

A model of Sorting between Workers and Firms (now in Space!)

Mitchell Valdes-Bobes

Model

- ▶ I adopt the framework from (Lise and Robin 2017).
- ▶ Introducing a fixed amount of locations and population distribution of workers should be an equilibrium outcome.
- ▶ Workers can “partially” direct their search to each location.
- ▶ Productivity depends on the quality of match and aggregate state as in the original paper. I want to introduce a third component which is location productivity.
 - ▶ Which in turn depends on the skill distribution of workers in each location.

Model Setup

Demographics

- ▶ There is a discrete and finite set of locations $\mathcal{J} = \{1, \dots, |\mathcal{J}|\}$ locations indexed by $j \in \mathcal{J}$.
- ▶ Continuum of workers indexed by their ability $x \in \mathcal{X}$.
 - ▶ The total measure is normalized to 1.
 - ▶ Exogenous distribution $\ell(x)$
 - ▶ Endogenous distribution in each location $\ell^j(x)$
 - ▶ Denote μ_j the total population in location j .

Demographics

- ▶ Continuum of firms indexed by technology $y \in \mathcal{Y}$.
 - ▶ Total measure is normalized to 1.
 - ▶ Uniformly distributed.

Technology

- ▶ There is an exogenous cost of posting v job opportunities in location j is

$$c_j(v) \geq 0$$

assume it is increasing, convex, and independent of the firm

Job Search

Timing of the model

The timing is as follows:

1. At time (t), distributions of employed and unemployed workers are inherited from $t - 1$.
 - ▶ $u_t^j(x)$ is the measure of type- x Unemployed workers at the location j .
 - ▶ $h_t^j(x, y)$ is the measure of type- x workers employed at the firm y at the location j .
 - ▶ Note that on each location:

$$u_t^j(x) + \int h_t^j(x, y) dy = \ell^j(x)$$

Timing of the model

2. Aggregate state changes $z_{t-1} \rightarrow z_t$.
3. At time ($t+$) Separations occur:
 - ▶ $u_{t+}^j(x)$ is the measure of the type x Unemployed workers in the location j after the shock.
 - ▶ $h_{t+}^j(x, y)$ is the measure of type x workers that remain

Dynamic Programming

- ▶ $U_t^j(x)$ the value for an unemployed worker of type x at time t at location j .
- ▶ The value of getting an offer depends on whether the worker is employed or not:
 - ▶ $W_{0,t}^{j' \rightarrow j}(x, y)$ is the value of a type- x unemployed worker at location j' who is hired by a firm of type y at a location j .
 - ▶ $W_{1,t}^{j' \rightarrow j}(x, y, y')$ is the value offered at the time t by type y firm at location j to a type x worker employment at a type y' firm in location j' .
- ▶ $J_t^j(x, y)$ is the value of a match between a type x worker and a type y firm at time t in location j .

Unemployed Worker

Unemployed worker's value function is:

$$U_t^j(x) = b(x, j, z_t) + \beta \max_{\phi_u^j(x)} \left\{ -c(\phi_u^j(x)) + \right.$$

$$\mathbb{E}_t \sum \phi_u^j(x, j') \left[(1 - p_{t+1}^{j'}) U_{t+1}^j(x) \right.$$

Labor Market Flows

Now we characterize the flows of workers in-to and out-of unemployment at each location:

► Let

$$\eta^{j' \rightarrow j}(x, y) = \mathbb{1}_{\{S_t^{j' \rightarrow j}(x, y) > 0\}}$$

and

$$\eta^{j' \rightarrow j}(x, y' \rightarrow y) = \mathbb{1}_{\{S_t^{j' \rightarrow j}(x, y) > S_t^{j' \rightarrow j'}(x, y')\}}$$

Labor Market Flows

► The law of motion of the unemployment rate is:

$$u_{t+1}^j(x) = \sum_{j' \in \mathcal{J}} \underbrace{\phi_u^{j'}(x, j) u_{t+}^{j'}(x) \left(1 - \int \eta^{j' \rightarrow j}(x, y) p^j \frac{v^j(y)}{V^j} dy \right)}_{\text{mass of incoming unemployed workers that are not hired by any firm}} \quad (8)$$

► For ease of exposition we write the mass of employed workers as the sum of the following three terms:

Endogenizing Location Productivity

- ▶ This section focuses on the endogenous determination of location productivity.
- ▶ The key idea is that the productivity of a location depends on the skill distribution of workers in that location.
- ▶ (Davis and Dingel 2019) in assuming that the productivity of workers in a location j is a result of idea exchange process within each location.

Worker Productivity

- ▶ Assume that a type x worker in location j has a $\Omega(x, \bar{X}^j)$ where \bar{X}^j is the value of idea exchange in location j . Thus:

$$f(x, y, j, z_t) = f\left(\Omega(x, \bar{X}^j), y, z_t\right)$$

- ▶ Assume that the value of idea exchange in location j is a function of the distribution of skills in location j :

$$\bar{X}^j = \bar{X}(\{\ell^j(x)\})$$

References

- Behrens, Kristian, Gilles Duranton, and Frédéric Robert-Nicoud. 2014. "Productive Cities: Sorting, Selection, and Agglomeration." *Journal of Political Economy* 122 (3): 507–53. <https://doi.org/10.1086/675534>.
- Davis, Donald R., and Jonathan I. Dingel. 2019. "A Spatial Knowledge Economy." *American Economic Review* 109 (1): 153–70. <https://doi.org/10.1257/aer.20130249>.
- Lise, Jeremy, and Jean-Marc Robin. 2017. "The Macrodynamics of Sorting Between Workers and Firms." *American Economic Review* 107 (4): 1104–35. <https://doi.org/10.1257/aer.20131118>.
- Postel-Vinay, Fabien, and Jean-Marc Robin. 2002. "Equilibrium Wage Dispersion with Worker and Employer Heterogeneity." *Econometrica* 70 (6): 2295–2350. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-0262.2002.00441.x>.