This work has already been evaluated and released to the author. Use the tabs to the top right to view the evaluation report and work.

# **Evaluation Report**

# **Evaluation Results**

Requirement: Mobile Application Development: CLP Task 1 (0917)

A Print

**AUTHOR:** Mitchell Bryant

**DATE EVALUATED:** 03/10/2018 08:38:10 PM (MDT)

DRF TEMPLATE: Mobile Application Development (UG, C196, CLP1-

0917)

PROGRAM: Mobile Application Development (UG, C196, CLP1-0917)

**EVALUATION METHOD:** Using Rubric

**FINAL SCORE** Meets Requirement

#### **General comments:**

3/10/18 - The submission demonstrates strong skills in developing an android application based on a set of requirements. Explanations provided on the mobile application development, development environment, and the challenges and solutions to problems encountered during development are logical and clearly presented.

# **Detailed Results**

( Rubric used : CLP Task 1 (0917))

Display

All Comments Criteria Description

## ARTICULATION OF RESPONSE (CLARITY, ORGANIZATION, MECHANICS)

#### 1. NOT EVIDENT 2. APPROACHING COMPETENCE

The candidate provides unsatisfactory articulation of The candidate provides weak articulation of response.

## 3. COMPETENT

The candidate provides adequate articulation of

response.

response.

## **CRITERION SCORE:**

Competent

# A1. FUNCTIONAL REQUIREMENTS FOR TERMS

# 1. NOT EVIDENT

The candidate does not provide an Android (version 4.0 or higher) mobile application, or the candidate provides an Android (version 4.0 or higher) mobile application that includes 0 or 1 of the given points for each term.

# 2. APPROACHING **COMPETENCE**

The candidate provides an Android (version 4.0 or higher) mobile application that includes 2 of the given points for each term.

## 3. COMPETENT

The candidate provides an Android (version 4.0 or higher) mobile application that includes all 3 of the given points for each term.

# **CRITERION SCORE:**

Competent

## COMMENTS ON THIS CRITERION:

3/10/18 - The application includes the UI controls for term name, start date, and end date.

# **A2. TERM ADDITION FEATURE**

# 1. NOT EVIDENT

The candidate does not provide an Android (version

4.0 or higher) mobile application that includes features that allow the user to add as many terms as needed.

# 2. APPROACHING **COMPETENCE**

N/A

# 3. COMPETENT

The candidate provides an Android (version 4.0 or higher) mobile application that includes features that allow the user to add as many terms as needed.

Competent

## **A3. VALIDATION IMPLEMENTATION**

## 1. NOT EVIDENT

# 2. APPROACHING **COMPETENCE**

The candidate does not provide an Android (version 4.0 or higher) mobile application that includes validation so that a term cannot be deleted if courses are assigned to it.

# N/A

# 3. COMPETENT

The candidate provides an Android (version 4.0 or higher) mobile application that includes validation so that a term cannot be deleted if courses are assigned to it.

# **CRITERION SCORE:**

Competent

# **A4A. COURSE ADDITION**

# 1. NOT EVIDENT

# The candidate does not provide an Android (version 4.0 or higher) mobile application that includes features that allow the user to add as many courses as

# N/A

2. APPROACHING

**COMPETENCE** 

# 3. COMPETENT

The candidate provides an Android (version 4.0 or higher) mobile application that includes features that allow the user to add as many courses as needed.

# **CRITERION SCORE:**

Competent

needed.

#### A4B. LIST OF COURSES

# 1. NOT EVIDENT

The candidate does not provide an Android (version 4.0 or higher) mobile application that includes features that allow the user to display a list of courses associated with each term.

# 2. APPROACHING **COMPETENCE**

N/A

## 3. COMPETENT

The candidate provides an Android (version 4.0 or higher) mobile application that includes features that allow the user to display a list of courses associated with each term.

#### **CRITERION SCORE:**

Competent

# **A4C. TERM DETAILS**

## 1. NOT EVIDENT

The candidate provides an Android (version 4.0 or higher) mobile application that includes features that allow the user to display a detailed view of each term, which includes 0 or 1 of the given points.

# 2. APPROACHING **COMPETENCE**

The candidate provides an Android (version 4.0 or higher) mobile application that includes features that allow the user to display a detailed view of each term, which includes 2 of the given points.

# 3. COMPETENT

The candidate provides an Android (version 4.0 or higher) mobile application that includes features that allow the user to display a detailed view of each term, which includes all 3 of the given points.

# **CRITERION SCORE:**

Competent

# **A5. COURSE DETAILS**

## 1. NOT EVIDENT

#### 2. APPROACHING

EvaluationResults 6/26/2018

The candidate provides an Android (version 4.0 or higher) mobile application that includes features that allow the user to include 0-2 of the given points for each course.

#### COMPETENCE

The candidate provides an Android (version 4.0 or higher) mobile application that includes features that allow the user to include 3-4 of the given points for each course.

## 3. COMPETENT

The candidate provides an Android (version 4.0 or higher) mobile application that includes features that allow the user to include all 5 of the given points for each course.

#### **CRITERION SCORE:**

Competent

#### **COMMENTS ON THIS CRITERION:**

3/10/18 - A course detail screen that includes the five given point is evident.

#### A6A. ASSESSMENT ADDITION

#### 1. NOT EVIDENT

The candidate does not provide an Android (version 4.0 or higher) mobile application that includes features that allow the user to add as many assessments as needed to each course.

# 2. APPROACHING **COMPETENCE**

N/A

# 3. COMPETENT

The candidate provides an Android (version 4.0 or higher) mobile application that includes features that allow the user to add as many assessments as needed to each course.

# **CRITERION SCORE:**

Competent

# **A6B. OPTIONAL NOTES**

1. NOT EVIDENT

2. APPROACHING **COMPETENCE** 

The candidate does not

N/A

# 3. COMPETENT

The candidate provides an

EvaluationResults 6/26/2018

provide an Android (version 4.0 or higher) mobile application that includes features that allow the user to add optional notes for each course.

Android (version 4.0 or higher) mobile application that includes features that allow the user to add optional notes for each course.

#### **CRITERION SCORE:**

Competent

#### **A6C. COURSE INFORMATION**

#### 1. NOT EVIDENT 2. APPROACHING **COMPETENCE**

The candidate does not provide an Android (version 4.0 or higher) mobile application that includes features that allow the user to enter, edit, and delete course information for each course.

## N/A

#### 3. COMPETENT

The candidate provides an Android (version 4.0 or higher) mobile application that includes features that allow the user to enter, edit, and delete course information for each course.

#### **CRITERION SCORE:**

Competent

#### **COMMENTS ON THIS CRITERION:**

3/10/18 - The application provides screens to manage information for each course.

#### A6D. OPTIONAL NOTES

#### 1. NOT EVIDENT 2. APPROACHING

**COMPETENCE** 

The candidate does not provide an Android (version 4.0 or higher) mobile application that includes

N/A

# 3. COMPETENT

The candidate provides an Android (version 4.0 or higher) mobile application that includes features that

features that allow the user to display optional notes for each course.

allow the user to display optional notes for each course.

#### **CRITERION SCORE:**

Competent

#### A6E. DETAILED VIEW

#### 1. NOT EVIDENT

# 2. APPROACHING **COMPETENCE**

The candidate does not provide an Android (version 4.0 or higher) mobile application that includes features that allow the user to display a detailed view, including the due date of each

#### N/A

## 3. COMPETENT

The candidate provides an Android (version 4.0 or higher) mobile application that includes features that allow the user to display a detailed view, including the due date for each course.

## **CRITERION SCORE:**

Competent

course.

# A6F. ALERTS FOR COURSES

#### 1. NOT EVIDENT

The candidate does not

# 2. APPROACHING **COMPETENCE**

N/A

# 3. COMPETENT

The candidate provides an Android (version 4.0 or higher) mobile application that includes features that allow the user to set alerts for the start and end date of each course.

provide an Android (version 4.0 or higher) mobile application that includes features that allow the user to set alerts for the start and end date of each course.

Competent

#### COMMENTS ON THIS CRITERION:

3/10/18 - Controls to set alerts for the start and end dates for each course are evident on the add and edit course screens.

## **A6G. SHARING FEATURES**

## 1. NOT EVIDENT

# 2. APPROACHING COMPETENCE

The candidate does not provide an Android (version 4.0 or higher) mobile application that includes features that allow the user to share notes via a sharing feature.

## N/A

# 3. COMPETENT

The candidate provides an Android (version 4.0 or higher) mobile application that includes features that allow the user to share notes via a sharing feature.

# **CRITERION SCORE:**

Competent

## A7A. ASSESSMENTS FOR EACH COURSE

#### 1. NOT EVIDENT

# 2. APPROACHING **COMPETENCE**

The candidate does not provide an Android (version 4.0 or higher) mobile application that includes features that allow the user to add performance assessment and/or objective assessments for each course, including the title and due date of each assessment.

#### N/A

#### 3. COMPETENT

The candidate provides an Android (version 4.0 or higher) mobile application that includes features that allow the user to add performance assessment and/or objective assessments for each course, including the title and due date of each assessment.

Competent

#### A7B. ASSESSMENT INFORMATION

# 1. NOT EVIDENT

# 2. APPROACHING **COMPETENCE**

The candidate does not provide an Android (version 4.0 or higher) mobile application that includes features that allow the user to enter, edit, and delete information for each assessment.

# N/A

# 3. COMPETENT

The candidate provides an Android (version 4.0 or higher) mobile application that includes features that allow the user to enter, edit, and delete information for each assessment.

#### **CRITERION SCORE:**

Competent

# **A7C. GOALS DATES**

#### 1. NOT EVIDENT

# The candidate does not provide an Android (version 4.0 or higher) mobile application that includes features that allow the user to set alerts for goal dates for each assessment.

# N/A

2. APPROACHING

**COMPETENCE** 

# 3. COMPETENT

The candidate provides an Android (version 4.0 or higher) mobile application that includes features that allow the user to set alerts for goal dates for each assessment.

# **CRITERION SCORE:**

Competent

#### **COMMENTS ON THIS CRITERION:**

3/10/18 - The application includes controls on the edit course screen to set alerts for assessment goal dates.

#### **B. SCREEN LAYOUTS**

# 1. NOT EVIDENT

The candidate provides 0-3 screen layouts, including appropriate GUI elements for each screen.

# 2. APPROACHING **COMPETENCE**

The candidate provides 4-6 screen layouts, including appropriate GUI elements for each screen.

# 3. COMPETENT

The candidate provides 7 screen layouts including, appropriate GUI elements for each screen.

# **CRITERION SCORE:**

Competent

# C. STUDENT SCHEDULER AND PROGRESS TRACKING APPLICATION

#### 1. NOT EVIDENT

The candidate does not

provide a scheduler and

in the mobile application from

progress tracking application

# 2. APPROACHING **COMPETENCE**

N/A

#### 3. COMPETENT

The candidate provides a scheduler and progress tracking application in the mobile application from Part

# **CRITERION SCORE:**

Competent

Part A.

# **C1. IMPLEMENTATION REQUIREMENTS**

1. NOT EVIDENT

2. APPROACHING **COMPETENCE** 

3. COMPETENT

The candidate provides a mobile application that includes 0-7 of the given implementation requirements. The candidate provides a mobile application that includes 8-11 of the given implementation requirements. The candidate provides a mobile application that includes all 12 of given implementation requirements.

#### **CRITERION SCORE:**

Competent

#### COMMENTS ON THIS CRITERION:

3/10/18 - The submission includes an application with the twelve given implementation requirements.

## **C2. INTERFACE REQUIREMENTS**

#### 1. NOT EVIDENT

The candidate provides a mobile application that includes 0-5 of the given interface requirements.

# 2. APPROACHING **COMPETENCE**

The candidate provides a mobile application that includes 6-7 of the given interface requirements.

# 3. COMPETENT

The candidate provides a mobile application that includes all 8 of the given interface requirements.

# **CRITERION SCORE:**

Competent

#### D. STORYBOARD

# 1. NOT EVIDENT

The candidate does not provide a storyboard that includes each of the menus and screens from part B to demonstrate application flow.

# 2. APPROACHING **COMPETENCE**

The candidate provides a storyboard, with insufficient detail, that includes each of the menus and screens from part B to demonstrate application flow.

#### 3. COMPETENT

The candidate provides a storyboard, with sufficient detail, that includes each of the menus and screens from part B to demonstrate application flow.

Competent

# **COMMENTS ON THIS CRITERION:**

3/10/18 - The storyboard.docx document includes screenshots and the flow of the application.

#### **E. SCREENSHOTS**

#### 1. NOT EVIDENT

# 2. APPROACHING **COMPETENCE**

The candidate does not provide screenshots to demonstrate the creation of a deployment package.

N/A

#### 3. COMPETENT

The candidate provides screenshots to demonstrate the creation of a deployment package.

#### **CRITERION SCORE:**

Competent

# F1. MOBILE APPLICATION DEVELOPMENT

## 1. NOT EVIDENT

The candidate does not provide a logical explanation of mobile application development through the context of the architecture involved, including hardware and software capabilities and limitations.

# 2. APPROACHING **COMPETENCE**

The candidate provides a logical explanation, with insufficient detail, of mobile application development through the context of the architecture involved, including hardware and software capabilities and limitations.

# 3. COMPETENT

The candidate provides a logical explanation, with sufficient detail, of mobile application development through the context of the architecture involved, including hardware and software capabilities and limitations.

#### **CRITERION SCORE:**

Competent

#### **F1A. OPERATING SYSTEM**

## 1. NOT EVIDENT

# 2. APPROACHING **COMPETENCE**

# The candidate does not identify which version of the operating system the application was developed under and is compatible with.

# N/A

# 3. COMPETENT

The candidate identifies which version of the operating system the application was developed under and is compatible with.

## **CRITERION SCORE:**

Competent

# **F2. DESCRIPTION OF CHALLENGES**

# 1. NOT EVIDENT

The candidate does not provide a reasonable description of the challenges faced during the development of the mobile application.

# 2. APPROACHING **COMPETENCE**

The candidate provides a reasonable description, with insufficient detail, of the challenges faced during the development of the mobile application.

#### 3. COMPETENT

The candidate provides a reasonable description, with sufficient detail, of the challenges faced during the development of the mobile application.

#### **CRITERION SCORE:**

Competent

# **F3. SOLUTIONS TO CHALLENGES**

## 1. NOT EVIDENT

# 2. APPROACHING COMPETENCE

The candidate does not The candidate provides a

# 3. COMPETENT

The candidate provides a

provide a reasonable description of how each challenge described in part F2 was overcome.

reasonable description, with insufficient detail, of how each challenge described in part F2 was overcome.

reasonable description, with sufficient detail, of how each challenge described in part F2 was overcome.

#### **CRITERION SCORE:**

Competent

# COMMENTS ON THIS CRITERION:

3/10/18 - The submission provides a discussion of how the challenges detailed in aspect F2 were resolved.

#### **F4. DIFFERENCES**

#### 1. NOT EVIDENT

The candidate does not provide a reasonable description of what the candidate would do differently if the project were done again.

# 2. APPROACHING **COMPETENCE**

The candidate provides a reasonable description, with insufficient detail, of what the candidate would do differently if the project were done again.

# 3. COMPETENT

The candidate provides a reasonable description, with sufficient detail, of what the candidate would do differently if the project were done again.

## **CRITERION SCORE:**

Competent

#### **F5. EMULATOR DESCRIPTIONS**

# 1. NOT EVIDENT

The candidate does not provide a reasonable description of how emulators are used and the pros and cons of using an emulator vs. using a development device.

# 2. APPROACHING COMPETENCE

The candidate provides a reasonable description, with insufficient detail, of how emulators are used and the pros and cons of using an

#### 3. COMPETENT

The candidate provides a reasonable description, with sufficient detail, of how emulators are used and the pros and cons of using an

> emulator vs. using a development device.

emulator vs. using a development device.

#### **CRITERION SCORE:**

Competent

#### **G. SOURCES**

# 1. NOT EVIDENT

When the candidate uses sources, the candidate does not provide in-text citations and references.

# 2. APPROACHING **COMPETENCE**

When the candidate uses sources, the candidate provides appropriate in-text citations and references with major deviations from APA style.

# 3. COMPETENT

When the candidate uses sources, the candidate provides appropriate in-text citations and references accurately or with only minor deviations from APA style.

# **CRITERION SCORE:**

Competent