

Math 443 Homework 6

Xander Naumenko

15/03/23

Question 1.

Question 2.

Question 3. Consider a new graph G' that is a copy of G with an additional vertex v that is adjacent to each of the v_i . I claim that G' is k -connected. Let $x, y \in V(G')$. If x and y are both in G then a vertex set of size less than k clearly can't separate them due to the k -connectivity of G , so assume $y = v$. Let $S \subset V(G)$ be a set of vertices on $G' - x - v$ with $|S| < k$. G is k -connected so there still exists a path from x to v_i in $G - S$ for all v_i that are in $G - S$. $|S| < k$ so at least on such v_i is still in $G' - S$, so there exists a path from x to v_i and an edge from v_i to v , so v and x are connected in $G' - S$. Thus G' is k -connected.

Now apply Menger's Theorem to u and v on G' . G' is k -connected as justified above, so $\lambda(u, v) \geq k \implies \kappa(u, v) \geq k$ (although by our construction we know equality holds, it's not important). Let P'_1, \dots, P'_k be k disjoint paths from u to v which are guaranteed to exist by our bound of κ . The only neighbors of v for the k paths to go through are each of the v_i of which there are k , so each path must go through exactly one. Letting $P_i = P'_i - v$, these now fill the requirements of the P_i asked for in the question and we're done. \square

Question 4. We will establish bounds on both sides of κ and show that they are equal. Let $u \in V(G_r)$. Note that

Question 5. Both directions will be proven separately.

(\implies) Assume G is k -edge-connected, and let $u, v \in V(G)$. By hypothesis a minimum uv separating edge set is of size at least k , so the maximum number of pairwise edge-disjoint uv paths is at least k by Theorem 5.21 which is what we needed to prove.

(\impliedby) Assume that G contains k pairwise edge-disjoint uv -paths for each $u, v \in V(G)$. Let $u, v \in V(G)$. The maximum number of pairwise edge-disjoint uv paths in G is always at least k , so the maximum number of such paths is greater than or equal to k . Thus by Theorem 5.21, a minimum uv separating edge set is of size at least k . Since this is true of each $u, v \in V(G)$, G is k -edge-connected. \square

Question 6. As the hint suggests we will use strong induction on k .

Base case ($k=2$): Let G be 2-connected and let $e_1, e_2 \in E(G)$. G contains no cut vertices so by definition it's a block. From homework 5, question 4, all edges in a block share a cycle. Thus e_1 and e_2 share a cycle in G as required.

Inductive step: Let G be a $(k+1)$ -connected graph, and assume the theorem holds for all graphs of connectivity k or less. Let $e_1, e_2 \in E(G)$ and $v_1, \dots, v_{k-1} \in V(G)$. By the inductive hypothesis there exists a cycle C containing e_1, e_2 and $v_i \forall i \in [k-1]$. Let $k' = \min(|C|, k+1)$. Since there are $k-2$ v_i s, $k' \geq k-2$. Let $V \subset V(C)$ be a set of k' vertices in C . By question 3 of this homework, there exist k' disjoint paths from v_{k-1} to C with separate endpoints (if $k' < k+1$ we can just

choose arbitrary vertices outside of C and ignore that paths resulting to them). For each of these paths, consider the shortened version, starting from its first intersection of C , to v_{k-1} , call these paths $P_i, i \in [k']$. Since the paths are disjoint their endpoints in C are also still distinct.

There are at most $k' - 1$ gaps between $e_1, e_2, v_1, \dots, v_{k-2}$

Question 7. \square (pretty concise proof, huh)

Question 8. Let c be a circuit in a graph G with ordered vertices v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n (potentially some repeats). Let v_j be the first repeated vertex (i.e. minimal j), and let i be the index of the vertex that v_j first occurred in. Then v_i, v_{i+1}, \dots, v_j is a closed walk with no repeated vertices by the minimality of j which is exactly the definition of a cycle so we're done. \square