

Problem 1

If the energy distribution for fission neutrons from ^{235}U follows the functional approximation (for energy in MeV)

$$\chi(E) = 0.453e^{-1.036E} \sinh(\sqrt{2.29E}),$$

then the most probable energy of a neutron corresponds to the maximum of the function. A maximum value will be found at a critical point of the function, which can be found via differentiation (specifically when $\frac{d\chi}{dE} = 0$):

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\chi}{dE} = 0 &= \frac{d}{dE} \left(0.453e^{-1.036E_{\max}} \sinh(\sqrt{2.29E_{\max}}) \right) \\ 0 &= 0.453 \frac{d}{dE} \left(e^{-1.036E_{\max}} \sinh(\sqrt{2.29E_{\max}}) \right) \\ 0 &= 0.453 \left[e^{-1.036E} \frac{d}{dE} \sinh(\sqrt{2.29E_{\max}}) + \frac{d}{dE} (e^{-1.036E_{\max}}) \sinh(\sqrt{2.29E_{\max}}) \right] \\ 0 &= 0.453 \left[e^{-1.036E} \cosh(\sqrt{2.29E}) \frac{d}{dE} (\sqrt{2.29E_{\max}}) - 1.036e^{-1.036E} \sinh(\sqrt{2.29E}) \right] \\ 0 &= 0.453 \left[e^{-1.036E} \cosh(\sqrt{2.29E}) \frac{\sqrt{2.29}}{2\sqrt{E_{\max}}} - 1.036e^{-1.036E} \sinh(\sqrt{2.29E}) \right] \\ 0 &= \frac{\sqrt{2.29} \cosh(\sqrt{2.29E})}{2\sqrt{E_{\max}}} - 1.036 \sinh(\sqrt{2.29E}) \\ 0 &= 1 - 1.369\sqrt{E_{\max}} \tanh(\sqrt{2.29E_{\max}}) \\ 1 &= 1.369\sqrt{E_{\max}} \tanh(\sqrt{2.29E_{\max}}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\boxed{E_{\max} = 0.724 \text{ MeV}}$$

The average energy can be found by finding the expected value of the function on the domain $[0, \infty)$.

$$\begin{aligned} E_{\text{ave}} &= \int_0^{\infty} E \chi(E) dE \\ &= \int_0^{\infty} E \left(0.453e^{-1.036E} \sinh(\sqrt{2.29E}) \right) dE \\ &= 0.453 \int_0^{\infty} E e^{-1.036E} \sinh(\sqrt{2.29E}) dE \end{aligned}$$

This integral cannot be solved analytically. Solving numerically (with Wolram Alpha),

$$\boxed{E_{\text{ave}} = 1.98 \text{ MeV}}$$

Problem 2

A general reaction rate for process x as a function of energy can be defined as

$$R_x(E) = \Sigma_x(E)\phi(E)$$

where $\Sigma_x(E)$ is the macroscopic cross section for reaction x and ϕ is the neutron flux, both at energy E . The macroscopic cross section can be further decomposed, so that

$$R_x(E) = n_x \sigma_x(E) \phi(E).$$

Comparing neutron-neutron reactions with all neutron-nuclei reactions, the ratio of reaction rates is

$$\frac{R_{nn}(E)}{R_{tot}(E)} = \frac{n_n \sigma_{nn}(E) \phi(E)}{n_{\text{UO}_2} \sigma_{tot}(E) \phi(E)}.$$

We can know that the neutron flux is $\phi(0.025 \text{ eV}) = 10^{16} \text{ neutrons}/(\text{cm}^2 \cdot \text{s})$ and they are at thermal energies ($E = 0.025 \text{ eV}$ and traveling at $v = \sqrt{\frac{2(0.025 \text{ eV})}{m_n}} = 2.190 \times 10^5 \text{ cm/s}$). The neutrons that are then in a 1 cm^3 volume at any given second is

$$n_n = \frac{\phi(0.025 \text{ eV})}{v} = \frac{10^{16} \text{ neutrons}/(\text{cm}^2 \cdot \text{s})}{2.190 \times 10^5 \text{ cm/s}} = 4.566 \times 10^{10} \text{ neutrons}/\text{cm}^3$$

For UO_2 , $\rho = 10.97 \text{ g/cm}^3$, $m_{\text{O}} = 16.0 \text{ g/mol}$, $m_{\text{U8}} = 238.05 \text{ g/mol}$, and $m_{\text{U5}} = 235.04 \text{ g/mol}$. If we use an enrichment of 5% (atom percent), then $m_{\text{UO}_2} = 0.95(238.05) + 0.05(235.04) + 2(16.0) = 269.90 \text{ g/mol}$. For number density, we find

$$n_{\text{UO}_2} = \frac{\rho N_A}{m_{\text{UO}_2}} = \frac{(10.97 \text{ g/cm}^3)(6.022 \times 10^{23})}{269.90 \text{ g/mol}} = 2.448 \times 10^{22} \text{ molecules/cm}^3$$

Additionally, we're given that $\sigma_{nn} = 10 \text{ b}$, and we can determine the microscopic cross section for UO_2 from tabulated data. (From ENDF/B-VII.1 at 0.025 eV : $\sigma_{tot,\text{U8}} = 11.962 \text{ b}$, $\sigma_{tot,\text{U5}} = 698.856 \text{ b}$, and $\sigma_{tot,\text{O}} = 3.852 \text{ b}$)

$$\sigma_{tot} = 0.95\sigma_{tot,\text{U8}} + 0.05\sigma_{tot,\text{U5}} + 2\sigma_{tot,\text{O}}$$

$$\sigma_{tot} = 0.95(11.962 \text{ b}) + 0.05(698.856 \text{ b}) + 2(3.852 \text{ b})$$

$$\sigma_{tot} = 54.011 \text{ b}$$

We can now solve for the ratio of reaction rates (noting that $\phi(E)$ cancels in the numerator and denominator)

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{R_{nn}(E)}{R_{tot}(E)} &= \frac{n_n \sigma_{nn}(E)}{n_{\text{UO}_2} \sigma_{tot}(E)} = \frac{(4.566 \times 10^{10} \text{ neutrons/cm}^3)(10 \text{ b})}{(2.448 \times 10^{22} \text{ molecules/cm}^3)(54.011 \text{ b})} \\ \frac{R_{nn}(E)}{R_{tot}(E)} &= 3.40 \times 10^{-13} \end{aligned}$$

The rate of neutron-neutron collisions is 13 orders of magnitudes less than the rate of neutron- UO_2 collisions.

Problem 3

a.)

The slight (negative) change in neutron intensity at some distance x into a material, $dI(x)$, is equivalent to the product of the neutron intensity at that point, the number density of the material, the total cross section of the material (giving the macroscopic cross section as the chance of a collision per some distance dx), multiplied by the small distance dx .

$$-dI(x) = I(x)\Sigma_t dx$$

We can solve this differential equation to find the intensity at x ,

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{dI(x)}{I(x)} &= \Sigma_t dx \\ -\int \frac{dI(x)}{I(x)} &= \int \Sigma_t dx \\ -\ln(I(x)) + C &= \Sigma_t x \end{aligned}$$

or

$$I(x) = e^{-\Sigma_t x + C} = C e^{-\Sigma_t x}.$$

Using the fact that $I(0) = I_0$, we find $C = I_0$. In total

$$I(x) = I_0 e^{-\Sigma_t x}$$

The fraction of neutrons remaining (uncollided) at distance x is then

$$\frac{I(x)}{I_0} = e^{-\Sigma_t x}.$$

This fraction is the probability that a neutron travels a distance x through a material without a collision:

$$P(x) = e^{-\Sigma_t x}$$

We can now use the cross sections for concrete to solve for $P(1 \text{ m})$.

$$\boxed{P(1 \text{ m}) = 3.8 \times 10^{-21}}$$

(see attached Jupyter notebook for full calculations)

Problem 4

First, we define the average scattering cosine $\bar{\mu}_0$ as the average dot product, $\langle \hat{\Omega} \cdot \hat{\Omega}' \rangle$. When normalized by $4\pi\Sigma_s$, the total of cross sections for scattering from any angle $\hat{\Omega}$ to any other angle $\hat{\Omega}'$, this is

$$\bar{\mu}_0 \equiv \langle \hat{\Omega} \cdot \hat{\Omega}' \rangle = \left(\frac{1}{4\pi\Sigma_s} \right) \int_{4\pi} d\hat{\Omega} \int_{4\pi} d\hat{\Omega}' \hat{\Omega} \cdot \hat{\Omega}' \Sigma_s(\hat{\Omega} \cdot \hat{\Omega}')$$

In the center of mass system, the probability that a particle scatters in any direction is roughly uniform, $\Sigma_{\text{CM}}(\theta_C) = \frac{\Sigma_s}{4\pi}$,

$$\bar{\mu}_0 = \frac{1}{\Sigma_s} \int_{4\pi} d\hat{\Omega} \int_{4\pi} d\hat{\Omega}' \hat{\Omega} \cdot \hat{\Omega}' \Sigma_{\text{CM}}(\theta_C).$$

Problem 5

A critical reactor has a multiplication factor of $k = 1$. The multiplication factor (for an infinite reactor) can be defined as

$$k_{\infty} \equiv \frac{\# \text{ neutrons produced}}{\# \text{ neutrons absorbed}}$$

Mathematically, the number of neutrons produced is $\int_0^{\infty} \nu \Sigma_f(E) \phi(E) dE$ and the number of neutrons absorbed is $\int_0^{\infty} \Sigma_a(E) \phi(E) dE$. Altogether, we can mathematically describe a critical reactor as

$$1 = \frac{\int_0^{\infty} \nu \Sigma_f(E) \phi(E) dE}{\int_0^{\infty} \Sigma_a(E) \phi(E) dE}$$

or equivalently

$$\int_0^{\infty} \nu \Sigma_f(E) \phi(E) dE = \int_0^{\infty} \Sigma_a(E) \phi(E) dE.$$

Since we are considering only thermal cross sections, we will let $\Sigma_X(E) = \Sigma_X(0.025 \text{ eV}) = \Sigma_{X,T}$ and we find

$$\nu \Sigma_{f,T} \int_0^{\infty} \phi(E) dE = \Sigma_{a,T} \int_0^{\infty} \phi(E) dE.$$

The integrals over flux cancel, and so

$$\nu \Sigma_{f,T} = \Sigma_{a,T}.$$

The macroscopic cross sections can be rewritten as $\Sigma_{f,T} = \Sigma_{f,T,f}$ and $\Sigma_{a,T} = \Sigma_{a,T,f} + \Sigma_{a,T,m}$ where subscripts f and m denote fuel and moderator, respectively. Furthermore, each macroscopic cross section for each material can be expressed in terms of the material's number density and microscopic cross section, $\Sigma = n\sigma$. In total

$$\nu n_f \sigma_{f,T,f} = n_f \sigma_{a,T,f} + n_m \sigma_{a,T,m}.$$

The fuel-to-moderator density at criticality can then be expressed as

$$\frac{n_f}{n_m} = \frac{\sigma_{a,T,m}}{\nu \sigma_{f,T,f} - \sigma_{a,T,f}}.$$

a.) Graphite

$$\frac{n_f}{n_m} = 4.55 \times 10^{-6}$$

b.) Beryllium

$$\frac{n_f}{n_m} = 1.36 \times 10^{-5}$$

c.) Water

$$\frac{n_f}{n_m} = 8.99 \times 10^{-4}$$

d.) Graphite

$$\frac{n_f}{n_m} = 1.64 \times 10^{-6}$$

(see attached Jupyter notebook for full calculations)

Problem 6

a.)

Like in Problem 5,

$$k_{\infty} = \frac{\int_0^{\infty} \nu \Sigma_f(E) \phi(E) dE}{\int_0^{\infty} \Sigma_a(E) \phi(E) dE}.$$

Again, since we are only considering cross sections averaged over the fast spectrum, we can state that $\Sigma_X(E) = \Sigma_{X,F}$, and then

$$k_{\infty} = \frac{\nu \Sigma_{f,F} \int_0^{\infty} \phi(E) dE}{\Sigma_{a,F} \int_0^{\infty} \phi(E) dE} = \frac{\nu \Sigma_{f,F}}{\Sigma_{a,F}}.$$

Next, we repeat our decomposition of the numerator and denominator, leaving

$$k_{\infty} = \frac{\nu_{\text{PuO}_2} n_{\text{PuO}_2} \sigma_{F,\text{PuO}_2} + \nu_{\text{UO}_2} n_{\text{UO}_2} \sigma_{F,\text{UO}_2}}{n_{\text{PuO}_2} \sigma_{a,F,\text{PuO}_2} + n_{\text{UO}_2} \sigma_{a,F,\text{UO}_2} + n_{\text{Fe}} \sigma_{a,F,\text{Fe}} + n_{\text{Na}} \sigma_{a,F,\text{Na}}}$$

After solving this equation with the given values, we find

$$k_{\infty} = 1.332$$

b.)

We can use the densities and volume fractions to determine the fraction of the core mass that is fuel.

$$61.6\% \text{ is fuel}$$

c.)

If $k = 1$ and the non-leakage probability is $P_{NL} = 0.90$, then we can reexpress our earlier equation as

$$k = 1 = P_{NL} \frac{\nu \Sigma_{f,F} \int_0^{\infty} \phi(E) dE}{\Sigma_{a,F} \int_0^{\infty} \phi(E) dE} = P_{NL} \frac{\nu \Sigma_{f,F}}{\Sigma_{a,F}},$$

or

$$P_{NL} \nu \Sigma_{f,F} = \Sigma_{a,F}.$$

When separated into individual materials, this is

$$P_{NL} \sum_i \nu_i n_i \sigma_{f,i} = \sum_j n_j \sigma_{a,j}.$$

or explicitly

$$P_{NL} (\nu_{\text{PuO}_2} n_{\text{PuO}_2} \sigma_{F,\text{PuO}_2} + \nu_{\text{UO}_2} n_{\text{UO}_2} \sigma_{F,\text{UO}_2}) = n_{\text{PuO}_2} \sigma_{a,F,\text{PuO}_2} + n_{\text{UO}_2} \sigma_{a,F,\text{UO}_2} + n_{\text{Fe}} \sigma_{a,F,\text{Fe}} + n_{\text{Na}} \sigma_{a,F,\text{Na}}$$

We recall that $n_{\text{PuO}_2} = f_{\text{f,PuO}_2} (0.3) \frac{\rho_{\text{PuO}_2} N_A}{m_{\text{PuO}_2}}$ and $n_{\text{UO}_2} = (1 - f_{\text{f,PuO}_2}) (0.3) \frac{\rho_{\text{UO}_2} N_A}{m_{\text{UO}_2}}$, while $n_{\text{Na}} = (0.5) \frac{\rho_{\text{Na}} N_A}{m_{\text{Na}}}$ and $n_{\text{Fe}} = (0.2) \frac{\rho_{\text{Fe}} N_A}{m_{\text{Fe}}}$. We can substitute these expressions into the equation above and solve for $f_{\text{f,PuO}_2}$.

$$\text{The fuel must be 10.322\% PuO}_2 \text{ and 89.678\% UO}_2 \text{ for a critical reactor with } P_{NL} = 0.9$$

(see attached Jupyter notebook for full calculations)

Problem 7

We are told that the albedo is defined as the ratio of current out of a reflecting surface and into a reflecting surface, $\alpha \equiv \frac{J_{\text{out}}}{J_{\text{in}}}$. We also note that without an internal source, the one-speed, one-dimensional diffusion equation in the reflector is given by

$$\frac{d^2\phi(x)}{dx^2} - \frac{1}{L^2}\phi(x) = 0$$

where $L = \sqrt{\frac{D}{\Sigma_a}}$ is the diffusion length in the reflector and D is the diffusion coefficient of the reflector (both constant).

This equation is constrained by two boundary conditions:

$$\phi(\tilde{a}) = 0$$

$$J_+(0) = J_{\text{in}}.$$

The first of these states that the flux must go to zero at the extrapolated distance (no infinite flux over all space), and the second implies that the uniformly distributed slab source can be treated as a uniform plane source at the boundary from the perspective of the reflector.

We can now solve the one-speed diffusion equation for the reflector. The solution is of the form

$$\phi(x) = C_1 e^{x/L} + C_2 e^{-x/L}.$$

Applying our first boundary condition,

$$\phi(\tilde{a}) = 0 = C_1 e^{\tilde{a}/L} + C_2 e^{-\tilde{a}/L}$$

$$C_2 = -C_1 e^{2\tilde{a}/L}$$

and so

$$\phi(x) = C_1 e^{x/L} - C_1 e^{2\tilde{a}/L} e^{-x/L},$$

or, when factoring out $C = 2C_1 e^{\tilde{a}/L}$

$$\phi(x) = C \frac{e^{(x-\tilde{a})/L} - e^{(\tilde{a}-x)/L}}{2} = C \sinh\left(\frac{x-\tilde{a}}{L}\right).$$

We can then apply our second boundary condition if we note that

$$J_+(x) = \frac{\phi(x)}{4} - \frac{D}{2} \frac{d\phi(x)}{dx}$$

which means

$$J_{\text{in}} = \frac{C \sinh\left(\frac{-\tilde{a}}{L}\right)}{4} - \frac{D}{2} \frac{d}{dx} \left[C \sinh\left(\frac{x-\tilde{a}}{L}\right) \right]_{x=0}$$

or more simply

$$J_{\text{in}} = \frac{C \sinh\left(\frac{-\tilde{a}}{L}\right)}{4} - \frac{CD}{2L} \cosh\left(\frac{-\tilde{a}}{L}\right)$$

We also know that $J(x) = -D \frac{d\phi(x)}{dx}$, so

$$\begin{aligned} J(x) &= -D \frac{d}{dx} \left[C \sinh\left(\frac{x-\tilde{a}}{L}\right) \right] \\ &= -\frac{CD}{L} \cosh\left(\frac{x-\tilde{a}}{L}\right) \end{aligned}$$

Finally, since $J(x) = J_+(x) - J_-(x)$,

$$J(0) = J_+(0) - J_-(0) = J_{\text{in}} - J_{\text{out}}$$

or equivalently,

$$\begin{aligned}
 J_{\text{out}} &= J_{\text{in}} - J(0) \\
 &= \frac{C \sinh\left(\frac{-\tilde{a}}{L}\right)}{4} - \frac{CD}{2L} \cosh\left(\frac{-\tilde{a}}{L}\right) + \frac{CD}{L} \cosh\left(\frac{-\tilde{a}}{L}\right) \\
 &= \frac{C \sinh\left(\frac{-\tilde{a}}{L}\right)}{4} + \frac{CD}{2L} \cosh\left(\frac{-\tilde{a}}{L}\right)
 \end{aligned}$$

Altogether, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{J_{\text{out}}}{J_{\text{in}}} &= \frac{\frac{C \sinh\left(\frac{-\tilde{a}}{L}\right)}{4} + \frac{CD}{2L} \cosh\left(\frac{-\tilde{a}}{L}\right)}{\frac{C \sinh\left(\frac{-\tilde{a}}{L}\right)}{4} - \frac{CD}{2L} \cosh\left(\frac{-\tilde{a}}{L}\right)} \\
 &= \frac{1 + \frac{2D}{L} \coth\left(\frac{-\tilde{a}}{L}\right)}{1 - \frac{2D}{L} \coth\left(\frac{-\tilde{a}}{L}\right)}
 \end{aligned}$$

Since hyperbolic cotangent is an odd function, we can simplify this to

$$\alpha = \frac{1 - \frac{2D}{L} \coth\left(\frac{\tilde{a}}{L}\right)}{1 + \frac{2D}{L} \coth\left(\frac{\tilde{a}}{L}\right)}$$

Problem 8

From the previous problem, $\alpha \equiv \frac{J_{\text{out}}}{J_{\text{in}}}$. If we further define $\beta \equiv \frac{J_+(a) - J_-(a)}{J_+(a)}$, we can express β in terms of α through algebraic manipulation. First, we let $J_+(a) = J_{\text{in}}$ and $J_-(a) = J_{\text{out}}$ for the right handed boundary.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \beta &= \frac{J_{\text{in}} - J_{\text{out}}}{J_{\text{in}}} \\
 &= 1 - \frac{J_{\text{out}}}{J_{\text{in}}}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\boxed{\beta = 1 - \alpha}$$

We can also define $\gamma \equiv \frac{J(a)}{\phi(a)}$ and then express it in terms of α as well. Again we let $J_+(a) = J_{\text{in}}$ and $J_-(a) = J_{\text{out}}$ for the right handed boundary, but we also now note that $J_+(x) = \frac{\phi(x)}{4} - \frac{D}{2} \frac{d\phi(x)}{dx} = \frac{\phi(x)}{4} + \frac{J(x)}{2}$. From here, $\phi(x)$ can be found as $\phi(x) = 4J_-(x) - 2J(x)$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \gamma &= \frac{J_+(a) - J_-(a)}{4J_+(a) - 2J(a)} \\
 &= \frac{J_+(a) - J_-(a)}{4J_+(a) - 2J_+(a) + 2J_-(a)} \\
 &= \frac{J_{\text{in}} - J_{\text{out}}}{4J_{\text{in}} - 2J_{\text{in}} + 2J_{\text{out}}} \\
 &= \frac{J_{\text{in}} - J_{\text{out}}}{2(J_{\text{in}} + J_{\text{out}})} \\
 &= \frac{1 - \frac{J_{\text{out}}}{J_{\text{in}}}}{2(1 + \frac{J_{\text{out}}}{J_{\text{in}}})}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\boxed{\gamma = \frac{1 - \alpha}{2(1 + \alpha)}}$$

With these values we can then solve for α in terms of each β and γ . Very simply

$$\boxed{\alpha = 1 - \beta}$$

but also

$$\begin{aligned}
 \gamma &= \frac{1 - \alpha}{2(1 + \alpha)} \\
 2\gamma &= \frac{1 - \alpha}{1 + \alpha} \\
 2\gamma(1 + \alpha) &= 1 - \alpha \\
 2\gamma + 2\alpha\gamma &= 1 - \alpha \\
 2\gamma - 1 &= -\alpha - 2\alpha\gamma \\
 2\gamma - 1 &= \alpha(-1 - 2\gamma) \\
 \alpha &= \frac{2\gamma - 1}{-1 - 2\gamma} \\
 \boxed{\alpha &= \frac{1 - 2\gamma}{1 + 2\gamma}}
 \end{aligned}$$

Problem 9

The one-speed diffusion equation for a finite slab containing a uniformly distributed neutron source is

$$-D \frac{d^2 \phi(x)}{dx^2} + \Sigma_a \phi(x) = s$$

or equivalently (when $L = \sqrt{\frac{D}{\Sigma_a}}$),

$$\frac{d^2 \phi(x)}{dx^2} - \frac{1}{L^2} \phi(x) = -\frac{s}{D}$$

We know that the homogeneous solution to this equation (solving for $\phi(x)$ when $\frac{d\phi(x)}{dx} - \frac{1}{L^2} \phi(x) = 0$) is of the form

$$\phi(x) = C_1 e^{x/L} + C_2 e^{-x/L}$$

Next we vary the parameters to solve for the particular solution,

$$\phi_p(x) = c_1(x) e^{x/L} + c_2(x) e^{-x/L}.$$

Then,

$$\frac{d\phi_p(x)}{dx} = c'_1(x) e^{x/L} + c'_2(x) e^{-x/L} + \frac{c_1(x)}{L} e^{x/L} - \frac{c_2(x)}{L} e^{-x/L},$$

however we note that $c'_1(x) e^{x/L} + c'_2(x) e^{-x/L} = 0$ and

$$\frac{d\phi_p(x)}{dx} = \frac{c_1(x)}{L} e^{x/L} - \frac{c_2(x)}{L} e^{-x/L}.$$

Taking the second derivative of $\phi(x)$, we have

$$\frac{d^2 \phi_p(x)}{dx^2} = \frac{c'_1(x)}{L} e^{x/L} - \frac{c'_2(x)}{L} e^{-x/L} + \frac{c_1(x)}{L^2} e^{x/L} + \frac{c_2(x)}{L^2} e^{-x/L}.$$

If we plug $\phi_p(x)$ and its second derivative into our original equation, we find

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{d^2 \phi(x)}{dx^2} - \frac{1}{L^2} \phi(x) &= -\frac{s}{D} \\
 \frac{c'_1(x)}{L} e^{x/L} - \frac{c'_2(x)}{L} e^{-x/L} + \frac{c_1(x)}{L^2} e^{x/L} + \frac{c_2(x)}{L^2} e^{-x/L} - \frac{1}{L^2} (c_1(x) e^{x/L} + c_2(x) e^{-x/L}) &= -\frac{s}{D} \\
 \left(\frac{c'_1(x)}{L} e^{x/L} - \frac{c'_2(x)}{L} e^{-x/L} \right) + \cancel{\frac{c_1(x)}{L^2} e^{x/L} - \frac{c_1(x)}{L^2} e^{x/L}} + \cancel{c_2(x) \left(\frac{e^{-x/L}}{L^2} - \frac{e^{-x/L}}{L^2} \right)} &= -\frac{s}{D}
 \end{aligned}$$

Now we solve for $c_1'(x)$. From earlier, we assumed $c_1'(x)e^{x/L} + c_2'(x)e^{-x/L} = 0$, and so

$$c_1'(x) = -c_2'(x)e^{-2x/L}.$$

We plug this into our original equation where we substituted the particular solutions and find

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{c_1'(x)}{L}e^{x/L} - \frac{c_2'(x)}{L}e^{-x/L} &= -\frac{s}{D} \\ \frac{-c_2'(x)e^{-2x/L}}{L}e^{x/L} - \frac{c_2'(x)}{L}e^{-x/L} &= -\frac{s}{D} \\ c_2'(x)\left(\frac{-e^{-x/L}}{L} - \frac{e^{-x/L}}{L}\right) &= -\frac{s}{D} \\ c_2'(x)\left(\frac{-2e^{-x/L}}{L}\right) &= -\frac{s}{D} \\ c_2'(x) &= \frac{sLe^{x/L}}{2D}\end{aligned}$$

We plug this back into our equation for $c_1'(x)$ and get

$$\begin{aligned}c_1'(x) &= -\left(\frac{sLe^{x/L}}{2D}\right)e^{-2x/L}. \\ c_1'(x) &= -\frac{sLe^{-x/L}}{2D}.\end{aligned}$$

Finally, we integrate:

$$\begin{aligned}c_1(x) &= \int -\frac{sLe^{-x/L}}{2D} dx \\ c_1(x) &= -\frac{sL}{2D} \int e^{-x/L} dx \\ c_1(x) &= \frac{sL^2}{2D} e^{-x/L}\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}c_2(x) &= \int \frac{sLe^{x/L}}{2D} dx \\ c_2(x) &= \frac{sL}{2D} \int e^{x/L} dx \\ c_2(x) &= \frac{sL^2}{2D} e^{x/L}\end{aligned}$$

We finally return these to our original particular solution for $\phi(x)$,

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_p(x) &= \left(\frac{sL^2}{2D}e^{-x/L}\right)e^{x/L} + \left(\frac{sL^2}{2D}e^{x/L}\right)e^{-x/L} \\ \phi_p(x) &= \frac{sL^2}{2D} + \frac{sL^2}{2D} \\ \phi_p(x) &= \frac{sL^2}{D}\end{aligned}$$

We combine this particular solution with the general solution, to get

$$\boxed{\phi(x) = C_1e^{x/L} + C_2e^{-x/L} + \frac{sL^2}{D}}$$

Problem 10

1. **True**, the transport equation accounts for changes in the neutron population in time and in space (streaming) while being balanced against interactions integrated over energy and angle, all at a given location and time in a system.
2. **False**, a vacuum boundary condition for the integro-differential transport equation implies a zero *incoming* angular flux; nothing enters from the vacuum.
3. **True**, the redistribution term $\frac{(1-\mu^2)}{r} \frac{d\psi}{d\mu}$ defines how the angular flux varies with respect to μ , allowing curvilinear coordinates to account for neutron migration across different angles, $\hat{\Omega}$.
4. **False**, a nuclear system is critical if its α eigenvalues satisfy $\max(\Re(\alpha_j)) = 0$.
5. **...**, the energy spectrum of the fundamental eigenmode of the eigenvalue problem is skewed as though a 1 absorber is present.

NE250_HW02_mnegus-prob3

October 6, 2017

1 NE 250 – Homework 2

1.1 Problem 3

10/6/2017

```
In [1]: import math
```

The slight (negative) change in neutron intensity at some distance x into a material, $dI(x)$, is equivalent to the product of the neutron intensity at that point, the number density of the material, the total cross section of the material (giving the macroscopic cross section as the chance of a collision per some distance dx), multiplied by the small distance dx .

$$-dI(x) = I(x)\Sigma_t dx$$

We can solve this differential equation to find the intensity at x ,

$$I(x) = I_0 e^{-\Sigma_t x}$$

The fraction of neutrons remaining (uncollided) at distance x corresponds to the probability of survival for a neutron going that distance

$$P(x) = \frac{I(x)}{I_0} = e^{-\Sigma_t x}.$$

```
In [2]: def survival_prob(XS_t, x):  
        print('MFP: {} cm'.format(round(1/XS_t, 3)))  
        return 100*math.exp(-XS_t*x)
```

We also note that the total macroscopic cross section of the concrete is the sum of the individual macroscopic cross sections of its components, i . Furthermore, each component's macroscopic cross section is the product of the component's number density and microscopic cross section.

$$\Sigma_{t,\text{concrete}} = \sum_i n_i \sigma_{t,i}$$

```
In [3]: materials = ['Si28', 'Ca40', 'H2O']
```

```
In [4]: def macroXS(materials, n, xst):  
        return sum([n[i]*xst[i] for i in materials])
```

Cross sections are defined as follows (from ENDF/B-VII.1)

```
In [5]: xst = {'Si28': 2.125e-24,      # cm^2
              'Ca40': 3.432e-24,
              'H1': 20.768e-24,
              'O16': 3.852e-24,
              }
xst['H2O'] = 2*xst['H1'] + xst['O16']
```

The number density of each material, n_i can be found from the provided weight percents, w_i (Concrete: 10 wt% H2O, 50 wt% calcium, and 40 wt% silicon), tabulated molar masses, m_i , and the density of concrete, ρ_c :

$$n_i = \frac{w_i N_A \rho_c}{m_i}.$$

```
In [6]: def n_i(w_i, rho_C, m_i):
        N_A = 6.022e23
        return w_i*N_A*rho_C/m_i

In [7]: w = {'Si28': 0.4,      # w%
            'Ca40': 0.5,
            'H2O' : 0.1
            }
m = {'Si28': 27.977,    # g/mol
     'Ca40': 39.963,
     'H1'  : 1.008,
     'O16' : 15.995
     }
m['H2O'] = 2*m['H1'] + m['O16']

rho_C = 2.4              # g/cm^3

n = {i: n_i(w[i], rho_C, m[i]) for i in materials}
```

```
In [8]: print(n)
```

```
{'Si28': 2.0663831004039034e+22, 'Ca40': 1.8082726522032879e+22, 'H2O': 8.024429515e+21}
```

We can now use the cross sections and number densities to solve for $P(1\text{ m})$.

```
In [9]: width = 100          # cm
        prob = survival_prob(macroXS(materials, n, xst), width)
        print('The probability of a neutron making it through the {} cm shield is {}'.format(width, prob))
```

```
MFP: 2.127 cm
```

```
The probability of a neutron making it through the 100 cm shield is 3.8036093243291e-09
```

NE250_HW02_mnegus-prob5

October 6, 2017

1 NE 250 – Homework 2

1.1 Problem 5

10/6/2017

A critical reactor has a multiplication factor of $k = 1$. The multiplication factor (for an infinite reactor) can be defined as

$$k_{\infty} \equiv \frac{\# \text{ neutrons produced}}{\# \text{ neutrons absorbed}}$$

Mathematically, the number of neutrons produced is $\int_0^{\infty} \nu \Sigma_f(E) \phi(E) dE$ and the number of neutrons absorbed is $\int_0^{\infty} \Sigma_a(E) \phi(E) dE$. Altogether, we can mathematically describe a critical reactor as

$$1 = \frac{\int_0^{\infty} \nu \Sigma_f(E) \phi(E) dE}{\int_0^{\infty} \Sigma_a(E) \phi(E) dE}$$

or equivalently

$$\int_0^{\infty} \nu \Sigma_f(E) \phi(E) dE = \int_0^{\infty} \Sigma_a(E) \phi(E) dE.$$

Since we are considering only thermal cross sections, we will let $\Sigma_X(E) = \Sigma_X(0.025 \text{ eV}) = \Sigma_{X,T}$ and we find

$$\nu \Sigma_{f,T} \int_0^{\infty} \phi(E) dE = \Sigma_{a,T} \int_0^{\infty} \phi(E) dE.$$

The integrals over flux cancel, and so

$$\nu \Sigma_{f,T} = \Sigma_{a,T}.$$

The macroscopic cross sections can be rewritten as $\Sigma_{f,T} = \Sigma_{f,T,f}$ and $\Sigma_{a,T} = \Sigma_{a,T,f} + \Sigma_{a,T,m}$ where subscripts f and m denote fuel and moderator, respectively. Furthermore, each macroscopic cross section for each material can be expressed in terms of the material's number density and microscopic cross section, $\Sigma = n\sigma$. In total

$$\nu n_f \sigma_{f,T,f} = n_f \sigma_{a,T,f} + n_m \sigma_{a,T,m}.$$

The fuel-to-moderator (number) density ratio at criticality can then be expressed as

$$\frac{n_f}{n_m} = \frac{\sigma_{a,T,m}}{\nu \sigma_{f,T,f} - \sigma_{a,T,f}}.$$

```
In [1]: def FMratio(xsa_mod,xsa_fuel,xf_fuel,nu):
        return xsa_mod/(nu*xf_fuel - xsa_fuel)
```

We can define our thermal cross sections as follows (from ENDF/B-VII.1)

```
In [2]: xsf = {'U235': 585.086}
        xsa = {'C12': 0.00336,
               'Be9': 0.01004,
               'H1': 0.33201,
               'H2': 0.00051,
               'O16': 0.00019,
               'U235': 585.086 + 98.6864
               }
        xsa['graphite'] = xsa['C12']
        xsa['water'] = 2*xsa['H1'] + xsa['O16']
        xsa['heavy water'] = 2*xsa['H2'] + xsa['O16']
```

a) Graphite

```
In [3]: xsa_mod=xsa['graphite']
        print('Critical Fuel-to-Moderator Ratio: ',FMratio(xsa_mod,xsa['U235'],xsf
```

Critical Fuel-to-Moderator Ratio: 4.5457204996214396e-06

b) Beryllium

```
In [4]: xsa_mod=xsa['Be9']
        print('Critical Fuel-to-Moderator Ratio: ',FMratio(xsa_mod,xsa['U235'],xsf
```

Critical Fuel-to-Moderator Ratio: 1.358304577863073e-05

c) Water

```
In [5]: xsa_mod=xsa['water']
        print('Critical Fuel-to-Moderator Ratio: ',FMratio(xsa_mod,xsa['U235'],xsf
```

Critical Fuel-to-Moderator Ratio: 0.0008986050634087967

d) Heavy Water

```
In [6]: xsa_mod=xsa['heavy water']
        print('Critical Fuel-to-Moderator Ratio: ',FMratio(xsa_mod,xsa['U235'],xsf
```

Critical Fuel-to-Moderator Ratio: 1.6370005370660543e-06

NE250_HW02_mnegus-prob6

October 5, 2017

1 NE 250 – Homework 2

1.1 Problem 6

10/6/2017

a) Like in Problem 5,

$$k_{\infty} = \frac{\int_0^{\infty} \nu \Sigma_f(E) \phi(E) dE}{\int_0^{\infty} \Sigma_a(E) \phi(E) dE}.$$

Again, since we are only considering cross sections averaged over the fast spectrum, we can state that $\Sigma_X(E) = \Sigma_{X,F}$, and then

$$k_{\infty} = \frac{\nu \Sigma_{f,F} \int_0^{\infty} \phi(E) dE}{\Sigma_{a,F} \int_0^{\infty} \phi(E) dE} = \frac{\nu \Sigma_{f,F}}{\Sigma_{a,F}}.$$

Next, we repeat our decomposition of the numerator and denominator. For neutron production, we find

$$\nu \Sigma_f = \sum_i \nu_i n_i \sigma_{f,i}$$

where i represents the i^{th} fuel.

```
In [1]: def production(fuels, nu, n, xsf):  
        p = [nu[i]*n[i]*xsf[i] for i in fuels]  
        return sum(p)
```

For neutron absorption,

$$\Sigma_a = \sum_j n_j \sigma_{a,j}$$

and j represents the j^{th} material in the reactor.

```
In [2]: def absorption(materials, n, xsa):  
        a = [n[j]*xsa[j] for j in materials]  
        return sum(a)
```

We substitute these into our equation for k_{∞} and solve.

```
In [3]: def k_infinity(fuels,materials,nu,n,xsf,xsa):
        k_inf = production(fuels,nu,n,xsf)/absorption(materials,n,xsa)
        return k_inf
```

We are given the material densities in [g/cm³], the volume fractions of the materials, and the microscopic cross sections [1/cm²].

```
In [4]: densities = {'PuO2': 11.0,
                    'UO2': 11.0,
                    'Na': 0.97,
                    'Fe': 7.87
                    }
        vol_fracs = {'PuO2': 0.15*0.30,
                    'UO2': 0.85*0.30,
                    'Na': 0.500,
                    'Fe': 0.200
                    }
        xsf = {'PuO2': 1.95e-24,
               'UO2': 0.05e-24,
               }
        xsa = {'PuO2': 2.4000e-24,
               'UO2': 0.4040e-24,
               'Na': 0.0018e-24,
               'Fe': 0.0087e-24
               }
```

The number densities can be found by using the molar masses of the materials [g/mol] and the formula, $n_j = f_j \frac{\rho_j N_A}{m_j}$.

```
In [5]: m = {'PuO2': 244+15.999*2,
            'UO2': 238.03+15.999*2,
            'Na': 22.990,
            'Fe': 55.845
            }
        n = {material: vol_fracs[material]*densities[material]*6.022e23/m[material]}
```

We are also given ν_{PuO_2} and ν_{UO_2} .

```
In [6]: nu = {'PuO2': 2.98,
              'UO2': 2.47
              }
```

Now we can solve for k_∞

```
In [7]: fuels = ['PuO2', 'UO2']
        materials = ['PuO2', 'UO2', 'Na', 'Fe']
        print('k_infinity =', k_infinity(fuels,materials,nu,n,xsf,xsa))
```

```
k_infinity = 1.3324856823514228
```


b) The masses of the total core and fuel are given by $\sum_k f_k \rho_k$, where k represents the k^{th} material or fuel.

```
In [8]: def mass(components,vol_fracs,densities):
        return sum([vol_fracs[k]*densities[k] for k in components])

In [9]: total_mass = mass(materials,vol_fracs,densities)
        fuel_mass = mass(fuels,vol_fracs,densities)
        frac = fuel_mass/total_mass
        print('The fraction of the core which is fuel is {}%'.format(round(100*frac,2)))
```

The fraction of the core which is fuel is 61.579%

c) If $k = 1$ and the non-leakage probability is 0.90, then we can reexpress our earlier equation as

$$k = 1 = P_{NL} \frac{\nu \Sigma_{f,F} \int_0^\infty \phi(E) dE}{\Sigma_{a,F} \int_0^\infty \phi(E) dE} = P_{NL} \frac{\nu \Sigma_{f,F}}{\Sigma_{a,F}},$$

or

$$P_{NL} \nu \Sigma_{f,F} = \Sigma_{a,F}.$$

When separated into individual materials, this is

$$P_{NL} \sum_i \nu_i n_i \sigma_{f,i} = \sum_j n_j \sigma_{a,j}.$$

or explicitly

$$P_{NL} (\nu_{\text{PuO}_2} n_{\text{PuO}_2} \sigma_{F,\text{PuO}_2} + \nu_{\text{UO}_2} n_{\text{UO}_2} \sigma_{F,\text{UO}_2}) = n_{\text{PuO}_2} \sigma_{a,F,\text{PuO}_2} + n_{\text{UO}_2} \sigma_{a,F,\text{UO}_2} + n_{\text{Fe}} \sigma_{a,F,\text{Fe}} + n_{\text{Na}} \sigma_{a,F,\text{Na}}$$

We recall that $n_{\text{PuO}_2} = f_{\text{f,PuO}_2} (0.3) \frac{\rho_{\text{PuO}_2} N_A}{m_{\text{PuO}_2}}$ and $n_{\text{UO}_2} = (1 - f_{\text{f,PuO}_2}) (0.3) \frac{\rho_{\text{UO}_2} N_A}{m_{\text{UO}_2}}$, while $n_{\text{Na}} = (0.5) \frac{\rho_{\text{Na}} N_A}{m_{\text{Na}}}$ and $n_{\text{Fe}} = (0.2) \frac{\rho_{\text{Fe}} N_A}{m_{\text{Fe}}}$.

Then,

$$P_{NL} \left(\nu_{\text{PuO}_2} f_{\text{f,PuO}_2} (0.3) \frac{\rho_{\text{PuO}_2} N_A}{m_{\text{PuO}_2}} \sigma_{F,\text{PuO}_2} + \nu_{\text{UO}_2} (1 - f_{\text{f,PuO}_2}) (0.3) \frac{\rho_{\text{UO}_2} N_A}{m_{\text{UO}_2}} \sigma_{F,\text{UO}_2} \right) = f_{\text{f,PuO}_2} (0.3) \frac{\rho_{\text{PuO}_2} N_A}{m_{\text{PuO}_2}} \sigma_{a,F,\text{PuO}_2} + (1 - f_{\text{f,PuO}_2}) (0.3) \frac{\rho_{\text{UO}_2} N_A}{m_{\text{UO}_2}} \sigma_{a,F,\text{UO}_2} + n_{\text{Fe}} \sigma_{a,F,\text{Fe}} + n_{\text{Na}} \sigma_{a,F,\text{Na}}$$

which can be expanded to

$$f_{\text{f,PuO}_2} P_{NL} \nu_{\text{PuO}_2} (0.3) \frac{\rho_{\text{PuO}_2}}{m_{\text{PuO}_2}} \sigma_{F,\text{PuO}_2} + P_{NL} \nu_{\text{UO}_2} (0.3) \frac{\rho_{\text{UO}_2}}{m_{\text{UO}_2}} \sigma_{F,\text{UO}_2} - f_{\text{f,PuO}_2} P_{NL} \nu_{\text{UO}_2} (0.3) \frac{\rho_{\text{UO}_2}}{m_{\text{UO}_2}} \sigma_{F,\text{UO}_2} = f_{\text{f,PuO}_2} (0.3) \frac{\rho_{\text{PuO}_2}}{m_{\text{PuO}_2}} \sigma_{a,F,\text{PuO}_2} + (1 - f_{\text{f,PuO}_2}) (0.3) \frac{\rho_{\text{UO}_2}}{m_{\text{UO}_2}} \sigma_{a,F,\text{UO}_2} + n_{\text{Fe}} \sigma_{a,F,\text{Fe}} + n_{\text{Na}} \sigma_{a,F,\text{Na}}$$

and simplified to

$$f_{\text{f,PuO}_2} \left(P_{NL} \nu_{\text{PuO}_2} (0.3) \frac{\rho_{\text{PuO}_2}}{m_{\text{PuO}_2}} \sigma_{F,\text{PuO}_2} - P_{NL} \nu_{\text{UO}_2} (0.3) \frac{\rho_{\text{UO}_2}}{m_{\text{UO}_2}} \sigma_{F,\text{UO}_2} - (0.3) \frac{\rho_{\text{PuO}_2}}{m_{\text{PuO}_2}} \sigma_{a,F,\text{PuO}_2} + (0.3) \frac{\rho_{\text{UO}_2}}{m_{\text{UO}_2}} \sigma_{a,F,\text{UO}_2} \right) = (0.3) \frac{\rho_{\text{UO}_2}}{m_{\text{UO}_2}} \sigma_{a,F,\text{UO}_2} + (0.2) \frac{\rho_{\text{Fe}}}{m_{\text{Fe}}} \sigma_{a,F,\text{Fe}} + (0.5) \frac{\rho_{\text{Na}}}{m_{\text{Na}}} \sigma_{a,F,\text{Na}} - P_{NL} \nu_{\text{UO}_2} (0.3) \frac{\rho_{\text{UO}_2}}{m_{\text{UO}_2}} \sigma_{F,\text{UO}_2}$$

$$f_{\text{f,PuO}_2} = \frac{(0.3) \frac{\rho_{\text{UO}_2}}{m_{\text{UO}_2}} \sigma_{a,F,\text{UO}_2} + (0.2) \frac{\rho_{\text{Fe}}}{m_{\text{Fe}}} \sigma_{a,F,\text{Fe}} + (0.5) \frac{\rho_{\text{Na}}}{m_{\text{Na}}} \sigma_{a,F,\text{Na}} - P_{NL} \nu_{\text{UO}_2} (0.3) \frac{\rho_{\text{UO}_2}}{m_{\text{UO}_2}} \sigma_{F,\text{UO}_2}}{0.3 \left(P_{NL} \nu_{\text{PuO}_2} \frac{\rho_{\text{PuO}_2}}{m_{\text{PuO}_2}} \sigma_{F,\text{PuO}_2} - P_{NL} \nu_{\text{UO}_2} \frac{\rho_{\text{UO}_2}}{m_{\text{UO}_2}} \sigma_{F,\text{UO}_2} - \frac{\rho_{\text{PuO}_2}}{m_{\text{PuO}_2}} \sigma_{a,F,\text{PuO}_2} + \frac{\rho_{\text{UO}_2}}{m_{\text{UO}_2}} \sigma_{a,F,\text{UO}_2} \right)}$$

```

In [10]: P_NL = 0.90
          numerator = 0.3*xsa['UO2']*densities['UO2']/m['UO2'] + 0.2*xsa['Fe']*densi
          denominator = 0.3*(P_NL*nu['PuO2']*xsf['PuO2']*densities['PuO2']/m['PuO2'])
          f = numerator/denominator
          print('The reactor will be critical if {}% of the fuel volume is PuO2 ({}%

```

The reactor will be critical if 10.322% of the fuel volume is PuO2 (3.097% of the c