MAT 128A - Practice Midterm Exam

Karry Wong

October 31, 2018

Problem 1 (True or False)

Given that $f: [-1,1] \to \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function, and that $\{a_n\}$ are its Chebyshev coefficients.

Also, for $N \in \mathbb{N}$, $p_N(x) = \sum_{n=0}^N a_n T_n(x)$. Determine whether each of the following statements is true or false. No need to justify your answers.

- (I) If f is continuously differentiable, then $||p_N f||_{\infty} \to 0$ as $N \to \infty$.
- (II) if f is k-times continuously differentiable, then $|a_n| = \mathcal{O}(\frac{1}{n^k})$.
- (III) If f is infinitely differentiable, then there exists an r > 0 such that $|a_n| = exp(-rn)$.
- (IV) If $|a_n| \leq 2^{-n}$ for all nonnegative integers n, then $|f(x) p_N(x)| \leq 2^{-N}$ for all positive integers N and all $x \in [-1, 1]$.
- (V) For each positive integer N, p_N is the unique polynomial of degree N which interpolates f at the points

$$cos\left(\frac{j+\frac{1}{2}}{N+1}\pi\right), \quad j=0,1,2,\cdots,N$$

Ans: (I) TRUE

(Not graded - My reason): for continuously differentiable $f: [-1,1] \to \mathbb{C}$, its Chebyshev series converges absolutely and uniformly on the interval [-1,1]. In particular, uniform convergence means exactly $\lim_{N\to\infty} ||f-p_N||_{\infty} = 0$

(II) TRUE

(Not graded - My reason): In class, we learned that given f being k-times continuously differentiable, its Chebyshev coefficients $|a_n| = \mathcal{O}(\frac{1}{n^k})$, which in turn implies that, $|a_n| = \mathcal{O}(\frac{1}{n^k})$

Remark 1. In general, given two real-valued functions f, g, it is clear that $f = \mathcal{O}(g) \Rightarrow f = \mathcal{O}(g)$ since $\lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{f(x)}{g(x)} = 0 < +\infty$

(III) FALSE

(Not graded - My reason): exponential decay of Chebyshev coefficients is a consequence when f is analytic over an ellipse. Given that f is infinitely differentiable (C^{∞}) , it does not imply that f is analytic. Indeed the class of analytic functions is a proper subset of C^{∞} -function. Here is an example of a real-valued function that is C^{∞} but not analytic

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} exp(-\frac{1}{x}), & x > 0 \\ 0, & x \le 0 \end{cases}$$

We can show that f is infinitely differentiable but its Taylor's expansion at x = 0 converges to zero and hence fails to converge to f(x) for x > 0.

See https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Non-analytic_smooth_function for more details.

Remark 2. For those of you who have taken complex analysis, the picture is fundamentally different for complex-valued functions. Indeed, any holomorphic (= complex differentiable) function is analytic and vice versa!

(IV) TRUE

(Not graded - My reason): first, note that $|T_n(x)| < 1$ for all n and all $x \in [-1,1]$ since $T_n(x) = cos(n \cdot cos^{-1}(x))$ is basically a cosine function. Second,

$$|f(x) - p_n(x)| = \left| \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} a_k T_k(x) \right| \le \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} |a_k| |T_k(x)| \le \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} |a_k| \le \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} 2^{-n} = \frac{1}{2^n}$$

Replace n with N, we are done.

(V) TRUE

(Not graded - My reason): This is a theorem shown in lecture 15. In addition, the Chebyshev coefficient is computed by the quadrature rule (which is exact in this case) $a_n = \frac{2}{N+1} \sum_{j=0}^{N} f(x_j) T_n(x_j)$, where

$$x_j = \cos\left(\frac{j + \frac{1}{2}}{N + 1}\pi\right), \quad j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, N$$

Problem 2 (Fourier Series)

Compute

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(t) \, dt$$

where $f: [-\pi, \pi] \to \mathbb{C}$ is the function defined by the Fourier series

$$f(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^{n+1} e^{int}$$

Ans: As far as I can tell, there is only one way to get to the right answer. (if you have a better solution, please let me know!)

It is tempting for some of you to interchange the integral and the infinite sum. But it is wrong!

(Correct). Since the given form of f(t) is already a Fourier series,

$$f(t) = 2 + 2^{2}e^{it} + 2^{3}e^{2it} + \dots = \sum_{n = -\infty}^{\infty} a_{n}e^{int} \quad \text{where } a_{n} = \begin{cases} 0, & n < 0 \\ 2^{n+1}, & n \ge 0 \end{cases}$$

The integral $\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(t) dt$ we want to compute is part of the definition of a_0 , therefore

$$a_0 := \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(t) dt \quad \Rightarrow \quad \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(t) dt = 2\pi a_0 = 2\pi(2) = 4\pi$$

(Incorrect). It is tempting to write down the following answer:

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(t) dt = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^{n+1} e^{int} dt \stackrel{(*)}{=} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} 2^{n+1} e^{int} dt = 2 \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{0} dt + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{n+1} \underbrace{\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{int} dt}_{=0} = 4\pi$$

But the step (*) is wrong! I hope that you learned in your analysis class that **it is NOT** always possible to interchange the integral and the infinite sum. Here is a counterexample:

Let
$$\{f_n(x) = cos(nx)\}$$
. Then consider $\sum_{n=0}^{N} cos(nx)$,
Since $\sum_{n=0}^{N} cos(nx)sin(\frac{x}{2}) = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[sin((n+\frac{1}{2})x) - sin((n-\frac{1}{2})x)\right]$
 $= \frac{1}{2}\left[\left(sin(\frac{x}{2}) - sin(-\frac{x}{2})\right) + \left(sin(\frac{3x}{2}) - sin(\frac{x}{2})\right) + \dots + \left(sin(\frac{(N+1)}{2}x) - sin(\frac{(N-1)}{2}x)\right)\right]$
 $= \frac{1}{2}\left[sin(\frac{x}{2}) + sin(\frac{(N+1)}{2}x)\right]$

So we have

$$\sum_{n=0}^{N} cos(nx) = \frac{sin(\frac{x}{2}) + sin(\frac{(N+1)}{2}x)}{2sin(\frac{x}{2})}$$

Now for $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \cos(nx)$ is divergent (try to let $N \to \infty$ in the above expression.)

Therefore, $\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \sum_{n=0}^{N} \cos(nx) dx$ is undefined!

But at the same time, for all integers $n \ge 1$, $\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \cos(nx) dx = 0$ and $\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \cos(0x) dx = 2\pi$. Therefore, $\sum_{n=0}^{N} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \cos(nx) dx = 2\pi$. So we see in this example that generally

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int_{X} f_n(x) dx \neq \int_{X} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_n(x) dx$$

Remark 3. Some of you might recall that **Fubini's theorem** justifies the interchange of integrals for an integrable function. As a special case, Fubini's theorem also justifies the interchange of the integral and the infinite sum since infinite sum can be identified as the integration with respect to the counting measure:

Theorem 1. For a sequence of measurable functions $\{f_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ with $f_n \colon X \to \mathbb{R}$ and μ the Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R} , if

either
$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int_{X} |f_n| d\mu < +\infty$$
 or $\int_{X} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |f_n| d\mu < +\infty$,

Then we can interchange the integral and infinite sum over $\{f_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$, i.e.

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int_{X} f_n d\mu = \int_{X} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_n d\mu$$

But this theorem is NOT applicable in the above example, since $f_n(x) = 2^{n+1}e^{int}$,

$$\int_{X} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |f_n| \ d\mu = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^{n+1} \left| e^{int} \right| \ dx = +\infty$$

Problem 3 (Condition Number)

Let $\kappa_f(x)$ denote the condition number of evaluation of the function f at the point x. Find a function f which is infinitely differentiable on the interval (0,1) (but which may have singularities at x=0) such that

$$\lim_{x\to 0^+} \kappa_f(x) = \infty$$

Note: Since $\kappa_f \geqslant 0$ by definition, therefore ∞ here is clearly $+\infty$

Ans: $f(x) = exp(\frac{1}{x})$, then

$$\kappa_f = \left| x \frac{f'(x)}{f(x)} \right| = \left| x \frac{-\frac{1}{x^2} exp(\frac{1}{x})}{exp(\frac{1}{x})} \right| = \frac{1}{x}$$

Therefore, $\lim_{x\to 0^+} \kappa_f(x) = \lim_{x\to 0^+} \frac{1}{x} = +\infty$.

(Idea - Not graded:) First recall the formula for the condition number of a function f(x):

$$\kappa_f = \left| x \frac{f'(x)}{f(x)} \right|$$

If we look at this formula carefully, any f(x) as some power of x will NOT give $\lim_{x\to 0^+} \kappa_f = \infty$, since assume $f(x) = x^{\alpha}$ for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$:

$$\kappa_f = \left| x \alpha \frac{x^{\alpha - 1}}{\alpha} \right| = |\alpha| < +\infty$$

This simply will not work.

How about we draw inspiration from example $f(x) = e^x$ presented in class:

$$\kappa_f = \left| x \frac{e^x}{e^x} \right| = |x|$$

That is actually insightful since we learn that **exponential growth will lead to blow-up of** the condition number, i.e.

$$\lim_{x \to +\infty} \kappa_f(x) = +\infty$$

Can we produce exponential growth around x = 0? Yes! Simplest answer: $f(x) = exp(\frac{1}{x})$.

By the same token, we can produce infinitely many functions as such, i.e. $exp(\frac{1}{x^2}), exp(\frac{1}{x^3}), \dots$

Problem 4 (Error of interpolant)

Suppose that $f: [0,1] \to \mathbb{R}$ is twice differentiable function such that $|f''(x)| \le 1$ for all $0 \le x \le 1$.

Let p be the unique polynomial of degree 1 which interpolates f at the points 0 and 1. Show that

$$|p(x) - f(x)| \le \frac{1}{8}$$

for all $x \in [0, 1]$).

Ans: we apply the interpolation error formula in this case, i.e. $x_0 = 0$, $x_1 = 1$

$$f(x) - p(x) = \frac{f''(\xi_x)}{2!}(x - x_0)(x - x_1) = \frac{f''(\xi_x)}{2!}x(x - 1)$$

where $\xi_x \in (0,1)$.

In order to find an upper bound for |f(x) - p(x)|, we look at the function g(x) := x(x-1). Using calculus,

$$g'(x) = 2x - 1 = 0 \implies x = \frac{1}{2}$$

 $g''(x) = 2 \implies g(\frac{1}{2}) > 0$

So g(x) has an absolute maximu at $x = \frac{1}{2}$ and $g(\frac{1}{2}) = \frac{1}{4}$.

Using $|f''(x)| \leq 1$, we have

$$|f(x) - p(x)| \le \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{4} = \frac{1}{8}$$

for all $x \in [0, 1]$.

Problem 5 (Chebyshev coefficients)

Suppose that $f: [-1,1] \to \mathbb{R}$ is an infinitely differentiable function, and that $\{a_n\}$ is the sequence of Chebyshev coefficients of f — that is, $\{a_n\}$ defined via the formula

$$a_n = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{-1}^{1} f(x) T_n(x) \frac{dx}{\sqrt{1 - x^2}}$$

Suppose also that N is a positive integer, and p is the polynomial, $p = \sum_{n=0}^{N} a_n T_n(x)$ Show that if q is any polynomial of degree N, then

$$|a_{N+1}| \leqslant \frac{4}{\pi} |f(x) - q(x)|$$

for all $x \in [-1, 1]$.

Hint: You might want to use the fact that $\int_{-1}^{1} |T_{n+1}(x)| \frac{dx}{\sqrt{1-x^2}} = 2$ for all $n \ge 1$ without proving it.

Ans: The desired statement as above is NOT correct. Choosing $f(x) = T_0(x) + T_1(x) = 1 + x$ which is infinitely differentiable. Now choose q(x) to be a polynomial of degree 0, namely a constant, let say $q(x) \equiv 1$. Then $L.H.S = |a_1| = 1$ but $R.H.S. = \frac{4}{\pi}(1 + x - 1) = \frac{4}{\pi}x$. Taking x = 0, we have L.H.S < R.H.S..

The desired statement should be

$$|a_{N+1}| \le \frac{4}{\pi} \sup_{-1 \le x \le 1} |f(x) - q(x)|$$

where the N + 1-coefficients provides a lower bound for the "distance" between f and any polynomials of degree N. The proof's idea is as follows:

$$\frac{\pi}{2}|a_{N+1}| = \left| \int_{-1}^{1} f(x)T_{N+1}(x) \frac{dx}{\sqrt{1-x^2}} \right| \le \left| \int_{-1}^{1} \left[f(x) - q(x) + q(x) \right] T_{N+1}(x) \frac{dx}{\sqrt{1-x^2}} \right|$$

$$\le \left| \int_{-1}^{1} (f(x) - q(x))T_{N+1}(x) \frac{dx}{\sqrt{1-x^2}} \right| + \left| \int_{-1}^{1} q(x)T_{N+1}(x) \frac{dx}{\sqrt{1-x^2}} \right|$$

$$\le \int_{-1}^{1} |f(x) - q(x)| |T_{N+1}(x)| \frac{dx}{\sqrt{1-x^2}} + \langle q(x), T_{N+1}(x) \rangle_{w(x)}$$

The reason for $\int_{-1}^{1} q(x) T_{N+1}(x) \frac{dx}{\sqrt{1-x^2}} = 0$ is that since q(x) is a polynomial of degree N, q(x) can be expressed as a sum using $T_0(x), T_1(x), T_2(x), \dots, T_N(x)$, i.e.

$$q(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{N} a_n T_n(x) = \frac{1}{2} a_0 T_0(x) + a_1 T_1(x) + \dots + a_N T_N(x)$$

And $T_{N+1}(x)$ is "orthogonal" to all $T_0(x), T_1(x), \cdots T_N(x)$ with respect to the weighted inner product, i.e.

$$\int_{-1}^{1} T_n(x) T_m(x) \frac{dx}{\sqrt{1 - x^2}} = 0, \qquad n \neq m$$

Therefore,

$$\int_{-1}^{1} q(x) T_{N+1}(x) \frac{dx}{\sqrt{1-x^2}} = \int_{-1}^{1} \sum_{n=0}^{N} a_n T_n(x) T_{N+1}(x) \frac{dx}{\sqrt{1-x^2}} = \sum_{n=0}^{N} \int_{-1}^{1} a_n T_n(x) \frac{dx}{\sqrt{1-x^2}} = 0$$

Now going on with the upper bound for $|a_{N+1}|$, we have

$$\frac{\pi}{2}|a_{N+1}| \leq \sup_{-1 \leq x \leq 1} |f(x) - q(x)| \int_{-1}^{1} |T_{N+1}(x)| \frac{dx}{\sqrt{1 - x^2}}$$

$$\leq 2 \sup_{-1 \leq x \leq 1} |f(x) - q(x)|$$

$$\Rightarrow \left[|a_{N+1}| \leq \frac{4}{\pi} \sup_{-1 \leq x \leq 1} |f(x) - q(x)| \right]$$