# Measure Theory

# Felix Chen

# **Contents**

0.1	The completion of measure spaces	4
0.2	Distributions	5
0.3	The convergence of measurable functions	6
0.4	Probability space	8
0.5	Review of first two sections	Ç

## Example 0.1

In probability, let  $\mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{E}_2$  be collections of sets. We say they're independent if

$$P(AB) = P(A)P(B), \quad \forall A \in \mathcal{E}_1, B \in \mathcal{E}_2.$$

By the previous theorem we can derive  $\lambda(\mathcal{E}_1), \lambda(\mathcal{E}_2)$  are independent.

If  $A_1, A_2, \ldots$  satisfy

$$P(A_{i_1} \cdots A_{i_k}) = P(A_{i_1}) \cdots P(A_{i_k}),$$

we say they are independent.

Let  $\{1, 2, \dots\} = I + J$ , then the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by

$$\mathscr{E}_1 = \{ A_\alpha \mid \alpha \in I \}, \quad \mathscr{E}_2 = \{ A_\alpha \mid \alpha \in J \}$$

are independent.

## **Theorem 0.2** (Measure extension theorem)

Let  $\mu$  be a measure on a semi-ring  $\mathcal{Q}$ ,  $\tau$  is the outer measure generated by  $\mu$ . We have

$$\sigma(\mathcal{Q}) \in \mathcal{F}_{\tau}, \quad \tau|_{\mathcal{Q}} = \mu.$$

**Remark 0.3** — Any measure on a semi-ring  $\mathcal{Q}$  can extend to the  $\sigma(\mathcal{Q})$ , and if  $\mu$  is  $\sigma$ -finite, the extension is unique.

*Proof.* For any  $A \in \mathcal{Q}$ , let  $B_1 = A$ ,  $B_n = \emptyset$ ,  $n \ge 2$ . Then  $\tau(A) \le \sum \mu(B_n) = \mu(A)$ . On the other hand, if  $A_1, A_2, \dots \in \mathcal{Q}$  s.t.  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \supseteq A$ , then

$$\mu(A) = \mu\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(AA_n)\right) \le \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(AA_n) \le \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(A_n).$$

CONTENTS Measure Theory

Thus  $\tau(A) = \mu(A)$ , where we used the fact that  $\mu$  is countable subadditive. Next we prove  $A \in \mathscr{F}_{\tau}$ . We need to show that

$$\tau(D) \ge \tau(D \cap A) + \tau(D \cap A^c).$$

WLOG  $\tau(D) < \infty$ . Take  $B_1, B_2, \dots \in \mathcal{Q}$  s.t.

$$\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n \supseteq D, \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(B_n) < \tau(D) + \varepsilon.$$

Denote  $\hat{D} := B_n \in \mathcal{Q}$  for a fixed n. Suppose  $\hat{D} \cap A^c = \hat{D} \setminus A = \sum_{i=1}^n C_i$ .

$$\mu(\hat{D}) = \mu(\hat{D} \cap A) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mu(C_i) \ge \tau(\hat{D} \cap A) + \tau(\hat{D} \cap A^c).$$

Apply this inequality to each  $B_n$ ,

$$\tau(D) + \varepsilon > \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\tau(B_n \cap A) + \tau(B_n \cap A^c)) \ge \tau(D \cap A) + \tau(D \cap A^c).$$

this implies  $\tau(D) \geq \tau(D \cap A) + \tau(D \cap A^c) \implies A \in \mathscr{F}_{\tau}$ .

At last by Caratheodory's theorem,  $\tau$  is a measure on  $\mathscr{F}_{\tau} \supseteq \sigma(\mathscr{Q})$ .

## **Theorem 0.4** (Equi-measure hull)

Let  $\tau$  be the outer measure generated by  $\mu$ ,

- $\forall A \in \mathscr{F}_{\tau}$ ,  $\exists B \in \sigma(\mathscr{Q})$  s.t.  $B \supset A$  and  $\tau(A) = \tau(B)$ ;
- If  $\mu$  is  $\sigma$ -finite, then  $\tau(B \setminus A) = 0$ .

**Remark 0.5** — This theroem states that  $\mathscr{F}_{\tau}$  is just  $\sigma(\mathscr{Q})$  appended with null sets.

*Proof.* If  $\tau(A) = \infty$ , B = X suffices.

By definition, there exists  $B_n = \bigcup_{k=1}^{k_n} B_{n,k} \supseteq A$  s.t.  $\tau(B_n) < \tau(A) + \frac{1}{n}$ . Let  $B = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n$ , we must have  $\tau(B) = \tau(A)$ .

Now for the second part, let  $X = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$ ,  $A_n \in \mathcal{Q}$ ,  $\mu(A_n) < \infty$ . Since  $A = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} AA_n$ , we have

$$AA_n \in \mathscr{F}_{\tau}, \quad \tau(AA_n) < \tau(A_n) = \mu(A_n) < \infty.$$

Let  $B_n \in \sigma(\mathcal{Q})$  s.t.  $B_n \supseteq AA_n$  and  $\tau(B_n) = \tau(AA_n) < \infty$ . Let  $B := \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n$  we have

$$\tau(B-A) = \tau\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (B_n - AA_n)\right) \le \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \tau(B_n - AA_n) = 0.$$

Let  $\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{F}$  be the ring, algebra,  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by  $\mathcal{Q}$ , respectively. The outer measure  $\tau$  restricts to a measure on each of these collections, denoted by  $\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3$ . Each  $\mu_i$  can generate an outer measure  $\tau_i$ , but actually they're all the same as our original  $\tau$ , since  $\tau_i$  are "build up" from  $\tau$ , intuitively  $\tau_i$  cannot be any better than  $\tau$ . (The proof says exactly the same thing, so I'll omit it)

2

#### Proposition 0.6

Let  $\mu$  be a measure on an algebra  $\mathscr{A}$ .  $\tau$  is the outer measure generated by  $\mu$ , for all  $A \in \sigma(\mathscr{A})$ , if  $\tau(A) < \infty$ , then  $\forall \varepsilon > 0$ ,  $\exists B \in \mathscr{A}$  s.t.  $\tau(A \Delta B) < \varepsilon$ .

**Remark 0.7** — In practice we often replace  $\tau$  with a  $\sigma$ -finite measure  $\mu$  on  $\sigma(\mathscr{A})$ . (Here  $\sigma$ -finite is on  $\mathscr{A}$ )

*Proof.* Choose  $B_1, B_2, \dots \in \mathscr{A}$  s.t.

$$\hat{B} := \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n \supseteq A, \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(B_n) < \tau(A) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

Let N be a sufficiently large number,  $B := \bigcup_{n=1}^{N} B_n \in \mathcal{A}$ ,

$$\tau(A \backslash B) \le \tau \left(\bigcup_{n=N+1}^{\infty} B_n\right) \le \sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} \tau(B_n) \le \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

As  $\tau(B \setminus A) \le \tau(\hat{B} \setminus A) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}, \ \tau(A \Delta B) < \varepsilon$ .

## Example 0.8

Consider the Bernoulli test, recall  $C_{i_1,...,i_n}$  we defined earlier. A measure(probability)  $\mu$  is defined on the semi-ring  $\{C_{i_1,...,i_n}\} \cup \{\emptyset, X\}$ , then it can extend uniquely to the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by it. This is how the probability of Bernoulli test comes from.

Let  $(X, \mathcal{F}, P)$  be a probability space,  $A_1, A_2, \dots \in \mathcal{F}$ . We define the **tail**  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{F}$ :

$$\mathscr{G}_n := \sigma(\{A_{n+1}, A_{n+2}, \dots\}), \quad \mathscr{T} := \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathscr{G}_n.$$

Let  $f_1, f_2, \ldots$  be random variable, the tail  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by them is defined similarly:

$$\mathscr{G}_n := \sigma(\{f_{n+1}, f_{n+2}, \dots\}), \quad \mathscr{T} := \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathscr{G}_n.$$

## Theorem 0.9 (Kolmogorov's 0-1 law)

If  $A_1, A_2, \dots \in \mathscr{F}$  are independent, then  $P(A) \in \{0, 1\}, \forall A \in \mathscr{T}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\mathscr{F}_n := \sigma(\{A_1, \ldots, A_n\})$  and  $\mathscr{G}_n$ . They are clearly independent.

Note that  $\mathscr{A} := \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathscr{F}_n$  is an algebra.

Let  $\mathscr{H} := \sigma(\mathscr{A}) \supseteq \mathscr{G}_n \supseteq \mathscr{T}$ .

Hence  $\forall A \in \mathscr{T} \subset \mathscr{H}, \forall \varepsilon > 0$ , exists  $B \in \mathscr{A}$  s.t.  $P(A\Delta B) < \varepsilon$ , so

$$P(A) - P(AB) \le \varepsilon, \quad |P(A) - P(B)| \le \varepsilon.$$

Since  $B \in \mathscr{F}_n$  for some n, thus it is independent to A.

$$|P(A) - P(A)^2| < |P(A) - P(AB)| + |P(AB) - P(A)^2| < 2\varepsilon.$$

Let  $\varepsilon \to 0$ , we'll get  $P(A) \in \{0,1\}$ .

**Remark 0.10** — When  $A_i$ 's are replace by random variables, this theorem also holds.

# Example 0.11

finite Markov chain

## §0.1 The completion of measure spaces

Let  $(X, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$  be a measure space, and

$$\widetilde{\mathscr{F}} := \{A \cup N : A \in \mathscr{F}, \exists B \in \mathscr{F} \ s.t. \ \mu(B) = 0, N \subset B\}.$$

Another way to define it is:  $\widetilde{\mathscr{F}} := \{A \setminus N\}$ , since

$$A \cup N = A + NA^c = (A \cup B) \setminus (BA^c \setminus N);$$

$$A \backslash N = A - NA = (A \backslash B) + (BA \backslash N).$$

In fact, we can do even more:  $\widetilde{\mathscr{F}} := \{A\Delta N\}.$ 

Next we define the measure

$$\widetilde{\mu}(A \cup N) := \mu(A), \quad \forall A \cup N \in \widetilde{\mathscr{F}}$$

We need to check several things:

- $\widetilde{\mathscr{F}}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra.
- $\widetilde{\mu}$  is well-defined.
- $(X, \widetilde{\mathscr{F}}, \widetilde{\mu})$  is a complete measure space.

**Remark 0.12** — The mesure  $\widetilde{\mu}$  is the *minimal complete extension* of  $\mu$ , i.e. if  $(X, \mathcal{G}, \nu)$  is another complete extension, then

$$\nu(B) = \mu(B) = 0 \implies \forall N \subset B, N \in \mathcal{G}, \nu(N) = 0.$$

$$\mu(A) = \nu(A) \le \nu(A \cup N) \le \nu(A) + \nu(N) = \nu(A).$$

Thus  $\mathscr{G} \supseteq \widetilde{\mathscr{F}}$  and  $\nu(A) = \widetilde{\mu}(A)$  for  $A \in \widetilde{\mathscr{F}}$ .

Therefore we call  $(X, \widetilde{\mathscr{F}}, \widetilde{\mu})$  the **completion** of  $(X, \mathscr{F}, \mu)$ .

Obviously  $\emptyset \in \widetilde{\mathscr{F}}$ ; For  $A \cup N \in \widetilde{\mathscr{F}}$ ,  $(A \cup N)^c = A^c - A^c N \in \widetilde{\mathscr{F}}$ .

$$\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (A_n \cup N_n) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \cup \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} N_n, \quad N = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} N_n \subset \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n.$$

Thus  $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}$  is a  $\sigma\text{-algebra}.$ 

For  $\widetilde{\mu}$ , if  $A_1 \cup N_1 = A_2 \cup N_2$ ,

$$\mu(A_1) = \mu(A_1 \cup B_2) > \mu(A_2).$$

Last we prove the countable additivity of  $\widetilde{\mu}$ . It's easy to check, so left out. For the completeness, if  $C \subset A \cup N$ ,  $\mu(A) = 0$ , then  $C \subset A \cup B$  which is null. Combining with the previous results we have

#### Theorem 0.13

Let  $\tau$  be the outer measure generated by  $\mu$ , a  $\sigma$ -finite measure on a semi-ring  $\mathcal{Q}$ . We have  $(X\mathscr{F}_{\tau},\tau)$  is the completion of  $(X,\sigma(\mathcal{Q}),\tau)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\mathscr{F} = \sigma(\mathscr{Q})$ , we'll prove that  $\widetilde{\mathscr{F}} = \mathscr{F}_{\tau}$ .

Since  $(X, \mathscr{F}_{\tau}, \tau)$  is complete, we have  $\mathscr{F}_{\tau} \supseteq \widetilde{\mathscr{F}}$ .

For all  $C \in \mathscr{F}_{\tau}$ , it suffices to prove C = A + N for some  $A \in \mathscr{F}, N \subset B$  with B null.

Since  $C^c \in \mathscr{F}_{\tau}$ ,  $\exists B \in \mathscr{F}$  s.t.

$$B \supset C^c$$
,  $\tau(B \backslash C^c) = 0$ .

# §0.2 Distributions

Let  $F : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  be a non-decreasing, right continuous function (called a **quasi-distribution** function). Let  $\nu$  be the measure on  $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathbb{R}}$ ,

$$\nu: (a, b] \mapsto \max\{F(b) - F(a), 0\}.$$

Let  $\tau$  be the outer measure generated by  $\nu$ . We call the sets in  $\mathscr{F}_{\tau}$  to be the Lebesgue-Stieljes measurable sets (L-S measurable), a measurable function

$$f:(\mathbb{R},\mathscr{F}_{\tau})\to(\mathbb{R},\mathscr{B}_{\mathbb{R}})$$

is L-S measurable, and  $\tau\big|_{\mathscr{Z}}\,$  is the L-S measure.

In fact finite L-S measures and the quasi-distribution functions are 1-1 correspondent (ignoring the difference of a constant), since  $\mathscr{B}_{\mathbb{R}} = \sigma(\mathscr{Q}_{\mathbb{R}})$ ,  $(\mathbb{R}, \mathscr{F}_{\tau}, \tau)$  is the completion of  $(\mathbb{R}, \mathscr{B}_{\mathbb{R}}, \tau)$ , and  $\mu_F = \tau|_{\mathscr{B}_{\mathbb{R}}}$  is the unique extension of  $\nu$ .

Conversely, given a measure  $\mu$  on  $(\mathbb{R}, \mathscr{B}_{\mathbb{R}})$ , if  $\mu((a,b]) < \infty$  for all a < b, then  $\mu = \mu_F$ , where

$$F = F_{\mu} : x \mapsto \mu((-\infty, x]), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

We say a probability measure on  $(\mathbb{R}, \mathscr{B}_{\mathbb{R}})$  is a **distribution**. Let  $F : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  be a quasi-distribution function, if F satisfies:

$$F(-\infty) := \lim_{x \to -\infty} F(x) = 0, \quad F(+\infty) := \lim_{x \to +\infty} F(x) = 1,$$

then we say F is a distribution function (d.f.).

From the previous example we know distribution and d.f. are one-to-one correspondent.

## Theorem 0.14

Let  $g:(X,\mathscr{F})\to (Y,\mathscr{S}), \mu$  is a measure on  $\mathscr{F}$ . Let

$$\nu(B) := \mu(g^{-1}(B)) = \mu \circ g^{-1}(B), \quad \forall B \in \mathscr{S}.$$

Then  $\nu$  is a measure on  $\mathscr{S}$ .

*Proof.* Trivial. Just check the definition one by one.

Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  be a probability space,  $f: (\Omega, \mathcal{F}) \to (\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}_{\mathscr{R}})$ . We say

$$P \circ f^{-1} : B \mapsto P(f \in B)$$

is the **distribution** of f, denoted by  $\mu_f$ , i.e.  $\mu_f(B) = P(f \in B)$  for Borel sets B.

If  $\mu_f = \mu$ , we say f obeys the distribution  $\mu$ , denoted by  $f \sim \mu$ .

Let  $F_f = F_{\mu_f}$  be the distribution function of f.

$$F_f := \mu_f((-\infty, x]) = P(f \le x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

We can also say f obeys  $F_f$ , denoted by  $f \sim F_f$ .

If  $F_f = F_g$ , then we say f and g is **equal in distribution**, denoted by  $f \stackrel{d}{=} g$ .

## Theorem 0.15

Any d.f. is the distribution function of some random variable.

*Proof.* Let  $\Omega = \mathbb{R}, \mathscr{F} = \mathscr{B}_{\mathbb{R}}, P = \mu_F$ , and  $f = \mathrm{id}$ . It's clear that the distribution function of f is precisely F.

## **§0.3** The convergence of measurable functions

Let  $(X, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$  be a measure space.

For any statement, if there exists null set N s.t. it holds for all  $x \in N^c$ , then we say this statement holds almost everywhere. (Often abbreviated as a.e.)

**Definition 0.16.** If a sequence of functions  $f_n$  satisfies

$$\mu\left(\lim_{n\to\infty}f_n\neq f\right)=0,$$

(here f is finite a.e.) we say  $\{f_n\}$  converges to f almost everywhere, denoted by  $f_n \to f, a.e.$ .

**Definition 0.17.** If  $\forall \delta > 0$ ,  $\exists A \in \mathscr{F}$  s.t.  $\mu(A) < \delta$  and

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \sup_{x \notin A} |f_n(x) - f(x)| = 0,$$

then we say  $\{f_n\}$  converges to f almost uniformly, denoted by  $f_n \to f, a.u.$ .

If  $f_n \to f$ , a.u.,  $\forall \varepsilon > 0$ ,  $\exists m = m_k(\varepsilon)$  s.t. when  $n \ge m$ ,  $|f_n(x) - f(x)| < \varepsilon$ ,  $\forall x \in C_k$ , but we could have  $\sup_k m_k(\varepsilon) = \infty$ , thus  $f_n \rightrightarrows f$  doesn't hold. e.g.  $f_n(x) = x^n$ , f(x) = 0,  $x \in [0, 1)$ , f(1) = 1.

## Proposition 0.18

$$f_n \to f, a.u. \implies f_n \to f, a.e..$$

*Proof.* For all 
$$n$$
,  $\exists A_n$  s.t.  $\mu(A_n) < \frac{1}{n}$ , and  $f_n \to f$  in  $A_n^c$ . Let  $A := \bigcap_n A_n$ . Then  $\{f_n \not\to f\} \cup \{|f| = \infty\} \subset A$ ,  $\mu(A) = 0$ , hence  $f_n \to f$ , a.e..

## Proposition 0.19

 $f_n \to f, a.e. \text{ iff } \forall \varepsilon > 0,$ 

$$\mu\left(\bigcap_{m=1}^{\infty}\bigcup_{n=m}^{\infty}\{|f_m-f|\geq\varepsilon\}\right)=0.$$

Note: If f(x) - g(x) is not defined, we regard it as  $+\infty$ .

*Proof.* Let  $A_{\varepsilon} := \bigcap \bigcup \{|f_m - f| > \varepsilon\}.$ 

$$\left\{\lim_{n\to\infty} f_n \neq f\right\} \cup \{|f| = \infty\} = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} A_{\frac{1}{k}} = \uparrow \lim_{k\to\infty} A_{\frac{1}{k}}.$$

# Proposition 0.20

 $f_n \to f, a.u.$  iff  $\forall \varepsilon > 0$ , we have

$$\downarrow \lim_{m \to \infty} \mu \left( \bigcup_{n=m}^{\infty} \{ |f_n - f| \ge \varepsilon \} \right) = 0.$$

 $\textit{Proof.} \ \text{If} \ f_n \to f, a.u., \, \forall \delta, \exists A \in \mathscr{F} \text{ s.t. } \mu(A) < \delta \text{ and } f_n \rightrightarrows f, x \in A^c.$ 

This means for any fixed  $\varepsilon$ ,  $\exists m \text{ s.t.}$  when  $n \geq m$ ,  $x \notin A \implies |f_n(x) - f(x)| < \varepsilon$ . Thus  $A \supseteq \bigcup_{n=m}^{\infty} |f_n - f| \ge \varepsilon$ .

Conversely,  $\forall \delta > 0, \exists m_k \text{ s.t.}$ 

$$\mu\left(\bigcup_{n=m_k}^{\infty}\{|f_n-f|\geq \frac{1}{k}\}\right)<\frac{\delta}{2^k}.$$

Denote the above set by  $A_k$ , and  $A = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k$ , then  $\mu(A) < \delta$ , and  $f_n(x) \Rightarrow f(x)$  for  $x \in A^c$ .  $\square$ 

**Definition 0.21.** If  $\forall \varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \mu(|f_n - f| \ge \varepsilon) = 0,$$

then we say  $\{f_n\}$  converges to f in measure, denoted by  $f_n \stackrel{\mu}{\to} f$ .

# Theorem 0.22

$$f_n \to f, a.u. \implies f_n \to f, a.e., \quad f_n \xrightarrow{\mu} f.$$

If  $\mu(X) < \infty$ , then

$$f_n \to f, a.u. \iff f_n \to f, a.e. \implies f_n \xrightarrow{\mu} f.$$

#### Theorem 0.23

 $f_n \to f$  in measure iff for any subsequence of  $\{f_n\}$ , exists its subsequence  $\{f_{n'}\}$  s.t.

$$f_{n'} \to f, a.u.$$

*Proof.* When  $f_n \to f$  in measure, let  $n_0 = 0$ . Take  $n_k > n_{k-1}$  inductively such that

$$\mu\left(\left\{|f_n - f| \ge \frac{1}{k}\right\}\right) \le \frac{1}{2^k}, \quad \forall n \ge n_k.$$

Then  $\forall \varepsilon > 0$ ,  $\exists \frac{1}{m} < \varepsilon$ ,  $\{|f_{n_k} - f| \ge \varepsilon\} \subset \{|f_{n_k} - f| \ge \frac{1}{k}\}$ ,

$$\mu\left(\bigcup_{k=m}^{\infty}\{|f_{n_k}-f|\geq\varepsilon\}\right)\leq\mu\left(\bigcup_{k=m}^{\infty}\left\{|f_{n_k}-f|\geq\frac{1}{k}\right\}\right)\leq\frac{1}{2^{m-1}}\to0.$$

Conversely, we assume for contradiction that  $\exists \varepsilon > 0$  s.t.  $\mu(\{|f_n - f| \ge \varepsilon\}) \neq 0$ . So  $\exists \delta > 0$  and subsequence  $\{n_k\}$  s.t.  $\mu(\{|f_{n_k} - f| \ge \varepsilon\}) > \delta$ . Hence there doesn't exist a subsequence  $\{f_{n'}\}$  of  $\{f_{n_k}\}$  s.t.  $f_{n'} \to f, a.u.$ .

## Example 0.24

Consider measure space  $(\mathbb{R}, \mathscr{B}_{\mathbb{R}}, \lambda)$ , the Lebesgue measure,  $f_n = \mathbf{I}_{|x| > n}$ , then

$$f_n \to 0, \forall x \implies f_n \to 0, a.e.$$

let  $\varepsilon = 1$ , it's clear that  $f_n$  doesn't converge to f in measure, hence not almost uniformly.

#### Example 0.25

Let  $f_{k,i} = \mathbf{I}_{\frac{i-1}{k} < x \leq \frac{i}{k}}$ , i = 1, ..., k. It's clear that  $f_{k,i} \to 0$  in measure, but not almost everywhere, and hence not almost uniformly.

## §0.4 Probability space

Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  be a probability space. Here almost everywhere is renamed to almost surely. Let F be a real function, let C(F) be the continuous points of F. Let  $F, F_1, F_2, \ldots$  be non-decreasing functions, if

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} F_n(x) = F(x), \quad \forall x \in C(F),$$

then we say  $\{F_n\}$  converge to F weakly,  $F_n \xrightarrow{w} F$ . Let  $F, F_1, F_2, \ldots$  be distribution functions,  $f_n \sim F_n$ ,

**Definition 0.26.** If  $F_n \xrightarrow{w} F$ , then we say  $\{f_n\}$  converge to F in distribution, denoted by  $f_n \xrightarrow{d} F$ . For  $f \sim F$ , we can also write  $f_n \xrightarrow{d} f$ .

## Theorem 0.27

$$f_n \xrightarrow{P} f \implies f_n \xrightarrow{d} f.$$

Proof.

$$P(h \le y) \le P(h \le y, |h - g| < \varepsilon) + P(h \le y, |h - g| \ge \varepsilon)$$
  
 
$$\le P(g \le y + \varepsilon) + P(|h - g| \ge \varepsilon).$$

Let  $F_n(x) = P_n(f \le x)$  Let  $h = f_n, g = f, y = x$ .

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} F_n(x) \le F(x + \varepsilon), \quad \forall \varepsilon > 0.$$

Thus  $\limsup_{n\to\infty} F_n(x) \leq F(x)$ . TODO

# Theorem 0.28 (Skorokhod)

If  $f_n \xrightarrow{d} f$ , then exists a probability space  $(\widetilde{X}, \widetilde{\mathscr{F}}, \widetilde{P})$ , with random variables  $\{\widetilde{f}_n\}$  and  $\widetilde{f}$ , such that

$$\tilde{f}_n \stackrel{d}{=} f_n, \tilde{f} \stackrel{d}{=} f, \quad \tilde{f}_n \to \tilde{f}, a.s.$$

*Proof.* If 
$$F_n \to F$$
 weakly, then  $F_n^{\leftarrow} \to F^{\leftarrow}$  weakly. (Prove this yourself!) Since  $\mathbb{R} \setminus C(F_n^{\leftarrow})$  is countable, TODO

If f is defined almost everywhere, we can extend it to  $\tilde{f} = f \cdot \mathbf{I}_{N^c}$ . So from now on when we talk about f = g, we mean f = g, a.e..

# §0.5 Review of first two sections

Here we list some concepts so that you can recall their definition and properties. Collections of sets:

- $\pi$ -system
- Semi-ring
- Ring, algebra
- $\sigma$ -algebra
- Monotone class,  $\lambda$ -system

Measure:

- $\sigma$ -finite
- Outer measure
- Caratheodory condition, measurable sets
- Measure extension, semi-ring  $\rightarrow \sigma$ -algebra
- Complete measure space, completion

• For  $\mathscr{F} = \sigma(\mathscr{A}), \forall F \in \mathscr{F}, \varepsilon > 0, \exists A \in \mathscr{A} \text{ s.t. } F = A\Delta N_{\varepsilon}, \mu(N_{\varepsilon}) \leq \varepsilon.$ 

# Functions:

- Measurable map
- $h \in \sigma(g) \implies h = f \circ g$  for some f.
- $\bullet$  Typical method, simple non-negative functions  $\to$  measurable functions
- Almost uniformly, almost everywhere, converge in measure