Mathematical Analysis II

Felix Chen

Contents

	Introduction	1
	1.1 Recap	1
2	Lebesgue integrals	2
	2.1 Definition of Lebesgue integrals	3
	2.2 Dominatied convergence theorem	3

§1 Introduction

Teacher: Yang Shiwu

Grading: Homework-Midterm-Endterm: 30-30-40

Contents of this course: Real analysis

§1.1 Recap

Definition 1.1 (Measurable space). Let X be a set and \mathcal{A} be a σ -algebra, we say (X, \mathcal{A}) is a measurable space if

- $\emptyset \in \mathcal{A}$;
- If $A \in \mathcal{A}$, then $A^c \in \mathcal{A}$;
- If $A_k \in \mathcal{A}$, then $\bigcup_{k=1}^{+\infty} \in \mathcal{A}$.

Outer measure m^* :

- $m^*(A) \ge 0$;
- $m^*(\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k) \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} m^*(A_k);$
- $m^*(A) \le m^*(B)$ when $A \subset B$.

Caratheodry condition:

$$m^*(T) = m^*(T \cap E) + m^*(T \cap E^c), \quad \forall T \subset X.$$

We define the measurable sets to be all the sets E satisfying above condition.

This implies the Lebesgue measure space $(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{U}, m)$. It is a complete measure space, i.e. null sets are measurable.

Proposition 1.2 (Properties of measurable sets)

Let E be a measurable set, there exists G_{δ} set and F_{σ} set such that

$$E = G_{\delta} \backslash Z_1 = F_{\delta} \cup Z_2.$$

where Z_1, Z_2 are null sets.

 $E_k \nearrow E \implies \lim_{k\to\infty} m(E_k) = m(E)$ and

$$m\left(\liminf_{k\to\infty} E_k\right) \le \liminf_{k\to\infty} m(E_k).$$

(Fauto's Lemma)

Definition 1.3 (Measurable function). Let f be a map from measurable space (X, \mathcal{A}) to (Y, \mathcal{B}) . We say f is measurable if

$$\forall B \in \mathcal{B}, \quad f^{-1}(B) \in \mathcal{A}.$$

In the case of real functions, this is equivalent to

 $\forall t \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \{x; f(x) > t\} \text{ is a measurable set.}$

Proposition 1.4

Let f be a non-negative measurable function, $\exists \varphi_k \nearrow f$, where φ_k are simple functions. For a general measurable function f, decompose it to $f = f_+ - f_-$.

Theorem 1.5 (Egorov)

Let E be a measurable set and $m(E) < \infty$, $f_n \to f, a.e.$, Then $\forall \varepsilon > 0$, there exists a closed set F_{ε} s.t. $m(E \setminus F_{\varepsilon}) < \varepsilon$ and $f_n \to f$ uniformly on F_{ε} .

Theorem 1.6 (Lusin)

Let E be a measurable set and $m(E) < \infty$. Then $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists F_{\varepsilon}$ such that $f|_{F_{\varepsilon}}$ is continuous.

Convergence patterns:

- $f_n \to f, a.e.$
- $f_n \to f, a.u.$
- $f_n \xrightarrow{m} f$

§2 Lebesgue integrals

The critical question of this section: If a sequence of functions f_n converges to f, when does their integrals $\int f_n$ converge to $\int f$?

§2.1 Definition of Lebesgue integrals

• Simple functions: $f = \sum_{k=1}^{N} a_k \chi_{E_k}$, define

$$\int f = \sum_{k=1}^{N} a_k m(E_k).$$

• $f: E \to \mathbb{R}^n$, where $m(E) < \infty$, f bounded. These functions form the set \mathcal{L}_0 Then $\epsilon \varphi_k \to f$, φ_k simple, define

$$\int f = \lim_{k \to \infty} \int \varphi_k.$$

• Non-negative function:

$$\int f = \sup \{ \int g \mid 0 \le g \le f, g \in \mathcal{L}_0 \}.$$

• General functions:

$$\int f = \int f_{+} - \int f_{-}.$$

Integrable $\iff \int f_+, \int f_- < \infty$.

Relations between Riemann integrals and Lebesgue integrals:

- f is integrable on [a, b] iff f bounded and the discontinuous points form a null set.
- If f Riemann integrable on [a, b], then two types of integral yield the same result.

§2.2 Dominatied convergence theorem

Theorem 2.1

Let E be a bounded and measurable set, $f_n \to f, a.e.$ on E. Furthermore, f_n is uniformly bounded almost everywhere $(|f_n| < M, a.e.)$ Then

$$\int_{E} |f_n - f| \to 0 \implies \lim_{m \to \infty} \int_{E} f_n = \int_{E} f.$$

Proof. By Egorov's Theorem

Lemma 2.2 (Fatou's Lemma)

If $f_n \geq 0$, then

$$\int \liminf_{n \to \infty} f_n \le \liminf_{n \to \infty} \int f_n.$$

Proof. For any $g \in \mathcal{L}_0$, $0 \le g \le \liminf_{n \to \infty} f_n$, we need to prove $\inf g \le \liminf \int f_n$. Let $g_k = \min\{f_k, g\}$, then $g_k \in \mathcal{L}_0$. We'll prove $g_k \to g$: Assume by contradiction that $\exists \varepsilon_0 > 0, \exists x_0 \text{ s.t.}$

$$g(x_0) - g_{k'}(x_0) > \varepsilon_0.$$

then $g(x_0) - f_{k'}(x_0) > \varepsilon_0$,

Theorem 2.3 (Beppo-Levi)

 $f_n \nearrow f$, non-negative,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \int f_n = \int f.$$

Proof.

$$f_n \le f \implies \lim_{n \to \infty} \int f_n \le \int f.$$

By Fatou's Lemma,

$$\int \liminf_{n \to \infty} f_n \le \liminf_{n \to \infty} \int f_n.$$

$$\implies \int f \le \liminf_{n \to \infty} \int f_n.$$

Corollary 2.4

Let f_n be non-negative functions,

$$\int \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} f_k(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int f_k(x).$$

Proposition 2.5

Let f be an integrable function, $\forall \varepsilon > 0$, we have:

ullet Exists finite measurable set B s.t.

$$\int_{B^c} |f| < \varepsilon.$$

• (ab continuity) $\exists \delta > 0$ s.t. $\forall B, m(B) < \delta$, we have

$$\int_{B} |f| < \varepsilon.$$

This is equivalent to

$$\lim_{m(B)\to 0}\int_B f=0.$$

Proof. ...