

Linear Algebra II

Felix Chen

Contents

0.1 Adjoint maps	3
0.2 Orthogonal maps and Unitary maps	5

We can introduce “angles” as well:

Definition 0.0.1 (Angles). When $F = \mathbb{R}$, for $\alpha, \beta \in V \setminus \{0\}$, define

$$\angle(\alpha, \beta) = \arccos \frac{\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle}{\|\alpha\| \|\beta\|} \in [0, \pi].$$

We can see that $\alpha \perp \beta \iff \angle(\alpha, \beta) = \frac{\pi}{2}$.

When $F = \mathbb{C}$, the angle above can be complex, which doesn’t make sense, so we won’t talk about the angle in \mathbb{C} .

Definition 0.0.2 (Orthonormal basis). Let V be an inner product space, let $S \subset V$ be a subset,

- If the vectors in S are pairwise orthogonal, we say S is an **orthogonal set**. Furthermore, if $\|\alpha\| = 1$ for all $\alpha \in S$, we say S is **orthonormal**.
- If S is a basis as well, then S is called an **orthogonal basis** or **orthonormal basis**, respectively.

Note that an orthogonal set can contain the zero vector.

Proposition 0.0.3

If S is an orthogonal set, and $0 \notin S$, then S is linearly independent.

Proof. Let $S = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$, if

$$\sum_{j=1}^n c_j \alpha_j = 0,$$

take the inner product with α_j for $j = 1, \dots, n$ we get $c_j = 0, \forall j$. □

Proposition 0.0.4

If $S = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m\}$ is an orthogonal set, then:

$$\left\| \sum_{j=1}^m \alpha_j \right\|^2 = \sum_{j=1}^m \|\alpha_j\|^2, \quad \left\langle \sum_{j=1}^m x_j \alpha_j, \sum_{j=1}^m y_j \alpha_j \right\rangle = \sum_{j=1}^m x_j \overline{y_j} \|\alpha_j\|^2.$$

Now we will prove the existence of orthogonal basis, We'll start from a basis $\{\beta_1, \beta_n\}$ to construct an orthogonal basis, and this process is called *Schmidt orthogonalization*.

Theorem 0.0.5 (Schmidt orthogonalization)

Let V be an n -dimensional inner product space, $\{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n\}$ is a basis of V . Then there exists a unique orthogonal basis $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$, such that

$$(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n) = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)N,$$

where N is an upper triangular matrix with diagonal entries equal to 1.

Proof. The idea is to “project” β_j to the subspace spanned by $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{j-1}$, and let α_j be the orthogonal part.

By induction, let $\beta_1 = \alpha_1$.

$$\alpha_j = \beta_j - \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} \frac{\langle \beta_j, \alpha_k \rangle}{\|\alpha_k\|^2} \alpha_k.$$

It's obvious that $\alpha_j \perp \alpha_k, \forall k = 1, \dots, j-1$, and $\text{span}\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_j\} = \text{span}\{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_j\}$.

Thus $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$ is the desired orthogonal basis.

As for the uniqueness, actually α_j can be solved from β_j 's: clearly $\alpha_1 = \beta_1$, and

$$\langle \beta_j, \alpha_k \rangle = N_{jk} \langle \alpha_k, \alpha_k \rangle \implies N_{jk} = \frac{\langle \beta_j, \alpha_k \rangle}{\|\alpha_k\|^2} \implies \alpha_j = \beta_j - \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} \frac{\langle \beta_j, \alpha_k \rangle}{\|\alpha_k\|^2} \alpha_k.$$

So α_j is uniquely determined by β_j 's. □

Remark 0.0.6 — The above orthogonal basis can be converted to an orthonormal basis $\{\alpha'_1, \dots, \alpha'_n\}$ s.t. N' is an upper triangular matrix with positive diagonal entries.

Corollary 0.0.7

Let $S \subset V \setminus \{0\}$ be orthogonal(-normal), then S can be extended to an orthogonal(-normal) basis.

Proposition 0.0.8

Let $S = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m\} \subset V \setminus \{0\}$ be an orthogonal set, then for all $\beta \in \text{span } S$ we have:

$$\beta = \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{\langle \beta, \alpha_k \rangle}{\|\alpha_k\|^2} \alpha_k.$$

Proposition 0.0.9 (Bessel's inequality)

Conditions as above, then $\forall \beta \in V$,

$$\sum_{k=1}^m \frac{|\langle \beta, \alpha_k \rangle|^2}{\|\alpha_k\|^2} \leq \|\beta\|^2.$$

Equality iff $\beta \in \text{span } S$.

Proof. Complete S to an orthogonal basis, by previous propositions, the rest is trivial. \square

Let $S \subset V$, define $S^\perp := \{\alpha \in V \mid \alpha \perp \beta, \forall \beta \in S\}$, S^\perp is a vector space and $S^\perp = \text{span}(S)^\perp$.

Proposition 0.0.10

Let V be a finite dimensional inner product space, $W \subset V$ is a subspace, we have $\dim W + \dim W^\perp = \dim V$.

Proof. Take an orthogonal basis B_1 of W , and complete it to an orthogonal basis B of V , then we claim that $B_2 := B \setminus B_1$ is a basis of W^\perp . Hence the conclusion follows. \square

This means we always have $W \oplus W^\perp = V$.

The orthogonal completion is similar to the annihilator we studied last semester, in fact, when we view $\langle \cdot, \beta \rangle$ as a function $f_\beta \in V^*$, $f_\beta \in S^0 \iff \beta \in S^\perp$. (Note that the inner product is linear with respect to only the first entry)

This process induces a map $\phi : V \rightarrow V^*$ by $\beta \mapsto f_\beta$. It's clear that ϕ is conjugate-linear. So ϕ is a linear map between *real* vector space $V \rightarrow V^*$, i.e. $\phi \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{R}}(V, V^*)$. thus $\ker \phi = \{0\}$ implies ϕ is an isomorphism on \mathbb{R} , so ϕ is a bijection, $\phi(S^\perp) = S^0$.

For $E \subset V^*$, then $E^0 \subset V$, this corresponds to $\phi(S)^0 = S^\perp$. Indeed, $\alpha \in \phi(S)^0 \iff \forall \beta \in S, \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle = 0 \iff \alpha \in S^\perp$. Hence

$$\dim_{\mathbb{C}} W^\perp = 2 \dim_{\mathbb{R}} \phi(W^\perp) = 2 \dim_{\mathbb{R}} W^0 = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} W^0.$$

The above proposition can be derived directly by $\dim W + \dim W^0 = \dim V$.

We can also get $W = (W^0)^0 = \phi(W^\perp)^0 = (W^\perp)^\perp$.

Definition 0.0.11 (Orthogonal projection). Since $V = W \oplus W^\perp$, for all $\alpha \in V$, there exists unique $\beta \in W, \gamma \in W^\perp$ s.t. $\alpha = \beta + \gamma$. Let $p_W : V \rightarrow W$ be the map $\alpha \mapsto \beta$, this is called the **orthogonal projection** from V to W .

§0.1 Adjoint maps

Let $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m\}$ be an orthonormal basis of W , then $p_W(\beta) = \sum_{j=1}^m \langle \beta, \alpha_j \rangle \alpha_j$. So p_W is a linear map. Moreover $p_W + p_{W^\perp} = \text{id}_V$, $p_W^2 = p_W$. By our geometry intuition, $p_W \beta = \arg \min_{\alpha} \|\alpha - \beta\|$, this fact is useful in functional analysis.

Recall that for $T \in L(V)$, $T^t \in L(V^*)$, then what's the map $\phi^{-1} \circ T^t \circ \phi$? Unluckily it's not T , but another map denoted by T^* , the **adjoint map** of T . Keep in mind that T^* depends on the inner product.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V^* & \xrightarrow{T^t} & V^* \\ \phi \uparrow & & \phi \uparrow \\ V & \xrightarrow{T^*} & V \end{array}$$

Since $T^t \circ \phi = \phi \circ T^* \iff \langle T\alpha, \beta \rangle = \langle \alpha, T^*\beta \rangle, \forall \alpha, \beta \in V$, so T^* can be described as the map satisfying this relation.

Proposition 0.1.1

When \mathcal{B} is an orthonormal basis, we have $[T^*]_{\mathcal{B}} = [T]_{\mathcal{B}}^*$.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{B} = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$, then $\phi(\mathcal{B})$ is the dual basis of \mathcal{B} . i.e. $\phi(\alpha_j)(\alpha_k) = \delta_{jk}$.

Hence $[T^t]_{\phi(\mathcal{B})} = [T]_{\mathcal{B}}^t$. Let $[T^*]_{\mathcal{B}} = A$, then

$$T^*\alpha_k = \sum_{j=1}^n A_{jk}\alpha_j \implies \phi(T^*\alpha_k) = \sum_{j=1}^n \overline{A_{jk}}\phi(\alpha_j).$$

So $[T^t]_{\phi(\mathcal{B})} = \overline{A}$, which completes the proof. \square

Proposition 0.1.2

$\ker(T^*) = \text{Im}(T)^\perp$, $\text{Im}(T^*) = \ker(T)^\perp$. $(cT + U)^* = \overline{c}T^* + U^*$, $(TU)^* = U^*T^*$, $T^{**} = T$.

This means the map $T \mapsto T^*$ is a conjugate anti-automorphism of $L(V)$, and it's an involution.

If $T^* = T$, then we say T is **self-adjoint**, and if $T^* = -T$, we say T is **anti self-adjoint**.

Let $F = \mathbb{C}$, T is self-adjoint iff iT is anti self-adjoint. Like a function can be written as a sum of odd and even functions, $\forall T \in L(V)$, there exists unique self-adjoint T_1, T_2 s.t. $T = T_1 + iT_2$. In fact, $T_1 = \frac{T+T^*}{2}, T_2 = \frac{T-T^*}{2i}$.

Let \mathcal{B} be an orthonormal basis, obviously T self-adjoint $\iff [T]_{\mathcal{B}}$ Hermite.

Example 0.1.3

Let $W \subset V$, p_W be the orthogonal projection. then p_W is self-adjoint as we can choose an orthonormal basis \mathcal{B} , such that $[p_W]_{\mathcal{B}} = \text{diag}\{I_k, 0\}$, where $k = \dim W$.

Let V, W be inner product spaces, we'll study the linear maps $T : V \rightarrow W$ which preserves the inner products, i.e.

$$\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle_V = \langle T\alpha, T\beta \rangle_W.$$

If T is an isomorphism, then we say T is the isomorphism between inner product spaces.

Proposition 0.1.4

T preserves inner product $\iff T$ is an isometry, i.e. preserves length.

In particular, isometry is always injective implies that inner product preserving maps are always injective.

Proof. Trivial by polarization identity. \square

Proposition 0.1.5

Let V, W be finite dimensional inner product spaces, $\dim V = \dim W$, $T \in \text{Hom}(V, W)$, the followings are equivalent:

- (1) T preserves inner product;
- (2) T is an isomorphism between inner product spaces;
- (3) T maps all the orthonormal bases in V to orthonormal bases in W ;
- (4) T maps *one* orthonormal basis in V to a orthonormal basis in W .

Proof. It's clear that (1) \implies (2) \implies (3) \implies (4), since T injective $\implies T$ is an isomorphism of vector space.

As for (4) \implies (1), just expand everything using this orthonormal basis. \square

Corollary 0.1.6

Inner product spaces with same dimensions are always isomorphic as inner product spaces.

Recall the positive definite matrices we defined earlier, we can also define *positive definite maps*: Let T be a *self-adjoint map*, if

$$\forall \alpha \in V \setminus \{0\}, \quad \langle T\alpha, \alpha \rangle > 0,$$

then we say T is positive definite.

The reason why we require T self-adjoint is that,

$$\langle T\alpha, \alpha \rangle = \langle \alpha, T\alpha \rangle = \overline{\langle T\alpha, \alpha \rangle} \implies \langle T\alpha, \alpha \rangle \in \mathbb{R}.$$

so we can talk about “positive” safely.

§0.2 Orthogonal maps and Unitary maps

Definition 0.2.1 (Orthogonal maps). Let V be a real inner product space, the automorphisms of V (as inner product space) are called **orthogonal maps**, denoted the set by $O(V)$.

When V is a complex inner product space, we use **unitary maps** and $U(V)$ instead.

Proposition 0.2.2

Let V be an inner product space,

$$T \in O(V) \iff T^* = T^{-1}.$$

Proof.

$$T \in O(V) \iff \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle = \langle T\alpha, T\beta \rangle = \langle \alpha, T^*T\beta \rangle, \quad \forall \alpha, \beta \in V.$$

This also holds for $U(V)$. \square

Proposition 0.2.3

Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, TFAE:

- $A^t A = I_n$;
- The column (row) vectors of A form an orthonormal basis of \mathbb{R}^n .

Proof. Since A maps the standard basis to the column vectors of A , so the conclusion follows immediately (use A^t to get the row vectors). \square

Let $O(n) = \{A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \mid A^t A = I_n\}$, and $U(n) = \{A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n} \mid A^* A = I_n\}$. We can see that $A^t A = I_n \implies \det(A) = \pm 1$, and $A^* A = I_n \implies |\det(A)| = 1$.

Let $SO(n) = \{A \in O(n) \mid \det A = 1\}$, and $SU(n) = \{A \in U(n) \mid \det A = 1\}$. In the language of groups, $SO(n)$ has only 2 coset in $O(n)$, while the structure of the cosets of $SU(n)$ in $U(n)$ look like S^1 .

Example 0.2.4

Let's look at some low dimensional orthogonal groups. $O(1) = \{1, -1\}$, $SO(1) = \{1\} = SU(1)$, $U(1) = \{z \mid |z| = 1\}$.

The group $SO(2)$ is the rotations of \mathbb{R}^2 :

$$SO(2) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta & -\sin \theta \\ \sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{pmatrix} \mid \theta \in [0, 2\pi) \right\}.$$

While $O(2)$ also consisting of reflections.

$$SU(2) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} z & -\bar{w} \\ w & \bar{z} \end{pmatrix} \mid z, w \in \mathbb{C}, |z|^2 + |w|^2 = 1 \right\}.$$

In fact these groups are *lie groups*, which means they have the structure of differential manifolds. It's clear that $U(1) \simeq SO(2) \simeq S^1$, and we can see $SU(2) \simeq S^3$.

Theorem 0.2.5 (QR-decomposition)

Any invertible matrix A can be uniquely decomposed to $Q \cdot R$, where $Q \in O(n)$, R is an upper triangular matrix with positive diagonal entries. When $F = \mathbb{C}$, $O(n)$ is replaced by $U(n)$.

Proof. This is essentially Schmidt orthogonalization. \square

Corollary 0.2.6 (Iwasawa decomposition, KAN decomposition)

For all $A \in GL_n(\mathbb{R})$, it has a unique decomposition $A = A_k A_a A_n$, $A_k \in O(n)$, A_a is diagonal, A_n is upper triangular matrix with diagonal entries 1. It also holds for \mathbb{C} .

Let $\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B}'$ be orthonormal bases of V , $T \in L(V)$. We know that $[T]_{\mathcal{B}'} = P^{-1}[T]_{\mathcal{B}}P$ for some $P \in GL(V)$. By orthogonality, P must be an orthogonal matrix, which means $P^t = P^{-1}$.

Definition 0.2.7. Let $A, B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, we say they are **orthogonally similar** if $A = P^{-1}BP$ for some $P \in O(n)$. The name is changed to **unitarily similar** for complex matrices.

Theorem 0.2.8 (Schur triangularization theorem)

Let $F = \mathbb{C}$, $T \in L(V)$. There exists an orthonormal basis \mathcal{B} , such that $[T]_{\mathcal{B}}$ is upper triangular.

Proof. Recall that T is triangulable (which is always true in \mathbb{C}) iff there exists a T -invariant flag $\{0\} = W_0 \subset W_1 \subset \cdots \subset W_n = V$. We can take an orthonormal basis s.t. $W_k = \text{span}\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k\}$. Obviously T is upper triangular under this basis. \square