Examining Interaction Amongst Patrilineal Clans Using Differential Equations

By: Cesar Tolentino, Travis Johnson, Mike Salvato

Coach: Paul Williams

Team: Austin Community College

Modified Lotka-Volterra Model

$$y'_{1} = y_{1} \left(r_{1} x_{1} - \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{1i} \cdot y_{i} \right)$$

$$\vdots$$

$$y'_{n} = y_{n} \left(r_{n} x_{n} - \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{ni} \cdot y_{i} \right)$$

$$x'_{n} = a_{n} x_{n} \left(1 - \frac{x_{n}}{K_{n}} \right)$$

Case where n=2

$$\begin{aligned} y'_1 &= y_1 \Big(\ r_1 x_1 - c_{11} y_1 - c_{12} y_2 \Big) \\ y'_2 &= y_2 \Big(\ r_2 x_2 - c_{22} y_2 - c_{21} y_1 \Big) \\ x'_1 &= a_1 x_1 \Bigg(1 - \frac{x_1}{K_1} \Bigg) \\ x'_2 &= a_2 x_2 \Bigg(1 - \frac{x_2}{K_2} \Bigg) \end{aligned} \qquad \begin{aligned} r_1, \ r_2 &= \text{growth rate of male population} \\ c_{11}, \ c_{22} &= \text{self - restraining coefficient} \\ c_{12}, \ c_{21} &= \text{competition coefficients} \\ a_1, \ a_2 &= \text{growth rate of female population} \\ K_1, \ K_2 &= \text{carrying capacity for female population} \end{aligned}$$

Assumptions:

- 1. The parameters $\{r_i\}_{i=1}^n$, $\{c_{ij}\}_{1 < ij < n}$, a_n , K_n are all positive.
- 2. Competition is more intense than self restraint: $c_{ii} > c_{kk}$ for all $i, j, k \in [n]$ with $i \neq j$
- 3. Initial conditions $(y_1(0), y_2(0), ..., y_n(0), x_1(0), x_2(0), ..., x_n(0))$ lie in the
- positive orthant $\binom{\Re^+}{>0}^{2n}$ and also satisfy $x_n(0) < K_n$

Jacobian Matrix and Equilibrium Points

$$\mathbf{J} \Big(\mathbf{y}_1, \mathbf{y}_2, \mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2 \Big) \ = \begin{bmatrix} -2y_1c_{11} - c_{12}r_2 + r_1x_1 & -c_{12}y_2 & r_1y_1 & 0 \\ -c_{21}y_2 & -c_{21}y_1 - 2c_{22}y_2 + r_2x_x & 0 & r_2y_2 \\ \\ 0 & 0 & a_1 - \frac{2a_1x_1}{k_1} & 0 \\ \\ 0 & 0 & a_2 - \frac{2a_2x_2}{k_2} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{split} \varepsilon = & \left\{ (0,0,0,0) \,, \, \left(0,0,K_1,0 \right), \, \left(0,0,0,K_2 \right), \, \left(0,0,K_1,K_2 \right), \, \left(\frac{r_1K_1}{c_{11}},0,K_1,0 \right), \left(\frac{r_1K_1}{c_{11}},0,K_1,K_2 \right), \\ & \left(0,\frac{r_2K_2}{c_{22}}, \, 0, \, K_2 \right), \, \left(0,\frac{r_2K_2}{c_{22}}, \, K_1, \, K_2 \right), \left(\frac{r_1c_{22}K_1 - r_2c_{12}K_2}{c_{11}c_{22} - c_{12}c_{21}}, \, \frac{r_2c_{11}K_2 - r_1c_{21}K_1}{c_{11}c_{22} - c_{12}c_{21}}, \, K_1, \, K_2 \right) \right\} \end{split}$$

What do these points mean? Are they sustainable?

No Survival

$$f(y*_1, y*_2, x*_1, x*_2) = \{(0, 0, 0, 0)\}$$

- Trivial solution, all populations go to extinction
- One zero eigenvalue with multiplicity 4
- Unstable saddle point

Female only Survival

$$f(y*_1,y*_2,x*_1,x*_2) = \{(0,0,k_1,0),(0,0,0,k_2),(0,0,k_1,k_2)\}$$

- Only one female population survives
 - 1 zero eigenvalue with multiplicity 2
 - 1 negative eigenvalue
 - 1 positive eigenvalue
- semi-stable

- Both female populations survive
 - Two positive eigenvalues
 - Two negative eigenvalues
- Semi stable

One tribe and corresponding pool of females

$$f(y*_{1},y*_{2},x*_{1},x*_{2}) = \left\{ \left(\frac{r_{1}}{c_{11}}k_{1},0,k_{1},0\right), \left(0,\frac{r_{2}}{c_{22}}k_{2},0,k_{2}\right) \right\}$$

- One zero eigenvalue
- Three negative eigenvalues
- Stable
 - Also stable in 3d analog

One Tribe and Both Female Populations Survive

$$f(y*_{1},y*_{2},x*_{1},x*_{2}) = \left\{ \left(\frac{r_{1}}{c_{11}}k_{1},0,k_{1},k_{2}\right), \left(0,\frac{r_{2}}{c_{22}}k_{2},k_{1},k_{2}\right) \right\}$$

- Both have three negative eigenvalues
- For the case where tribe survives
- For the case where tribe 2 survives
 - o If $\frac{r_1 \cdot K_1}{r_2 \cdot K_2} > \frac{c_{11}}{c_{22}}$ then all negative eigenvalues

All Population Groups Survive

$$f(y*_{1},y*_{2},x*_{1},x*_{2}) = \left\{ \frac{r_{1}c_{22}k_{1} - r_{2}c_{12}k_{2}}{c_{11}c_{22} - c_{12}c_{21}}, \frac{r_{2}c_{22}k_{2} - r_{1}c_{21}k_{1}}{c_{11}c_{22} - c_{12}c_{21}}, k_{1}, k_{2} \right\}$$

- Two negative eigenvalues
- 1 positive
- 1 undetermined

$$\lambda = \frac{-\,c_{\,\,21}^{\,\circ} \cdot r_{\,1} \cdot c_{\,\,22} \cdot K_{\,1} - c_{\,\,21} \cdot c_{\,\,12} \cdot r_{\,2} \cdot K_{\,2} + 2 \cdot c_{\,\,11} \cdot c_{\,\,22} \cdot r_{\,2} \cdot K_{\,2} + c_{\,\,12} \cdot c_{\,\,21} \Big(\left(\,c_{\,\,11} \cdot r_{\,2} \cdot K_{\,2} - r_{\,\,1} \cdot K_{\,\,1} \cdot c_{\,\,21}\right)^{\,2} \Big)}{c_{\,\,21} \cdot c_{\,\,12} - c_{\,\,11} \cdot c_{\,\,22}}$$

Negative if
$$\frac{r_1}{r_2} \frac{K_1}{K_2} < \frac{\left(c_{21} \cdot c_{22} - 2 \cdot c_{11} \cdot c_{22} - c_{12} \cdot c_{21} \cdot c_{11}^2 \cdot r_1 \cdot K_1\right)}{\left(r_1 \cdot K_1 \cdot c_{21}^2 - 2 \cdot c_{22} \cdot c_{21} - 2 \cdot r_2 \cdot K_2 \cdot c_{11} \cdot c_{21}^2 \cdot c_{12}\right)}$$

Mobility Modeling

This would affect the competition coefficients. c(ij) would go up if a tribe had access to quicker ways of traveling to interact with other tribes. This will likely only affect the equilibrium point where all populations survive.

Potential Further Analysis

- Find associated eigenvectors
- Linearization analysis
- Lyapunov Stability Analysis
- Generalize coefficient relationship for n clans

Questions?