

COMPX527 Assignment 1 Report

Glenn Cumming

Department of Computer Science
University of Waikato
Hamilton, New Zealand
glenn@hif.nz

Mitchell Grout

Department of Computer Science
University of Waikato
Hamilton, New Zealand
mjg44@students.waikato.ac.nz

Shufen Li

Department of Computer Science
University of Waikato
Hamilton, New Zealand
sl302@students.waikato.ac.nz

YingJun Huang

Department of Computer Science
University of Waikato
Hamilton, New Zealand
yh320@students.waikato.ac.nz

Abstract—Abstract Text

Index Terms—AWS, COMPX527

I. SOLUTION SUMMARY

Solution Summary

II. MOTIVATION

We decided on object detection in the cloud in order to learn and appreciate the challenges of providing a stateless, scalable service that could be used for a variety of other projects. Examples included

- Contextual threat analysis, such as humans detected in an area which should only include livestock.
- Numerical analysis, such as the number of a species of wildlife in an area over time.
- Absence detection, identifying objects that should be in an image but are not

III. PROPOSED SOLUTION

In order to

IV. SOLUTION ARCHITECTURE

Solution Architecture

A. The Image Processing Container

The project uses the Darknet neural net fork by AlexeyAB¹ pre-trained on the COCO AWS images in context set. A Dockerfile generated the container template. This container uses the Darknet web server provided by komorin0521² to create a web service that can be run on EC2 instances.

¹<https://github.com/AlexeyAB/darknet>

²https://github.com/komorin0521/darknet_server

B. The Load Balancer

The object detection being inherently slow forces the use of load balancing and scaling techniques. Using the AWS Elastic Load Balancer allowed us to balance the HTTP requests over two or more EC2 servers running the Darknet servers. We could create as many Darknet servers as we wished, although of course this lent itself to wasted compute resources. Though we had great success using AWS AutoScaling Groups, which allows a lower and upper limit of EC2 instances running our Darknet server to be defined, this functionality was not available in the student accounts.

C. The Web User Interface

In order to have a better demo, it was decided to go ahead and produce a web site interface that would allow uploading

D. The Web API

The intended standard way to interact with the Darknet Object Detection Cluster is to access it via HTTP calls using the url `http://<load_balancer_fqdn>/detect`. As the system is intended for the use of non-private images no SSL was implemented on the load balancer, though it is supported. The code submitted for this project includes *simple_upload.py* and *forked_upload.py*, which are Python 3 examples for using the HTTP API.

V. DEVELOPMENT

A. Technology

a) *Darknet*: Darknet is an open source object detection neural net. This technology was chosen for the following reasons.

- Open Source
- Features both Nvidia GPU and AVX2 compilation options. The AVX2 instruction set is available on all EC2 instances, so we compiled for it. If we wished to use the Kepler GPU P2 instances, then we could compile for it.
- Provides the Yolo3 data set. Yolo 3 is a pretrained on the COCO object detection, segmentation, and captioning

dataset available on the Registry of Open Data on AWS³

b) *Terraform*: Terraform was given in as an example of the provisioning service to use in this assignment. AWS CloudFormation was another option suggested. The decision to go with terraform rather than CloudFormation was based on the following

- CloudFormation is priority and is specific to AWS cloud offerings TODOREF. Though this assignment is on a small and temporary scale, we still not want to use a technology that would create vendor lockin
- Terraform supports provisioning many different platforms, both open source and proprietary, such as Azure, Google Cloud, Kubernetes and OpenStack⁴. Developing experience in deployments with terraform therefore was deemed to be more useful.

c) *Ansible*: Though Terraform is capable of running commands post-install in order to install services and other software needed for our cluster, our cluster used Ansible playbooks instead. Though it meant learning another technology, we deemed a good use of our time since:

- Terraform can only run scripts, which would have to be created.
- Ansible's language is very flexible and is created specifically for the purpose of deployment.
- This is a recommended approach by HashiCorp, the developers of Terraform.⁵

d) *Docker*:

B. *Future Improvements*

C. *Comparison with existing object detection solutions*

Amazon Rekognition

VI. SECURITY ASSESSMENT

Security Assessment

A. *Data Security*

Data Security

B. *Access Security*

Access Security

C. *Network Security*

Network Security

D. *Vulnerability Assessment*

E. *Monitoring*

AWS EC2 instance monitoring was enabled during the terraform creation of the cluster. Future development of the cluster would likely use additional monitoring of performance, costs and uptimes using dedicated EC2 instances, and such existing monitoring systems as Icinga, Prometheus and Munin. Uptime monitoring would of necessity be run externally, such as on internal machines or another cloud providers offering.

³<https://registry.opendata.aws/fast-ai-coco/>

⁴<https://aws.amazon.com/cloudformation/>

⁵<https://www.hashicorp.com/resources/ansible-terraform-better-together>

VII. ACTUAL AWS EXPENDITURE

TODO

VIII. TEAM MEMBERS CONTRIBUTIONS

IX. ASSIGNMENT REQUIREMENTS COMPLETION

X. ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This report was created in LaTeX using the IEEEtran document class provided by IEEE template available at ⁶, and generated into PDF by Gnome LaTeX ⁷.

⁶<https://www.ieee.org/conferences/publishing/templates.html>

⁷<https://wiki.gnome.org/Apps/GNOME-LaTeX>