# **SLAM Sensor Models**

#### Mitchell Cohen

Department of Mechanical Engineering, McGill University 817 Sherbrooke Street West, Montreal QC H3A 0C3

# January 29, 2025

### **Contents**

1	_	iminaries
	1.1	Lie Groups
	1.2	Jacobians on Lie Groups
	1.3	Common Lie Groups
		1.3.1 The Special Orthogonal Group $SO(3)$
		1.3.2 The Special Euclidean Group $SE(3)$
	1.4	MAP Estimation and Nonlinear Least Squares
		1.4.1 Solving Nonlinear Least Squares
2		sor Models and Error Terms
	2.1	Relative Pose Measurements
		2.1.1 Left Perturbation
		2.1.2 Right Perturbation

## 1 Preliminaries

This document contains derivations related to sensor models commonly used in robotic state estimation, especially in contexts related to SLAM. For each sensor model, Jacobians are derived with respect to the state variables, a task that is often critical for the use of the sensors in state estimation algorithms. Many of the sensor models involve estimating states that live on *Lie groups*, and two distinct options exist for deriving Jacobians on Lie groups. In this document, Jacobians are presented for both the "left" and "right" perturbations of the state, allowing for the use of these sensor models for both perturbation schemes.

Some preliminaries related to Lie groups, based largely on [1], are briefly covered before exploring common sensor models.

### 1.1 Lie Groups

A Lie group G is a smooth manifold that, given a group operation  $\circ: G \times G \to G$ , satisfy the group axioms. Given elements  $\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{Z} \in G$ , the group axioms are given by

Closure Under 
$$\circ$$
:  $\mathcal{X} \circ \mathcal{Y} \in G$ , (1)

Identity 
$$\mathcal{E}: \quad \mathcal{E} \circ \mathcal{X} = \mathcal{X} \circ \mathcal{E} = \mathcal{X},$$
 (2)

Inverse: 
$$\mathcal{X} \circ \mathcal{X}^{-1} = \mathcal{X}^{-1} \circ \mathcal{X} = \mathcal{E}$$
, (3)

Associativity: 
$$(\mathcal{X} \circ \mathcal{Y}) \circ \mathcal{Z} = \mathcal{X} \circ (\mathcal{Y} \circ \mathcal{Z}).$$
 (4)

For any Lie group, there exists an associated Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ , a vector space identifiable with elements of  $\mathbb{R}^m$ , where m is referred to as the degrees of freedom of G. The Lie algebra is related to the group through the exponential and logarithmic maps, denoted  $\exp: \mathfrak{g} \to G$  and  $\log: G \to \mathfrak{g}$ . The "vee" and "wedge" operators are denoted  $(\cdot)^\vee: \mathfrak{g} \to \mathbb{R}^m$  and  $(\cdot)^\wedge: \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathfrak{g}$ , and are used to associate group elements with vectors with

$$\mathcal{X} = \exp(\boldsymbol{\xi}^{\wedge}) \triangleq \operatorname{Exp}(\boldsymbol{\xi}), \qquad \boldsymbol{\xi} = \log(\mathcal{X})^{\vee} \triangleq \operatorname{Log}(\mathcal{X}),$$
 (5)

where  $\mathcal{X} \in G$ ,  $\boldsymbol{\xi} \in \mathbb{R}^m$ . Note that throughout this document, the shorthand notation  $\operatorname{Exp} : \mathbb{R}^m \to G$  and  $\operatorname{Log} : G \to \mathbb{R}^m$  will be used.

This document will also make use of the general  $\oplus$  and  $\ominus$  operations, allowing for the introduction of increments to the curved manifold, expressed in its flat tangent vector space. The  $\oplus$  and  $\ominus$  operators combine one  $\operatorname{Exp}(\cdot)/\operatorname{Log}(\cdot)$  operation with one composition operation. They have two possible definitions, left or right. These are respectively given by

$$\mathcal{Y} = \mathcal{X} \oplus \boldsymbol{\tau} \triangleq \operatorname{Exp}(\boldsymbol{\tau}) \circ \mathcal{X}, \quad \text{(Lie group left)},$$
 (6)

$$\mathcal{Y} = \mathcal{X} \oplus \boldsymbol{\tau} \triangleq \mathcal{X} \circ \operatorname{Exp}(\boldsymbol{\tau}), \quad \text{(Lie group right)},$$
 (7)

For subtraction, the left and right-minus operations are corresponding defined as

$$\mathcal{Y} \ominus \mathcal{X} \triangleq \text{Log}\left(\mathcal{Y} \circ \mathcal{X}^{-1}\right), \quad \text{(Lie group left)},$$
 (8)

$$\mathcal{Y} \ominus \mathcal{X} \triangleq \text{Log}\left(\mathcal{X}^{-1} \circ \mathcal{Y}\right), \quad \text{(Lie group right)}.$$
 (9)

Note that these are simply obtained by rearranging the definitions of  $\oplus$  for both the right and the left cases.

For elements of *matrix* Lie groups, the  $\circ$  operator is simply matrix multiplication, and hence, the left and right definitions of the  $\oplus$  operator are given by

$$\mathbf{Y} = \mathrm{Exp}\left(\boldsymbol{\tau}\right)\mathbf{X},\tag{10}$$

$$\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{X} \operatorname{Exp} (\boldsymbol{\tau}) \,, \tag{11}$$

where  $\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  are matrices satisfying the group axioms, and  $\boldsymbol{\tau} \in \mathbb{R}^m$  is isomorphic to the Lie algebra. These can be used, for example, to define uncertainty representations on Lie groups, either as

$$\mathbf{X} = \operatorname{Exp}(\delta \boldsymbol{\xi}) \bar{\mathbf{X}}, \quad \text{Matrix Lie group left,}$$
 (12)

$$\mathbf{X} = \bar{\mathbf{X}} \operatorname{Exp}(\delta \boldsymbol{\xi}), \quad \text{Matrix Lie group right,}$$
 (13)

where  $\delta \xi \in \mathbb{R}^m$  is a small perturbation. Rearranging leads to the error definitions used for elements of a matrix Lie group, given by

$$\delta \boldsymbol{\xi} = \mathbf{X} \ominus \bar{\mathbf{X}} = \text{Log}(\mathbf{X}\bar{\mathbf{X}}^{-1}), \quad \text{Matrix Lie group left,}$$
 (14)

$$\delta \boldsymbol{\xi} = \mathbf{X} \ominus \bar{\mathbf{X}} = \text{Log}(\bar{\mathbf{X}}^{-1}\mathbf{X}), \quad \text{Matrix Lie group right.}$$
 (15)

### 1.2 Jacobians on Lie Groups

Following [1], the Jacobian of a function  $f:M\to N$  with respect to  $\mathcal X$  can be derived. Using the definitions of  $\oplus$  and  $\ominus$  previously introduced, the Jacobian of f with respect to  $\mathcal X$  evaluated at  $\bar{\mathcal X}$  is written as

$$\frac{Df(\mathcal{X})}{D\mathcal{X}}\bigg|_{\bar{\mathcal{X}}} \triangleq \frac{\partial f(\bar{\mathcal{X}} \oplus \boldsymbol{\tau}) \ominus f(\bar{\mathcal{X}})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\tau}}\bigg|_{\boldsymbol{\tau}=\mathbf{0}}.$$
(16)

Utilizing this definition of a derivative on a Lie group leads to the definition of the *left and right group Jacobians*, which are defined as

$$\mathbf{J}_{r}\left(\boldsymbol{\tau}\right) = \frac{D \operatorname{Exp}\left(\boldsymbol{\tau}\right)}{D\boldsymbol{\tau}}.\tag{17}$$

with the appropriate left/right definitions of the  $\oplus$  and  $\ominus$  operators.

### 1.3 Common Lie Groups

This section briefly covers some common Lie groups found in robotic state estimation problems.

#### **1.3.1** The Special Orthogonal Group SO(3)

One of the most common Lie groups encountered in robotics is SO(3), the set of three-dimentional rotations. This group is defined as

$$SO(3) = \left\{ \mathbf{C} \in \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3} \mid \mathbf{CC}^{\mathsf{T}} = \mathbf{1}, \det(\mathbf{C}) = +1 \right\}.$$
 (18)

The Lie algebra associated with SO(3) is given by

$$\mathfrak{so}(3) = \left\{ \phi^{\times} \in \mathbb{R}^{3\times 3} | \phi \in \mathbb{R}^3 \right\},\tag{19}$$

where  $\phi^{\times}$  is a skew-symmetrix matrix given by

$$\phi^{\times} = \begin{bmatrix} \phi_1 \\ \phi_2 \\ \phi_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -\phi_3 & \phi_2 \\ \phi_3 & 0 & -\phi_1 \\ -\phi_2 & \phi_1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}. \tag{20}$$

#### **1.3.2** The Special Euclidean Group SE(3)

The *special Euclidean group* is often used to represent poses (i.e., position and orientation), and is defined as

$$SE(3) = \left\{ \mathbf{T} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{C} & \mathbf{r} \\ \mathbf{0} & 1 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{4 \times 4} \middle| \mathbf{C} \in SO(3), \mathbf{r} \in \mathbb{R}^3 \right\}. \tag{21}$$

The inverse of **T** is given by

$$\mathbf{T}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{C}^\mathsf{T} & -\mathbf{C}^\mathsf{T} \mathbf{r} \\ \mathbf{0} & 1 \end{bmatrix} \in SE(3). \tag{22}$$

The Lie algebra associated with SE(3) is given by

$$\mathfrak{se}(3) = \left\{ \mathbf{\Xi} = \boldsymbol{\xi}^{\wedge} \in \mathbb{R}^{4 \times 4} \mid \boldsymbol{\xi} \in \mathbb{R}^6 \right\}. \tag{23}$$

### 1.4 MAP Estimation and Nonlinear Least Squares

The maximum a posteriori (MAP) estimate is a point estimate that solves

$$\hat{\mathcal{X}}^{MAP} = \arg\max_{\mathcal{X}} \frac{p(\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X}) p(\mathcal{X})}{p(\mathcal{Y})},$$
(24)

where  $p(\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X})$  is known as the likelihood probability density function (PDF),  $p(\mathcal{X})$  is the prior PDF, and  $p(\mathcal{Y})$  is the marginal PDF. Additionally,  $\mathcal{X} = (\mathcal{X}_0, \dots, \mathcal{X}_K)$  is a set of states to be estimated, and  $\mathcal{Y} = \{\mathcal{Y}_0, \dots, \mathcal{Y}_n\}$  is a measurement set. Since  $p(\mathcal{Y})$  does not depend on  $\mathcal{X}$ , it is typically omitted to yield the equivalent optimization problem given by

$$\hat{\mathcal{X}} = \arg\max_{\mathcal{V}} p\left(\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X}\right) p\left(\mathcal{X}\right)$$
(25)

$$= \arg\max_{\mathcal{X}} p\left(\mathcal{X}_{j}\right) \prod_{i=1}^{n} p\left(\mathcal{Y}_{i} \middle| \mathcal{X}_{i}\right). \tag{26}$$

Here, the measurement likelihood,  $p(\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X})$ , is factored into a product of individual likelihoods,  $p(\mathcal{Y}_i|\mathcal{X}_i)$ , for each measurement. Applying the negative log of this function which allows us to write the problem as a minimization, as

$$\hat{\mathcal{X}} = \underset{\mathcal{X}}{\operatorname{arg\,min}} - \log p\left(\mathcal{X}_0\right) - \sum_{i=1}^n \log p\left(\mathcal{Y}_i \middle| \mathcal{X}_i\right). \tag{27}$$

Consider the situation when  $p(\mathcal{Y}_i|\mathcal{X}_i)$  is Gaussian. For a given covariance matrix  $\Sigma_i$ , the measurement likelihood PDF is given by

$$p\left(\mathcal{Y}_{i}|\mathcal{X}_{i}\right) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^{n}\det\left(\Sigma_{i}\right)}}\exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\left(\mathbf{e}_{i}\left(\mathcal{X}_{i},\mathcal{Y}_{i}\right) - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{i}\right)^{\mathsf{T}}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{i}^{-1}\left(\mathbf{e}_{i}\left(\mathcal{X}_{i},\mathcal{Y}_{i}\right) - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{i}\right)\right). \tag{28}$$

Dropping the constant term, this negative log-likelihood is written as

$$\hat{\mathcal{X}} = \arg\min_{\mathcal{X}} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( \mathbf{e}_{i} \left( \mathcal{X}_{i}, \mathcal{Y}_{i} \right) - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{i} \right)^{\mathsf{T}} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{i}^{-1} \left( \mathbf{e}_{i} \left( \mathcal{X}_{i}, \mathcal{Y}_{i} \right) - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{i} \right).$$
(29)

Typically, the errors considered are zero mean, and hence, the nonlinear least squares problem becomes

$$\hat{\mathcal{X}} = \arg\min_{\mathcal{X}} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{e}_{i} \left( \mathcal{X}_{i} \right)^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{\Sigma}_{i}^{-1} \mathbf{e}_{i} \left( \mathcal{X}_{i} \right), \tag{30}$$

where the dependence of each error term on a measurement  $\mathcal{Y}_i$  has been dropped. This is also often written in the literature as

$$\hat{\mathcal{X}} = \underset{\mathcal{X}}{\operatorname{arg\,min}} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \|\mathbf{e}_{i}\left(\mathcal{X}_{i}\right)\|_{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{i}^{-1}}^{2}. \tag{31}$$

#### 1.4.1 Solving Nonlinear Least Squares

Nonlinear least squares problems in the form of (31), rely on a *linearization* of the error terms  $\mathbf{e}_i(\mathcal{X})$  with respect to the state  $\mathcal{X}_i$ . Formally, the individual error Jacobians are defined as

$$\mathbf{H}_{i} = \frac{D\mathbf{e}_{i}\left(\mathcal{X}_{i}\right)}{D\mathcal{X}_{i}}\bigg|_{\mathcal{X}_{i} = \bar{\mathcal{X}}_{i}},\tag{32}$$

where  $\bar{\mathcal{X}}_i$  is the evaluation point of the Jacobian. Stacking all error terms

$$\mathbf{e}\left(\mathcal{X}\right) = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{e}_1\left(\mathcal{X}\right) & \cdots & \mathbf{e}_n\left(\mathcal{X}\right) \end{bmatrix},\tag{33}$$

the full error Jacobian can be written as

$$\mathbf{H} = \frac{D\mathbf{e}\left(\mathcal{X}\right)}{D\mathcal{X}}\bigg|_{\mathcal{X}=\bar{\mathcal{X}}}.$$
(34)

Iterative nonlinear least squares methods such as Gauss-Newton or Levenberg-Marquardt, utilize this error Jacobian to compute an update to the state variables. For example, Gauss-Newton computes a step as

$$\delta \hat{\mathbf{x}} = (\mathbf{H}\mathbf{W}^{-1}\mathbf{H})^{-1}\mathbf{H}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{W}\mathbf{e},\tag{35}$$

which is then used to update the state estimate as  $\hat{\mathcal{X}} \leftarrow \hat{\mathcal{X}} \oplus \delta \hat{\mathbf{x}}$ . Note that in the update step, a left-or-right defintion of the  $\oplus$  operator may be used, as long as the same definition is used in computing the error Jacobian in (34). As solving nonlinear least squares problems relies on the Jacobian of the error terms, the form of the Jacobians for both a left and right perturbation will be derived for common sensor models in the following section.

### 2 Sensor Models and Error Terms

#### 2.1 Relative Pose Measurements

In many SLAM problems, it is assumed that a sensor directly measures relative poses. These relative pose measurements could come, for example, from a visual odometry or LiDAR odometry pipeline. Relative pose measurements form the basis of the task of *pose graph optimization*, where the task is to estimate the poses of a vehicle relative to a base frame, given relative pose measurements between an arbitrary set of poses.

Denote robot poses at times  $t = t_i$  and  $t = t_j$  as  $\mathbf{T}_i$ ,  $\mathbf{T}_j \in SE(3)$ . The pose at time  $t = t_k$  is of the form

$$\mathbf{T}_{k} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{C}_{ab_{k}} & \mathbf{r}_{a}^{z_{k}w} \\ \mathbf{0} & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \tag{36}$$

where  $\mathbf{C}_{ab_i} \in SO(3)$  is the orientation of the robot at time  $t_i$  with respect to the base frame  $\mathcal{F}_a$ , and  $\mathbf{r}_a^{zw}$  is the robot position resolved in an arbitrary base reference frame. The true relative pose of the robot between times  $t = t_i$  and  $t = t_j$  is given by

$$\mathbf{T}_{ij} = \mathbf{T}_i^{-1} \mathbf{T}_j \tag{37}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{C}_{ab_i}^\mathsf{T} & -\mathbf{r}_{b_i}^{z_i w} \\ \mathbf{1} & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{C}_{ab_j} & \mathbf{r}_a^{z_j w} \\ \mathbf{0} & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
(38)

$$= \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{C}_{b_i b_j} & \mathbf{r}_{b_i}^{z_j z_i} \\ \mathbf{0} & 1 \end{bmatrix}. \tag{39}$$

Noisy measurements of the relative pose are denoted  $\tilde{\mathbf{T}}_{ij}$ , are then assumed to be of the form

$$\tilde{\mathbf{T}}_{ij} = \mathbf{T}_{ij} \oplus \boldsymbol{\eta}_{ij}, \tag{40}$$

where  $\eta_{ij} \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \Sigma_{ij})$  represents Gaussian noise with covariance  $\Sigma_{ij}$ . Note that it is assumed that the noise is modelled in the Lie algebra and is added to the true relative pose using either the "left" or "right" definition of the  $\oplus$  operator. For example, for a "left" defintion, the noise is modelled as

$$\tilde{\mathbf{T}}_{ij} = \operatorname{Exp}\left(\boldsymbol{\eta}_{ij}\right) \mathbf{T}_{ij},\tag{41}$$

where  $\operatorname{Exp}\left(\cdot\right):\mathbb{R}^{6}\to SE(3)$  is the exponential map of SE(3).

With these relative pose measurements, an error term in batch estimation can be formed as

$$\mathbf{e}_{ij}\left(\mathbf{T}_{i},\mathbf{T}_{j}\right) = \tilde{\mathbf{T}}_{ij} \ominus \mathbf{T}_{ij},\tag{42}$$

$$= \tilde{\mathbf{T}}_{ij} \ominus \left( \mathbf{T}_i^{-1} \mathbf{T}_i \right). \tag{43}$$

which takes the difference between the true relative pose and the measured relative pose.

To derive the Jacobians of this residual with respect to the state variables  $T_i$  and  $T_j$ , the chain rule can be used to write

$$\frac{D\mathbf{e}(\mathbf{T}_i, \mathbf{T}_j)}{D\mathbf{T}_k} = \frac{D\mathbf{e}(\mathbf{T}_i, \mathbf{T}_j)}{D\mathbf{T}_{ij}} \frac{D\mathbf{T}_{ij}}{D\mathbf{T}_k}, \quad k \in \{i, j\},$$
(44)

where the Lie group definition of the Jacobian is used, defined in (16). The first Jacobian in the chain rule is simply the Jacobian of the  $\ominus$  operator, while the second Jacobian is the Jacobian of the function  $\mathbf{T}_{ij} = \mathbf{T}_i^{-1} \mathbf{T}_j$  with respect to  $\mathbf{T}_i$  or  $\mathbf{T}_j$ .

The following sections will derive these individual Jacobians for both the "left" and "right" definitions of the  $\oplus$  and  $\ominus$  operators.

#### 2.1.1 Left Perturbation

In the expression for the error Jacobian (44), the first Jacobian is the Jacobian of the error with respect to the relative pose, and is simply the Jacobian of the  $\ominus$  operator with respect to the second argument. For a left perturbation, this Jacobian is given by  $\mathbf{J}_{\mathcal{X}}^{\mathcal{Y}\ominus\mathcal{X}} = -\mathbf{J}_r(\boldsymbol{\tau})^{-1}$ . To derive the second Jacobian, perturn both  $\mathbf{T}_{ij}$  and  $\mathbf{T}_i$  as

$$\operatorname{Exp}\left(\delta\boldsymbol{\xi}^{T}\right)\bar{\mathbf{T}}_{ij} = \left(\operatorname{Exp}(\delta\boldsymbol{\xi}_{i})\bar{\mathbf{T}}_{i}\right)^{-1}\bar{\mathbf{T}}_{j} \tag{45}$$

$$= \bar{\mathbf{T}}_i^{-1} \operatorname{Exp}(-\delta \boldsymbol{\xi}_i) \bar{\mathbf{T}}_j \tag{46}$$

$$= \bar{\mathbf{T}}_i^{-1} \operatorname{Exp}(-\delta \boldsymbol{\xi}_i) \bar{\mathbf{T}}_i \bar{\mathbf{T}}_i^{-1} \bar{\mathbf{T}}_j$$
(47)

$$= \exp\left(-\left(\operatorname{Ad}\left(\bar{\mathbf{T}}_{i}^{-1}\right)\delta\boldsymbol{\xi}_{i}\right)^{\wedge}\right)\bar{\mathbf{T}}_{ij} \tag{48}$$

$$\operatorname{Exp}\left(\delta\boldsymbol{\xi}^{T_{ij}}\right) = \exp\left(-\left(\operatorname{Ad}\left(\bar{\mathbf{T}}_{i}^{-1}\right)\delta\boldsymbol{\xi}_{i}\right)^{\wedge}\right) \tag{49}$$

$$\delta \boldsymbol{\xi}^{T_{ij}} = -\operatorname{Ad}\left(\bar{\mathbf{T}}_{i}^{-1}\right) \delta \boldsymbol{\xi}_{i}. \tag{50}$$

Next, the Jacobian of the error with respect to  $T_i$  can be found in a similar manner as

$$\frac{D\mathbf{e}}{D\mathbf{T}_j} = \frac{D\mathbf{e}}{D\mathbf{T}_{ij}} \frac{D\mathbf{T}_{ij}}{D\mathbf{T}_j}.$$
 (51)

The first Jacobian is the same as before, and the second Jacobian can be found by perturbing both sides as

$$\operatorname{Exp}\left(\delta \boldsymbol{\xi}^{T_{ij}}\right) \bar{\mathbf{T}}_{ij} = \bar{\mathbf{T}}_{i}^{-1} \operatorname{Exp}\left(\delta \boldsymbol{\xi}_{i}\right) \bar{\mathbf{T}}_{i} \tag{52}$$

$$= \exp\left(\left(\operatorname{Ad}\left(\bar{\mathbf{T}}_{i}^{-1}\right)\delta\boldsymbol{\xi}_{j}\right)^{\wedge}\right)\bar{\mathbf{T}}_{ij} \tag{53}$$

$$\delta \boldsymbol{\xi}^{T_{ij}} = \operatorname{Ad}\left(\bar{\mathbf{T}}_{i}^{-1}\right) \delta \boldsymbol{\xi}_{i}. \tag{54}$$

Finally, we require the statistic on the noise on the residual,  $\mathbf{e}_{ij}$ , given that the noise on the relative pose measurement is Gaussian. The residual including the noise is written as

$$\mathbf{e}_{ij} = (\bar{\mathbf{T}}_{ij} \oplus \boldsymbol{\eta}_{ij}) \ominus \bar{\mathbf{T}}_{ij}. \tag{55}$$

This is an instance of passing a Gaussian through a nonlinearity, and to determine the statistics on the output, we can linearize this expression with respect to  $\eta_{ij}$  as

$$\frac{D\mathbf{e}_{ij}}{D\boldsymbol{\eta}_{ij}} = \frac{D\mathbf{e}_{ij}}{D\tilde{\mathbf{T}}_{ij}} \frac{D\tilde{\mathbf{T}}_{ij}}{D\boldsymbol{\eta}_{ij}}.$$
 (56)

To solve for these two Jacobians, we require the Jacobians of the  $\oplus$  and  $\ominus$  operators, given by

$$\mathbf{J}_{\mathcal{V}}^{\mathcal{Y} \ominus \mathcal{X}} = \mathbf{J}_{\ell} \left( \boldsymbol{\tau} \right)^{-1} \tag{57}$$

$$\mathbf{J}_{\tau}^{\mathcal{X}\oplus\boldsymbol{\tau}} = \mathbf{J}_{\ell}\left(\boldsymbol{\tau}\right),\tag{58}$$

and hence, this Jacobian is simply identity.

This can also be found by directly examining how the noise enters the error, as

$$\mathbf{e}_{ij} = \operatorname{Log}\left(\left(\operatorname{Exp}\left(\boldsymbol{\eta}_{ij}\right)\bar{\mathbf{T}}_{ij}\right)\bar{\mathbf{T}}_{ij}^{-1}\right),\tag{59}$$

where we see that  $\mathbf{e}_{ij} \sim \mathcal{N}\left(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{\Sigma}_{ij}\right)$ .

#### 2.1.2 Right Perturbation

For a "right" perturbation of the state, the error is defined as

$$\mathbf{e}_{ij} = \operatorname{Log}\left(\tilde{\mathbf{T}}_{ij} \ominus \mathbf{T}_{ij}\right) \tag{60}$$

$$= \operatorname{Log}\left(\left(\mathbf{T}_{ij}^{-1}\tilde{\mathbf{T}}_{ij}\right)\right) \tag{61}$$

$$= \operatorname{Log}\left(\left(\mathbf{T}_{i}^{-1}\mathbf{T}_{j}\right)^{-1}\tilde{\mathbf{T}}_{i}j\right),\tag{62}$$

$$= \operatorname{Log}\left(\mathbf{T}_{i}^{-1}\mathbf{T}_{i}\tilde{\mathbf{T}}_{ij}\right). \tag{63}$$

The Jacobian of this residual with respect to the state variables can now be derived. The Jacobians with respect to  $T_i$  are found as

$$\frac{D\mathbf{e}}{D\mathbf{T}_i} = \frac{D\mathbf{e}}{D\mathbf{T}_{ij}} \frac{D\mathbf{T}_{ij}}{D\mathbf{T}_i}.$$
 (64)

The first Jacobian is the Jacobian of the error with respect to the relative pose, and is the Jacobian of the  $\ominus$  operator with respect to the second argument. For a right perturbation, this Jacobian is given by  $\mathbf{J}_{\mathcal{X}}^{\mathcal{Y}\ominus\mathcal{X}} = -\mathbf{J}_{\ell}(\boldsymbol{\tau})^{-1}$ . To derive the second Jacobian, perturb both  $\mathbf{T}_{ij}$  and  $\mathbf{T}_i$  as

$$\bar{\mathbf{T}}_{ii} \operatorname{Exp} \left( \delta \boldsymbol{\xi}^{T_{ij}} \right) = \left( \bar{\mathbf{T}}_i \operatorname{Exp} \left( \delta \boldsymbol{\xi}_i \right) \right)^{-1} \bar{\mathbf{T}}_i$$
 (65)

$$= \operatorname{Exp}\left(-\delta \boldsymbol{\xi}_{i}\right) \bar{\mathbf{T}}_{i}^{-1} \bar{\mathbf{T}}_{j} \tag{66}$$

$$= \bar{\mathbf{T}}_{ij}\bar{\mathbf{T}}_{ij}^{-1} \operatorname{Exp}\left(-\delta \boldsymbol{\xi}_{i}\right) \bar{\mathbf{T}}_{ij}, \tag{67}$$

$$= \bar{\mathbf{T}}_{ij} \exp\left(-\left(\operatorname{Ad}(\bar{\mathbf{T}}_{ij}^{-1})\delta\boldsymbol{\xi}_{i}\right)^{\wedge}\right)$$
(68)

$$\operatorname{Exp}\left(\delta \boldsymbol{\xi}^{T_{ij}}\right) = \exp\left(-\left(\operatorname{Ad}(\bar{\mathbf{T}}_{ij}^{-1})\delta \boldsymbol{\xi}_{i}\right)^{\wedge}\right),\tag{69}$$

$$\delta \boldsymbol{\xi}^{T_{ij}} = -\operatorname{Ad}\left(\bar{\mathbf{T}}_{ij}\right) \delta \boldsymbol{\xi}_{i}. \tag{70}$$

Next, the Jacobian of the error with respect to  $T_j$  can be found in a similar manner. Perturbing both sides of the relative pose measurement yields

$$\bar{\mathbf{T}}_{ij} \operatorname{Exp}\left(\delta \boldsymbol{\xi}^{T_{i}j}\right) = \bar{\mathbf{T}}_{i}^{-1} \bar{\mathbf{T}}_{j} \operatorname{Exp}\left(\delta \boldsymbol{\xi}_{j}\right), \tag{71}$$

$$= \bar{\mathbf{T}}_{ij} \operatorname{Exp} \left( \delta \boldsymbol{\xi}_i \right) \tag{72}$$

$$\delta \boldsymbol{\xi}^{T_{ij}} = \delta \boldsymbol{\xi}_{i}. \tag{73}$$

# References

References		
[1]	J. Sola, J. Deray, and D. Atchuthan, "A Micro Lie Theory for State Estimation in Robotics," <i>arXiv preprint arXiv:1812.01537</i> , 2018.	