

Mathematical Analysis II

Felix Chen

Contents

1	Introduction	1
1.1	Recap	1
2	Lebesgue integrals	3
2.1	Recap: Definition of Lebesgue integrals	3
2.2	Dominated convergence theorem	3
2.3	Integrable function space $\mathcal{L}^1(E)$	7
3	Fubini's theorem	9

§1 Introduction

Teacher: Yang Shiwu

Grading: Homework-Midterm-Endterm: 30-30-40

Contents of this course: Real analysis

§1.1 Recap

Definition 1.1 (Measurable space). Let X be a set and \mathcal{A} be a σ -algebra, we say (X, \mathcal{A}) is a measurable space if

- $\emptyset \in \mathcal{A}$;
- If $A \in \mathcal{A}$, then $A^c \in \mathcal{A}$;
- If $A_k \in \mathcal{A}$, then $\bigcup_{k=1}^{+\infty} A_k \in \mathcal{A}$.

Outer measure m^* :

- $m^*(A) \geq 0$;
- $m^*(\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k) \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} m^*(A_k)$;
- $m^*(A) \leq m^*(B)$ when $A \subset B$.

Caratheodry condition:

$$m^*(T) = m^*(T \cap E) + m^*(T \cap E^c), \quad \forall T \subset X.$$

We define the measurable sets to be all the sets E satisfying above condition.

This implies the Lebesgue measure space $(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{U}, m)$. It is a complete measure space, i.e. null sets are measurable.

Proposition 1.2

Properties of measurable sets:

- Let E be a measurable set, there exists a G_δ set G and a F_σ set F such that

$$E = G \setminus Z_1 = F \cup Z_2.$$

where Z_1, Z_2 are null sets.

- (Fatou's Lemma)

Measurable sets $E_k \nearrow E \implies \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} m(E_k) = m(E)$ and

$$m\left(\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} E_k\right) \leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} m(E_k).$$

Definition 1.3 (Measurable function). Let f be a map from measurable space (X, \mathcal{A}) to (Y, \mathcal{B}) . We say f is measurable if

$$\forall B \in \mathcal{B}, \quad f^{-1}(B) \in \mathcal{A}.$$

In the case of real functions, this is equivalent to

$$\forall t \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \{x; f(x) > t\} \text{ is a measurable set.}$$

Proposition 1.4

Let f be a non-negative measurable function, $\exists \varphi_k \nearrow f$, where φ_k are simple functions.

For a general measurable function f , decompose it to $f = f_+ - f_-$.

Theorem 1.5 (Egorov)

Let E be a measurable set and $m(E) < \infty$, $f_n \rightarrow f, a.e.$, Then $\forall \varepsilon > 0$, there exists a closed set F_ε s.t. $m(E \setminus F_\varepsilon) < \varepsilon$ and $f_n \rightarrow f$ uniformly on F_ε .

Theorem 1.6 (Lusin)

Let E be a measurable set and $m(E) < \infty$. Then $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists F_\varepsilon$ such that $f|_{F_\varepsilon}$ is continuous.

Convergence patterns:

- Converge **almost everywhere**: $f_n \rightarrow f, a.e.$
- Converge **almost uniformly**: $f_n \rightarrow f, a.u.$
- Converge **in measure**: $f_n \xrightarrow{m} f$

§2 Lebesgue integrals

§2.1 Recap: Definition of Lebesgue integrals

- Simple functions: $f = \sum_{k=1}^N a_k \chi_{E_k}$, define

$$\int f = \sum_{k=1}^N a_k m(E_k).$$

- $f : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$, where $m(E) < \infty$, f bounded. These functions form the set \mathcal{L}_0 . Then $\exists \varphi_k \rightarrow f$, φ_k simple, define

$$\int f = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int \varphi_k.$$

- Non-negative function:

$$\int f = \sup \left\{ \int g \mid 0 \leq g \leq f, g \in \mathcal{L}_0 \right\}.$$

- General functions:

$$\int f = \int f_+ - \int f_-.$$

$$\text{Integrable} \iff \int f_+, \int f_- < +\infty.$$

Relations between Riemann integrals and Lebesgue integrals:

- f is Riemann integrable on $[a, b]$ iff f bounded and the discontinuous points form a null set.
- If f is Riemann integrable on $[a, b]$, then two types of integral yield the same result.

§2.2 Dominated convergence theorem

The critical question of this section: If a sequence of functions f_n converges to f (almost everywhere), when does their integrals $\int f_n$ converge to $\int f$?

We'll discuss this issue under various conditions and reach the famous Dominated Convergence Theorem.

Theorem 2.1

Let E be a measurable set with finite measure. Measurable functions $f_n \rightarrow f, a.e.$ on E . Furthermore, f_n is uniformly bounded almost everywhere ($|f_n| < M, a.e.$). Then we have

$$\int_E |f_n - f| \rightarrow 0 \implies \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_E f_n = \int_E f.$$

Proof. By Egorov's Theorem, $\forall \varepsilon > 0$, there exists $F_\varepsilon \subset E$ s.t. $f_n \rightarrow f$ uniformly on F_ε , and $m(E \setminus F_\varepsilon) < \varepsilon$.

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \int_E |f_n - f| &= \int_{F_\varepsilon} |f_n - f| + \int_{E \setminus F_\varepsilon} |f_n - f| \\ &\leq \varepsilon_0 m(E) + 2M\varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

which proves the result. \square

Lemma 2.2 (Fatou's Lemma)

If $f_n \geq 0$, then

$$\int \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int f_n.$$

Proof. For any $g \in \mathcal{L}_0$, $0 \leq g \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n$, we need to prove $\int g \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int f_n$.

Let $g_k = \min\{f_k, g\}$, assume g is uniformly bounded so that $g_k \in \mathcal{L}_0$.

We'll prove $g_k \rightarrow g$: Assume by contradiction that $\exists \varepsilon_0 > 0, \exists x_0$ s.t.

$$g(x_0) - g_{k'}(x_0) > \varepsilon_0.$$

then $g(x_0) - f_{k'}(x_0) > \varepsilon_0$, which contradicts with $g \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n$.

Thus for sufficiently large k , $g_k(x) \leq g(x) \leq g_k(x) + \varepsilon_0, \implies g_k \rightarrow g$.

Therefore by [Theorem 2.1](#) (note $g_k \in \mathcal{L}_0$),

$$\begin{aligned} \int g &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int g_k \\ &\leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int f_k, \end{aligned}$$

and we're done. \square

Remark 2.3 — This is nearly identical to the measure version of Fatou's Lemma ([Proposition 1.2](#)). It shows some similarities between measure and integrals.

Theorem 2.4 (Beppo-Levi)

If non-negative functions $f_n \nearrow f$, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int f_n = \int f.$$

Proof.

$$f_n \leq f \implies \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int f_n \leq \int f.$$

By Fatou's Lemma ([2.2](#)),

$$\begin{aligned} \int \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n &\leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int f_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int f_n, \\ \implies \int f &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int f_n. \end{aligned}$$

Combining the two inequalities we get the desired equality. \square

Corollary 2.5

Let f_n be non-negative functions, then

$$\int \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} f_k(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int f_k(x).$$

Proposition 2.6

Let f be an integrable function, $\forall \varepsilon > 0$, we have:

- There exists a set B with finite measure s.t.

$$\int_{B^c} |f| < \varepsilon.$$

- (**Absolute continuity** of integrals) $\exists \delta > 0$ s.t. $\forall E$, if $m(E) < \delta$,

$$\int_E |f| < \varepsilon.$$

This is equivalent to

$$\lim_{m(E) \rightarrow 0} \int_E |f| = 0.$$

Proof. Let $f_N(x) = |f(x)|$ when $|x| \leq N$, $|f(x)| \leq N$, and $f_N(x) = 0$ otherwise. Then $f_N \nearrow |f|$, so by Beppo-Levi ([Theorem 2.4](#)), we get

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \int f_N = \int |f|.$$

Let $B = \{x \mid |x| \leq N, |f(x)| \leq N\}$, when N gets sufficiently large, we must have $\int_{B^c} |f| < \varepsilon$.

For the second part, when N is sufficiently large we have $\int (|f| - f_N) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$, so

$$\begin{aligned} \int_E |f| &= \int_E f_N + \int_E (|f| - f_N) \\ &\leq N \cdot m(E) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\delta = \frac{\varepsilon}{2N}$ to finish. □

Now we take a look at what we get so far:

- If bounded functions $f_n \in \mathcal{L}_0$, $f_n \rightarrow f$, then $\int f_n \rightarrow \int f$.
- If f_n is non-negative, then $\int \liminf f_n \leq \liminf \int f_n$. (Fatou)

This corresponds to: $m(\liminf E_n) \leq \liminf m(E_n)$.

- If $f_n \nearrow f$, then $\int f_n \nearrow \int f$. (Beppo-Levi)

This corresponds to: $E_n \subset E_{n+1} \implies m(\bigcup E_n) = \lim m(E_n)$.

Finally we come to the famous Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem:

Theorem 2.7 (Lebesgue Dominated Convergence Theorem)

Functions $f_n \rightarrow f$, a.e., if there exists a function g s.t. $|f_n| \leq g$, a.e., then we have:

$$\int |f - f_n| \rightarrow 0. \quad \left(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int f_n = \int f \right)$$

Proof. By Fatou's lemma (2.2), $2g - |f_n - f|$ is non-negative,

$$\begin{aligned} \int \liminf (2g - |f_n - f|) &\leq \liminf \int (2g - |f_n - f|) \\ &\implies 0 \leq \liminf \left(- \int |f_n - f| \right) \end{aligned}$$

$\implies \limsup \int |f_n - f| \leq 0$, hence it must equal to 0. \square

Example 2.8

Non-examples of lebesgue dominated convergence theorem:

- Let $f_n = \chi_{[n, n+1]}$, $g = 1$, note that g is not integrable, so $\int f_n = 1$ while $f_n \rightarrow 0$.
- $f_n = \frac{1}{n} \chi_{[0, n]}$, $f_n \rightarrow 0$, $\int f_n = 1 \not\rightarrow 0$. Since $g(x) = \min\{\frac{1}{x}, 1\}$, which isn't integrable.
- $f_n = n \chi_{(0, \frac{1}{n})}$, $f_n \rightarrow 0$, $\int f_n = 1 \not\rightarrow 0$. Here $g(x) = \frac{1}{x} \chi_{[0, 1]}$ is not integrable.

Example 2.9

Suppose that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_E f_n = \int_E f$$

holds for any measurable set E . Then

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n \leq f \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n, a.e..$$

Proof. We only need to prove the case when $f = 0$.

For $\forall \varepsilon > 0$, define

$$E_n^\varepsilon = \{x : f_n(x) < -\varepsilon\}.$$

Note that

$$\liminf E_n^\varepsilon \subset \{x : \limsup f_n \leq -\varepsilon\} \subset \liminf E_n^{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}.$$

Because when $\limsup f_n(x) \leq -\varepsilon$, $\exists N$ such that $\sup_{n > N} f_n(x) < -\frac{\varepsilon}{2}$

$$\implies f_n(x) < -\frac{\varepsilon}{2}, \forall n > N$$

This implies $x \in E_n^{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}, \forall n > N$, so $x \in \liminf E_n^{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}$.

We proceed with the proof, by using the condition ($E = \bigcap_{k \geq N} E_k^\varepsilon$),

$$0 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\bigcap_{k \geq N} E_k^\varepsilon} f_n.$$

Since $x \in \bigcap_{k \geq N} E_k^\varepsilon \implies f_k(x) < -\varepsilon$, we deduce

$$0 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\bigcap_{k \geq N} E_k^\varepsilon} f_n \leq (-\varepsilon) \cdot m \left(\bigcap_{k \geq N} E_k^\varepsilon \right)$$

Hence $E = \bigcap_{k \geq N} E_k^\varepsilon$ is a null set. \square

§2.3 Integrable function space $\mathcal{L}^1(E)$

Definition 2.10 (\mathcal{L}^1 space). Denoted by $\mathcal{L}^1(E)$ the space consisting of all the integrable functions on E .

If $f = g$, a.e., then $\int |f - g| = 0$, we regard them as equivalent elements in $\mathcal{L}^1(E)$.

Observe that $\mathcal{L}^1(E)$ is a vector space, define the norm:

$$\|f\| = \int_E |f|.$$

It's easy to check that $\mathcal{L}^1(E)$ becomes a normal vector space.

Moreover, it's also a **Banach space** (complete normal vector space).

Theorem 2.11

$\mathcal{L}^1(E)$ is a Banach space.

Proof. Let f_n be a Cauchy sequence in $\mathcal{L}^1(E)$, suppose $\|f_{n_k} - f_{n_{k+1}}\| < 2^{-k}$.

Let $f = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (f_{n_{k+1}} - f_{n_k})$, where $f_{n_0} = 0$. Because

$$\int_E \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |f_{n_{k+1}} - f_{n_k}| = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \int_E |f_{n_{k+1}} - f_{n_k}| \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{-k} < +\infty.$$

so our f is well-defined (convergent). Now we compute

$$\begin{aligned} \|f - f_m\| &= \|f_m - f_{n_l}\| + \left\| \sum_{k=l}^{\infty} (f_{n_{k+1}} - f_{n_k}) \right\| \\ &\leq \|f_m - f_{n_l}\| + \sum_{k=l}^{\infty} \|f_{n_{k+1}} - f_{n_k}\| \\ &\leq \|f_m - f_{n_l}\| + 2^{-l+1}. \end{aligned}$$

As m gets large, $\|f_m - f_{n_l}\|$ and 2^{-l+1} both converge to 0, so $f_n \rightarrow f$ in $\mathcal{L}^1(E)$. □

Remark 2.12 — Notes on multi-dimensional Riemann integrals:

For functions $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, recall that

$$\int_a^b f \, dx = \lim_{\delta_i \rightarrow 0} \sum_{i=1}^n f(\xi_i)(x_i - x_{i-1}).$$

But in higher dimensional spaces, it's not so easy to find the suitable partition of the integral region. In fact, this requires the differential theory of multi-dimensional functions first.

As for the improper integrals, for an unbounded region D , we can similarly define it to be

$$\int_D f \, dx = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{D_n} f \, dx,$$

where D_n can be any shape, so the limit is actually stronger than its one-dimensional counterpart. In other words, when we partition D into small cuboids, there's an issue of the summation order.

This means the integral must be “absolutely” convergent, since by Riemann rearrangement theorem, conditional convergent sequence can be rearranged so that it becomes *divergent*.

Here we state again that if $f = g, a.e.$, we regard them as the same function.

Definition 2.13 (\mathcal{L}^p space). Define the \mathcal{L}^p space to be

$$\mathcal{L}^p(E) = \left\{ f \mid \left(\int_E |f|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} < +\infty \right\}$$

Similiarly, it's a complete normal vector space.

In this course we mainly discuss about \mathcal{L}^1 instead of general \mathcal{L}^p .

Theorem 2.14

The following function spaces are dense in \mathcal{L}^1 space:

- Simple functions;
- Step functions;
- Continuous functions with compact support, denoted by $C_0(E)$ or $C_c(E)$.
- Smooth functions with compact support, denoted by $C_0^\infty(E)$.

Proof. • **Simple functions:**

Density is equivalent to:

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists \text{ simple function } g, \text{ s.t. } \|f - g\| < \varepsilon.$$

f integrable $\implies f_+, f_-$ measurable, so there exists simple functions φ_+^n and φ_-^n s.t.

$$\varphi_+^n \nearrow f_+, \varphi_-^n \nearrow f_- \xrightarrow{\text{Beppo-Levi}} \int \varphi_+^n \nearrow \int f_+ < \infty, \int \varphi_-^n \nearrow \int f_- < \infty$$

This implies $\int (f_\pm - \varphi_\pm^n) \rightarrow 0$.

• **Step functions:**

Let $g = \sum_{k=1}^N a_k \chi_{E_k}$, we only need to consider the case $g = \chi_{E_k}$, where E_k is a measurable set with finite measure.

Take cuboids I_j s.t. $E_k \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^\infty I_j$, and $m(E_k) + \varepsilon > \sum_{j=1}^{+\infty} |I_j|$.

Let $h = \chi_{\bigcup_{j=1}^\infty I_j}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \int |h - g| &= \left| E_k \Delta \left(\bigcup_{j=1}^N I_j \right) \right| \\ &< \varepsilon + \sum_{j>N} |I_j| \end{aligned}$$

Let N be sufficiently large, we conclude that $\int |f - g| \rightarrow 0$.

• **1-dimensional continuous functions:**

$$\text{Let } l = \begin{cases} 0, & x \in (-\infty, a] \cup [b, +\infty) \\ 1, & x \in [a + \varepsilon, b - \varepsilon] \\ \text{linear/smooth,} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Then l is a continuous/smooth function s.t. $\|\chi_{[a,b]} - l\| < 2\varepsilon$.

• **Multi-dimensional continuous functions:**

Let $I = (a_1, b_1) \times \cdots \times (a_n, b_n)$ be a cuboid. Let l_1, \dots, l_n be continuous/smooth functions on (a_i, b_i) defined earlier. We have

$$\|l_1(x_1) \cdots l_n(x_n) - \chi_I\| < C(n)\varepsilon,$$

where $C(n)$ is a constant depending on n . □

Proposition 2.15 (Integrals are invariance under translation and scaling)

Let $f \in \mathcal{L}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$, for $h \in \mathbb{R}^n$, define $\tau_h(f)(x) = f(x+h)$, then $\tau_h(f) \in \mathcal{L}^1$, and $\|\tau_h(f)\| = \|f\|$.

Similarly, define $D_\delta f(x) = f(\delta x)$, then $D_\delta f \in \mathcal{L}^1$, $\|D_\delta f\| = \delta^{-n}\|f\|$.

Theorem 2.16 (Translation and scaling are continuous)

For $h \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\delta \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \|\tau_h f - f\| = 0, \quad \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 1} \|D_\delta f - f\| = 0.$$

Proof. $\forall \varepsilon > 0$, \exists step function g such that $\|g - f\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \|\tau_h f - f\| &= \|\tau_h(f - g) - (f - g) + (\tau_h g - g)\| \\ &= \|\tau_h(f - g)\| + \|f - g\| + \|\tau_h g - g\| \\ &= \|f - g\| + \|\tau_h g - g\| \\ &= \|f - g\| + \frac{2}{3}\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose $g = \sum_{k=1}^N a_k \chi_{I_k}$, it's sufficient to prove the case $g = \chi_I$:

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \|\tau_h g - g\| = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \|I \Delta(I + h)\| = 0.$$

Similarly D_δ is continuous. □

§3 Fubini's theorem

This theorem provides a way to compute multi-dimensional integrals.

Let $f(x, y) : \mathbb{R}^{d_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{d_2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. We wonder if the following equation holds:

$$\int f(x, y) \, dx \, dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d_1}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d_2}} f(x, y) \, dy \right) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d_2}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d_1}} f(x, y) \, dx \right) dy?$$

In fact, this formula somehow says the same thing as the area of a rectangle is equal to its width and length, and this multiplication is commutative.