Math 437 Homework 3

Xander Naumenko

07/11/23

Question 1. We are trying to find instances of when $a_n \equiv 0 \mod 2023$, so consider the recurrence relation $\mod 2023$. All future values of the sequence are determined by the 5-tuple $(a_n, a_{n+1}, a_{n+2}, n^2, 5^n)$, each value within being modulo 2023. There are 2023 possibilities for the first 4 and $\operatorname{ord}_{2023}5 = 816$ possibilities for the last, so by the pigeonhole principle the sequence must repeat after at most $2023^4 \cdot 816 \approx 1.367 \cdot 10^{16}$ steps. Since it repeats infinitely from then on out, all we must do is show that there is at least one 0 within the repeating section. I claim that $a_0 = 0$ is in the repeating section which fulfills this requirement.

To see why, let p be the period of repetition (i.e. the smallest number such that $a_m \equiv a_{m+p}, 5^m \equiv 5^{m+p}, m^2 \equiv (m+p)^2 \mod 2023$ for all m sufficiently large, this is guaranteed to exist as shown above) and n be the smallest number such that $a_m \equiv a_{m+p}, 5^m \equiv 5^{m+p}, m^2 = (m+p)^2 \mod 2023 \forall m \geq n$. In particular, $a_n \equiv a_{n+p}, a_{n+1} \equiv a_{n+p+1}, a_{n+2} \equiv a_{n+p+2} \mod 2023$. Note that 816|p and 2023|p due to the 5^m and m^2 requirement and the fact that 2023 isn't a perfect square. Then consider a_{n-1} , using the fact that $11 \cdot 184 \equiv 1 \mod 2023$:

$$a_{n-1} \equiv 184 \left(a_{n+2} - 5^n a_{n+1} - n^2 a_n \right) \equiv 184 \left(a_{n+p+2} - 5^{n+p} a_{n+p+1} - (n+p)^2 a_{n+p} \right) \mod 2023$$

$$\equiv a_{n+p-1} \mod 2023.$$

Since $5^{n-1} \equiv 1214 \cdot 5^n \equiv 1214 \cdot 5^{n+p} \equiv 5^{n+p-1} \mod 2023$ and $(n-1)^2 \equiv n^2 - 2n + 1 \equiv (n+p)^2 - 2(n+p) + 1 \equiv (n+p-1)^2 \mod 2023$, this contradicts our assumption that n was chosen to be minimal. The only way this doesn't lead to a contradiction is if n=0 as $a_{n-1}=a_{-1}$ isn't defined. Thus $a_0 \equiv 0 \mod 2023$ is in the repetition and $2023|a_n$ infinitely many times. \square

While this concludes the proof, alternatively to carefully proving that a_0 is in the repeating section one also could have just brute force searched for a repeating 5-tuple in the form above and checked that the repeating section contains a zero. Here's some python code to do so, it turns out to repeat with period p = 4660992 and n = 0 as expected.

```
N = 10000000
a = [0,1,2] + [0]*(N-3)

seen = {}
repeat_n = -1
repeat_h = ()

for n in range(0,N-3):
    a[n+3] = (5**(n%816)*a[n+2]+n**2*a[n+1]+11*a[n])%2023
    h = (n%816,(n**2)%2023,a[n+2],a[n+1],a[n])
    if h in seen:
        print(f'Found at n={n}')
```

```
repeat_n = n
       repeat_h = h
       break
   seen[h] = n
if repeat_n == -1:
   print('No repeat found')
else:
   n1 = seen[repeat_h]
   n2 = repeat_n
   print(f'Found repeat at n1={n1}, n2={n2}')
   print(repeat_h)
   print('Searching for zeros...')
   for n in range(n1,n2+1):
        if a[n] == 0:
            print(f'Found zero at n={n}')
            print(f'Sanity check: n1=\{n1\}, a[\{n1\}]=\{a[n1]\}, a[\{n1\}+1]=\{a[n1+1]\}, a
                \hookrightarrow [{n1}+2]={a[n1+2]}, (5^({n1}))%2023={(5**n1)%2023}, ({n1}^2)

    %2023={(5**n1)%2023}')

            print(f'Sanity check: n2=\{n2\}, a[\{n2\}]=\{a[n2]\}, a[\{n2\}+1]=\{a[n2+1]\}, a
                \hookrightarrow [{n2}+2]={a[n2+2]}, (5^({n2}))%2023={(5**n2)%2023}, ({n2}^2)
                \hookrightarrow %2023={(5**n2)%2023}')
            break
```

Question 2. Factor n as $n = 2^{\alpha_0} \prod_{i=1}^r p_i^{\alpha_i}$ with the p_i being odd primes. Let $P(x) = x^3 - 1$, as proven in theorem 8.2, it is sufficient to find $N_P(p_i^{\alpha_i})$ and $N_P(2^{\alpha_0})$, then multiply them all together to find $N_P(n)$. Proposition 18.2 from the notes tells us that the number of solutions to $x^m \equiv a \mod p^{\alpha}$ is

$$\begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } a^{\frac{\phi(p^{\alpha})}{\gcd(m,\phi(p^{\alpha}))}} \not\equiv 1 \mod p^{\alpha} \\ \gcd(m,\phi(p^{\alpha})) & \text{if } a^{\frac{\phi(p^{\alpha})}{\gcd(m,\phi(p^{\alpha}))}} \equiv 1 \mod p^{\alpha} \end{cases}.$$

Since in this case a=1, m=3, this reduces to $N_P(p_i^{\alpha_i})=\gcd(3,\phi(p_i^{\alpha_i}))=3$ if $3|p_i^{\alpha_i-1}(p_i-1),1$ otherwise. For the 2^{α_0} factor we can't apply Proposition 18.2 since it only applies to odd primes, so another argument is needed. Consider $\phi(2^n)$, since half the numbers less than 2^n are even and the other half are odd, $\phi(2^n)=2^{n-1}$. However $3\nmid 2^{n-1}\forall n$, so the only solution to $x^3\equiv 1\mod 2^{\alpha_0}$ is $x\equiv 1\mod 2^{\alpha_0}$. Multiplying these all together, we get that

$$N_P(n) = N_P(2^{\alpha_0}) \prod_{i=1}^r N_P(p_i^{\alpha_i}) = 3^{\text{\# of } i \text{ s.t. } 3|p_i^{\alpha_i-1}(p_i-1)}. \square$$

To double check that this correctly counts everything once again one can write a quick python script:

```
from sympy import factorint
n = 2**3 * 3**3 * 5**2 * 7**2 * 79
def brute_force_count(n):
```

Question 3. I will construct the sequence $\{n_k\}_{k\geq 1}$ and show that the sequence is valid. They will be in the form $n_k = \prod_{i=1}^k p_i^{a_i}$, p_i is the *i*th prime and $a_i \in \{0,1\}$ are shared between all n_k (i.e. a_i isn't a function of k), so the sequence a_i uniquely defines the sequence n_k .

Let $\alpha \in [0,1]$. Note that for n_k in the form above we have $n_k = p_k^{a_k} n_{k-1}$. Let $a_k = 1$ if $\left(1 - \frac{1}{p_k}\right) \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_i}\right)^{a_i} \ge \alpha$ and $a_k = 0$ otherwise. I claim that these a_k define a sequence n_k that fulfills the required limit.

If $\alpha = 1$ then $n_k = 1$ works, so assume $\alpha < 1$. Let $\epsilon > 0$, and to make some of the algebra later simpler assume that $\epsilon < 1 - \alpha$. Expanding out the given limit using the fact that $a_i \in \{0, 1\}$, we have

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{\phi(n_k)}{n_k} = \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{p_i - 1}{p_i}\right)^{a_i} = \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_i}\right)^{a_i}.$$

The product is decreasing and the a_i s were specifically constructed so that each of these partial products are always greater than α , so all that needs to be shown is that there is some partial product that is smaller than $\alpha + \epsilon$. Before this can be done, first note that $\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_i}\right) = 0$ (this relies on some slightly non-rigorous expansion but something very similar was shown in class so I assume it's fine):

$$\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_i} \right) = \left(\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1 - p_i^{-1}} \right)^{-1} = \left(\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} 1 + p_i^{-1} + p_i^{-2} + \dots \right)^{-1} = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{i} \right)^{-1} = \frac{1}{\infty} = 0.$$

Let K be such that $\frac{1}{p_K} < \epsilon$ and denote $A = \prod_{i=1}^K \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_i}\right)^{a_i}$. If $A < \alpha + \epsilon$ already then we're done, so assume it isn't. Then since $\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_i}\right) = 0$ there exists an N such that $B = A \prod_{i=K+1}^N \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_i}\right) < \alpha + \epsilon$, also assume that N is chosen to be the smallest possible that fulfills this property. By the minimality of N we have that $B\left(1 - \frac{1}{p_N}\right)^{-1} \ge \alpha + \epsilon$, so using the fact that $\alpha + \epsilon \le 1$ we get

$$B \ge (\alpha + \epsilon) \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_N} \right) \ge (\alpha + \epsilon) \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_K} \right) \ge (\alpha + \epsilon) \left(1 - \epsilon \right) \ge \alpha + \epsilon - \epsilon = \alpha.$$

Thus $B \in [\alpha, \alpha + \epsilon)$. Then by the construction of a_i we have that $a_{K+1} = \ldots = a_N = 1$, so $B = A \prod_{i=K+1}^{N} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_i}\right) = \prod_{i=1}^{N} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_i}\right)^{a_i} = \frac{\phi(n_N)}{n_N} \in [\alpha, \alpha + \epsilon)$. Since $\frac{\phi(n_k)}{n_k}$ is bounded below by α , is decreasing and comes arbitrarily close to α , its limit is α . \square