



**UnB**

MIT Digital Currency Initiative and the University of Brasilia presents

# Cryptocurrency Design and Engineering

Lecture 13: Scalability Continued

Taught by: Neha Narula

October 21st, 2025

MAS.S62

---

# Payment channel pattern

- If we're the only ones who care about our payments, do that **cooperatively** off-chain, with the ability to always litigate on-chain
- This concept is called *unilateral exit* – a user can always get their funds out
  - Very cool that we can broaden it to work in a network of off-chain transactions!

# Technical, economic, and usability challenges of Lightning

- UTXO set capacity
  - Lightning still relies on at least one UTXO per user
  - Unclear how to safely increase the UTXO set by an order of magnitude and we may run into limits
- Rebalancing liquidity
  - Economic relationships are not balanced
  - Requires going back on chain
- Interactivity (online requirements)
  - Mobile phones aren't always online to sign new states



Shared  
UTXO  
designs

+



Special  
operator

# Shared UTXO designs

- Ark
- Rollups

# Coinpool

- Multiple users share a UTXO with a multisig
- Continuously sign transactions which change the distribution (payouts) of the funds
- Requires everyone online to sign

# Ark

- Idea: Coinpool, but special operator coordinates payments between Ark users, who have exit transactions
- Operator maintains and updates regular on-chain commitments to trees of exit transactions
- Way to atomically revoke old exit paths
- Only spending/receiving users need to be online to sign

[nehanarula.org/2025/05/20/ark.html](https://nehanarula.org/2025/05/20/ark.html)

# Ark pros/cons

## Pros

- Very good off-chain scalability with more users in one Ark
- No user liquidity management
- Doesn't require routing (but interoperates with Lightning)

## Cons

- Requires a special operator
- Lots of interactivity (spenders and receivers have to sign multiple times)
- No latency improvement
- Operator requires 2X liquidity

# Rollups

- Idea: move work to another blockchain!
- L1 (main chain) *validates* what happens on the L2 (rollup)
- L1 and L2 can have different:
  - Data models
  - Smart contracting languages
  - Consensus protocols

Easier to do in Ethereum,  
harder in Bitcoin



# Rollup architecture

- Coordinator / sequencer
- Two key questions:
  - Where is the data for the rollup stored?
  - How does the L1 validate execution on the rollup?

Data availability  
(DA)

Optimistic vs. ZK

# Proof of execution

- Optimistic rollups
  - Assume rollup operator is operating correctly
  - Fraud proof game on L1 to *challenge* execution in the event of incorrect operation
  - Who can trigger the fraud proof game?
- ZK rollups
  - Rollup operator has to provide a valid proof of execution to commit to L1
  - Who can become an operator?

This prevents the rollup operator from stealing funds

# Rollup nomenclature

Limited storage improvement (state diffs)		<b>ZK proofs</b>	<b>Fraud proofs</b>
	<b>Data on L1</b>	ZK rollup (Linea, Starknet, ZKSync)	Optimistic rollup (Optimism, Base, Arbitrum)
	<b>Data somewhere else</b>	Validium (Sophon)	Plasma

Different trust model

l2beat.com

# Before rollups: sidechains (2014)

- Move funds back-and-forth with a federated set of signers
  - The federation has custody
- Need a majority (or super majority) of  $k$  of  $n$  signers to move the funds
  - $n-k$  can freeze the funds
- Example: Blockstream's Liquid has 11 of 15 signers

Fundamentally different trust model: No DA,  
no validating, no exit

# Relying on exit

## Challenges:

- Online requirements: requires watching what's happening and being ready to act if needed (liveness)
- Non-cooperative exit often less efficient
- Having to choose fees ahead of time
- Block space ☐ minimum securable amounts

There is some “minimum securable wallet size”

# Average vs. worst case

Beware super optimistic scaling numbers that assume optimal batching and perfect cooperation!

- With cooperative operators, the on-chain footprint can be low. But the non-cooperative case can be much worse than just transacting on-chain
- There are many normal reasons why one might end up looking “non-cooperative”
  - Users on mobile phones □ not always online
  - Operators fail □ thundering herd
  - People just want to go back on chain sometimes, it makes them feel safer

# Next week: smart contracts

- Ethereum Virtual Machine
- Smart contract development
- Security and exploits
- Common applications

But first: guest lecture on BitVM and Bitcoin rollups!