# Foresight: Remote Sensing For Driverless Cars Using Small Unmanned Aerial Vehicles

Alex Wallar

Javier Alonso-Mora

Raphael Chang

Daniela Rus

#### Abstract—

**Definition 1.** A laser scan is a sequence of points,  $L \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ , such that if a polygon, P, is constructed with these points, there exists a point,  $c \in \mathbb{R}^2$ , such that  $\forall x \in L$ , the line segment from c to x is entirely contained in P.

**Definition 2.** A break in the laser scan is a line segment  $(L_i, L_{i+1})$  such that  $||L_i - L_{i+1}||_2 > \delta$  where  $\delta$  is the break threshold. The set of all these breaks will be referred to as  $\tilde{L}$ .

**Definition 3.** A blind spot,  $B(L_i, L_{i+1})$ , is the set of points contained between the line segments,  $(L_i, L_{i+1})$  and  $(L_i + \epsilon \cdot \hat{n}, L_{i+1} + \epsilon \cdot \hat{n})$  where  $\hat{n}$  is the unit normal of the line segment  $(L_i, L_{i+1})$ ,  $\epsilon$  is a parameter governing the size of the region, and  $(L_i, L_{i+1})$  is a break in the laser scan.

**Definition 4.** The blind region,  $\mathcal{B}$ , for a laser scan, L, is defined as  $\mathcal{B} = \bigcup_{(i,j) \in \tilde{L}} B(i,j)$ .

**Definition 5.** The sensor projection for our robot,  $\psi(x,\theta)$  is defined as the set of points that the robot is able to observe from configuration x with yaw,  $\theta$ . The optimal sensor projection for a given configuration and blind region is defined as  $\psi^*(x,\mathcal{B}) = \psi(x,\theta^*(x,\mathcal{B}))$  with  $\theta^*(x,\mathcal{B}) = \arg\max_{0 < \theta < 2\pi} \text{AREA}(\mathcal{B} \cap \psi(x,\theta))$ 

**Definition 6.** A path,  $\rho \subset V \times [0, 2\pi]$ , is a sequence of tuples,  $(x, \theta)$ , consisting of state and yaw, such that  $\forall i : (\rho_{i,x}, \rho_{i+1,x}) \in E$ , where G = (V, E) is a finite sampled graph within the polygon constructed using the laser scan, L, that represents the connectivity of the free space.

**Lemma 1.** If a path is returned from Algo. 1, it will have a total cost less than C.

*Proof.* At each iteration, the cost to reach each neighbour of x is computed. This is added to the total cost of current path. If the cost of the path from  $x_0$  to x' is larger than C, it is discarded from the search. Thus only path with cost less than C, can be returned from Algo. 1.

**Lemma 2.** Algo. 1 will finish in a finite number of steps if  $\forall (i,j) \in E : \mathsf{CostToGo}(i,j) \geq 1 \text{ and } C < \infty$ 

*Proof.* Since the cumulative cost being added to search is monotonically increasing, if a given path is not returned, its cost will eventually be greater than C in a finite amount of time since,  $C<\infty$  and all individual costs must be greater than 1. If no path is returned by the algorithm, it means that

## Algorithm 1

### **Input:**

- $x_0$ : The initial position of the robot
- B: The blind region
- G = (V, E): The finite sampled graph within the laser scan polygon
- $\gamma$ , C: The optimality and cumulative cost thresholds respectively for the algorithm's termination

#### **Output:**

•  $\rho \subset V \times [0, 2\pi]$ : A sequence of tuples representing the path

```
1: Q \leftarrow \{(x_0, \mathcal{B} \setminus \psi^*(x_0, \mathcal{B}), 0)\}
 2: while |Q| > 0 do
           (x, \mathcal{B}', c) \leftarrow \arg\min \mathsf{AREA}(q_{\mathcal{B}'})
           if AREA(\mathcal{B} \setminus \mathcal{B}') > \gamma \cdot AREA(\mathcal{B}) then
 4:
                 \rho \leftarrow \{\}, \hat{x} \leftarrow x', \hat{\mathcal{B}} \leftarrow \mathcal{B}', \hat{c} \leftarrow c
 5:
                 while HASPARENT(\hat{x}, \hat{B}, \hat{c}) do
 6:
                     \rho \leftarrow \{(\hat{x}, \theta^*(\hat{x}, \mathcal{B}))\} \cup \rho
 7:
                     (\hat{x}, \hat{\mathcal{B}}, \hat{c}) \leftarrow \text{PARENT}(\hat{x}, \hat{\mathcal{B}}, \hat{c})
 8:
 9:
                 return \rho
           for all x' where (x, x') \in E do
10:
                c' \leftarrow c + \text{CostToGo}(x, x')
11:
                if c' < C then
12:
                     Q \leftarrow Q \cup \{(x', \mathcal{B}' \setminus \psi^*(x', \mathcal{B}'), c')\}
13:
                     PARENT(x', \mathcal{B}' \setminus \psi^*(x', \mathcal{B}'), c') \leftarrow (x, \mathcal{B}', c)
14:
15:
            Q \leftarrow Q \setminus (x, \mathcal{B}', c)
16: return false
```

all paths in G have not reached the optimality threshold with their costs being less than C. Since G is a finite graph, there are a finite amount of paths and therefore, to determine if no path will be returned takes a finite number of steps. If a path is returned, Algo. 1 is returning the first path that meets the optimality threshold which would occur before all paths in G are exhausted, thus returning in a finite number of steps.

**Lemma 3.** At each iteration, the residual blind region,  $\mathcal{B}_n = \mathcal{B} \setminus \bigcup_{(x_i,\theta_i) \in \rho} \psi(x_i,\theta_i)$  where  $\rho$  is the path from  $x_0$  to  $x_n$ .

*Proof.* At the  $n^{\rm th}$ , the blind region in the tuple being added to Q is

$$\mathcal{B}_n = \mathcal{B} \setminus \psi^*(x_0, \mathcal{B}) \setminus \psi^*(x_1, \mathcal{B}_0) \setminus \dots \setminus \psi^*(x_n, \mathcal{B}_{n-1})$$

where  $\mathcal{B}_i$  is the residual blind region for the  $i^{\text{th}}$  step in path. Now we can rearrange to produce

$$\mathcal{B}_n = \mathcal{B} \setminus \bigcup_{i=0}^{n-1} \psi^*(x_i, \mathcal{B}_{i-1})$$

Now since  $\psi^*(x_i, \mathcal{B}_{i-1}) = \psi(x_i, \theta^*(x_i, \mathcal{B}_{i-1})) = \psi(x_i, \theta_i)$ , because the optimal yaw is added to the path for a given residual blind region,

$$\mathcal{B}_n = \mathcal{B} \setminus \bigcup_{(x_i, \theta_i) \in \rho} \psi(x_i, \theta_i)$$

**Theorem 1.** If there exists a path,  $\rho$ , such that AREA( $\mathcal{B} \cap \bigcup_{(x,\theta)\in\rho} \psi(x,\theta)$ )  $> \gamma \cdot \text{AREA}(\mathcal{B})$  and  $\rho$  can be executed by the robot with a total cost less than C, Algo. 1 will return such a path in a finite number of steps.

*Proof.* Using Lemmas 1 and 2, we know that any path returned from Algo. 1 will have a total cost less than C and it will be returned in a finite number steps. We also know from Lemma 3, that the blind region at the  $n^{\text{th}}$  iteration is  $\mathcal{B} \setminus \bigcup_{(x_i,\theta_i) \in \rho} \psi(x_i,\theta_i)$ . Now, note that,

$$\mathcal{B} \setminus \mathcal{B}_n = \mathcal{B} \setminus (\mathcal{B} \setminus \bigcup_{\substack{(x_i, \theta_i) \in \rho}} \psi(x_i, \theta_i))$$
$$= \mathcal{B} \cap \bigcup_{\substack{(x_i, \theta_i) \in \rho}} \psi(x_i, \theta_i)$$

Now since, the algorithm only returns a path if  $AREA(\mathcal{B} \backslash \mathcal{B}_n) > \gamma \cdot AREA(\mathcal{B})$  and using the result above, the algorithm will only return a path such that  $AREA(\mathcal{B} \cap \bigcup_{(x_i,\theta_i) \in \rho} \psi(x_i,\theta_i)) > \gamma \cdot AREA(\mathcal{B})$ 

REFERENCES