

Linear Algebra II

Felix Chen

Contents

0.1 Bonus section	2
1 Inner product spaces	2
1.1 Inner product	2
1.2 Orthogonality	5

Theorem 0.0.1 (Jordan decomposition)

Let $T \in L(V)$, $n = \dim V$, and F is perfect. There exists unique $S, N \in L(V)$ s.t. $T = S + N$, where S semisimple and N nilpotent, and $SN = NS$.

Moreover there exists $f, g \in F[x]$ s.t. $S = f(T)$, $N = g(T)$.

To prove this generalized version, we need the following observation:

Proposition 0.0.2

Let F be a perfect field, $A \in F^{n \times n}$ is semisimple iff A is diagonalizable in $\overline{F}^{n \times n}$.

Proof. A semisimple $\iff p_A$ has no multiple factors in $F[x]$

$\iff p_A$ has no multiple roots in $\overline{F}[x]$

$\iff p_A$ is the product of different monic polynomials of degree 1

$\iff A$ is diagonalizable in $\overline{F}^{n \times n}$. □

Proposition 0.0.3

Let F be a perfect field, $a \in \overline{F}$. Then $a \notin F \iff$ exists an automorphism σ s.t. $\sigma|_F = \text{id}_F$, i.e. $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\overline{F}/F)$ but $\sigma(a) \neq a$.

Remark 0.0.4 — This proof is beyond the scope of this class, but the idea is similar to the conjugate operation on \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R} .

Now we prove the Jordan decomposition:

Proof. Let $A = S + N$ is the Jordan decomposition on $\overline{F}^{n \times n}$. Then by applying σ on this equation,

$$A = \sigma(S) + \sigma(N)$$

holds for all $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\overline{F}/F)$. Since $\sigma(S)$ is also diagonalizable, $\sigma(N)$ is nilpotent, as σ is an automorphism. So by the uniqueness of Jordan decomposition, $\sigma(S) = S, \sigma(N) = N$.

This implies $S, N \in F^{n \times n}$. □

§0.1 Bonus section

Starting from Galois groups mentioned above, let

$$\text{Aut}(E/F) := \{\sigma \in \text{Aut}(E) \mid \sigma|_F = \text{id}_F\}$$

be the automorphism group of field extension E/F .

Example 0.1.1

Let $F = \mathbb{Q}$, $E = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$, then $\sigma : a + b\sqrt{2} \mapsto a - b\sqrt{2}$ is in $\text{Aut}(E/F)$.

If $E = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{2})$, if $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(E/F)$, then $\sigma(\sqrt[3]{2})$ is a root of $x^3 - 2 \implies \sigma = \text{id}$. Thus E/F is not a *Galois extension*.

When E/F is a Galois extension, we write $\text{Gal}(E/F) = \text{Aut}(E/F)$.

In the history, this concept is used to solve polynomial equations.

Let $f \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$, let x_1, \dots, x_n be all roots of f . Consider $E = \mathbb{Q}(x_1, \dots, x_n)$, and define $\text{Gal}(f) = \text{Gal}(E/\mathbb{Q})$. Back in the times of Galois, the concept of field haven't been developed yet, so what he did is to consider the bijections between the roots of f .

Galois discovered that f has radical solutions if and only if the group $\text{Gal}(f)$ has a property, and he named it "solvable". Since all the subgroups of S_4 are solvable, thus if $\deg f \leq 4$, f always has radical solutions, but $A_5 < S_5$ is not solvable, so polynomials of degree greater than 4 may not have radical solutions.

One of the ultimate goal of modern algebra is to comprehend the group $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$.

A tool developed for this goal is *group representation*. A representation of a group G is a homomorphism $\varphi : G \rightarrow \text{GL}(V)$. Since $\text{GL}(V)$ is something people knows very well, so when the elements of an abstract group G is viewed as linear maps, it's easier to discover more properties of G .

When $G = \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$, the representation is called a *Galois representation*. Even one dimensional Galois representations are very nontrivial.

Midterm exam QAQ

§1 Inner product spaces

In this section we always assume the base field to be \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C} .

§1.1 Inner product

Definition 1.1.1 (Inner product). Let V be a vector space, an **inner product** on V is a function $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : V \times V \rightarrow F$, $(\alpha, \beta) \mapsto \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle$ such that:

- $\langle \alpha + \beta, \gamma \rangle = \langle \alpha, \gamma \rangle + \langle \beta, \gamma \rangle$, $\langle c\alpha, \beta \rangle = c \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle$, i.e. the linearity of the first entry.
- $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle = \overline{\langle \beta, \alpha \rangle}$. This implies the *conjugate linearity* of the second entry.
- $\alpha \neq 0 \implies \langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle > 0$.

Remark 1.1.2 — The reason why we require the conjugate property is that we want to make the inner product positive definite: otherwise $\langle i\alpha, i\alpha \rangle = i^2 \langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle$.

The finite dimensional real inner product space is called **Euclid space**, and finite dimensional complex inner product space is called **unitary space**.

In fact the definition of inner space is related to the order in real numbers, so this is not a pure algebraic structure.

Example 1.1.3

Let $V = F^{n \times 1}$. Let $\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix}, \beta = \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ \vdots \\ y_n \end{pmatrix}$, define $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle = \sum_{j=1}^n x_j \overline{y_j} = \alpha^t \overline{\beta}$ to be the **standard inner product**.

Denote $\beta^* = \overline{\beta^t}$, then $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle = \beta^* \alpha$.

Similarly when $V = F^{m \times n}$, $\langle A, B \rangle = \sum_{j,k} A_{jk} \overline{B_{jk}} = \text{tr}(B^* A) = \text{tr}(AB^*)$.

Definition 1.1.4 (Hermite matrices). Let $A \in F^{n \times n}$, we say A is **Hermite** if $A^* = A$, and **anti-Hermite** if $A^* = -A$.

When $F = \mathbb{R}$, Hermite matrices are symmetrical matrices.

If we also have $\forall X \in F^{n \times 1} \setminus \{0\}, X^* A X > 0$, then we say A is **positive definite**.

Example 1.1.5

For all $Q \in \text{GL}_n(F)$, $A = Q^* Q$ is positive definite.

Proposition 1.1.6

Let V be an n dimensional vector space, let $\mathcal{B} = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$ be a basis. For $\alpha, \beta \in V$, let $X = [\alpha]_{\mathcal{B}}, Y = [\beta]_{\mathcal{B}}$.

- If $A \in F^{n \times n}$ is positive definite, then

$$\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle = Y^* A X = \sum_{j,k=1}^n A_{kj} x_j \overline{y_k}$$

is an inner product.

- For any inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$, there exists a unique positive definite matrix A such that the above relations holds.

Proof. It's clear that $Y^* A X$ is an inner product. (just check the definition)

For the latter part, let $A_{kj} = \langle \alpha_j, \alpha_k \rangle$, so A must be unique. By the conjugate linearity of inner product, so A constructed above indeed satisfies desired condition:

$$\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle = \left\langle \sum_{j=1}^n x_j \alpha_j, \sum_{k=1}^n y_k \alpha_k \right\rangle = \sum_{j,k=1}^n x_j \overline{y_k} \langle \alpha_j, \alpha_k \rangle$$

□

Let $T : V \rightarrow W$ be an injective linear map, and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_0$ is an inner product on W . Then T induces an inner product on V :

$$\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle = \langle T\alpha, T\beta \rangle_0, \quad \alpha, \beta \in V.$$

Since T injective, so T actually realizes V as a subspace of W , this inner product is just the original one restricted on the subspace.

Example 1.1.7

Let $V = W = F^{n \times 1}$, $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_0$ is the standard inner product, $Q \in \text{GL}_n(F)$. Then

$$\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle = \langle Q\alpha, Q\beta \rangle_0 = \beta^*(Q^*Q)\alpha.$$

With an inner product, we can assign a “length” to each vector: $\|\alpha\| = \sqrt{\langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle}$. It’s clear that:

$$\|c\alpha\| = |c|\|\alpha\|, \quad \|\alpha\| > 0, \forall \alpha \neq 0.$$

Proposition 1.1.8 (Polarization identity)

When $F = \mathbb{R}$,

$$\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle = \frac{1}{4} (\|\alpha + \beta\|^2 - \|\alpha - \beta\|^2).$$

When $F = \mathbb{C}$,

$$\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{k=1}^4 i^k \|\alpha + i^k \beta\|^2.$$

Remark 1.1.9 — This means, *inner product is totally determined by length function.*

Proposition 1.1.10 (Cauchy-Schwarz inequality)

$$|\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle| \leq \|\alpha\| \|\beta\|.$$

The equality holds iff α, β linearly dependent.

Proof. WLOG $\alpha, \beta \neq 0$. Let $\gamma = \beta - \frac{\langle \beta, \alpha \rangle}{\|\alpha\|^2} \alpha$ be the orthogonal projection of β on α^\perp .

We can check that $\langle \alpha, \gamma \rangle = 0$, so

$$0 \leq \|\gamma\|^2 = \langle \gamma, \gamma \rangle = \|\beta\|^2 - \frac{\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle^2}{\|\alpha\|^2},$$

which gives the desired inequality, equality iff $\gamma = 0$ iff α, β linearly dependent. \square

Proposition 1.1.11 (Triangle inequality)

$$\|\alpha + \beta\| \leq \|\alpha\| + \|\beta\|.$$

Proof. Square both sides and use Cauchy-Schwarz. □

This means our “length” function is in fact a **norm**.

§1.2 Orthogonality

Definition 1.2.1 (Orthogonality). Let $\alpha, \beta \in V$, we say $\alpha \perp \beta$ if $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle = 0$.

We can introduce “angles” as well:

Definition 1.2.2 (Angles). When $F = \mathbb{R}$, for $\alpha, \beta \in V \setminus \{0\}$, define

$$\angle(\alpha, \beta) = \arccos \frac{\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle}{\|\alpha\| \|\beta\|} \in [0, \pi].$$

We can see that $\alpha \perp \beta \iff \angle(\alpha, \beta) = \frac{\pi}{2}$.

When $F = \mathbb{C}$, the angle above can be complex, which doesn’t make sense, so we won’t talk about the angle in \mathbb{C} .

Definition 1.2.3 (Orthonormal basis). Let V be an inner product space, let $S \subset V$ be a subset,

- If the vectors in S are pairwise orthogonal, we say S is an **orthogonal set**. Furthermore, if $\|\alpha\| = 1$ for all $\alpha \in S$, we say S is **orthonormal**.
- If S is a basis as well, then S is called an **orthogonal basis** or **orthonormal basis**, respectively.

Note that an orthogonal set can contain the zero vector.

Proposition 1.2.4

If S is an orthogonal set, and $0 \notin S$, then S is linearly independent.

Proof. Let $S = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$, if

$$\sum_{j=1}^n c_j \alpha_j = 0,$$

take the inner product with α_j for $j = 1, \dots, n$ we get $c_j = 0, \forall j$. □

Proposition 1.2.5

If $S = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m\}$ is an orthogonal set, then:

$$\left\| \sum_{j=1}^m \alpha_j \right\|^2 = \sum_{j=1}^m \|\alpha_j\|^2, \quad \left\langle \sum_{j=1}^m x_j \alpha_j, \sum_{j=1}^m y_j \alpha_j \right\rangle = \sum_{j=1}^m x_j \overline{y_j} \|\alpha_j\|^2.$$

Now we will prove the existence of orthogonal basis, We’ll start from a basis $\{\beta_1, \beta_n\}$ to construct an orthogonal basis, and this process is called *Schmidt orthogonalization*.

Theorem 1.2.6 (Schmidt orthogonalization)

Let V be an n -dimensional inner product space, $\{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n\}$ is a basis of V . Then there exists a unique orthogonal basis $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$, such that

$$(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n) = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)N,$$

where N is an upper triangular matrix with diagonal entries equal to 1.

Proof. The idea is to “project” β_j to the subspace spanned by $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{j-1}$, and let α_j be the orthogonal part.

By induction, let $\beta_1 = \alpha_1$.

$$\alpha_j = \beta_j - \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} \frac{\langle \beta_j, \alpha_k \rangle}{\|\alpha_k\|^2} \alpha_k.$$

It's obvious that $\alpha_j \perp \alpha_k, \forall k = 1, \dots, j-1$, and $\text{span}\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_j\} = \text{span}\{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_j\}$.

Thus $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$ is the desired orthogonal basis.

As for the uniqueness, actually α_j can be solved from β_j 's: clearly $\alpha_1 = \beta_1$, and

$$\langle \beta_j, \alpha_k \rangle = N_{jk} \langle \alpha_k, \alpha_k \rangle \implies N_{jk} = \frac{\langle \beta_j, \alpha_k \rangle}{\|\alpha_k\|^2} \implies \alpha_j = \beta_j - \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} \frac{\langle \beta_j, \alpha_k \rangle}{\|\alpha_k\|^2} \alpha_k.$$

So α_j is uniquely determined by β_j 's. □

Remark 1.2.7 — The above orthogonal basis can be converted to an orthonormal basis $\{\alpha'_1, \dots, \alpha'_n\}$ s.t. N' is an upper triangular matrix with positive diagonal entries.

Corollary 1.2.8

Let $S \subset V \setminus \{0\}$ be orthogonal(-normal), then S can be extended to an orthogonal(-normal) basis.

Proposition 1.2.9

Let $S = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m\} \subset V \setminus \{0\}$ be an orthogonal set, then for all $\beta \in \text{span } S$ we have:

$$\beta = \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{\langle \beta, \alpha_k \rangle}{\|\alpha_k\|^2} \alpha_k.$$

Proposition 1.2.10 (Bessel's inequality)

Conditions as above, then $\forall \beta \in V$,

$$\sum_{k=1}^m \frac{|\langle \beta, \alpha_k \rangle|^2}{\|\alpha_k\|^2} \leq \|\beta\|^2.$$

Equality iff $\beta \in \text{span } S$.

Proof. Complete S to an orthogonal basis, by previous propositions, the rest is trivial. \square

Let $S \subset V$, define $S^\perp := \{\alpha \in V \mid \alpha \perp \beta, \forall \beta \in S\}$, S^\perp is a vector space and $S^\perp = \text{span}(S)^\perp$.

Proposition 1.2.11

Let V be a finite dimensional inner product space, $W \subset V$ is a subspace, we have $\dim W + \dim W^\perp = \dim V$.

Proof. Take an orthogonal basis B_1 of W , and complete it to an orthogonal basis B of V , then we claim that $B_2 := B \setminus B_1$ is a basis of W^\perp . Hence the conclusion follows. \square

This means we always have $W \oplus W^\perp = V$.

The orthogonal completion is similar to the annihilator we studied last semester, in fact, when we view $\langle \cdot, \beta \rangle$ as a function $f_\beta \in V^*$, $f_\beta \in S^0 \iff \beta \in S^\perp$. (Note that the inner product is linear with respect to only the first entry)

This process induces a map $\phi : V \rightarrow V^*$ by $\beta \mapsto f_\beta$. It's clear that ϕ is conjugate-linear. So ϕ is a linear map between *real* vector space $V \rightarrow V^*$, i.e. $\phi \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{R}}(V, V^*)$. thus $\ker \phi = \{0\}$ implies ϕ is an isomorphism on \mathbb{R} , so ϕ is a bijection, $\phi(S^\perp) = S^0$.

For $E \subset V^*$, then $E^0 \subset V$, this corresponds to $\phi(S)^0 = S^\perp$. Indeed, $\alpha \in \phi(S)^0 \iff \forall \beta \in S, \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle = 0 \iff \alpha \in S^\perp$. Hence

$$\dim_{\mathbb{C}} W^\perp = 2 \dim_{\mathbb{R}} \phi(W^\perp) = 2 \dim_{\mathbb{R}} W^0 = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} W^0.$$

The above proposition can be derived directly by $\dim W + \dim W^0 = \dim V$.

We can also get $W = (W^0)^0 = \phi(W^\perp)^0 = (W^\perp)^\perp$.

Definition 1.2.12 (Orthogonal projection). Since $V = W \oplus W^\perp$, for all $\alpha \in V$, there exists unique $\beta \in W, \gamma \in W^\perp$ s.t. $\alpha = \beta + \gamma$. Let $p_W : V \rightarrow W$ be the map $\alpha \mapsto \beta$, this is called the **orthogonal projection** from V to W .

Let $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m\}$ be an orthonormal basis of W , then $p_W(\beta) = \sum_{j=1}^m \langle \beta, \alpha_j \rangle \alpha_j$. So p_W is a linear map. Moreover $p_W + p_{W^\perp} = \text{id}_V$, $p_W^2 = p_W$. By our geometry intuition, $p_W \beta = \arg \min_{\alpha} \|\alpha - \beta\|$, this fact is useful in functional analysis.

Recall that for $T \in L(V)$, $T^t \in L(V^*)$, then what's the map $\phi^{-1} \circ T^t \circ \phi$? Unluckily it's not T , but another map denoted by T^* , the **adjoint map** of T . Keep in mind that T^* depends on the inner product.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V^* & \xrightarrow{T^t} & V^* \\ \phi \uparrow & & \phi \uparrow \\ V & \xrightarrow{T^*} & V \end{array}$$

Since $T^t \circ \phi = \phi \circ T^*$ $\iff \langle T\alpha, \beta \rangle = \langle \alpha, T^*\beta \rangle, \forall \alpha, \beta \in V$, so T^* can be described as the map satisfying this relation.

Proposition 1.2.13

When \mathcal{B} is an orthonormal basis, we have $[T^*]_{\mathcal{B}} = [T]_{\mathcal{B}}^*$.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{B} = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$, then $\phi(\mathcal{B})$ is the dual basis of \mathcal{B} . i.e. $\phi(\alpha_j)(\alpha_k) = \delta_{jk}$.

Hence $[T^t]_{\phi(\mathcal{B})} = [T]_{\mathcal{B}}^t$. Let $[T^*]_{\mathcal{B}} = A$, then

$$T^* \alpha_k = \sum_{j=1}^n A_{jk} \alpha_j \implies \phi(T^* \alpha_k) = \sum_{j=1}^n \overline{A_{jk}} \phi(\alpha_j).$$

So $[T^t]_{\phi(\mathcal{B})} = \overline{A}$, which completes the proof. □