

# Math 322 Homework 6

Xander Naumenko

16/10/23

**Question 2.** Consider  $G, H, K$  as in the question. Then by theorem 1.5 in the textbook we have that  $|G| = |H||G : H|$ ,  $|H| = |K|[H : K]$  and  $|G| = |K|[G : K]$ . Multiplying these three identities together, we get  $|K|[G : K]|G||H| = |H||G : H||K|[H : K] \implies [G : K] = [G : H][H : K]$ .

**Question 3.** Let  $x \in G$  and  $y \in (H_1 \cap H_2)x$ . Then there exists  $h \in H_1 \cap H_2$  s.t.  $hx = y$ , so  $h$  also witness that both  $y \in H_1x$  and  $y \in H_2x$ . Since this is true of any  $y$  we have that  $(H_1 \cap H_2)x \subseteq H_1x \cap H_2x$ .

For the other direction, let  $y \in H_1x \cap H_2x$ . Then there exist  $h_1 \in H_1, h_2 \in H_2$  with  $y = h_1x$  and  $y = h_2x$ . But every element in a group is invertible so  $h_1 = h_2 = yx^{-1}$ , so in particular  $h_1 \in H_1 \cap H_2 \implies (H_1 \cap H_2)x \supseteq H_1x \cap H_2x$ . Putting the two last paragraphs together we get that  $(H_1 \cap H_2)x = H_1x \cap H_2x$ .

To prove Poincaré's theorem, since we have that  $[G : H_1] < \infty$  and  $[G : H_2] < \infty$ , we can write  $G = H_1x_1 \cup \dots \cup H_1x_m$ ,  $G = H_2y_1 \cup \dots \cup H_2y_n$  for some  $x_i \in G, y_i \in G$  with  $H_1x_i \cap H_1x_j = \emptyset, H_2y_i \cap H_2y_j = \emptyset$  for  $i \neq j$ . By our previously proven result, every coset  $(H_1 \cap H_2)z$  can be written as  $H_1z \cap H_2z$ , but there are only  $m$  and  $n$  unique cosets for  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  in  $G$  respectively, so there are at most  $mn < \infty$  unique cosets generated this way.

**Question 4.** Let  $G = \langle s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n \rangle$  and assume that  $H \subseteq G$  with finite index. Since  $H$  has finite index we can write  $G = Hx_1 \cup Hx_2 \cup \dots \cup Hx_{n-1}$  with  $x_1 = 1$ . Thus for every combination  $x_i, s_j$ , we have that there exists  $h_{ij}, x_{k_{ij}}$  such that  $x_is_j = h_{ij}x_{k_{ij}}$ . I claim that the finite set of all these  $h_{ij}$ s generate  $H$ . To see why, let  $h \in H$ . Since  $G$  is finitely generated we can write  $h = s_{i_1} \dots s_{i_m}$ . Since  $x_1 = 1$ , we can write  $s_{i_1} = x_1s_{i_1} = h_{1i_1}x_{k_{1i_1}}$ . We've thus converted our previous expression for  $h$  into  $h = h_{1i_1}x_{k_{1i_1}}s_{i_2} \dots s_{i_m}$ . Now considering  $x_{k_{1i_1}}s_{i_2}$ , we can repeat this process repeatedly to convert each element in this product to purely elements of  $H$ , to arrive at a product of the form  $h = h_{1i_1} \dots h_{mi_m}x_{k_{mi_m}}$ . I claim that  $x_{k_{mi_m}} = x_1 = 1$ . Since  $h_{1i_1} \dots h_{mi_m} \in H$ , if  $x_{k_{mi_m}} \neq x_1$  then the right side of the equality wouldn't be in  $H$ , but since  $h \in H$  it must be that the last element is  $x_1$ . Thus we have that  $h = h_{1i_1} \dots h_{mi_m}$  is a finite combination of the  $h_{ij}$ s.

**Question 5.** Denote  $f_{hk}(x) = h x k$  be the elements of the group described, and let  $F$  be the set of all such maps. Clearly  $f_{hk}$  permutes elements of  $G$ , so we just need to show that it is indeed a group. For closure, let  $f_{hk}$  and  $f_{h'k'}$  be maps and note that  $f_{hk}f_{h'k'}x = hh'xk'k = f_{(hh')(k'k)}x$  which is in  $F$  (since  $H, K$  are subgroups  $hh' \in H$  and  $k'k \in K$ ). Note that  $f_{h^{-1}k^{-1}}f_{hk} = h^{-1}h x k k^{-1}x = x$ , so invertibility is fulfilled. Finally since they are subgroups  $1 \in H, 1 \in K$ , so  $f_{11}x = 1x1 = x$  for identity. Since  $F$  is a group and it permutes elements of  $G$ , it is a group of transformations.

Consider an arbitrary combination of these maps,  $f_{h_1k_1}f_{h_2k_2} \dots f_{h_mk_m}x = h_1h_2 \dots h_mxk_m \dots k_1$ . Since  $H, K$  are groups, by closure  $h_1h_2 \dots h_m \in H$  and  $k_m \dots k_1 \in K$ , so  $f_{h_1k_1}f_{h_2k_2} \dots f_{h_mk_m}x \in HxK$ . But also every element  $y = h x k \in HxK$  is reachable from  $x$  via  $f_{hk}$ , so we have that the orbit of  $x$  is exactly  $HxK$ .

Now suppose  $G$  is finite. I will prove the first equality, the second follows by the exact same argument except with right multiplication replaced with left and vice versa. Let  $A = x^{-1}Hx \cap K$ . I claim that there is a bijection between  $K/A$  to  $HxK/H$ , more specifically the mapping  $Ak \rightarrow Hxk$ . To show that it is well defined, consider  $k, k'$  such that  $Ak = Ak'$ . Then we have that  $k(k')^{-1} \in A \implies k(k')^{-1} \in x^{-1}Hx$ , which implies that  $xk(k')^{-1}x^{-1} \in H \implies Hxk = Hxk'$ .

To show one-to-one, assume that for some  $k, k'$  we have that  $Hxk = Hxk'$ . Then just applying the same logic we just used in reverse,  $xk(k')^{-1}x^{-1} \in H \implies k(k')^{-1} \in x^{-1}Hx \implies k(k')^{-1} \in A$  (since also  $k, k' \in K$ )  $\implies Ak = Ak'$ . The mapping is clearly onto, since for any coset  $Hxk$  of  $H$  in  $HxK$ ,  $Mk$  maps to it. Thus  $|A|$  is the cardinality of the number of cosets of  $H$  in  $HxK$  and each one has size  $|H|$ , so putting this together gives  $|HxK| = |H||A| = |H||K : x^{-1}Hx \cap K|$ .

**Question 3.** Let  $g = (a, b) \in G$  and  $k = (1, c) \in K$ . Note that as proven in homework 2,  $g^{-1} = (\frac{1}{a}, -\frac{b}{a})$ . Then we have that

$$g^{-1}kg = \left(\frac{1}{a}, -\frac{b}{a}\right)(1, c)(a, b) = \left(\frac{1}{a}, -\frac{b}{a}\right)(a, b+c) = \left(1, \frac{c}{a} - \frac{b}{a}\right) \in K.$$

Thus  $K$  is normal. For the second part, define a map  $\phi : G/K \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^*, \cdot, 1)$  as  $(a, b)K \rightarrow a$ . Since multiplication by  $(1, c)$  scales the second element arbitrarily, this is a well defined function as  $a \in \mathbb{R}$  is the only free parameter in both sides. It is also injective and onto, since for different  $a$  on the left produce different outputs and for any real  $a$ , choosing  $(a, 0)$  produces it. Thus  $G/K \cong (\mathbb{R}^*, \cdot, 1)$ .

**Question 4.** Let  $H$  be a subgroup of  $G$  with index 2, for any  $h \in H$ ,  $hH = Hh$ . Since  $[G : H] = 2$ ,  $H' = G \setminus H$  is also a group. For any  $h' \in H'$ , we also have that  $h'H = H'$  and  $Hh' = H'$ , since otherwise any element  $h \in H$  with  $hh' \in H$  would imply that  $h' \in H'$ , contradiction. Since  $x \in H$  or  $x \in H'$  are the only possibilities, we thus have that in general  $xH = Hx \forall x \in G$ . Applying  $x^{-1}$  on both sides give  $xHx^{-1} = H$ , so  $H$  is normal.

To see that  $A_n$  is normal in  $S_n$ , all we must do is show that  $[S_n : A_n] = 2$ , then by the previously proven property the result follows. By the previously shown result in the textbook in section 1.7 we know that  $|S_n| = 2|A_n|$ , but we also know that by theorem 1.5  $|S_n| = [S_n : A_n]|A_n|$ , which when put together give  $[S_n : A_n] = 2$  as required.

**Question 5.** Consider normal subgroups  $H_1, H_2$  and let  $H = H_1 \cap H_2$ . Let  $x \in G$ . Then for all  $h_1 \in H_1$ ,  $xh_1x^{-1} \in H_1$  and for all  $h_2 \in H_2$ ,  $xh_2x^{-1} \in H_2$ . But then for any  $h \in H$  using these facts we have that  $xhx^{-1} \in H_1$  and  $xhx^{-1} \in H_2$ , i.e.  $xhx^{-1} \in H_1 \cap H_2 = H$ , so  $H$  is normal. If instead of just two normal subgroups we had a list of normal subgroups  $H_1, H_2, \dots$  with  $H = H_1 \cap H_2 \cap \dots$ , we can repeatedly apply the version just shown to reduce the problem until only a single normal subgroup remains.

Let  $H, K$  be normal subgroups of  $G$ . Let  $hk \in HK$ . Then for any  $x \in G$  we have  $xhkkx^{-1} = xhx^{-1}xkx^{-1}$ . Both  $xhx^{-1} \in H$  and  $xkx^{-1} \in K$  by hypothesis, so we have that  $xhkkx^{-1} \in HK$ , the requirement for  $HK$  to be normal.