Linear Independence

Mitaxi Mehta: Lectures 16

$$\left(\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 2 \\ 1 & -1 \\ 3 & 2 \end{array}\right) \left(\begin{array}{c} x \\ y \end{array}\right) = \left(\begin{array}{c} 3 \\ 2 \\ -7 \end{array}\right)$$

$$\left(\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 2 \\ 1 & -1 \\ 3 & 2 \end{array}\right) \left(\begin{array}{c} x \\ y \end{array}\right) = \left(\begin{array}{c} 3 \\ 2 \\ -7 \end{array}\right)$$

• Dhruval: x = 2, y = 5,

$$\left(\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 2 \\ 1 & -1 \\ 3 & 2 \end{array}\right) \left(\begin{array}{c} x \\ y \end{array}\right) = \left(\begin{array}{c} 3 \\ 2 \\ -7 \end{array}\right)$$

• Dhruval: x = 2, y = 5, Aarya: Incorrect, it does not satisfy the 3rd equation,

$$\left(\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 2 \\ 1 & -1 \\ 3 & 2 \end{array}\right) \left(\begin{array}{c} x \\ y \end{array}\right) = \left(\begin{array}{c} 3 \\ 2 \\ -7 \end{array}\right)$$

• Dhruval: x = 2, y = 5, Aarya: Incorrect, it does not satisfy the 3rd equation, Milind: Two unknowns and 3 equations overdetermined system.

 Geometrically, three randomly selected lines usually don't intersect at one point. Thus such overdetermined systems usually don't have a solution. However in special circumstances they do, how to verify if a solution exists?

- Geometrically, three randomly selected lines usually don't intersect at one point. Thus such overdetermined systems usually don't have a solution. However in special circumstances they do, how to verify if a solution exists?
- Jainam: Find solution for each pair of lines and compare (this works but requires more work than necessary), or plot the three lines and see.

- Geometrically, three randomly selected lines usually don't intersect at one point. Thus such overdetermined systems usually don't have a solution. However in special circumstances they do, how to verify if a solution exists?
- Jainam: Find solution for each pair of lines and compare (this works but requires more work than necessary), or plot the three lines and see. Aarya: Solve for a pair and plug it into the third (this is a good strategy).

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 3 & 4 \\ 2 & -1 & 2 & 1 \\ -1 & -4 & -7 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \\ t \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 2 \\ -7 \end{pmatrix} ?$$

$$\left(\begin{array}{cccc} 1 & 1 & 3 & 4 \\ 2 & -1 & 2 & 1 \\ -1 & -4 & -7 & 0 \end{array}\right) \left(\begin{array}{c} x \\ y \\ z \\ t \end{array}\right) = \left(\begin{array}{c} 3 \\ 2 \\ -7 \end{array}\right) ?$$

Aatre: Infinite number of solutions.

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 3 & 4 \\ 2 & -1 & 2 & 1 \\ -1 & -4 & -7 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \\ t \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 2 \\ -7 \end{pmatrix}?$$

 Aatre: Infinite number of solutions. Preet: Bring to echelon form.

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 3 & 4 \\ 2 & -1 & 2 & 1 \\ -1 & -4 & -7 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \\ t \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 2 \\ -7 \end{pmatrix} ?$$

- Aatre: Infinite number of solutions. Preet: Bring to echelon form.
- One free variable, the solution is a line.

 If number of variables exceeds number of equations then usually there are infinite number of solutions. Such a system is called under-determined system.

- If number of variables exceeds number of equations then usually there are infinite number of solutions. Such a system is called under-determined system.
- A simple example 3x + 2y = 0, every point on the line is a solution.

- If number of variables exceeds number of equations then usually there are infinite number of solutions. Such a system is called under-determined system.
- A simple example 3x + 2y = 0, every point on the line is a solution.
- Another example,

$$2x + 3y + 2 = 0$$
$$x - y + 2 = 5$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 3 \\ 2 & -1 & 2 \\ -1 & -4 & -7 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 2 \\ -7 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 3 \\ 2 & -1 & 2 \\ -1 & -4 & -7 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 2 \\ -7 \end{pmatrix}$$

 Aarya: The RHS is in the column space, so there is at-least one solution.

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 3 \\ 2 & -1 & 2 \\ -1 & -4 & -7 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 2 \\ -7 \end{pmatrix}$$

 Aarya: The RHS is in the column space, so there is at-least one solution. Drashti: There are infinnite number of solutions since z is a free variable. • Linear independence: A set of vectors $\{v_1 \uparrow, v_2 \uparrow, ..., v_n \uparrow\}$ is linearly independent if

$$c_1 v_1 \uparrow + ... + c_n v_n \uparrow = 0$$

implies that the numbers $c_1 = c_2 = ... = c_n = 0$.

• Linear independence: A set of vectors $\{v_1 \uparrow, v_2 \uparrow, ..., v_n \uparrow\}$ is linearly independent if

$$c_1 v_1 \uparrow + ... + c_n v_n \uparrow = 0$$

implies that the numbers $c_1 = c_2 = ... = c_n = 0$.

• Are the following two vectors linearly independent?

$$\left(\begin{array}{c}1\\0\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{c}0\\1\end{array}\right)$$

Shubham:

$$c_1\left(egin{array}{c}1\\0\end{array}
ight)+c_2\left(egin{array}{c}0\\1\end{array}
ight)=\left(egin{array}{c}0\\0\end{array}
ight)\Rightarrow\left(egin{array}{c}c_1\\c_2\end{array}
ight)=\left(egin{array}{c}0\\0\end{array}
ight)$$

Shubham:

$$c_1\left(egin{array}{c}1\\0\end{array}
ight)+c_2\left(egin{array}{c}0\\1\end{array}
ight)=\left(egin{array}{c}0\\0\end{array}
ight)\Rightarrow\left(egin{array}{c}c_1\\c_2\end{array}
ight)=\left(egin{array}{c}0\\0\end{array}
ight)$$

• Hence the two vectors are linearly independent.

$$\left(\begin{array}{c}1\\0\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{c}1\\1\end{array}\right)$$

$$\left(\begin{array}{c}1\\0\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{c}1\\1\end{array}\right)$$

Dhuvik: Not linearly independent.

$$\left(\begin{array}{c}1\\0\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{c}1\\1\end{array}\right)$$

• Dhuvik: Not linearly independent. If that is the case you should be able to find c_1 and c_2 both nonzero so that $c_1 v_1 \uparrow + c_2 v_2 \uparrow = 0 \uparrow$.

$$\left(\begin{array}{c}1\\0\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{c}1\\1\end{array}\right)$$

- Dhuvik: Not linearly independent. If that is the case you should be able to find c_1 and c_2 both nonzero so that $c_1 v_1 \uparrow + c_2 v_2 \uparrow = 0 \uparrow$.
- Shrey, does not agree.

$$\left(\begin{array}{c}1\\0\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{c}1\\1\end{array}\right)$$

- Dhuvik: Not linearly independent. If that is the case you should be able to find c_1 and c_2 both nonzero so that $c_1 v_1 \uparrow + c_2 v_2 \uparrow = 0 \uparrow$.
- Shrey, does not agree. in that case show that only $c_1 = c_2 = 0$ case is possible.

Maharshi:

$$c_1 \left(egin{array}{c} 1 \ 0 \end{array}
ight) + c_2 \left(egin{array}{c} 1 \ 1 \end{array}
ight) = \left(egin{array}{c} 0 \ 0 \end{array}
ight) \Rightarrow \left(egin{array}{c} c_1 + c_2 \ c_2 \end{array}
ight) = \left(egin{array}{c} 0 \ 0 \end{array}
ight)$$

Maharshi:

$$c_1 \left(egin{array}{c} 1 \ 0 \end{array}
ight) + c_2 \left(egin{array}{c} 1 \ 1 \end{array}
ight) = \left(egin{array}{c} 0 \ 0 \end{array}
ight) \Rightarrow \left(egin{array}{c} c_1 + c_2 \ c_2 \end{array}
ight) = \left(egin{array}{c} 0 \ 0 \end{array}
ight)$$

• Hence $c_1 = c_2 = 0$.

• Since (1,0) can not be written in terms of (1,1), the two vectors are linearly independent.

- Since (1,0) can not be written in terms of (1,1), the two vectors are linearly independent.
- How about linear independence of (0,1),(1,0) and (1,1)?

$$\left(\begin{array}{ccc} 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \end{array}\right) \left(\begin{array}{c} c_1 \\ c_2 \\ c_3 \end{array}\right) = \left(\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \end{array}\right)$$

- Since (1,0) can not be written in terms of (1,1), the two vectors are linearly independent.
- How about linear independence of (0,1), (1,0) and (1,1)?

$$\left(\begin{array}{ccc} 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \end{array}\right) \left(\begin{array}{c} c_1 \\ c_2 \\ c_3 \end{array}\right) = \left(\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \end{array}\right)$$

 Thankyou Manasi for reminding me that the zero vector has two components and not three.

- Since (1,0) can not be written in terms of (1,1), the two vectors are linearly independent.
- How about linear independence of (0, 1), (1, 0) and (1, 1)?

$$\left(\begin{array}{ccc}0&1&1\\1&0&1\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{c}c_1\\c_2\\c_3\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{c}0\\0\end{array}\right)$$

- Thankyou Manasi for reminding me that the zero vector has two components and not three.
- Nonzero c_1 , c_2 , c_3 exist such that $c_1v_1 \uparrow + c_2v_2 \uparrow + c_3v_3 \uparrow = 0$, $c_1 = c_2 = 1$, $c_3 = -1$. Thus one of the vectors can be written in terms of the rest two.

 A set of linearly independent vectors constitute a basis set of a vector space. Every vector in the space can be written as a linear superposition of the basis vectors.

- A set of linearly independent vectors constitute a basis set of a vector space. Every vector in the space can be written as a linear superposition of the basis vectors.
- (1,0),(0,1) is a basis set of \mathbb{R}^2 so is, (1,0),(1,1).

- A set of linearly independent vectors constitute a basis set of a vector space. Every vector in the space can be written as a linear superposition of the basis vectors.
- (1,0),(0,1) is a basis set of \mathbb{R}^2 so is, (1,0),(1,1).
- Express (3,2) as a linear combination of (1,0) and (1,1).

- A set of linearly independent vectors constitute a basis set of a vector space. Every vector in the space can be written as a linear superposition of the basis vectors.
- (1,0),(0,1) is a basis set of \mathbb{R}^2 so is, (1,0),(1,1).
- Express (3,2) as a linear combination of (1,0) and (1,1).
 Ans: (3,2) = (1,0) + 2(1,1).

 A basis set is said to be orthogonal if the dot product between any two distinct basis vectors is zero.

- A basis set is said to be orthogonal if the dot product between any two distinct basis vectors is zero.
- In linear algebra, the dot product between two vectors is given as the matrix multiplication between a 1 × n matrix and a n × 1 matrix.

$$\vec{a} \cdot \vec{b} \uparrow = \vec{a}^T \vec{b} = (a_1, a_2) \begin{pmatrix} b_1 \\ b_2 \end{pmatrix} = a_1 b_1 + a_2 b_2$$

- A basis set is said to be orthogonal if the dot product between any two distinct basis vectors is zero.
- In linear algebra, the dot product between two vectors is given as the matrix multiplication between a 1 × n matrix and a n × 1 matrix.

$$\vec{a} \cdot \vec{b} \uparrow = \vec{a}^T \vec{b} = (a_1, a_2) \begin{pmatrix} b_1 \\ b_2 \end{pmatrix} = a_1 b_1 + a_2 b_2$$

• \vec{a} is called the dual vector of $a \uparrow$.

- A basis set is said to be orthogonal if the dot product between any two distinct basis vectors is zero.
- In linear algebra, the dot product between two vectors is given as the matrix multiplication between a 1 × n matrix and a n × 1 matrix.

$$\vec{a} \cdot \vec{b} \uparrow = \vec{a}^T \vec{b} = (a_1, a_2) \begin{pmatrix} b_1 \\ b_2 \end{pmatrix} = a_1 b_1 + a_2 b_2$$

- \vec{a} is called the dual vector of $a \uparrow$.
- The standard notation for norm is $||\vec{a}||^2 = a^T a$. Note that in the dot product the order is not important $\vec{a}b \uparrow = \vec{b}a \uparrow$.

• Evaluate the matrix corresponding to the product $a \uparrow \vec{b}$.

- Evaluate the matrix corresponding to the product $a \uparrow \vec{b}$.
- If $a^Tb = 0$ what can you conclude? Give the four possible conclusions.

- Evaluate the matrix corresponding to the product $a \uparrow \vec{b}$.
- If $a^Tb = 0$ what can you conclude? Give the four possible conclusions.
- Either the two vectors are orthogonal or one of them is zero or both are zero.