \\
& + \left[ \sqrt{2} g_3 \varphi_{\tilde{g}} \tilde{g}^a (\tau^{yaa*} \tilde{d}_R^c d_R^c + \tau^{yaa*} \tilde{u}_R^c u_R^c - \tau^{yaa*} \tilde{d}_L^c d_L^c - \tau^{yaa*} \tilde{u}_L^c u_L^c) + \text{h.c.} \right] - i g_3 f^{abc} g_\mu^a (\tilde{g}^b \bar{\sigma}^\mu \tilde{g}^c) \\
& + g_3 g_\mu^a (\bar{d}_L \bar{\sigma}^\mu \tau^a d_L + \bar{u}_L \bar{\sigma}^\mu \tau^a u_L - \bar{d}_R \bar{\sigma}^\mu \tau^a d_R - \bar{u}_R \bar{\sigma}^\mu \tau^a u_R) + A_\mu |e| \left[ \frac{2}{3} \bar{u}_L \bar{\sigma}^\mu u_L - \frac{2}{3} \bar{u}_R \bar{\sigma}^\mu u_R - \frac{1}{3} \bar{d}_L \bar{\sigma}^\mu d_L \right. \\
& + \frac{1}{3} \bar{d}_R \bar{\sigma}^\mu d_R - \bar{e}_L \bar{\sigma}^\mu e_L + \bar{e}_R \bar{\sigma}^\mu e_R + \tilde{\chi}_i^+ \bar{\sigma}^\mu \tilde{\chi}_i^+ - \tilde{\chi}_i^- \bar{\sigma}^\mu \tilde{\chi}_i^- \left. \right] + g_Z Z_\mu \left[ \frac{3 - 4s_w^2}{6} \bar{u}_L \bar{\sigma}^\mu u_L + \frac{2s_w^2}{3} \bar{u}_R \bar{\sigma}^\mu u_R \right. \\
& - \frac{3 - 2s_w^2}{6} \bar{d}_L \bar{\sigma}^\mu d_L - \frac{s_w^2}{3} \bar{d}_R \bar{\sigma}^\mu d_R + \frac{1}{2} \bar{\nu}_L \bar{\sigma}^\mu \nu_L + \frac{2s_w^2 - 1}{2} \bar{e}_L \bar{\sigma}^\mu e_L - s_w^2 \bar{e}_R \bar{\sigma}^\mu e_R + \frac{N_{j3} N_{i3}^* - N_{j4} N_{i4}^*}{2} \tilde{\chi}_j^0 \bar{\sigma}^\mu \tilde{\chi}_i^0 \\
& + \left( c_w^2 V_{j1} V_{i1}^* + \frac{V_{j2} V_{i2}^* (c_w^2 - s_w^2)}{2} \right) \tilde{\chi}_j^+ \bar{\sigma}^\mu \tilde{\chi}_i^+ + \left( \frac{U_{j2} U_{i2}^* (s_w^2 - c_w^2)}{2} - c_w^2 U_{j1} U_{i1}^* \right) \tilde{\chi}_j^- \bar{\sigma}^\mu \tilde{\chi}_i^- \left. \right] \\
& + \frac{g_2}{\sqrt{2}} W_\mu^+ \left[ \bar{u}_L \bar{\sigma}^\mu V_{\text{CKM}} d_L + \bar{\nu}_L \bar{\sigma}^\mu e_L + (V_{j2} N_{i4}^* - \sqrt{2} V_{j1} N_{i2}^*) \tilde{\chi}_j^+ \bar{\sigma}^\mu \tilde{\chi}_i^0 + (\sqrt{2} N_{j2} U_{i1}^* + N_{j3} U_{i2}^*) \tilde{\chi}_j^0 \bar{\sigma}^\mu \tilde{\chi}_i^- \right] \\
& + \frac{g_2}{\sqrt{2}} W_\mu^- \left[ \bar{d}_L \bar{\sigma}^\mu V_{\text{CKM}}^\dagger u_L + \bar{e}_L \bar{\sigma}^\mu \nu_L + (N_{j4} V_{i2}^* - \sqrt{2} N_{j2} V_{i1}^*) \tilde{\chi}_j^0 \bar{\sigma}^\mu \tilde{\chi}_i^+ + (\sqrt{2} U_{j1} N_{i2}^* + U_{j2} N_{i3}^*) \tilde{\chi}_j^- \bar{\sigma}^\mu \tilde{\chi}_i^0 \right] \\
& + \phi_u \left( -\frac{y_{ui}}{\sqrt{2}} u_{Ri}^\dagger u_{Li} + \frac{N_{i4}^* (g_2 N_{j2}^* - g_Y N_{j1}^*)}{2} \tilde{\chi}_i^0 \tilde{\chi}_j^0 - \frac{g_2 U_{i1}^* V_{j2}^*}{\sqrt{2}} \tilde{\chi}_i^- \tilde{\chi}_j^+ + \text{h.c.} \right) \\
& + \phi_d \left( -\frac{y_{di}}{\sqrt{2}} d_{Ri}^\dagger d_{Li} - \frac{y_{ei}}{\sqrt{2}} e_{Ri}^\dagger e_{Li} + \frac{N_{i3}^* (g_Y N_{j1}^* - g_2 N_{j2}^*)}{2} \tilde{\chi}_i^0 \tilde{\chi}_j^0 - \frac{g_2 U_{i2}^* V_{j1}^*}{\sqrt{2}} \tilde{\chi}_i^- \tilde{\chi}_j^+ + \text{h.c.} \right) \\
& + \frac{A^0}{\sqrt{2}} \left[ -i c_{\beta 0} y_{ui} u_{Ri}^\dagger u_{Li} - i s_{\beta 0} y_{di} d_{Ri}^\dagger d_{Li} - i s_{\beta 0} y_{ei} e_{Ri}^\dagger e_{Li} - \frac{i (s_{\beta 0} N_{i3}^* - c_{\beta 0} N_{i4}^*) (g_Y N_{j1}^* - g_2 N_{j2}^*)}{\sqrt{2}} \tilde{\chi}_i^0 \tilde{\chi}_j^0 \right. \\
& + i g_2 (c_{\beta 0} U_{i1}^* V_{j2}^* + s_{\beta 0} U_{i2}^* V_{j1}^*) \tilde{\chi}_i^- \tilde{\chi}_j^+ + \text{h.c.} \left. \right] + \frac{G^0}{\sqrt{2}} \left[ -i s_{\beta 0} y_{ui} u_{Ri}^\dagger u_{Li} + i c_{\beta 0} y_{di} d_{Ri}^\dagger d_{Li} + i c_{\beta 0} y_{ei} e_{Ri}^\dagger e_{Li} \right. \\
& + \frac{i (g_Y N_{j1}^* - g_2 N_{j2}^*) (c_{\beta 0} N_{i3}^* + s_{\beta 0} N_{i4}^*)}{\sqrt{2}} \tilde{\chi}_i^0 \tilde{\chi}_j^0 - i g_2 (c_{\beta 0} U_{i2}^* V_{j1}^* - s_{\beta 0} U_{i1}^* V_{j2}^*) \tilde{\chi}_i^- \tilde{\chi}_j^+ + \text{h.c.} \left. \right] \\
& + \left[ \left( \frac{U_{i2}^* (g_Y N_{j1}^* + g_2 N_{j2}^*)}{\sqrt{2}} - g_2 U_{i1}^* N_{j3}^* \right) s_{\beta+} H^+ \tilde{\chi}_i^- \tilde{\chi}_j^0 - \left( g_2 V_{i1}^* N_{j4}^* + \frac{V_{i2}^* (g_Y N_{j1}^* + g_2 N_{j2}^*)}{\sqrt{2}} \right) c_{\beta+} H^- \tilde{\chi}_i^+ \tilde{\chi}_j^0 \right. \\
& + c_{\beta+} (u_{Ri}^\dagger u_{Lj} V_{\text{CKM}} d_L) H^+ + s_{\beta+} (d_{Ri}^\dagger d_{Lj} V_{\text{CKM}}^\dagger u_L) H^- + s_{\beta+} (e_{Ri}^\dagger e_{Lj} V_{\text{CKM}}^\dagger \nu_L) H^- + \text{h.c.} \left. \right] \\
& + \left[ i G^+ c_{\beta+} \left( \frac{U_{i2}^* (g_Y N_{j1}^* + g_2 N_{j2}^*)}{\sqrt{2}} - g_2 U_{i1}^* N_{j3}^* \right) \tilde{\chi}_i^- \tilde{\chi}_j^0 - i G^- s_{\beta+} \left( g_2 V_{i1}^* N_{j4}^* + \frac{V_{i2}^* (g_Y N_{j1}^* + g_2 N_{j2}^*)}{\sqrt{2}} \right) \tilde{\chi}_i^+ \tilde{\chi}_j^0 \right. \\
& - i s_{\beta+} (u_{Ri}^\dagger u_{Lj} V_{\text{CKM}} d_L) G^+ - i c_{\beta+} (d_{Ri}^\dagger d_{Lj} V_{\text{CKM}}^\dagger u_L) G^- - i c_{\beta+} (e_{Ri}^\dagger e_{Lj} V_{\text{CKM}}^\dagger \nu_L) G^- + \text{h.c.} \left. \right] \\
& + \left[ -\frac{\tilde{u}_L^* u_L \tilde{\chi}_j^0 (g_Y N_{j1}^* + 3g_2 N_{j2}^*)}{3\sqrt{2}} + \frac{2}{3} \sqrt{2} g_Y \tilde{u}_R u_{Ri}^\dagger \tilde{\chi}_j^0 N_{j1}^* - y_{ui} u_{Ri}^\dagger \tilde{u}_L \tilde{\chi}_j^0 N_{j4}^* - y_{ui} \tilde{u}_R^* u_{Li} \tilde{\chi}_j^0 N_{j4}^* \right. \\
& - \frac{\tilde{d}_L^* d_L \tilde{\chi}_j^0 (g_Y N_{j1}^* - 3g_2 N_{j2}^*)}{3\sqrt{2}} - \frac{1}{3} \sqrt{2} g_Y \tilde{d}_R d_{Ri}^\dagger \tilde{\chi}_j^0 N_{j1}^* - y_{di} \tilde{d}_L d_{Ri}^\dagger \tilde{\chi}_j^0 N_{j3}^* - y_{di} \tilde{d}_R^* d_{Li} \tilde{\chi}_j^0 N_{j3}^* \\
& + \frac{\tilde{\nu}_L^* \nu_L \tilde{\chi}_j^0 (g_Y N_{j1}^* - g_2 N_{j2}^*)}{\sqrt{2}} - \sqrt{2} g_Y \tilde{\nu}_R \tilde{e}_{Ri}^\dagger \tilde{\chi}_j^0 N_{j1}^* + \frac{\tilde{e}_L^* e_L \tilde{\chi}_j^0 (g_Y N_{j1}^* + g_2 N_{j2}^*)}{\sqrt{2}} \\
& - y_{ei} \tilde{e}_{Li} e_{Ri}^\dagger \tilde{\chi}_j^0 N_{j3}^* - y_{ei} \tilde{e}_R^* e_{Li} \tilde{\chi}_j^0 N_{j3}^* + \text{h.c.} \left. \right] + \left[ V_{i2}^* (u_{Ri}^\dagger u_{Lj} V_{\text{CKM}} \tilde{d}_L) \tilde{\chi}_i^+ + V_{i2}^* (\tilde{u}_R^* u_{Lj} V_{\text{CKM}} d_L) \tilde{\chi}_i^+ \right. \\
& + U_{j2}^* (d_{Ri}^\dagger d_{Lj} V_{\text{CKM}}^\dagger \tilde{u}_L) \tilde{\chi}_j^- + U_{j2}^* (\tilde{d}_R^* d_{Lj} V_{\text{CKM}}^\dagger u_L) \tilde{\chi}_j^- - U_{j1}^* g_2 (\tilde{d}_L^* V_{\text{CKM}}^\dagger u_L) \tilde{\chi}_j^- - V_{i1}^* g_2 (\tilde{u}_L^* V_{\text{CKM}} d_L) \tilde{\chi}_i^+ \\
& - V_{i1}^* g_2 \tilde{\nu}_L^* e_{Lj} \tilde{\chi}_i^+ - U_{j1}^* g_2 \tilde{e}_L^* \nu_{Lj} \tilde{\chi}_j^- + U_{j2}^* y_{ei} \tilde{\nu}_L e_{Ri}^\dagger \tilde{\chi}_j^- + U_{j2}^* y_{ei} \tilde{e}_R^* \nu_{Li} \tilde{\chi}_j^- + \text{h.c.} \left. \right] \\
& - \epsilon^{xyz} \left( \lambda_{ijk}'' \tilde{d}_{Rk}^* u_{Ri}^{cy} d_{Rj}^{cz} + \frac{1}{2} \lambda_{kij}'' \tilde{u}_{Rk}^* d_{Ri}^{cy} d_{Rj}^{cz} \right) + \lambda_{ijk} (-\tilde{e}_{Rk}^* \nu_{Li} e_{Lj} - \tilde{e}_{Lj} e_{Rk}^* \nu_{Li} + \tilde{\nu}_{Lj} e_{Rk}^* e_{Li}) \\
& + \lambda_{ijk}' (-\tilde{d}_{Rk}^* d_{Lj} \nu_{Li} + \tilde{d}_{Rk}^* u_{Lj} e_{Li} - \tilde{d}_{Lj} d_{Rk}^* \nu_{Li} + \tilde{u}_{Lj} d_{Rk}^* e_{Li} + \tilde{e}_{Li} d_{Rk}^* u_{Lj} - \tilde{\nu}_{Li} d_{Rk}^* d_{Lj}) + \text{h.c.},
\end{aligned} \tag{11.87}$$

where  $R$ -parity violating terms are also shown as a reference; they are also redefined in super-CKM basis.

The full Lagrangian is given then by

$$\mathcal{L} = (\mathcal{L}_{\text{fermions}} + \mathcal{L}_{\text{SFG}}) + \mathcal{L}_{\text{vector}} + \mathcal{L}_{\text{scalar}}, \quad (11.88)$$

where  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{vector}}$  is given by Eq. (11.38), which should be amended with  $m_W^2 W^{+\mu} W_\mu^- + (m_Z^2/2) Z^\mu Z_\mu$ , mass and kinetic terms of  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{scalar}}$  should be read from this section, and the interaction terms of  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{scalar}}$  should be read from Eq. (11.43) and Eq. (11.44), replacing Higgs fields by VEVs, Higgs bosons, and Nambu-Goldstone bosons.

These Weyl fermions are combined to Dirac and Majorana fermions:

$$\tilde{\chi}_i^0 = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\chi}_i^0 \\ \tilde{\bar{\chi}}_i^0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \tilde{\chi}_i^+ = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\chi}_i^+ \\ \tilde{\bar{\chi}}_i^- \end{pmatrix}, \quad f = \begin{pmatrix} f_L \\ f_R^c \end{pmatrix}; \quad \tilde{\chi}_i^0 = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\chi}_i^0 \\ \tilde{\bar{\chi}}_i^0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \tilde{\chi}_i^+ = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\chi}_i^- \\ \tilde{\bar{\chi}}_i^+ \end{pmatrix}, \quad \bar{f} = \begin{pmatrix} f_R^c & \bar{f}_L \end{pmatrix}. \quad (11.89)$$

For example,

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{u} P_L d &= u_R^c d_L, \quad \bar{u} P_R d = \bar{u}_L \bar{d}_R^c, \quad u_R^c d_L + \text{h.c.} = \bar{u} P_L d + \bar{d} P_R u, \quad \bar{u} \gamma^\mu P_L d = \bar{u}_L \bar{\sigma}^\mu d_L, \quad \bar{u} \gamma^\mu P_R d = -\bar{d}_R^c \bar{\sigma}^\mu u_R^c; \\ \tilde{\chi}^0 u_L + \text{h.c.} &= \bar{\tilde{\chi}}^0 P_L u + \bar{u} P_R \tilde{\chi}^0, \quad \tilde{\chi}^0 u_R^c + \text{h.c.} = \bar{u} P_L \tilde{\chi}^0 + \bar{\tilde{\chi}}^0 P_R u, \\ \bar{u} \gamma^\mu P_{\{L,R\}} \tilde{\chi}^0 &= \{\bar{u}_L \bar{\sigma}^\mu \tilde{\chi}^0, -\bar{\tilde{\chi}}^0 \bar{\sigma}^\mu u_R^c\}, \quad \bar{\tilde{\chi}}^0 \gamma^\mu P_{\{L,R\}} u = \{\bar{\tilde{\chi}}^0 \bar{\sigma}^\mu u_L, -\bar{u}_R^c \bar{\sigma}^\mu \tilde{\chi}^0\}, \\ \tilde{\chi}_i^0 \bar{\sigma} \tilde{\chi}_j^0 &= \tilde{\bar{\chi}}_i^0 \gamma^\mu P_L \tilde{\chi}_j^0 = -\tilde{\bar{\chi}}_j^0 \gamma^\mu P_R \tilde{\chi}_i^0. \end{aligned}$$

With abbreviations

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{N}_{\phi_u})_{ij} &:= N_{i4}^* (g_2 N_{j2}^* - g_Y N_{j1}^*) P_L + N_{i4} (g_2 N_{j2} - g_Y N_{j1}) P_R, \\ (\mathcal{N}_{\phi_d})_{ij} &:= N_{i3}^* (g_Y N_{j1}^* - g_2 N_{j2}^*) P_L + N_{i3} (g_Y N_{j1} - g_2 N_{j2}) P_R, \\ (\mathcal{N}_{A^0})_{ij} &:= -(s_{\beta 0} N_{i3}^* - c_{\beta 0} N_{i4}^*) (g_Y N_{j1}^* - g_2 N_{j2}^*) P_L + (s_{\beta 0} N_{i3} - c_{\beta 0} N_{i4}) (g_Y N_{j1} - g_2 N_{j2}) P_R, \\ (\mathcal{N}_{G^0})_{ij} &:= (g_Y N_{j1}^* - g_2 N_{j2}^*) (c_{\beta 0} N_{i3}^* + s_{\beta 0} N_{i4}^*) P_L - (g_Y N_{j1} - g_2 N_{j2}) (c_{\beta 0} N_{i3} + s_{\beta 0} N_{i4}) P_R, \\ (\mathcal{C}_{\phi_u})_{ij} &:= -U_{i1}^* V_{j2}^* P_L - U_{i1} V_{j2} P_R, \\ (\mathcal{C}_{\phi_d})_{ij} &:= -U_{i2}^* V_{j1}^* P_L - U_{i2} V_{j1} P_R, \\ (\mathcal{C}_{A^0})_{ij} &:= (c_{\beta 0} U_{i1}^* V_{j2}^* + s_{\beta 0} U_{i2}^* V_{j1}^*) P_L - (c_{\beta 0} U_{i1} V_{j2} + s_{\beta 0} U_{i2} V_{j1}) P_R, \\ (\mathcal{C}_{G^0})_{ij} &:= -(c_{\beta 0} U_{i2}^* V_{j1}^* - s_{\beta 0} U_{i1}^* V_{j2}^*) P_L + (c_{\beta 0} U_{i2} V_{j1} - s_{\beta 0} U_{i1} V_{j2}) P_R, \\ (\mathcal{C}_{H^-})_{ij} &:= g_2 V_{i1}^* N_{j4}^* + \frac{V_{i2}^* (g_Y N_{j1}^* + g_2 N_{j2}^*)}{\sqrt{2}}, \\ (\mathcal{C}_{H^+})_{ij} &:= \frac{U_{i2}^* (g_Y N_{j1}^* + g_2 N_{j2}^*)}{\sqrt{2}} - g_2 U_{i1}^* N_{j3}^* \end{aligned}$$

we have, noting that squarks and sleptons are in super-CKM basis and not in mass eigenstates,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{L}_{\text{fermions}} + \mathcal{L}_{\text{SFG}} \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \bar{g}^a \left[ (i\not{\partial} - m_{\tilde{g}}) \delta^{ab} - ig_3 f^{cab} \not{g}^c \right] \tilde{g}^b + \frac{1}{2} \bar{\chi}_i^0 \left[ (i\not{\partial} - m_{\tilde{\chi}_i^0}) \delta_{ij} + g_Z (N_{i3} N_{j3}^* - N_{i4} N_{j4}^*) \not{Z} P_L \right] \tilde{\chi}_j^0 \\
&+ \bar{\chi}_i^+ \left[ (i\not{\partial} - m_{\tilde{\chi}_i^+} + |e|A) \delta_{ij} + g_Z \not{Z} \left( \frac{2c_w^2 V_{i1} V_{j1}^* + c_{2w} V_{i2} V_{j2}^*}{2} P_L + \frac{c_{2w} U_{i2} U_{j2}^* - 2c_w^2 U_{i1} U_{j1}^*}{2} P_R \right) \right] \tilde{\chi}_j^+ \\
&+ \bar{u}_i \left[ i\not{\partial} - m_{u_i} + g_3 \tau^a \not{g}^a + \frac{2|e|}{3} A + g_Z \not{Z} \left( \frac{3 - 4s_w^2}{6} P_L - \frac{2s_w^2}{3} P_R \right) \right] u_i \\
&+ \bar{d}_i \left[ i\not{\partial} - m_{d_i} + g_3 \tau^a \not{g}^a - \frac{|e|}{3} A + g_Z \not{Z} \left( -\frac{3 - 2s_w^2}{6} P_L + \frac{s_w^2}{3} P_R \right) \right] d_i \\
&+ \bar{\nu}_i \left( i\not{\partial} + \frac{g_Z}{2} \not{Z} P_L \right) \nu_i + \bar{e}_i \left[ i\not{\partial} - m_{e_i} - |e|A + g_Z \not{Z} \left( \frac{2s_w^2 - 1}{2} P_L + s_w^2 P_R \right) \right] e_i \\
&+ \frac{g_2}{\sqrt{2}} W_\mu^+ \left[ \bar{u} \gamma^\mu V_{\text{CKM}} P_L d + \bar{\nu} \gamma^\mu P_L e + \bar{\chi}_j^+ \gamma^\mu \left( (-\sqrt{2} V_{j1} N_{i2}^* + V_{j2} N_{i4}^*) P_L + (-\sqrt{2} N_{i2} U_{j1}^* - N_{i3} U_{j2}^*) P_R \right) \tilde{\chi}_i^0 \right] \\
&+ \frac{g_2}{\sqrt{2}} W_\mu^- \left[ \bar{d} \gamma^\mu V_{\text{CKM}}^\dagger P_L u + \bar{e} \gamma^\mu P_L \nu + \bar{\chi}_i^0 \gamma^\mu \left( (-\sqrt{2} N_{i2} V_{j1}^* + N_{i4} V_{j2}^*) P_L + (\sqrt{2} U_{j1} N_{i2}^* + U_{j2} N_{i3}^*) P_R \right) \tilde{\chi}_j^+ \right] \\
&+ \sqrt{2} g_3 \left[ \bar{g}^a (\varphi_{\tilde{g}}^* \tilde{u}_R^* P_R - \varphi_{\tilde{g}} \tilde{u}_L^* P_L) \tau^a u + \bar{u} \tau^a (\varphi_{\tilde{g}} \tilde{u}_R P_L - \varphi_{\tilde{g}}^* \tilde{u}_L P_R) \tilde{g}^a \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \bar{d} \tau^a (\varphi_{\tilde{g}} \tilde{d}_R P_L - \varphi_{\tilde{g}}^* \tilde{d}_L P_R) \tilde{g}^a + \bar{g}^a (\varphi_{\tilde{g}}^* \tilde{d}_R^* P_R - \varphi_{\tilde{g}} \tilde{d}_L^* P_L) \tau^a d \right] + \frac{y_{ui}}{\sqrt{2}} \bar{u}_i (-\phi_u + i\gamma_5 c_{\beta 0} A^0 + i\gamma_5 s_{\beta 0} G^0) u_i \\
&+ \frac{y_{di}}{\sqrt{2}} \bar{d}_i (-\phi_d + i\gamma_5 s_{\beta 0} A^0 - i\gamma_5 c_{\beta 0} G^0) d_i + \frac{y_{ei}}{\sqrt{2}} \bar{e}_i (-\phi_d + i\gamma_5 s_{\beta 0} A^0 - i\gamma_5 c_{\beta 0} G^0) e_i \\
&+ \frac{1}{2} \bar{\chi}_i^0 (\phi_u \mathcal{N}_{\phi_u} + \phi_d \mathcal{N}_{\phi_d} + iA^0 \mathcal{N}_{A^0} + iG^0 \mathcal{N}_{G^0})_{ij} \tilde{\chi}_j^0 + \frac{g_2}{\sqrt{2}} \bar{\chi}_i^+ (\phi_u \mathcal{C}_{\phi_u} + \phi_d \mathcal{C}_{\phi_d} + iA^0 \mathcal{C}_{A^0} + iG^0 \mathcal{C}_{G^0})_{ij} \tilde{\chi}_j^+ \\
&- (H^- c_{\beta+} + iG^- s_{\beta+}) (\mathcal{C}_{H-})_{ij} \bar{\chi}_j^0 P_L \tilde{\chi}_i^+ - (H^+ c_{\beta+} - iG^+ s_{\beta+}) (\mathcal{C}_{H-})_{ij}^* \bar{\chi}_i^+ P_R \tilde{\chi}_j^0 \\
&+ (H^+ s_{\beta+} + iG^+ c_{\beta+}) (\mathcal{C}_{H+})_{ij} \bar{\chi}_i^+ P_L \tilde{\chi}_j^0 + (H^- s_{\beta+} - iG^- c_{\beta+}) (\mathcal{C}_{H+})_{ij}^* \bar{\chi}_j^0 P_R \tilde{\chi}_i^+ \\
&+ (H^- s_{\beta+} - iG^- c_{\beta+}) \bar{d} y_d V_{\text{CKM}}^\dagger P_L u + (H^- c_{\beta+} + iG^- s_{\beta+}) \bar{d} V_{\text{CKM}}^\dagger y_u P_R u + (H^- s_{\beta+} - iG^- c_{\beta+}) \bar{e} y_e P_L \nu \\
&+ (H^+ c_{\beta+} - iG^+ s_{\beta+}) \bar{u} y_u V_{\text{CKM}} P_L d + (H^+ s_{\beta+} + iG^+ c_{\beta+}) \bar{u} V_{\text{CKM}} y_d P_R d + (H^+ s_{\beta+} + iG^+ c_{\beta+}) \bar{\nu} y_e P_R e \\
&+ \left( -\frac{g_Y N_{j1}^* + 3g_2 N_{j2}^*}{3\sqrt{2}} \tilde{u}_{Li}^* - y_{ui} N_{j4}^* \tilde{u}_{Ri}^* \right) \bar{\chi}_j^0 P_L u_i + \left( \frac{2\sqrt{2} g_Y}{3} N_{j1} \tilde{u}_{Ri}^* - y_{ui} N_{j4} \tilde{u}_{Li}^* \right) \bar{\chi}_j^0 P_R u_i \\
&+ \left( \frac{2\sqrt{2} g_Y}{3} N_{j1}^* \tilde{u}_{Ri} - y_{ui} N_{j4}^* \tilde{u}_{Li} \right) \bar{u}_i P_L \tilde{\chi}_j^0 + \left( -\frac{g_Y N_{j1} + 3g_2 N_{j2}}{3\sqrt{2}} \tilde{u}_{Li} - y_{ui} N_{j4} \tilde{u}_{Ri} \right) \bar{u}_i P_R \tilde{\chi}_j^0 \\
&+ \left( -\frac{g_Y N_{j1}^* - 3g_2 N_{j2}^*}{3\sqrt{2}} \tilde{d}_{Li}^* - y_{di} N_{j3}^* \tilde{d}_{Ri}^* \right) \bar{\chi}_j^0 P_L d_i + \left( -\frac{\sqrt{2} g_Y}{3} N_{j1} \tilde{d}_{Ri}^* - y_{di} N_{j3} \tilde{d}_{Li}^* \right) \bar{\chi}_j^0 P_R d_i \\
&+ \left( -\frac{\sqrt{2} g_Y}{3} N_{j1}^* \tilde{d}_{Ri} - y_{di} N_{j3}^* \tilde{d}_{Li} \right) \bar{d}_i P_L \tilde{\chi}_j^0 + \left( -\frac{g_Y N_{j1} - 3g_2 N_{j2}}{3\sqrt{2}} \tilde{d}_{Li} - y_{di} N_{j3} \tilde{d}_{Ri} \right) \bar{d}_i P_R \tilde{\chi}_j^0 \\
&+ \left( \frac{g_Y N_{j1}^* + g_2 N_{j2}^*}{\sqrt{2}} \tilde{e}_{Li}^* - y_{ei} N_{j3}^* \tilde{e}_{Ri}^* \right) \bar{\chi}_j^0 P_L e_i + \left( -\sqrt{2} g_Y N_{j1} \tilde{e}_{Ri}^* - y_{ei} N_{j3} \tilde{e}_{Li}^* \right) \bar{\chi}_j^0 P_R e_i \\
&+ \left( -\sqrt{2} g_Y N_{j1}^* \tilde{e}_{Ri} - y_{ei} N_{j3}^* \tilde{e}_{Li} \right) \bar{e}_i P_L \tilde{\chi}_j^0 + \left( +\frac{g_Y N_{j1} + g_2 N_{j2}}{\sqrt{2}} \tilde{e}_{Li} - y_{ei} N_{j3} \tilde{e}_{Ri} \right) \bar{e}_i P_R \tilde{\chi}_j^0 \\
&+ \frac{g_Y N_{j1} - g_2 N_{j2}}{\sqrt{2}} \tilde{\nu}_{Li} P_L \tilde{\chi}_j^0 + \frac{g_Y N_{j1}^* - g_2 N_{j2}^*}{\sqrt{2}} \tilde{\nu}_{Li}^* \bar{\chi}_j^0 P_L \nu_i \\
&+ \left( U_{i2}^* (\tilde{d}_R^* y_d V_{\text{CKM}}^\dagger)_j - U_{i1}^* g_2 (\tilde{d}_L^* V_{\text{CKM}}^\dagger)_j \right) \bar{\chi}_i^+ P_L u_j + V_{i2} (\tilde{d}_L^* V_{\text{CKM}}^\dagger y_u)_j \bar{\chi}_i^+ P_R u_j \\
&+ V_{i2}^* (y_u V_{\text{CKM}} \tilde{d}_L)_j \bar{u}_j P_L \tilde{\chi}_i^+ + (U_{i2} (V_{\text{CKM}} y_d \tilde{d}_R)_j - U_{i1} g_2 (V_{\text{CKM}} \tilde{d}_L)_j) \bar{u}_j P_R \tilde{\chi}_i^+ \\
&+ (-V_{i1}^* g_2 (\tilde{u}_L^* V_{\text{CKM}})_j + V_{i2}^* (\tilde{u}_R^* y_u V_{\text{CKM}})_j) \bar{\chi}_i^- P_L d_j + U_{i2} (\tilde{u}_L^* V_{\text{CKM}} y_d)_j \bar{\chi}_i^- P_R d_j \\
&+ U_{i2}^* (y_d V_{\text{CKM}}^\dagger \tilde{u}_L)_j \bar{d}_j P_L \tilde{\chi}_i^- + (-V_{i1} g_2 (V_{\text{CKM}}^\dagger \tilde{u}_L)_j + V_{i2} (V_{\text{CKM}}^\dagger y_u \tilde{u}_R)_j) \bar{d}_j P_R \tilde{\chi}_i^- \\
&+ (-U_{i1}^* g_2 \tilde{e}_L^* + U_{i2}^* y_{ei} \tilde{e}_{Ri}^*) \bar{\chi}_i^+ P_L \nu + (-U_{i1} g_2 \tilde{e}_L + U_{i2} y_{ei} \tilde{e}_{Ri}) \bar{\nu} P_R \tilde{\chi}_i^+ \\
&+ \bar{\chi}_i^- (-V_{i1}^* g_2 \tilde{\nu}_L^* P_L + U_{i2} y_{ej} \tilde{\nu}_{Lj}^* P_R) e_j + \bar{e}_j (U_{i2}^* y_{ej} \tilde{\nu}_{Lj} P_L - V_{i1} g_2 \tilde{\nu}_L P_R) \tilde{\chi}_i^- + (\text{RPV part}). \tag{11.90}
\end{aligned}$$

### 11.5. SLHA convention

The SLHA convention [21] is different from our notation; the reinterpretation rules for the MSSM parameters are given in the right table (magenta color for objects in other conventions), while

$$\mu, b, m_{Q,L,H_u,H_d}^2, \text{RPV-trilinears } (\lambda\text{s and } T\text{s})$$

are in common.

SLHA	our notation	Martin/DHM
$(H_1, H_2)$	$=$	$(H_d, H_u)$
$Y_{u,d,e}$	$=$	$(y_{u,d,e})^T$
$T_{u,d,e}$	$=$	$(a_{u,d,e})^T$
$A_{u,d,e}$	$=$	$(A_{u,d,e})^T$
$m_{U^c,D^c,E^c}^2$	$=$	$(m_{U^c,D^c,E^c}^2)^\dagger$
$M_{1,2,3}$	$=$	$-M_{1,2,3}$
$m_3^2$	$=$	$b$
$m_A^2$	$=$	$m_{A_0}^2$ (tree)
		$\kappa_i = -\mu'_i$ (rarely used)
$D_i$	$=$	$b_i$
$m_{L_i H_1}^2$	$=$	$M_{L_i}^2$

In particular, the chargino/neutralino mass terms in RPC case are given by

$$\mathcal{L} \supset \left[ \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{M}_1 \tilde{b} \tilde{b} + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{M}_2 \tilde{w} \tilde{w} - \mu \tilde{h}_u \tilde{h}_d - \frac{g_Y}{2\sqrt{2}} (h_u^* \tilde{h}_u - h_d^* \tilde{h}_d) \tilde{b} - \sqrt{2} g_2 (h_u^* T^a \tilde{h}_u + h_d^* T_a \tilde{h}_d) \tilde{w} \right] + \text{H.c.} \quad (11.91)$$

$$\rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{b} \\ \tilde{w} \\ h_u^0 \\ h_d^0 \end{pmatrix}^T \begin{pmatrix} -M_1 & 0 & -m_Z c_\beta s_w & m_Z s_\beta s_w \\ 0 & -M_2 & m_Z c_\beta c_w & -m_Z s_\beta c_w \\ -m_Z c_\beta s_w & m_Z c_\beta c_w & 0 & -\mu \\ m_Z s_\beta s_w & -m_Z s_\beta c_w & -\mu & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{b} \\ \tilde{w} \\ h_u^0 \\ h_d^0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (11.92)$$

**11.6. GMSB formulae**

$$\Gamma^{-1}(\tilde{l} \rightarrow l\tilde{G}) = \frac{1}{48\pi M^2} \frac{m_l^5}{m_{\tilde{G}}^2} \times (\text{phase space}) \approx 5.9 \times 10^{-7} \text{ s} \frac{(m_{3/2}/\text{TeV})^2}{(m_{\tilde{l}}/\text{TeV})^5} \quad (11.93)$$

$$= \frac{3m_l^5}{48\pi F_{\text{tot}}^2} \times (\text{phase space}) \approx 3.3 \times 10^{-9} \text{ s} \frac{(F_{\text{tot}}/10^{12} \text{ GeV}^2)^2}{(m_{\tilde{l}}/100 \text{ GeV})^5} = 1.0 \text{ m} \frac{(F_{\text{tot}}/10^{12} \text{ GeV}^2)^2}{(m_{\tilde{l}}/100 \text{ GeV})^5} \quad (11.94)$$

$$\Gamma^{-1}(\tilde{B} \rightarrow \gamma\tilde{G}) = \frac{c_w^2 m_{\tilde{B}}^5}{48\pi M^2 m_{\tilde{G}}^2} (1-r)^3 (1+3r) \quad \text{where} \quad r := \left( \frac{m_{\tilde{G}}}{m_{\tilde{B}}} \right)^2. \quad (11.95)$$

(cf. [22])



## A. Mathematics

### A.1. Matrix exponential

Excerpted from §2 and §5 of Hall 2015 [4]:

$$e^X := \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{X^m}{m!} \text{ (converges for any } X), \quad \log X := \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{m+1} \frac{(X-I)^m}{m} \text{ (conv. if } \|X-I\| < 1). \quad (\text{A.1})$$

$$e^{\log A} = A \text{ (if } \|A-I\| < 1), \quad \log e^X = X \text{ and } \|e^X - I\| < 1 \text{ (if } \|X\| < \log 2). \quad (\text{A.2})$$

$$\text{Hilbert-Schmidt norm : } \|X\|^2 := \sum_{i,j} |X_{ij}|^2 = \text{Tr } X^\dagger X. \quad (\text{A.3})$$

Properties:

$$e^{(X^T)} = (e^X)^T, \quad e^{(X^*)} = (e^X)^*, \quad (e^X)^{-1} = e^{-X}, \quad e^{YXY^{-1}} = Y e^X Y^{-1},$$

$$\det \exp X = \exp \text{Tr } X, \quad e^{(\alpha+\beta)X} = e^{\alpha X} e^{\beta X} \text{ for } \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C};$$

Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff:

$$e^X Y e^{-X} = Y + [X, Y] + \frac{1}{2!} [X, [X, Y]] + \frac{1}{3!} [X, [X, [X, Y]]] + \dots = e^{[X, \cdot]} Y; \quad (\text{A.4})$$

$$e^X e^Y e^{-X} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} (e^X Y e^{-X})^n = \exp(e^{[X, \cdot]} Y); \quad (\text{A.5})$$

$$\log(e^X e^Y) = X + \int_0^1 dt g(e^{[X, \cdot]} e^{tY}) Y \quad \left[ g(z) = \frac{\log z}{1-z^{-1}} = 1 - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(1-z)^n}{n(n+1)}; \quad g(e^y) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{B_n y^n}{n!} \right] \quad (\text{A.6})$$

$$= X + Y + \frac{1}{2} [X, Y] + \frac{1}{12} [X, [X, Y]] - \frac{1}{12} [Y, [X, Y]] + \dots \text{ (Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff)}. \quad (\text{A.7})$$

$$\log(e^X e^Y) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k-1}}{k} \left( \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} \frac{X^m Y^n}{m!n!} - 1 \right)^k = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m_1+n_1>0} \dots \sum_{m_k+n_k>0} \frac{(-1)^{k-1}}{k} \frac{X^{m_1} Y^{n_1} \dots X^{m_k} Y^{n_k}}{m_1!n_1! \dots m_k!n_k!} \quad (\text{A.8})$$

$$\log(e^X e^Y) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m_1+n_1>0} \dots \sum_{m_k+n_k>0} \frac{(-1)^{k-1}}{k \sum_{i=1}^k (m_i + n_i)} \frac{([X, \cdot]^{m_1} ([Y, \cdot]^{n_1} \dots ([X, \cdot]^{m_k} ([Y, \cdot]^{n_k} \dots ] \dots ]))}{m_1!n_1! \dots m_k!n_k!} \quad (\text{A.9})$$

with  $[X]$  being  $X$ .

Derivative:

$$\frac{d}{dt} e^{tX} = X e^{tX} = e^{tX} X \quad (\text{A.10})$$

$$e^{-X(t)} \left( \frac{d}{dt} e^{X(t)} \right) = \frac{I - e^{-\text{ad}_X}}{\text{ad}_X} \left( \frac{dX}{dt} \right) = X' + \frac{[-X, X']}{2!} + \frac{[-X, [-X, X']]}{3!} + \dots \quad (\text{A.11})$$

$$\left( \frac{d}{dt} e^{X(t)} \right) e^{-X(t)} = X' + \frac{[X, X']}{2!} + \frac{[X, [X, X']]}{3!} + \dots \quad (\text{A.12})$$

where  $X' = dX/dt$  and  $\text{ad}_X(Y) = [X, Y]$  is the adjoint action of a Lie algebra. Thus, explicitly,

$$\frac{d}{dt} e^{aX(t)} = e^{aX} \left\{ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{a^{n+1}}{(n+1)!} ([X, \cdot]^n X') \right\} = \left\{ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{a^{n+1}}{(n+1)!} ([X, \cdot]^n X') \right\} e^{aX} \quad (\text{A.13})$$

Component: If matrices  $t^a$  satisfies  $[t^a, t^b] = i f^{abc} t^c$  with totally-antisymmetric  $f^{abc} \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$\left[ e^{\theta^a t^a} t_b e^{-\theta^c t^c} \right]_{ij} = \left[ e^{\theta^a [t^a, \cdot]} t_b \right]_{ij} = \left[ e^{i \theta^a f^a} \right]^{bc} t_{ij}^c \quad (\text{A.14})$$

holds for  $\theta^a \in \mathbb{C}$ , where  $[f^a]_{bc} = f^{abc}$ . ♣TODO: needs verification, generalization/restriction, and a nice proof or reference.♣

### A.2. General unitary matrix

$$U_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{i\alpha} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_\theta & s_\theta \\ -s_\theta & c_\theta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\beta} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{i\gamma} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} c_\theta e^{i\beta} & s_\theta e^{i\gamma} \\ -s_\theta e^{i(\alpha+\beta)} & c_\theta e^{i(\alpha+\gamma)} \end{pmatrix}, \quad 0 \leq \theta \leq \frac{\pi}{2}, \quad \alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{R}; \quad (\text{A.15})$$

$$U_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & e^{ia} & \\ & & e^{ib} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & c_{23} & s_{23} \\ & -s_{23} & c_{23} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_{13} & & s_{13} e^{-i\delta} \\ & 1 & \\ -s_{13} e^{i\delta} & & c_{13} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_{12} & s_{12} \\ -s_{12} & c_{12} \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} e^{ic} & & \\ & e^{id} & \\ & & e^{ie} \end{pmatrix} \quad (\text{A.16})$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & e^{ia} & \\ & & e^{ib} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_{12}c_{13} & & s_{12}c_{13} \\ -s_{12}c_{23} - c_{12}s_{23}s_{13}e^{i\delta} & c_{12}c_{23} - s_{12}s_{23}s_{13}e^{i\delta} & s_{23}c_{13} \\ s_{12}s_{23} - c_{12}c_{23}s_{13}e^{i\delta} & -c_{12}s_{23} - s_{12}c_{23}s_{13}e^{i\delta} & c_{23}c_{13} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} e^{ic} & & \\ & e^{id} & \\ & & e^{ie} \end{pmatrix} \quad (\text{A.17})$$

with  $0 \leq \theta_{ij} \leq \pi/2$  and  $a, b, c, d, e, \delta \in \mathbb{R}$  (see, e.g., Ref. [23]).

```
U3 = Dot[
  DiagonalMatrix[Exp[I {0, a, b}]],
  RotationMatrix[023, {-1, 0, 0}],
  DiagonalMatrix[Exp[I {0,0,+8}]],
  RotationMatrix[013, {0, 1, 0}],
  DiagonalMatrix[Exp[I {0,0,-8}]],
  RotationMatrix[012, {0, 0, -1}],
  DiagonalMatrix[Exp[I {c, d, e}]]
]
```

### A.3. Matrix diagonalization

In this section,  $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$  and  $\mathbb{U}_{\mathbb{K}}^n \subset \mathbb{K}^{n \times n}$  is the set of the unitary matrices.

**Diagonalization** A matrix  $M \in \mathbb{K}^{n \times n}$  is called diagonalizable if  $\exists P$  and  $\exists D$  s.t.

$$M = PDP^{-1}; \quad P \in \mathbb{K}^{n \times n}, \quad D : \text{diagonal matrix } (D_{ii} \in \mathbb{K}). \quad (\text{A.18})$$

In particular,

$$M \text{ is normal} \stackrel{\text{def}}{\iff} M^\dagger M = MM^\dagger \iff \exists P \in \mathbb{U}_{\mathbb{K}}^n \text{ s.t. } M = PDP^{-1}. \quad (\text{A.19})$$

**Singular value decomposition** Any  $M \in \mathbb{K}^{m \times n}$  can be singular-value decomposed as

$$M = UDV^\dagger; \quad U \in \mathbb{U}_{\mathbb{K}}^m, \quad V \in \mathbb{U}_{\mathbb{K}}^n, \quad D : \text{non-negative real diagonal matrix } (D_{ii} \geq 0). \quad (\text{A.20})$$

Here, the matrix  $U$  ( $V$ ) diagonalizes  $MM^\dagger$  ( $M^\dagger M$ ) and  $(D_{ii})^2$  are the eigenvalues of  $MM^\dagger$  (and  $M^\dagger M$ ).

The calculation on Mathematica is straightforward for this convention:

```
{u, d, v} = SingularValueDecomposition[M]
```

**Autonne-Takagi factorization** If  $M \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$  is symmetric, it can be decomposed as

$$M = RDR^T; \quad R \in \mathbb{U}_{\mathbb{C}}^n, \quad D : \text{non-negative real diagonal matrix } (D_{ii} \geq 0). \quad (\text{A.21})$$

Real symmetric matrices are normal and thus do not need this factorization; we can apply the above “diagonalization” method.

Sample Mathematica code to calculate  $\{D, R\}$  (with ordering, if specified) is:

```
AutonneTakagi[M_, order_ : None] := Module[{v0, v, p, ord, R, D},
  ord = If[order === None, Range[Length[M]], order];
  v0 = Eigenvectors[Conjugate[M].M];
  v = Eigenvectors[v0.M.Transpose[v0]].v0; (*resolve degenerate eigenvalues*)
  p = DiagonalMatrix[If[Abs[#] > 0, (Abs[#])^(-1/2), 1] & /@ Diagonal[v.M.Transpose[v]]];
  R = ConjugateTranspose[Reverse[p.v][[ord]] // Orthogonalize];
  D = ConjugateTranspose[R].M.Conjugate[R];
  {D, R}];
```

### A.4. Group theory

**Lie Groups** This section is mainly based on Ref. [4]. Also,  $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$  and  $M_{\mathbb{K}}^n = \mathbb{K}^{n \times n}$ .

With  $\Omega = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_{n/2} \\ -I_{n/2} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $H = \begin{pmatrix} I_p & 0 \\ 0 & -I_q \end{pmatrix}$ ,

$$\mathrm{GL}(n; \mathbb{K}) = \{g \in M_{\mathbb{K}}^n \mid \det g \neq 0\}, \quad \mathfrak{gl}(n; \mathbb{K}) = \{a \in M_{\mathbb{K}}^n\}, \quad (\text{A.22})$$

$$\mathrm{SL}(n; \mathbb{K}) = \{g \in M_{\mathbb{K}}^n \mid \det g = 1\}, \quad \mathfrak{sl}(n; \mathbb{K}) = \{a \in M_{\mathbb{K}}^n \mid \mathrm{Tr} a = 0\}, \quad (\text{A.23})$$

$$\mathrm{SU}(n) = \{g \in M_{\mathbb{C}}^n \mid g^\dagger g = 1 \wedge \det g = 1\}, \quad \mathfrak{su}(n) = \{a \in M_{\mathbb{C}}^n \mid a + a^\dagger = 0 \wedge \mathrm{Tr} a = 0\}, \quad (\text{A.24})$$

$$\mathrm{SO}(n) = \{g \in M_{\mathbb{R}}^n \mid g^T g = 1 \wedge \det g = 1\}, \quad \mathfrak{so}(n) = \{a \in M_{\mathbb{R}}^n \mid a + a^T = 0\}, \quad (\text{A.25})$$

$$\mathrm{SO}(n; \mathbb{C}) = \{g \in M_{\mathbb{C}}^n \mid g^T g = 1 \wedge \det g = 1\}, \quad \mathfrak{so}(n; \mathbb{C}) = \{a \in M_{\mathbb{C}}^n \mid a + a^T = 0\}, \quad (\text{A.26})$$

$$\mathrm{SO}(p, q) = \{g \in M_{\mathbb{R}}^{p+q} \mid H g^T H = g^{-1} \wedge \det g = 1\}, \quad \mathfrak{so}(p, q) = \{a \in M_{\mathbb{R}}^{p+q} \mid H a^T H = -a\}, \quad (\text{A.27})$$

$$\mathrm{Sp}(n; \mathbb{K}) = \{g \in M_{\mathbb{K}}^n \mid \Omega g^T \Omega = -g^{-1}\}, \quad \mathfrak{sp}(n; \mathbb{K}) = \{g \in M_{\mathbb{K}}^n \mid \Omega a^T \Omega = a\}, \quad (\text{A.28})$$

$$\mathrm{Sp}(n) = \{g \in M_{\mathbb{C}}^n \mid \epsilon g^T \epsilon = -g^{-1} \wedge g^\dagger g = 1\}, \quad \mathfrak{sp}(n) = \{g \in M_{\mathbb{C}}^n \mid \epsilon a^T \epsilon = a \wedge a^\dagger + a = 0\}. \quad (\text{A.29})$$

Of course,  $\mathrm{U}(n)$  and  $\mathrm{O}(n; \mathbb{K})$  (and corresponding Lie algebra) are obtained by removing the condition  $\det g = 1$  (and  $\mathrm{Tr} a = 0$ ).

Important identities are:

$$\mathrm{Sp}(2; \mathbb{K}) = \mathrm{SL}(2; \mathbb{K}), \quad \mathrm{Sp}(2) = \mathrm{SU}(2), \quad (\text{A.30})$$

The complexification of a finite-dimensional *real* vector space  $V$  is defined by

$$V_{\mathbb{C}} = V \otimes \mathbb{C} = \{v_1 + i v_2 \mid v_1, v_2 \in V\} \cong V + iV. \quad (\text{A.31})$$

Then, complexification of a Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{a}$  of a matrix Lie group is defined as follows. Since  $\mathfrak{a}$  is a *real* Lie algebra, it can be complexified to  $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}$ , where its bracket operation has a unique extension so that  $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}$  is a *complex* Lie algebra. The complex Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}$  is called the complexification of  $\mathfrak{a}$ . In particular,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{gl}(n; \mathbb{R})_{\mathbb{C}} &\cong \mathfrak{u}(n)_{\mathbb{C}} \cong \mathfrak{gl}(n; \mathbb{C}), & \mathfrak{so}(n)_{\mathbb{C}} &\cong \mathfrak{so}(n; \mathbb{C}), \\ \mathfrak{sl}(n; \mathbb{R})_{\mathbb{C}} &\cong \mathfrak{su}(n)_{\mathbb{C}} \cong \mathfrak{sl}(n; \mathbb{C}), & \mathfrak{sp}(n; \mathbb{R})_{\mathbb{C}} &\cong \mathfrak{sp}(n)_{\mathbb{C}} \cong \mathfrak{sp}(n; \mathbb{C}). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.32})$$

On the other hand, a complex simple Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{a}$  can be, on the other hand, viewed as a real Lie algebra, whose (real) dimension is twice as the original (complex) dimension. We describe such real Lie algebra by  $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{R}}$ .

Important identities are:

$$\mathfrak{so}(3, 1)_{\mathbb{C}} \cong \mathfrak{sl}(2; \mathbb{C})_{\mathbb{R}}, \quad \mathfrak{so}(3, 1)_{\mathbb{C}} \cong \mathfrak{sl}(2; \mathbb{C}) \oplus \mathfrak{sl}(2; \mathbb{C}). \quad (\text{A.33})$$

**Clifford algebra and spin group** ♣**TODO:needs experts...**♣ We just provide a little information on spin group  $\mathrm{Spin}(1, 3)$  associated to (our) Minkowski metric  $\eta = \mathrm{diag}(+, -, -, -)$ : [24, 25]. To define the Clifford algebra  $\mathfrak{C}(V, Q)$ , let

$V$  : a vector space over a field  $K$ ; in our case,  $V = \mathbb{R}^{1,3}$  and  $K = \mathbb{R}$ .

$Q$  : a quadratic form on  $V$ ,

$A$  : a unital associative algebra over  $K$ ; it means that  $(A, +, *)$  is a ring and is also a vector space on  $K$ , it should satisfy  $(ka_1) * a_2 = a_1 * (ka_2) = k(a_1 * a_2)$  for  $a_i \in A$  and  $k \in K$ , and it should contain  $1_A$  such that  $1_A * a = a * 1_A = a$ ; here a map  $f : K \rightarrow A$  with  $f(k) = k1_A$  is an injective ring homomorphism, with which  $K$  can be included in  $A$ .

Then we can define a linear map  $i : V \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}(V, Q)$  satisfying  $i(v) * i(v) = Q(v)1_A$  for all  $v \in V$  as follows, which defines the Clifford algebra. Given a linear map  $j : V \rightarrow A$  satisfying  $j(v) * j(v) = Q(v)1_A$  for all  $v \in V$ , there is a unique algebra homomorphism  $f : \mathfrak{C}(V, Q) \rightarrow A$  such that  $f \circ i = j$ .

We focus on  $\mathbb{R}^{1,3}$  and  $Q(v) = \eta_{\mu\nu} v^\mu v^\nu$  (Minkowski norm). Then, by introducing the basis  $e_\mu$  of  $V$ , the condition yields

$$\begin{aligned} (v^2 + 2v^\mu w_\mu + w^2)1_A &= [j(v) + j(w)] * [j(v) + j(w)] = (v^2 + w^2)1_A + j(v) * j(w) + j(w) * j(v), \\ \therefore \{j(v), j(w)\} &= 2v^\mu w_\mu 1_A \text{ and thus } \{e_\mu, e_\nu\} = 2\eta_{\mu\nu} 1_A. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.34})$$

The Clifford algebra  $\mathfrak{C}(\mathbb{R}^{1,3}, \eta)$  is thus seen as the associative algebra generated by  $\{1_A, e_0, e_1, e_2, e_3\}$  satisfying Eq. (A.34).

Several properties of the Clifford algebra  $\mathfrak{C}_{1,3}$  is in order:

- It is of dimension  $2^4 = 16$  and the basis is given by  $\{1, e_\mu, (e_\mu e_\nu)_{\mu < \nu}, (e_\mu e_\nu e_\rho)_{\mu < \nu < \rho}, e_0 e_1 e_2 e_3\}$ .
- It contains a subalgebra  $\mathfrak{C}_{1,3}^0$  spanned by  $\{1, e_\mu e_\nu, e_0 e_1 e_2 e_3\}$ , while the remaining vector space  $\mathfrak{C}_{1,3}^1$  is by  $\{e_\mu, e_\mu e_\nu e_\rho\}$ .
- We define  $\epsilon = e_0 e_1 e_2 e_3$ , which satisfies  $\epsilon^2 = -1$  and  $[\epsilon, \mathfrak{C}_{1,3}^0] = \{\epsilon, \mathfrak{C}_{1,3}^1\} = 0$ .
- $\mathfrak{so}(1, 3) \subset \mathfrak{C}_{1,3}$ ; explicitly, the generators are given by  $S_{\mu\nu} := [e_\mu, e_\nu]/4$ , which satisfy

$$[S_{\mu\nu}, e_\rho] = e_\mu \eta_{\nu\rho} - e_\nu \eta_{\mu\rho}, \quad [S_{\mu\nu}, S_{\rho\sigma}] = -\eta_{\mu\rho} S_{\nu\sigma} + \eta_{\nu\rho} S_{\mu\sigma} + \eta_{\mu\sigma} S_{\nu\rho} - \eta_{\nu\sigma} S_{\mu\rho}. \quad (\text{A.35})$$

The Clifford group is defined by

$$\Gamma_{1,3} = \{x \in \mathfrak{C}_{1,3} \mid \forall v \in \mathbb{R}^{1,3}, x v x^{-1} \in \mathbb{R}^{1,3}\}, \quad (\text{A.36})$$

i.e.,  $x$  should return a “vector”  $v^\nu e_\nu \in \mathbb{R}^{1,3}$  into another “vector”, which in fact corresponds to the Lorentz transformation:  $x e_\nu x^{-1} = (\Lambda^{-1})_\nu^\mu e_\mu$ . The correspondence is however one-to- $N$ , and thus it should be normalized by the squared-norm  $N(x)$

$$N(x) = x\bar{x}; \quad \bar{e}_\mu = e_\mu, \quad \overline{k e_\mu e_\nu e_\rho} = k e_\rho e_\nu e_\mu, \text{ etc., where } k \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (\text{A.37})$$

Then, we define

$\text{Pin}(1, 3) = \{x \in \Gamma_{1,3} \mid |N(x)| = 1\}$ ,  $\text{Spin}(1, 3) = \text{Pin}(1, 3) \cap \mathfrak{C}_{1,3}^0$ ,  $\text{Spin}(1, 3)^+ = \{x \in \text{Spin}(1, 3) \mid N(x) = 1\}$ ,  
whose properties are in order:

- $[\text{S}]\text{pin}(1, 3)$  is a double covering of  $[\text{S}]\text{O}(1, 3)$  but it is not connected.
- $\text{Spin}(1, 3)^+$  is a connected Lie group and is a double covering of  $\text{SO}(1, 3)^+$ .
- $\text{Spin}(1, 3)^+ \cong \text{SL}(2; \mathbb{C}) \cong \text{Sp}(2; \mathbb{C})$ .

## References

- [PDG2018] **Particle Data Group** Collaboration, “Review of Particle Physics,” *Phys. Rev.* **D98** (2018) 030001.
- [PDG2020] **Particle Data Group** Collaboration, “Review of Particle Physics,” *PTEP* **2020** (2020) 083C01.
- [1] M. Cannoni, “Lorentz invariant relative velocity and relativistic binary collisions,” *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* **A32** (2017) 1730002 [arXiv:1605.00569].
- [2] H. Murayama, “Notes on Phase Space.” <http://hitoshi.berkeley.edu/233B/phasespace.pdf>.
- [3] 久後汰一郎, ゲージ場の量子論 I, vol. 23 of 新物理学シリーズ. 培風館, 1989.
- [4] B. C. Hall, *Lie Groups, Lie Algebras, and Representations: An Elementary Introduction*, vol. 222 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer International Publishing, 2nd ed., 2015.
- [5] T. Hahn and M. Perez-Victoria, “Automatized one loop calculations in four-dimensions and D-dimensions,” *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **118** (1999) 153–165 [hep-ph/9807565]; T. Hahn, “The LoopTools Visitor Center.” <http://www.feynarts.de/looptools/> (2020.10.01).
- [6] J. C. Romão, “Advanced Quantum Field Theory.” <http://porthos.tecnico.ulisboa.pt/Public/textos/tca.pdf> (2020.10.01).
- [7] G. Passarino and M. J. G. Veltman, “One Loop Corrections for  $e^+ e^-$  Annihilation Into  $\mu^+ \mu^-$  in the Weinberg Model,” *Nucl. Phys.* **B160** (1979) 151–207.
- [8] L. G. Cabral-Rosetti and M. A. Sanchis-Lozano, “Generalized hypergeometric functions and the evaluation of scalar one loop integrals in Feynman diagrams,” *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* **115** (2000) 93–99 [hep-ph/9809213].
- [9] B. C. Allanach *et al.*, “SUSY Les Houches Accord 2,” *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **180** (2009) 8–25 [arXiv:0801.0045]. Updated in Nov. 2009 (v3).
- [10] S. Weinberg, *The Quantum Theory of Fields*, vol. 2. Cambridge University Press, 1996.
- [11] G.-y. Huang and S. Zhou, “Precise Values of Running Quark and Lepton Masses in the Standard Model.” arXiv:2009.04851.
- [12] S. P. Martin and D. G. Robertson, “Standard model parameters in the tadpole-free pure  $\overline{\text{MS}}$  scheme,” *Phys. Rev. D* **100** (2019) 073004 [arXiv:1907.02500].
- [13] M. Blennow and E. Fernandez-Martinez, “Parametrization of Seesaw Models and Light Sterile Neutrinos,” *Phys. Lett. B* **704** (2011) 223–229 [arXiv:1107.3992].
- [14] M. Blennow, P. Coloma, E. Fernandez-Martinez, J. Hernandez-Garcia, and J. Lopez-Pavon, “Non-Unitarity, sterile neutrinos, and Non-Standard neutrino Interactions,” *JHEP* **04** (2017) 153 [arXiv:1609.08637].
- [15] “NuFIT 5.0 (2020).” <http://www.nu-fit.org/> (2020.10.01); I. Esteban, M. C. Gonzalez-Garcia, M. Maltoni, T. Schwetz, and A. Zhou, “The fate of hints: updated global analysis of three-flavor neutrino oscillations.” arXiv:2007.14792.
- [16] I. Esteban, M. C. Gonzalez-Garcia, A. Hernandez-Cabezudo, M. Maltoni, and T. Schwetz, “Global analysis of three-flavour neutrino oscillations: synergies and tensions in the determination of  $\theta_{23}$ ,  $\delta_{CP}$ , and the mass ordering,” *JHEP* **01** (2019) 106 [arXiv:1811.05487].
- [17] J. A. Casas and A. Ibarra, “Oscillating neutrinos and  $\mu \rightarrow e, \gamma$ ,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **618** (2001) 171–204 [hep-ph/0103065].
- [18] V. Brdar, A. J. Helmboldt, S. Iwamoto, and K. Schmitz, “Type-I Seesaw as the Common Origin of Neutrino Mass, Baryon Asymmetry, and the Electroweak Scale,” *Phys. Rev. D* **100** (2019) 075029 [arXiv:1905.12634].

- [19] H. K. Dreiner, H. E. Haber, and S. P. Martin, “Two-component spinor techniques and Feynman rules for quantum field theory and supersymmetry,” *Phys. Rept.* **494** (2010) 1–196 [[arXiv:0812.1594](#)].
- [20] S. P. Martin, “A Supersymmetry primer,” in *Perspectives on Supersymmetry II*, G. L. Kane, ed., ch. 1, pp. 1–153. World Scientific, 2010. Previously published in *Perspectives on Supersymmetry*, World Scientific, 1998.
- [21] P. Z. Skands *et al.*, “SUSY Les Houches accord: Interfacing SUSY spectrum calculators, decay packages, and event generators,” *JHEP* **07** (2004) 036 [[hep-ph/0311123](#)]. Updated in Nov. 2009 (v4).
- [22] L. Covi, J. Hasenkamp, S. Pokorski, and J. Roberts, “Gravitino Dark Matter and general neutralino NLSP,” *JHEP* **11** (2009) 003 [[arXiv:0908.3399](#)].
- [23] A. Rasin, “Diagonalization of quark mass matrices and the Cabibbo-Kobayashi-Maskawa matrix.” [hep-ph/9708216](#).
- [24] M. Rausch de Traubenberg in *7th International Conference on Clifford Algebras and their Applications in Mathematical Physics*. 2005. [hep-th/0506011](#).
- [25] Wikipedia contributors, “Clifford algebra — Wikipedia, The Free Encyclopedia,” 2021. [https://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=Clifford\\_algebra&oldid=1005068764](https://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=Clifford_algebra&oldid=1005068764). [Online; accessed 17 Feb. 2021].