

Part 1: RSA Implementation

The RSA implementation follows the standard algorithm with a 256-bit modulus created from two 128-bit primes. Key generation ensures both primes p and q have their two leftmost bits set and are coprime to $e=65537$. For encryption, the code processes `message.txt` in 128-bit blocks, padding each block with zeros on the right if needed to make complete blocks, then prepending 128 zeros by left-shifting ($\text{block} \ll 128$) to create 256-bit blocks. Each padded block M is encrypted using $C = M^e \bmod n$. For decryption, the Chinese Remainder Theorem optimization is implemented by computing $d_p = d \bmod (p-1)$ and $d_q = d \bmod (q-1)$, then calculating $m_p = C^{d_p} \bmod p$ and $m_q = C^{d_q} \bmod q$. These intermediate values are combined using the formula $M = m_q + h \cdot q$ where $h = q_{\text{inv}} \cdot (m_p - m_q) \bmod p$. The 128 zero bits are removed by right-shifting ($M \gg 128$), and any trailing padding zeros are stripped to recover the original message.

Part 2: Breaking RSA with Small Exponents

The RSA breaking implementation demonstrates why small values of e (like $e=3$) are insecure. The code generates three different RSA key pairs all using $e=3$, encrypts the same message with each key pair, and then exploits this vulnerability to recover the original message. When $e=3$, the Chinese Remainder Theorem can be applied to find $M^3 \bmod N$ (where N is the product of the three moduli). Since $M^3 < N$ when using sufficiently large moduli, $M^3 \bmod N$ equals M^3 , allowing direct cube root calculation to recover M . The implementation uses the `solve_pRoot` function to accurately calculate this cube root since Python's native functions lack sufficient precision. This attack works because a small exponent like $e=3$ doesn't sufficiently scramble the message when the same message is encrypted with multiple keys sharing that exponent.