

# Math 320 Homework 12

Xander Naumenko

03/12/23

**Question 1.** Since the closure only adds points  $f(E^\circ) \subseteq f(\overline{E})$  and  $f(E^\circ) \subseteq \overline{f(E)}$ , so we can just consider the boundary points. Let  $x \in \partial E$ . Let  $V \subseteq Y$  be an open set with  $f(x) \in V$ , then  $x \in f^{-1}(V)$ . Since  $x \in \partial E$  and  $f$  being continuous means  $f^{-1}(V)$  is open, there exists  $y \in f^{-1}(V)$  with  $y \in E \implies V \cap f(E) \neq \emptyset$ . Since this is true of all such  $V$ ,  $f(x) \in \overline{f(E)}$ , and as  $x$  was chosen arbitrarily,  $f(\overline{E}) \subseteq \overline{f(E)}$ .

To witness a proper subset, consider  $f : (1, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined as  $f(x) = \frac{1}{x}$ . Then  $f(\overline{(1, \infty)}) = f([1, \infty)) = (0, 1] \neq \overline{f((1, \infty))} = \overline{(0, 1)} = [0, 1]$ .



**Question 2a.** Both directions:

(i)  $\implies$  (ii): Let  $\epsilon > 0$ , and using uniform continuity find a  $\delta$  that satisfies the continuity definition for every  $x \in X$ . Let  $(x_n)$  and  $(x'_n)$  satisfy  $d_X(x_n, x'_n) \rightarrow 0$ . Let  $N$  be sufficiently large so that  $n > N \implies d_X(x_n, x'_n) < \delta$ . Then by the uniform continuity condition we have that  $d_Y(f(x_n), f(x'_n)) = d_Y(y_n, y'_n) < \epsilon$ . This is exactly the definition of convergence so  $d_Y(y_n, y'_n) \rightarrow 0$  as required.

(i)  $\impliedby$  (ii): Contrapositive, so assume  $f$  is not uniformly continuous and let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Since  $f$  isn't uniformly continuous, for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  there exists  $x_n, x'_n$  s.t.  $d_X(x_n, x'_n) < \frac{1}{n}$  but  $d_Y(f(x_n), f(x'_n)) \geq \epsilon$ . These  $(x_n), (x'_n)$  thus contradict (ii), so not being uniformly continuous implies that statement (ii) is false. By contrapositive (ii)  $\implies$  (i).

**Question 2b.** I claim that  $p \in [0, 1]$  are the only reals that work. For  $p < 0$ , let  $\epsilon = 1$ . For any  $\delta > 0$ , choose  $s = \min\{1, \delta\}$  and  $t \in (0, 2^{1/p}s)$ . Then  $|s - t| = s - t < \delta$ , but

$$|t^p - s^p| = t^p - s^p > 2s^p - s^p = s^p > 1.$$

Thus  $x^p$  is not uniformly continuous for  $p < 0$ . For  $p > 1$ , let  $\epsilon = 1$  also. For any  $\delta > 0$ , choose  $s = \left(\frac{2}{p\delta}\right)^{1/(p-1)}$  and  $t = s + \frac{\delta}{2}$ . Then by their definition  $|t - s| < \delta$ , but we have

$$|t^p - s^p| = t^p - s^p > (t - s)(x^p)'|_s = \frac{\delta}{2}p \left(\frac{2}{p\delta}\right) = 1.$$

Note the derivative part uses the fact that  $(x^p)'' = p(p-1)x^{p-2} > 0 \forall x > 0$ , so the largest derivative in the range  $(s, t)$  occurs at  $t$ . Thus  $x^p$  is not uniformly continuous for  $p > 1$ .

Finally, for  $p \in [0, 1]$ , let  $\epsilon > 0$  and choose  $\delta = \epsilon$ . Then for  $s, t \in (0, \infty)$  assuming without loss of generality that  $t > s$  with  $s - t < \delta$ , we have

$$|t^p - s^p| = t^p - s^p < (t - s)(x^p)'|_{x=t} < \epsilon p t^{p-1} < \epsilon \cdot 1 \cdot 1 = \epsilon.$$

Again the derivative part uses the fact that  $(x^p)'' = p(p-1)x^{p-2} > 0 \forall x > 0$ . Thus  $f(x) = x^p$  is uniformly continuous for  $p \in [0, 1]$  and nowhere else.



**Question 3a.** A set being closed is equivalent to its complement being open, so let  $y \in \mathbb{B}[x; r)$  and let  $z \in \mathbb{B}[y; \frac{r}{2})$ . Then using the ultrametric we have

$$d(x, y) = r \leq \max\{d(x, z), d(z, y)\} \leq \max\left\{d(x, z), \frac{r}{2}\right\}.$$

The only way this equation is satisfied is if  $d(x, z) \geq r$ , so  $z \notin \mathbb{B}[x; r)$ . Thus  $\mathbb{B}[y; \frac{r}{2}) \subseteq \mathbb{B}[x; r)^c$ . Therefore  $\mathbb{B}[x; r)^c$  is open and thus the original ball is closed.

**Question 3b.** Let  $z \in \mathbb{B}[y; r)$ , then by the ultrametric we get

$$d(x, z) \leq \max\{d(x, y), d(y, z)\} \leq \max\{r, r\} = r \implies z \in \mathbb{B}[x; r).$$

This gives  $\mathbb{B}[y; r) \subseteq \mathbb{B}[x; r)$ . Next let  $w \in \mathbb{B}[x; r)$ . Then

$$d(w, y) \leq \max\{d(w, x), d(x, y)\} \leq \max\{r, r\} = r \implies w \in \mathbb{B}[y; r).$$

Since both sets contain each other, we then get  $\mathbb{B}[y; r) = \mathbb{B}[x; r)$ .

**Question 3c.** Suppose without loss of generality that  $r_1 \leq r_2$ . I claim that  $\mathbb{B}[x; r_1) \subseteq \mathbb{B}[y; r_2)$ . By the intersection hypothesis select  $z \in X$  that is contained in both balls and let  $w \in \mathbb{B}[x; r_1)$ . Then by the distance ultrametric we have

$$d(w, y) \leq \max\{d(w, x), d(x, y)\} \leq \max\{d(w, x), \max\{d(x, z), d(z, y)\}\} \leq \max\{r_1, r_1, r_2\} = r_2.$$

Thus  $w \in \mathbb{B}[y; r_2)$ . Since  $w$  was arbitrary this gives the desired inclusion relationship.



**Question 4.** Let  $x \in E^c$ . Use the separation property of  $Y$  to find  $U, V \in \mathcal{T}_Y$  with  $f(x) \in U, f(y) \in V$  and  $U \cap V = \emptyset$ . Since  $f$  and  $g$  are continuous  $f^{-1}(U)$  and  $f^{-1}(V)$  are also open, let  $W \in \mathcal{T}_X$  be their intersection. Since  $U$  and  $V$  don't intersect,  $f(W) \cap g(W) = \emptyset$ , i.e. every  $x \in W$  is also in  $E^c$ , implying  $W \in E^c$ . Since we can find an open set containing  $x$  that is itself contained in  $E^c$  for every  $x \in E^c$ ,  $E^c$  is open and  $E$  is closed.





**Question 5a.** Plugging in  $x = y = 0$  gives  $f(0) = 2f(0) \implies f(0) = 0$ . Let  $m = f(1)$ . Note that  $f(kx) = f(x) + f((k-1)x) = \dots = f(x) + f(x) + \dots + f(x) = kf(x)$  for  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , so  $f(k) = km$  for the natural numbers at least. Using the previous identity we also have  $f(1) = m = nf(\frac{1}{n}) \implies f(\frac{1}{n}) = \frac{m}{n}, n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Putting these two facts together gives  $f(\frac{k}{n}) = kf(\frac{1}{n}) = \frac{km}{n}$ , so the function is determined for all positive rationals  $\frac{k}{n}$ .  $f(x - x) = f(0) = 0 = f(x) + f(-x) \implies f(x) = -f(-x)$ , so we've determined  $f(q) = mq$  for  $q \in \mathbb{Q}$ . A continuous function is completely determined by its behavior on a dense subset of its domain however which  $\mathbb{Q}$  is, so  $f(x) = mx$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  (to be precise to the theorem given in the notes, if  $f^*$  is another continuous function fulfilling  $f(x+y) = f(x) + f(y)$ , then  $f^*(x) = f(x) = mx \forall x \in \mathbb{R}$ ).

**Question 5b.** Consider swapping  $x$  and  $y$ :

$$f(x+y) = g(x) + h(y) = f(y+x) = g(y) + h(x) \implies g(x) - g(0) = h(x) - h(0).$$

Let  $g(0) = b$  and  $c = h(0)$ , then we have  $h(x) = g(x) - b + c$ . Plugging this into the identity gives  $f(x+y) = g(x) + g(y) - b + c$ . Computing  $f(x+y)$  two different ways gives

$$f(x+y) = g(x) + g(y) + b - c = g(x+y) + g(0) - b + c \implies g(x+y) = g(x) + g(y) - g(0).$$

This is almost identical to the identity we saw in part a, so we can solve it in similar manner. Let  $g(0) = b$ . First note that  $g(kx) = g(x) + g((k-1)x) - b = \dots = kg(x) - (k-1)b$ . Using this gives  $g(1) = g(n\frac{1}{n}) = ng(\frac{1}{n}) - (n-1)b \implies g(\frac{1}{n}) = \frac{1}{n}(g(1) - b) + b$ . Let  $m = g(1) - b$ . Applying the previous identity once again to this new equation gives

$$g\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) = k\left(\frac{m}{n} + b\right) - (k-1)b = m\frac{k}{n} + b.$$

Also  $g(x - x) = g(0) = g(x) + g(-x) + g(0) \implies g(x) = -g(-x)$ , so we've specified  $g(x)$  on the rationals. Since it's continuous, by the same logic as in part a we've also determined it to be  $g(x) = mx + b$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . Building the other functions back, the final most generalized form is  $g(x) = mx + b$ ,  $h(x) = g(x) - b + c = mx + c$  and  $f(x) = g(x) + g(0) - b + c = mx + b + c$ .



**Question 6a.** Since  $x \in U$  and  $U$  is open, there exists an open interval contained in  $U$  that contains  $x$ , so  $I(x)$  is nonempty. Since it's nonempty and  $\alpha(x), \beta(x)$  are defined in such a way that  $\alpha(x) < x < \beta(x)$ , we must have that  $x \in I(x)$ . Let  $y \in I(x)$ , suppose for now that  $\alpha(x) < y < x$ . Since  $y > \alpha(x)$  there exists an interval  $(y - \frac{y - \alpha(x)}{2}, b) \subseteq U$  if  $\alpha(x) > -\infty$  or  $(y - 1, b) \subseteq U$  otherwise for some  $b \in \mathbb{R}$  with  $b > x$ .  $y$  is in that interval, so  $y \in U$ . If instead  $y > x$ , the exact same argument works in reverse by symmetry, so  $I(x) \subseteq U$ .

To show  $\alpha(x) \notin U$ , by contradiction suppose that it was. Since this statement wouldn't make sense if  $|\alpha(x)| = \infty$ , assume  $|\alpha(x)| < \infty$ . Then since  $U$  is open,  $\exists r \in \mathbb{R}$  s.t.  $(\alpha(x) - r, \alpha(x) + r) \subseteq U$ . Let  $z$  be in this interval such that  $z < \alpha(x)$ . Then the interval  $(z, \beta(x))$  is contained in  $U$  with  $x \in (z, \beta(x))$ , so  $\alpha(x)$  wasn't chosen to be minimal, contradiction. Thus  $\alpha(x) \notin U$ . The exact same argument works with signs flipped to show  $\beta(x)$  also isn't contained in  $U$ .

**Question 6b.** By contradiction suppose that it wasn't true. Then there exists two intervals,  $I(x), I(y)$ , such that  $I(x) \cap I(y) \neq \emptyset$  but  $I(x) \neq I(y)$ . Let  $\alpha = \min\{\alpha(x), \alpha(y)\}$  and  $\beta = \max\{\beta(x), \beta(y)\}$ . Then  $x, y \in (\alpha, \beta)$ , I claim also  $(\alpha, \beta) \subseteq U$ . Let  $z \in I(x) \cap I(y)$ . Then we get

$$(\alpha, \beta) = (\alpha, z] \cup [z, \beta) \subseteq (\alpha(x), \beta(x)) \cup (\alpha(y), \beta(y)) \subseteq U$$

Thus  $(\alpha, \beta) \subseteq U$  with  $x, y \in (\alpha, \beta)$ . But by hypothesis either  $\alpha(x) \neq \alpha(y)$  or  $\beta(x) \neq \beta(y)$ , so one of those wasn't chosen to be maximal. This gives a contradiction, so  $I(x) = I(y)$  or  $I(x) \cap I(y) = \emptyset$  after all.

**Question 6c.** By its definition  $\mathcal{G}$  is a set of disjoint open intervals whose union is  $U$ , so all that remains is to prove that it is countable or finite. Let  $S = \left\{ \frac{\alpha + \beta}{2} : (\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{G} \right\}$ , i.e. the midpoints of all the intervals.  $|S| = |\mathcal{G}|$ , so it's sufficient to prove that  $S$  is countable. Let  $x = \frac{\alpha + \beta}{2} \in S$ , and consider whether it's a limit point or not. Since  $x$  is a midpoint for the interval  $(\alpha, \beta)$  and each interval in  $\mathcal{G}$  is disjoint, we have that  $(\alpha, \beta) \cap S = \emptyset$ . Thus  $x \notin S' \implies S \cap S' = \emptyset$ . By the contrapositive of homework 11 question 4, this gives us that  $S$  isn't uncountable, i.e. it is countable or finite as required.

