# Mathematical Analysis II

Felix Chen

# **Contents**

0.1	Implicit function theorem															2	2
0.2	Submanifolds in Euclid space															Ę	ó

### Example 0.0.1

Consider the spherical coordinates  $x = r \sin \theta \sin \varphi$ ,  $y = r \sin \theta \cos \varphi$ ,  $z = r \cos \theta$ . Let  $F: (r, \theta, \varphi) \mapsto (x, y, z)$ .

$$J_F = \begin{pmatrix} \sin \theta \sin \varphi & r \cos \theta \sin \varphi & r \sin \theta \cos \varphi \\ \sin \theta \cos \varphi & r \cos \theta \cos \varphi & -r \sin \theta \sin \varphi \\ \cos \theta & -\sin \theta & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

So  $det(J_F) = r^2 \sin \theta$ . Thus

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f(x, y, z) \, \mathrm{d}x \, \mathrm{d}y \, \mathrm{d}z = \int_{(0, 2\pi)^2} \int_0^{+\infty} f(r, \theta, \phi) r^2 \sin \theta \, \mathrm{d}r \, \mathrm{d}\theta \, \mathrm{d}\varphi.$$

# Theorem 0.0.2 (Clairaut-Schwarz)

Given an open set  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $f: \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ . Assume  $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(x), \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j}(x), \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j})(x)$  exists and are continuous, then  $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i})(x)$  exists and

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j} \right) (x) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j} \right) (x).$$

*Proof.* WLOG n = 2. We'll just expand and compute:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial y} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(x_0, y_0) = \lim_{s \to 0} \frac{1}{s} \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(x_0, y_0 + s) - \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(x_0, y_0) \right) 
= \lim_{s \to 0} \frac{1}{s} \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{1}{t} (f(x_0 + t, y_0 + s) - f(x_0, y_0 + s) - f(x_0 + t, y_0) + f(x_0, y_0)).$$

Since

$$(f(x_0+t,y_0+s)-f(x_0,y_0+s)-f(x_0+t,y_0)+f(x_0,y_0)) = \int_0^s \int_0^t \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(x_0+\tilde{t},y_0+\tilde{s}) d\tilde{t} d\tilde{s}.$$

So by Fubini's theorem,

Notation: Let  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$  be a multiple index, where  $\alpha_i \geq 0$  are integers. define

$$\partial^{\alpha} f = \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1}\right)^{\alpha_1} \cdots \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_n}\right)^{\alpha_n} f.$$

or we can write

$$\partial^{\alpha} = \frac{\partial^{|\alpha|}}{\partial x_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots \partial x_n^{\alpha_n}}, \quad |\alpha| = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i.$$

## **Theorem 0.0.3** (Multi-dimensional Taylor expansion)

Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be a convex open set. Let  $f \in C^{k+1}(\Omega)$ , for all  $x, y \in \Omega$ , then  $\exists \theta \in (0,1]$  s.t.

$$f(y) = \sum_{|\alpha| \le k} \frac{\partial^{\alpha} f(x)}{\alpha!} (y - x)^{\alpha} + \sum_{|\alpha| = k+1} \frac{\partial^{\alpha} f(x + \theta(y - x))}{\alpha!} (y - x)^{\alpha}.$$

where  $(y-x)^{\alpha} = \prod_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - y_i)^{\alpha_i}$ ,  $\alpha! = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i!$ .

*Proof.* Let g(t) = f(ty + (1-t)x),  $g \in C^{k+1}((-1,1))$ . By Taylor expansion, there exists  $\theta \in [0,1]$ ,

$$g(1) = \sum_{l=0}^{k} \frac{g^{(l)}(0)}{l!} + \frac{g^{(k+1)}(\theta)}{(k+1)!}.$$

so it's just a differential formula of composite function, which can be easily proved by induction, and I don't bother to write it down.  $\Box$ 

# §0.1 Implicit function theorem

As usual let  $C^k(\Omega)$  denote the k times continuously differentiable functions on  $\Omega$ .

**Definition 0.1.1** (Differential homeomorphisms). Let  $U, V \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ , if there exists a bijection  $f: U \to V$ , such that  $f, f^{-1}$  are smooth, then we say U and V are **smoothly homeomorphic**. Denoted by  $C^{\infty}(U, V)$  the smooth homeomorphisms from U to V.

#### Example 0.1.2

Let  $f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  by  $x \mapsto x^3$ , then f is a smooth bijection, but  $f^{-1}$  is not differentiable at 0.

Recall that in  $\mathbb{R}$  we have the following results:

- If f is strictly increasing and continuous, then  $f^{-1}$  is continuous.
- If f is strictly increasing and  $C^1$ ,  $f' \neq 0$ , then  $f^{-1} \in C^1$ .

### Theorem 0.1.3

Let  $\Phi$  be an differential homeomorphism  $U \to V$ ,  $f \in C^k(V)$ . Then  $f \circ \Phi =: \Phi^* f \in C^k(\Omega)$ , this is called the **pullback** of f by  $\Phi$ .

*Proof.* We proceed by induction on k. When k = 0, this is just the continuity of composite functions.

Assume k = n holds, then for k = n + 1,

$$\frac{\partial \Phi^* f}{\partial x_j} = \frac{\partial f(\Phi(x))}{\partial x_j} = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial f}{\partial y_i} (\Phi(x)) \cdot \frac{\partial \Phi_i(x)}{\partial x_j}.$$

Since 
$$f \in C^{n+1} \implies \frac{\partial f}{\partial y_i} \in C^n$$
, and  $\frac{\partial \Phi_i}{\partial x_j}$  is smooth, so  $\frac{\partial \Phi^* f}{\partial x_j} \in C^n$ .

Note that the condition  $f' \neq 0$  grants that f is indeed a bijection locally. In higher dimensional spaces, the derivatives are more complex, so let's look at some simple cases first.

#### Lemma 0.1.4

Let  $U, V \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  be open regions. Let  $f: U \to V$  be a  $C^1$  bijection, and J(f) is non-degenerate (i.e. det  $J(f) \neq 0$ ). Then  $f^{-1}: V \to U$  is continuously differentiable.

*Proof.* Let  $x_0 \in U$ ,  $y_0 = f(x_0) = V$ ,

$$f(x_0 + v) = y_0 + A \cdot v + o(|v|).$$

Let  $E(\delta)$  be a function which satisfies

$$f^{-1}(y_0 + \delta) = x_0 + A^{-1}\delta + E(\delta).$$

this can be derived from taking  $f^{-1}$  on both sides of the above equation.

$$y_0 + \delta = f(x_0 + A^{-1}\delta + E(\delta)) = y_0 + \delta + AE(\delta) + o(|A^{-1}\delta + E(\delta)|)$$
  
 $\implies AE(\delta) + o(A^{-1}\delta + E(\delta)) = 0.$ 

From this we can calculate

$$\begin{split} \frac{|E(\delta)|}{|\delta|} &= \frac{|o(A^{-2}\delta + A^{-1}E(\delta))|}{|\delta|} \leq \frac{|o(A^{-2}\delta + A^{-1}E(\delta))|}{|A^{-2}\delta + A^{-1}E(\delta)|} \cdot \frac{|A^{-2}\delta + A^{-1}E(\delta)|}{|\delta|} \\ &\leq o(1) \left(C + C\frac{|E(\delta)|}{|\delta|}\right). \end{split}$$

Hence  $\lim_{|\delta| \to 0} \frac{|E(\delta)|}{|\delta|} = 0$ .

In this case we are given  $f^{-1}$  exists, but generally we need to prove this existence.

# Theorem 0.1.5 (Inverse function theorem)

Let  $f: \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^d$  be a  $C^1$  map, and  $df(x_0)$  is non-degenerate, then f is a  $C^1$  differential homeomorphism in some neighborhood of  $x_0$ .

This is to say,  $\exists U \ni x_0, V \ni f(x_0)$  s.t. f is a bijection from U to V and  $f^{-1}: V \to U$  is a  $C^1$  map.

*Proof.* WLOG  $x_0 = 0$ ,  $f(x_0) = 0$ , also we can apply a linear transformation such that  $df(x_0) = I$ . There exists  $\delta > 0$ , s.t.

$$|f(v) - v| < \varepsilon_0 |v|, \quad ||J(f)(v) - I|| < \varepsilon_0, \quad \forall |v| < \delta.$$

note that

$$f(v) - f(u) = \int_0^1 \frac{d}{dt} f(tv + (1-t)u) dt = \int_0^1 df(tv + (1-t)u) \cdot (v-u) dt$$
$$= \int_0^1 (Jf(tv + (1-t)u) - I) \cdot (v-u) dt + (u-v).$$

but when  $|u|, |v| < \delta, |f(v) - f(u) - (v - u)| < \varepsilon_0 |v - u|$ .

Hence  $f(u) = f(v) \implies u = v$ , f is injective in  $B_{\delta}(0)$ .

As for surjectivity, it's sufficient to prove  $f(\overline{B_{\delta}(0)})$  contains a neighborhood of f(0) = 0. i.e.  $\forall |v| < \delta_1, \exists |u| < \delta \text{ s.t. } f(u) = u + o(u) = v.$ 

Since we don't know the non-linear term o(u), we'll iterate to get a solution u: let  $u_0 = v$ . Define  $u_{k+1} = v - (f(u_k) - u_k)$ . When  $\delta_1$  is sufficiently small,

$$|u_{k+1}| \le |v| + |f(u_k) - u_k| \le |v| + \varepsilon_0 |u_k| \le \delta_1 + \varepsilon_0 \delta \le \delta.$$

Now we prove the convergency:

$$|u_{k+2} - u_{k+1}| = |f(u_{k+1}) - u_{k+1} - f(u_k) + u_k|$$

$$= |\int_0^1 (J(f)(tu_{k+1} + (1-t)u_k) - I) dt(u_{k+1} - u_k)|$$

$$\leq \varepsilon_0 |u_{k+1} - u_k|.$$

by contraction mapping principle we're done.

**Remark 0.1.6** — This theorem holds for any Banach space.

#### Corollary 0.1.7

Let  $k \geq 2$  be an integer, when  $f \in C^k$  in the above theorem, we can imply that  $f^{-1} \in C^k(V)$ .

Proof. Since

$$df^{-1}(u) = (df)^{-1}(f^{-1}(u)),$$
 so  $df \in C^{k-1} \implies (df)^{-1} \in C^{k-1}$ .

# **Theorem 0.1.8** (Implicit function theorem)

Let  $f: \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^p \to \mathbb{R}^p$  be a continuously differentiable function. If  $\exists (x^*, y^*) \in \Omega$  s.t.  $f(x^*, y^*) = 0$ , and  $d_y f(x^*, y^*)$  is inversible, then there exists an open neighborhood  $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  of  $x^*$ ,  $V \subset \mathbb{R}^p$  of  $y^*$ , and a  $C^1$  map  $\phi: U \to V$  such that:

$$f(x, \phi(x)) = 0$$
,  $d\phi(x) = -(d_y f(x, \phi(x)))^{-1} \cdot d_x f(x, \phi(x))$ .

Also if  $x \in U$  and f(x, y) = 0, we must have  $y = \phi(x)$ .

**Remark 0.1.9** — This is to say, if f(x,y) = 0,  $x \in U, y \in V$ , then  $y = \phi(x)$ . Also remember that  $d_y f$  is a  $p \times p$  matrix,  $d_x f$  is a  $p \times n$  matrix.

*Proof.* By the inverse function theorem, let  $F(x,y) := \mathbb{R}^{n+p} \to \mathbb{R}^{n+p}$  with

$$(x,y) \mapsto (x,f(x,y))$$

So  $F(x^*, y^*) = (x^*, 0)$ , and

$$dF(x^*, y^*) = \begin{pmatrix} I_n & 0 \\ d_x f(x^*, y^*) & d_y f(x^*, y^*) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since  $d_y f(x^*, y^*)$  is inversible,  $dF(x^*, y^*)$  is inversible as well. Hence there exists neighborhoods of  $(x^*, y^*)$  and  $(x^*, 0)$ , say  $\widetilde{\Omega}$  and  $\widetilde{\Omega}_1$ , such that F is a  $C^1$  homeomorphism  $\widetilde{\Omega} \to \widetilde{\Omega}_1$ .

We can find  $U \ni x^*, V \ni y^*$  s.t.  $U \times V \subset \widetilde{\Omega}$ . Let T be the  $C^1$  map s.t.

$$F^{-1}(x,z) = (x,T(x,z)).$$

Let  $\phi(x) = T(x,0)$ , we have

$$F(x, \phi(x)) = F(x, T(x, 0)) = (x, 0) \implies f(x, \phi(x)) = 0.$$

Since F is a bijection, clearly  $f(x,y) = 0 \implies y = \phi(x)$ . By taking the differentiation of  $f(x,\phi(x)) = 0$ ,

$$(\mathrm{d}_x f, \mathrm{d}_y f) \cdot \begin{pmatrix} I_n \\ \mathrm{d}\phi(x) \end{pmatrix} = 0 \implies \mathrm{d}_x f(x, \phi(x)) + \mathrm{d}_y f(x, \phi(x)) \cdot \mathrm{d}\phi(x) = 0.$$

§0.2 Submanifolds in Euclid space

The implicit function theorem actually gives an example of manifolds: the preimage of f(x,y) = 0 is an n-dimensional manifold in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+p}$ .

**Definition 0.2.1** (Manifolds). Let  $M \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be a nonempty set. If  $\exists d \geq 0, \forall x \in M$  exists open sets  $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n, V \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ , and a differential homeomorphism  $\Phi: U \to V$ , such that

$$\Phi(U \cap M) = V$$
.

we say M is a d-dimensional differential manifold. Denote dim M=d, and n-d is called the **codimension** of M.

**Remark 0.2.2** — There might be different maps  $\phi_1: U_1 \to V_1, \phi_2: U_2 \to V_2$ , when  $U_1 \cap U_2 \cap M \neq \emptyset$ , we must have  $\phi_2 \circ \phi_1^{-1}$  is a differential map from  $V_1$  to  $V_2$ . In fact when M isn't a subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , this is the original definition of differential manifolds.

#### Corollary 0.2.3 (Regular value theorem)

Let  $f: \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^p$  be a smooth map, where  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is an open set,  $n \geq p$ . For all  $c \in \mathbb{R}^p$ , we call the **fibre** of c to be its preiamge:

$$f^{-1}(c) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid f(x) = c\}.$$

If  $\forall x \in f^{-1}(c)$ , rank df(x) = p, then  $f^{-1}(c)$  is a manifold with **codimension** p.

# Example 0.2.4

Let  $S^{n-1}$  be the unit sphere in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Let  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  with  $x \mapsto |x|^2 - 1$ , then  $S^{n-1} = f^{-1}(0)$ . Since  $\mathrm{d}f = (2x_1, 2x_2, \dots, 2x_n)$ , clearly rank  $\mathrm{d}f = 1$  for all  $x \in S^{n-1}$ , so  $S^{n-1}$  is a manifold with codimension 1.

## Example 0.2.5

Consider a surface in  $\mathbb{R}^4 = \mathbb{C}^2$ :

$$T^2 = \{(z_1, z_2) \mid |z_1| = 1, |z_2| = 1\}.$$

Let 
$$f(x, y, z, w) = x^2 + y^2 - 1$$
,  $g(x, y, z, w) = z^2 + w^2 - 1$ , then  $T^2 = \begin{pmatrix} f \\ g \end{pmatrix}^{-1}$  (0).

The differentiation is

$$d\begin{pmatrix} f \\ g \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 2x & 2y & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2z & 2w \end{pmatrix},$$

so  $T^2$  is a manifold with codimension 2.

**Definition 0.2.6.** Let  $M \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be a manifold. If dim M = 1, we say M is a curve; if dim M = 2, M is a surface; and if dim M = n - 1, we say M is a hyperplane.

#### Lemma 0.2.7

Let  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  is a smooth function, if  $\forall x_0 \in f^{-1}(0)$ ,  $\mathrm{d}f(x_0) \neq 0$ , then  $f^{-1}(0)$  is a smooth hyperplane, it is called the hyperplane globally determined by an equation.

### Example 0.2.8

In  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , f, g are smooth functions. If for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$  with f(x) = g(x) = 0 we have  $\nabla f, \nabla g$  are linearly independent, then  $\{f = g = 0\}$  is a smooth curve.

### **Theorem 0.2.9** (Parametrization of manifolds)

Let  $\Omega$  be an open set in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $f: \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^{n+p}$  is a smooth map. If  $\forall x^* \in \Omega$ , rank  $\mathrm{d}f(x^*) = n$ , then there exists an open set  $U, x^* \in U$  s.t.  $f(U) \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+p}$  is an n-dimensional manifold.

*Proof.* Let  $x_i$  be a coordinate in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+p}$ .

WLOG  $(\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j})_{1 \leq i,j \leq n}$  is non-degenerate, let  $F = (f_1,\ldots,f_n)$ ,  $G = (f_{n+1},\ldots,f_{n+p})$  and apply inverse function theorem on F, there exists open neighborhoods  $U \ni x, V \ni F(x) =: y$ , s.t.  $F: U \to V$  is a smooth homeomorphism.

$$U \subset \Omega \xrightarrow{F} V \subset \mathbb{R}^n$$

$$\downarrow^f \qquad \qquad \qquad \downarrow^{\phi}$$

$$\mathbb{R}^{n+p}$$

So 
$$f(x)=(F(x),G(x))=(y,GF^{-1}(y)).$$
 Let 
$$\phi:V\to\mathbb{R}^n,\quad y\mapsto (y,GF^{-1}(y)).$$

We can see that  $\phi$  is a homeomorphism  $V \to f(U)$ . (Indeed it's a bijection) So by definition we know f(U) is a manifold.

### Example 0.2.10

Let

$$\phi(\theta,r) = \begin{cases} x = \left(1 + r\cos\frac{\theta}{2}\right)\cos\theta \\ y = \left(1 + r\cos\frac{\theta}{2}\right)\sin\theta , & I = [0, 2\pi] \times (-1, 1). \\ z = r\sin\frac{\theta}{2} \end{cases}$$

Then  $M = \phi(I)$  is a Mobius strip, which is a two dimensional smooth manifold in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , as  $d\phi$  has rank 2 everywhere.

Besides, there doesn't exist a function  $f: \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}$  s.t.  $M = f^{-1}(0)$ . Basically this is because M is not orientable, but  $\nabla f$  and  $-\nabla f$  are "normal" directions of M, which makes it orientable. Below we give a sketch:

Proof. Let  $v(\theta) = \cos \frac{\theta}{2} e_2(\theta) - \sin \frac{\theta}{2} e_1(\theta)$ , where  $e_2(\theta) = (0, 0, 1), e_1(\theta) = (\cos \theta, \sin \theta, 0)$ . Note that  $e_1 \perp e_2$ , consider the curve  $\beta : [0, 2\pi] \to \mathbb{R}^3$ 

$$\theta \mapsto (\cos \theta, \sin \theta, 0) + \varepsilon v(\theta).$$

Let  $\varepsilon$  be sufficiently small, when  $\varepsilon \neq 0$  we can check  $\beta$  and M do not intersect. We can take  $\varepsilon$  s.t.  $f(\beta(0)) > 0$  as  $df \neq 0$ . ( $\varepsilon$  can be negative)

Since  $\beta(0) = (1, 0, \varepsilon), \beta(2\pi) = (1, 0, -\varepsilon)$ , when  $f(\beta(0)) > 0$ , we must have  $f(\beta(2\pi)) < 0$ . By continuity,  $\exists \theta_0$  s.t.  $f(\beta(\theta_0)) = 0$ , which means  $\beta(\theta_0) \in M$ , contradiction!