

University of Warsaw
Faculty of Mathematics, Informatics and Mechanics

Tomasz Kępa

Student no. 359746

Detecting Anti-Adblockers using Differential Execution Analysis

Master's thesis
in COMPUTER SCIENCE

Supervisor:
dr Konrad Durnoga
Institute of Informatics

August 2019

Supervisor's statement

Hereby I confirm that the presented thesis was prepared under my supervision and that it fulfils the requirements for the degree of Master of Computer Science.

Date

Supervisor's signature

Author's statement

Hereby I declare that the presented thesis was prepared by me and none of its contents was obtained by means that are against the law.

The thesis has never before been a subject of any procedure of obtaining an academic degree.

Moreover, I declare that the present version of the thesis is identical to the attached electronic version.

Date

Author's signature

Abstract

Ads are the main source of income of numerous websites. However, some of them are fairly annoying which causes many users to use adblocking browser extensions. Some services, in turn, use specialized scripts to detect such plug-ins and silently report them or block some content as a punishment. The goal of this thesis is to build a pipeline for detecting such scripts based on a differential execution analysis, a method provided by other authors in 2018. Such a mechanism can be used later to analyze the prevalence of anti-adblockers on Polish websites or to build an extension capable of circumventing such scripts.

Keywords

dynamic analysis, differential execution analysis, javascript, anti-adblockers, ads

Thesis domain (Socrates-Erasmus subject area codes)

11.3 Informatics, Computer Science

Subject classification

Software and its engineering. Dynamic analysis

Tytuł pracy w języku polskim

Wykrywanie skryptów blokujących rozszerzenia typu AdBlock w przeglądarkach

Contents

Introduction	5
1. Basic concepts	7
1.1. Definitions	7
1.2. JavaScript execution model	7
2. Related work	9
2.1. Measuring and Disrupting Anti-Adblockers Using Differential Execution Analysis	9
3. Trace collection	11
3.1. Methods overview	11
3.2. Dynamic code instrumentation	11
3.3. Code rewriting	11
3.4. Engine instrumentation	11
4. Trace collection by V8 instrumentation	13
4.1. V8 architecture	13
4.2. V8 usage in chromium	13
4.3. Chrome's extensions architecture	13
4.4. V8's <i>--trace</i> flag	13
4.5. Bytecode injection	13
4.6. Controlling Chrome programatically	13
5. Trace analysis	15
5.1. Trace untangling using execution index	15
5.1.1. Optimizations	15
5.2. Trace matching using SMP	15
5.3. Noise filtering	15
6. Evaluation	17
Bibliography	19

Introduction

TODO:

Chapter 1

Basic concepts

1.1. Definitions

- Execution event – each occurrence of control executing some statement, entering or leaving a control structure etc.
- Execution trace – a series of execution events collected during program execution. It is dependent both on program structure and its input (also implicit such as randomly generated numbers)
- Execution index
- Stable marriage problem

1.2. JavaScript execution model

Chapter 2

Related work

2.1. Measuring and Disrupting Anti-Adblockers Using Differential Execution Analysis

The method is based on paper by Zhu et al. [2]

Chapter 3

Trace collection

3.1. Methods overview

There are a few distinct ways to obtain execution trace of JavaScript code.

The simplest (and most limited) methods use only mechanisms present in the language. More elaborate inject special tracing code to analyzed script. The last kind modify the engine to produce the desired data.

3.2. Dynamic code instrumentation

JavaScript is a very dynamic language. For this reason it is relatively easy to write code that will modify each function present in the environment to log each entry and exit, possibly along with all the arguments and return value [1].

Snippet **TODO: add snippet showing how to do that** is an example of code that does just that. It replaces function definition with new one that logs its arguments before calling the old function and logs return the return value after that.

It is also possible to traverse recursively the entire global object and replace each function this way.

However, this is not enough for the needs of Differential Execution Analysis. The most obvious limitation is that it's not possible to instrument control statements. Another major shortcoming is that function can be instrumented only after they are defined. It is quite an obstacle, because JavaScript allows to define function practically anywhere and it is very common to use anonymous functions as callbacks. It is not possible to instrument such callback without modifying the instrumented code.

3.3. Code rewriting

3.4. Engine instrumentation

Chapter 4

Trace collection by V8 instrumentation

4.1. V8 architecture

4.2. V8 usage in chromium

4.3. Chrome's extensions architecture

4.4. V8's *--trace* flag

4.5. Bytecode injection

4.6. Controlling Chrome programatically

Chapter 5

Trace analysis

5.1. Trace untangling using execution index

5.1.1. Optimizations

5.2. Trace matching using SMP

5.3. Noise filtering

Chapter 6

Evaluation

Bibliography

- [1] StackOverflow users. Adding console.log to every function automatically. <https://stackoverflow.com/questions/5033836/adding-console-log-to-every-function-automatically>, 2017. [Online; accessed 25-July-2019].
- [2] Shitong Zhu, Xunchao Hu, Zhiyun Qian, Zubair Shafiq, and Heng Yin. Measuring and disrupting anti-adblockers using differential execution analysis. In *NDSS*. The Internet Society, 2018.