

Problem 1

The number of molecules, N , found in a sample of a compound with mass M is

$$N(\cdot) = \frac{M(\cdot)N_A}{m(\cdot)}$$

where m is the molar mass of the compound, and N_A is Avogadro's number ($N_A = 6.022 \times 10^{23}$ molecules per mole). Where each actinide isotope is found only once in a molecule of its respective oxide, N also gives the number of atoms of an isotope in the sample.

To find N , we begin by finding the masses of the compounds in the fuel. We can decompose the total mass of the fuel, M_f , into its mixed oxide components:

$$M_f = M(\text{UO}_2) + M(\text{PuO}_2).$$

Given a weight percent for plutonium, w_P , we note that $w_U = 1 - w_P$ and so calculate the total masses of both the UO_2 and the PuO_2 to be

$$M(\text{UO}_2) = (1 - w_P)M_f$$

$$M(\text{PuO}_2) = w_P M_f.$$

We are told that the uranium is all ^{238}U , so

$$M(^{238}\text{UO}_2) = M(\text{UO}_2) = (1 - w_P)M_f.$$

iiiiiii HEAD The weight percents of the plutonium isotope oxides are also given (as a fraction of total plutonium oxide). Using $^{239}\text{PuO}_2$ as an example

$$M(^{239}\text{PuO}_2) = w_{P9}M(\text{PuO}_2) = w_{P9}w_P M_f.$$

Next, we must determine the molar masses of the various oxides. For uranium,

$$m(^{238}\text{UO}_2) = m(^{238}\text{U}) + 2m(\text{O}),$$

and similarly for plutonium.

When we combine the total and molar masses to determine the total number of atoms for each isotope, we find:

6.69×10^{20} atoms of ^{238}U
 4.65×10^{20} atoms of ^{239}Pu
 1.45×10^{20} atoms of ^{240}Pu
 3.84×10^{19} atoms of ^{241}Pu
 1.78×10^{19} atoms of ^{242}Pu

(for full calculation, see Jupyter notebook, attached)

Problem 2

The mean free path of a particle is given by the formula

$$\lambda = \frac{1}{\Sigma_t}$$

when scattering is considered to be isotropic. The macroscopic scattering cross section can be reexpressed in terms of the number density of the material, n , and the microscopic cross section, σ_t . For mixtures, the macroscopic cross section is the sum of the macroscopic cross sections of its components.

$$\Sigma_{t,\text{mix}} = \sum_i \Sigma_{t,i} = \sum_i n_i \sigma_i$$

Additionally, the number density of the material can be found from the material density, ρ , the molar mass of the material, m , and Avogadro's number.

$$n = \frac{\rho_i N_A}{m_i}$$

Then the mean free path is

$$\lambda = \frac{1}{\sum_i \frac{\rho_i N_A \sigma_{t,i}}{m_i}}$$

NIST gives the composition of air as 75.5% nitrogen, 23.1% oxygen, and 1.3% argon by mass. We will assume that the nitrogen is entirely ^{14}N , oxygen is entirely ^{16}O , and ^{40}Ar , which comprise more than 99.5% of their respective element naturally. Water is 11.2% hydrogen and 88.8% oxygen by mass. We are assuming the hydrogen is entirely ^1H and the oxygen is entirely ^{16}O . According to the World Nuclear Association, natural uranium is 99.3% U238 and 0.7% U235 by mass. Using these values in the equation for mean free path, we find the following results:

a.) 14 MeV neutrons

Mean Free Path in

air – $\lambda = 12773.78$ cm
 water – $\lambda = 10.08$ cm
 uranium – $\lambda = 3.53$ cm

b.) 1 MeV neutrons

Mean Free Path in

air – $\lambda = 5643.26$ cm
 water – $\lambda = 1.8$ cm
 uranium – $\lambda = 2.93$ cm

c.) 0.05 eV neutrons


Mean Free Path in

air – $\lambda = 2086.21$ cm
 water – $\lambda = 0.66$ cm
 uranium – $\lambda = 1.57$ cm


Problem 3

Cross sections plotted using ENDF/B-VII.1 from KAERI.

Fission Cross Sections



Capture Cross Sections



Capture-to-fission ratios at:

0.0253 eV

$$^{238}\text{U}: \frac{3 \text{ b}}{0.00003 \text{ b}} = 100000$$

$$^{239}\text{Pu}: \frac{300 \text{ b}}{800 \text{ b}} = 0.38$$

$$^{235}\text{U}: \frac{100 \text{ b}}{700 \text{ b}} = 0.14$$

0.73 MeV

$$^{238}\text{U}: \frac{0.13 \text{ b}}{0.004 \text{ b}} = 32.5$$



$$^{235}\text{U}: \frac{0.13 \text{ b}}{1 \text{ b}} = 0.13$$

$$^{239}\text{Pu}: \frac{0.07 \text{ b}}{2 \text{ b}} = 0.04$$

Problem 4

The most prevalent, stable isotopes of natural boron are ^{10}B and ^{11}B . Similarly, natural gadolinium mostly contains the isotopes ^{154}Gd , ^{155}Gd , ^{156}Gd , ^{157}Gd , ^{158}Gd , and ^{160}Gd .

For the isotopes of both boron and gadolinium, neutron absorption occurs primarily through (n, γ) , (n, α) , or (n, p) reactions. Cross sections for absorption modes in the most common natural isotopes of boron (left) and gadolinium (right) are shown below.



At thermal energies, the following isotopes and reactions are most absorbing:

^{10}B , (n, α) ; ^{155}Gd , (n, γ) ; ^{157}Gd , (n, γ)

Problem 9

- a.)* If rapidly compressed to half volume, the reactor's density will dramatically double. Cross sections vary directly with density, and so the reactor's cross sections will increase. Greater cross sections mean more chances for fission to be induced, and the multiplication factor will increase. A critical reactor would then become supercritical.
- b.)* If squashed, into an ellipsoidal shape, the reactor's surface area would increase, with no change in volume. Greater surface area means that more neutrons would leak from the reactor, and the critical reactor would become subcritical (the streaming term in the TE will grow, k must decrease).
- c.)* Wrapping a sheet of cadmium around the outside of the reactor will cause neutrons to be reflected back into the reactor volume (assuming it replaces void). This will increase the neutrons able to cause fission, and the reactor will become supercritical (the streaming term in the TE will diminish, k must increase).
- d.)* Again, neutrons will be reflected back into the reactor. A greater neutron density increases the neutron flux and rate of fission. The multiplication factor will increase and the reactor will become supercritical (the streaming term in the TE will diminish, k must increase).
- e.)* Adding an external neutron source to the reactor will also trigger an increase in the multiplication factor. There will be more neutrons in the system to cause fission events.
- f.)* Placing an identical reactor nearby the original could be considered as if adding another source. As in that case, the multiplication factor will increase.
- g.)* Over time, the fissionable nuclei in the reactor will be consumed in the fission reaction. With less fissionable nuclei, the fission cross sections of the reactor fuel material will decrease. The reactor will become subcritical and the multiplication factor will decrease.

Problem 10

Assumptions of the neutron transport equation:

1. *Neutrons are pointlike.* A reasonable assumption because the de Broglie wavelength of a neutron is significantly less than the diameter of an atom. This assumption allows us to neglect rotation and quantum effects and write the transport equation as a function of energy. We need only be concerned with the particle's translational kinetic energy.
2. *Neutral particles travel in straight lines.* Neutron trajectories will not bend between collisions, and we can make the assumption that $\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t} = 0$ and $\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} = 0$.
3. *Particle-particle collisions are negligible.* Neutrons are generally very unlikely to collide/otherwise interact with other neutrons, allowing us to express the transport equation as a linear differential equation.
4. *Material properties are isotropic.* Valid when neutrons are moving with an appreciable velocity, this condition allows us to establish cross sections simply as functions of \vec{r} and E (not $\hat{\Omega}$).
5. *Material composition is independent of time.* Similar to assumption 5, our cross sections become dependent only on \vec{r} and E . Though material does change over long time scales (*i.e.* burnup) this assumption is valid for short term neutronics calculations.
6. *Quantities are expected values.* We may be unable to properly predict fluctuations in our results for cases where we are dealing with low density media.

Problem 11

To model the neutron transport in a one-dimensional rod, we follow the same procedure as for a three-dimensional volume with some adjustments.

Before starting, we must replace the volume considered by the 3D case by a 1D length, L .

Time-rate-of-change

First we consider the rate of change of particles in the rod. This is accomplished by taking the derivative of the neutron population with respect to time at a location, and then integrating that over the length of the rod.

$$\int_L \frac{\partial}{\partial t} n(x, E, \hat{\Omega}, t) dx d\hat{\Omega} dE$$

It should be noted here that in one dimension, the direction of the particle ($\hat{\Omega}$) can only be in the positive or negative direction. With this finite domain, it is easy to express the neutron population as

$$n(x, E, \hat{\Omega}, t) d\hat{\Omega} = n_+(x, E, t) + n_-(x, E, t).$$

Then, the time-rate-of-change becomes

$$\int_L \frac{\partial}{\partial t} [n_+(x, E, t) + n_-(x, E, t)] dx dE$$

Scattering

For the scattering, we consider all scattering events that can take place in length L over the full range of energies (these are the two integrals). Then, we turn what had been an integral over the resulting angle into a discrete sum of the two directions into which the particle could be scattered. Again, we include the neutron density as it's two directional components.

$$\int_L \int_0^\infty [\Sigma_s^+(E' \rightarrow E) + \Sigma_s^-(E' \rightarrow E)] v' [n_+(x, E', t) + n_-(x, E', t)] dx dE' dE$$

Fission

Now we can consider fission. Whereas before isotropic production of fission neutrons resulted in a rate of $\frac{1}{4\pi}$ per steradian, we now have a $\frac{1}{2}$ chance that neutrons are produced in either the left or right directions. The fission term is then

$$\frac{\chi(E)}{2} \int_L \int_0^\infty \nu(E') \Sigma_f(E') v' [n_+(x, E', t) + n_-(x, E', t)] dx dE' dE$$

Source

Like the neutron population, the source term can be divided into its two components (left and right), rather than a continuous distribution over angle. Integrating over the rod gives

$$\int_L [s_+(x, E, t) + s_-(x, E, t)] dx dE$$

Absorption

Collisions of the neutron may cause it to be absorbed or scattered.

$$\int_L \Sigma_t v [n_+(x, E, t) + n_-(x, E, t)] dx dE$$

Streaming

Like in the 3D case, we can define \vec{j} as the expected number of particles exiting the 1D rod. Since we only need to account for particles entering or leaving in the single dimension, the integral over surface S becomes unnecessary, and can be replaced by the current at both ends of the rod. We retain the integral (now over L) for reasons apparent later, but incorporate this fact through a delta function.

$$\int_L \left[\vec{j}(x, E, \hat{\Omega}, t) \delta\left(\frac{L}{2}\right) + \vec{j}(x, E, \hat{\Omega}, t) \delta\left(-\frac{L}{2}\right) \right] dx dE d\hat{\Omega}$$

Also, we can eliminate the $\hat{\Omega}$ dependence since we no longer need to account for particles traveling in 3D. Instead, we can divide our equation up again into the two components, one for particles traveling left and another for particles traveling right at each boundary. The result of these operations is

$$\int_L \left[j_+(x, E, t) \delta\left(\frac{L}{2}\right) + j_-(x, E, t) \delta\left(\frac{L}{2}\right) + j_+(x, E, t) \delta\left(-\frac{L}{2}\right) + j_-(x, E, t) \delta\left(-\frac{L}{2}\right) \right] dx dE$$

Noting that $j_{\pm} = vn_{\pm}$,

$$\int_L v \left[n_+(x, E, t) \delta\left(\frac{L}{2}\right) + n_-(x, E, t) \delta\left(\frac{L}{2}\right) + n_+(x, E, t) \delta\left(-\frac{L}{2}\right) + n_-(x, E, t) \delta\left(-\frac{L}{2}\right) \right] dx dE$$

The full 1D transport equation is then (canceling dE in all terms, and forming one integral over L)

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_L \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial t} [n_+(x, E, t) + n_-(x, E, t)] + \int_0^\infty [\Sigma_s^+(E' \rightarrow E) + \Sigma_s^-(E' \rightarrow E)] v' [n_+(x, E', t) + n_-(x, E', t)] dE' \right. \\ & + \frac{\chi(E)}{2} \int_0^\infty \nu(E') \Sigma_f(E') v' [n_+(x, E', t) + n_-(x, E', t)] dE' \\ & + [s_+(x, E, t) + s_-(x, E, t)] - \Sigma_t v [n_+(x, E, t) + n_-(x, E, t)] \\ & \left. - v \left[n_+(x, E, t) \delta\left(\frac{L}{2}\right) + n_-(x, E, t) \delta\left(\frac{L}{2}\right) + n_+(x, E, t) \delta\left(-\frac{L}{2}\right) + n_-(x, E, t) \delta\left(-\frac{L}{2}\right) \right] \right] dx = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Now since, for $\int_L f(x) dx = 0$, $f(x) = 0$, we can say that the integrand of the integral over L is equal to zero. Also, the product $f(x)\delta(a) = f(a)$, so then

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\partial}{\partial t} [n_+(x, E, t) + n_-(x, E, t)] + \int_0^\infty [\Sigma_s^+(E' \rightarrow E) + \Sigma_s^-(E' \rightarrow E)] v' [n_+(x, E', t) + n_-(x, E', t)] dE' \\ & + \frac{\chi(E)}{2} \int_0^\infty \nu(E') \Sigma_f(E') v' [n_+(x, E', t) + n_-(x, E', t)] dE' + [s_+(x, E, t) + s_-(x, E, t)] \\ & - \Sigma_t v [n_+(x, E, t) + n_-(x, E, t)] - v \left[n_+\left(\frac{L}{2}, E, t\right) + n_-\left(\frac{L}{2}, E, t\right) + n_+\left(-\frac{L}{2}, E, t\right) + n_-\left(-\frac{L}{2}, E, t\right) \right] = 0 \end{aligned}$$

NE250_HW01_mnegus-notebook

September 16, 2017

1 NE 250 – Homework 1

9/22/2017

1.1 Problem 1

The number of molecules, N , found in a sample of a compound with mass M is

$$N(\cdot) = \frac{M(\cdot)N_A}{m(\cdot)}$$

where m is the molar mass of the compound, and N_A is Avogadro's number ($N_A = 6.022 \times 10^{23}$ molecules per mole). Since each actinide isotope is found only once in a molecule of its respective oxide, N also gives the number of atoms of an isotope in the sample.

```
In [1]: def molecule_count(M, m):  
        N_A = 6.022e23  
        N = M*N_A/m  
        return N
```

To find N , we begin by finding the masses of the compounds in the fuel. We can decompose the total mass of the fuel, M_f , into its mixed oxide components:

$$M_f = M(\text{UO}_2) + M(\text{PuO}_2).$$

Given a weight percent for plutonium, w_P , we note that $w_U = 1 - w_P$ and so calculate the total masses of both the UO_2 and the PuO_2 to be

$$M(\text{UO}_2) = (1 - w_P)M_f$$

$$M(\text{PuO}_2) = w_P M_f.$$

```
In [2]: w_p = 0.3
```

```
In [3]: def mass_oxide(w, M_f):  
        M_oxide = w*M_f  
        return M_oxide
```

The mass of the oxide component for a given isotope is given by

$$M(^i\text{XO}_2) = w_i M(\text{XO}_2)$$

where w_i is the weight percent of the oxide of isotope ^iX out of element X .

```
In [4]: def mass_isotope_oxide(w_i,M_oxide):
        M_i_oxide = w_i*M_oxide
        return M_i_oxide
```

```
In [5]: # Provided weight percents
w = {'U238': 1, # all U is U238
     'Pu239': 0.697,
     'Pu240': 0.218,
     'Pu241': 0.058,
     'Pu242': 0.027
    }
```

Next, we must determine the molar masses of the various oxides. In general,

$$m(^i\text{XO}_2) = m(^i\text{X}) + 2m(\text{O}),$$

```
In [6]: def molar_mass_isotope_oxide(m_i,m_o):
        m_i_oxide = m_i + 2*m_o
        return m_i_oxide
```

Finally, we use both the total mass of a compound with its molar mass in the original formula, using provided or tabulated values:

```
In [9]: # Tabulated molar masses [g/mol]
m = {'U238': 238.051,
     'Pu239': 239.052,
     'Pu240': 240.054,
     'Pu241': 241.057,
     'Pu242': 242.059,
     'O16': 15.995
    }

In [10]: # Assume 1 gram of total fuel
M_f = 1

isotopes = ['U238', 'Pu239', 'Pu240', 'Pu241', 'Pu242']
for i in isotopes:
    M_i_ox = mass_isotope_oxide(w[i],mass_oxide(w_p,M_f))
    m_i_ox = molar_mass_isotope_oxide(m[i],m['O16'])
    N = molecule_count(M_i_ox,m_i_ox)
    print(i,': ',N)
```


U238 : 6.690095207764747e+20
Pu239 : 4.645775193512445e+20
Pu240 : 1.4477025775242242e+20
Pu241 : 3.837537127307754e+19
Pu242 : 1.7799079726618233e+19