# Measure Theory

## Felix Chen

# **Contents**

0.1	Outer measure	1
0.2	Measure extension	4
0.3	The completion of measure spaces	8

#### Theorem 0.1

Let  $\mu$  be a set function on a ring with finite additivity, then  $1 \iff 2 \iff 3 \implies 4 \implies 5$ .

- $\mu$  is countablely additive;
- $\mu$  is countablely subadditive;
- $\mu$  is lower continuous;
- $\mu$  is upper continuous;
- $\mu$  is continuous at  $\emptyset$ .

## §0.1 Outer measure

Once we construct a measure on a semi-ring, we want to extend it to a  $\sigma$ -algebra. Since we can't directly do this, we shall relax some of our restrictions, say reduce countable additivity to subadditivity.

**Definition 0.2** (Outer measure). Let  $\tau: \mathcal{T} \to [0, \infty]$  satisfying:

- $\tau(\emptyset) = 0$ ;
- If  $A \subset B \subset X$ , then  $\tau(A) \leq \tau(B)$ ;
- (Countable subadditivity)  $\forall A_1, A_2, \dots \in \mathcal{T}$ , we have

$$\tau\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty}A_n\right) \le \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\tau(A_n).$$

We call  $\tau$  an **outer measure** on X.

It's easier to extend a measure on semi-ring to an outer measure:

#### Theorem 0.3

Let  $\mu$  be a non-negative set function on a collection  $\mathscr{E}$ , where  $\emptyset \in \mathscr{E}$  and  $\mu(\emptyset) = 0$ . Let

$$\tau(A) := \inf \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(B_n) : B_n \in \mathscr{E}, \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n \supseteq A \right\}, \quad \forall A \in \mathscr{T}.$$

By convention,  $\inf \emptyset = \infty$ . ( $\mu$  need not be a measure!)

Then  $\tau$  is called the outer measure generated by  $\mu$ .

*Proof.* Clearly  $\tau(\emptyset) = 0$ , and  $\tau(A) \leq \tau(B)$  for  $A \subset B$ .

$$\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n \supseteq B \implies \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n \supseteq A.$$

For all  $A_1, A_2, \dots \in \mathcal{T}$ , WLOG  $\tau(A_n) < \infty$ . Take  $B_{n,k}$  s.t.  $\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} B_{n,k} \supseteq A_n$ , such that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu(B_{n,k}) < \tau(A_n) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2^n}.$$

Therefore

$$\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} B_{n,k} \supseteq A_n,$$

$$\tau\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n\right) \le \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu(B_{n,k}) + \varepsilon \le \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \tau(A_n) + \varepsilon.$$

Example 0.4

Let  $\mathscr{E} = \{X, \emptyset\}, \ \mu(X) = 1, \ \mu(\emptyset) = 0.$  Then  $\tau(A) = 1, \ \forall A \neq \emptyset$ .

Example 0.5

Let  $X = \{a, b, c\}$ ,  $\mathscr{E} = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{a, b\}, \{c\}\}$ .  $\mu(A) = \#A$  for  $A \in \mathscr{E}$ .

Here something strange happens:  $\tau(\{b\}) = 2$  instead of 1, and  $\tau(\{b,c\}) = 3$  instead of 2.

In the above example, we found the set  $\{b\}$  somehow behaves badly: if we divide  $\{a,b\}$  to  $\{a\} + \{b\}$ , the outer measure is not the sum of two smaller measure.

Hence we want to get rid of this kind of inconsistency to get a proper measure:

**Definition 0.6** (Measurable sets). Let  $\tau$  be an outer measure, if a set A satisfies Caratheodory condition:

$$\tau(D) = \tau(D \cap A) + \tau(D \cap A^c), \quad \forall D \in \mathscr{T},$$

we say A is **measurable**.

**Remark 0.7** — Inorder to prove A measurable, we only need to check

$$\tau(D) \ge \tau(D \cap A) + \tau(D \cap A^c), \quad \forall D \in \mathscr{T}.$$

Let  $\mathscr{F}_{\tau}$  be the collection of all the  $\tau$  measurable sets,

**Definition 0.8** (Complete measure space). Let  $(X, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$  be a measure space, if for all null set A, and  $\forall B \subset A, B \in \mathcal{F} \implies \mu(B) = 0$ , we say  $(X, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$  is **complete**.

### **Theorem 0.9** (Caratheodory's theorem)

Let  $\tau$  be an outer measure, then  $\mathscr{F} := \mathscr{F}_{\tau}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra, and  $(X, \mathscr{F}, \tau)$  is a complete measure space.

*Proof.* First we prove  $\mathscr{F}$  is an algebra:

Note  $\emptyset \in \mathscr{F}$ , and  $\mathscr{F}$  is closed under completements.

For measurable sets  $A_1, A_2$ ,

$$\tau(D) = \tau(D \cap A_1) + \tau(D \cap A_1^c) = \tau(D \cap A_1 \cap A_2) + \tau(D \cap (A_1) \cap A_2^c) + \tau(D \cap A_1^c) = \tau(D \cap (A_1 \cap A_2)) + \tau(D \cap (A_1 \cap A_2)^c).$$

So  $A_1 \cap A_2$  is measurable.

Secondly, we prove  $\mathscr{F}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra.

Let  $A_1, A_2, \dots \in \mathscr{F}$ ,

$$B_n := A_n \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{n-1} A_i \in \mathscr{F},$$

Then  $B_i$  pairwise disjoint and  $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i$ . Let  $B_f = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i$ .

It's sufficient to prove

$$\tau(D) \ge \tau(D \cap B_f) + \tau(D \cap B_f^c).$$

Let  $D_n = \sum_{i=1}^n B_i \cap D$ ,  $D_f = D \cap B_f$ ,  $D_\infty = D \setminus D_f$ . Since  $B_i$  are measurable,

$$\tau(D) = \tau(D_n) + \tau(D \setminus D_n) \ge \tau(D_n) + \tau(D_\infty) = \sum_{i=1}^n \tau(D \cap B_i) + \tau(D_\infty).$$

Now we take  $n \to \infty$ ,

$$\tau(D) \ge \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \tau(D \cap B_i) + \tau(D_{\infty}) \ge \tau \left(D \cap \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i\right) + \tau(D_{\infty}).$$

Where the last step follows from countable subadditivity.

This implies  $B_f$  measurable  $\implies \mathscr{F}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra.

Next we prove  $\tau | \mathscr{F}$  is a measure: Just let  $D = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i$  in the previous equation.

Last we prove  $(X, \mathcal{F}, \tau)$  is complete:

If 
$$\tau(A) = 0$$
,  $\tau(D) \ge \tau(D \cap A^c) = \tau(D \cap A) + \tau(D \cap A^c)$ . Thus  $A \in \mathscr{F}$ .

## **§0.2** Measure extension

**Definition 0.10** (Measure extension). Let  $\mu$ ,  $\nu$  be measures on  $\mathscr{E}$  and  $\overline{\mathscr{E}}$ , and  $\mathscr{E} \subset \overline{\mathscr{E}}$ . If

$$\nu(A) = \mu(A), \quad \forall A \in \mathscr{E},$$

we say  $\nu$  is a extension of  $\mu$  on  $\overline{\mathscr{E}}$ .

If we start from a measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathcal{E}$ , ideally,  $\mu$  can generate an outer measure  $\tau$ , and we can take  $\mathscr{F}_{\tau}$  to construct a measure space.

However, things could go wrong:

## Example 0.11

Let  $X = \{a, b, c\}, \mathcal{E} = \{\emptyset, \{a, b\}, \{b, c\}, X\}$  with

$$\mu(\emptyset) = 0, \mu(\{a, b\}) = 1, \mu(\{b, c\}) = 1, \mu(X) = 2.$$

Then  $\mu$  is a measure on  $\mathcal{E}$ , and the outer measure

$$\tau(\emptyset) = 0.$$

Observe that  $\mathscr{F}_{\tau} = \{\emptyset, X\}$ , so in this case  $\tau|_{\mathscr{F}}$  is the trivial measure.

## Example 0.12

Let  $X = \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\mathscr{E} = \{(a, b] : a < b, a, b \in \mathbb{R}\}$ . Let  $\mu(\emptyset) = 0$ , and  $\mu(A) = \infty$  for  $A \neq \emptyset$ .

Then  $\mu$  can be extend to the Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra on  $\mathbb{R}$  with  $\mu_{\alpha} = \sum_{q \in \mathbb{Q}} \alpha \delta_q$ ,  $\forall \alpha \geq 0$ . So the extension is not unique.

Therefore in order to get a "proper" extension, we must put some requirements on both the starting collection and the set function  $\mu$ .

## Proposition 0.13

Let  $\mathscr{P}$  be a  $\pi$  system. If two measures  $\mu, \nu$  on  $\sigma(\mathscr{P})$  satisfying

$$\mu|_{\mathscr{P}} = \nu|_{\mathscr{P}}, \quad \mu|_{\mathscr{P}} \text{ is } \sigma\text{-finite},$$

Then  $\mu = \nu$ .

*Proof.* Let  $A_1, A_2, \dots \in \mathscr{P}$  s.t.  $X = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$  and  $\mu(A_n) < \infty$ . Fix n, let  $B = A_n$ , we want to prove that

$$\mu(B \cap A) = \nu(B \cap A), \quad \forall A \in \sigma(\mathscr{P}).$$

Let  $B \in \mathscr{P}$  with  $\mu(B) < \infty$ ,

$$\mathscr{L} := \{ A \in \sigma(\mathscr{P}) : \mu(A \cap B) = \nu(A \cap B) \}.$$

We'll prove  $\mathscr{L}$  is a  $\lambda$  system, so that  $\mathscr{L} \supseteq \sigma(\mathscr{P})$ .

Suppose  $A_1, A_2 \in \mathcal{L}$  and  $A_1 \supseteq A_2$ , by  $\mu(B) < \infty$ ,

$$\mu((A_1 - A_2)B) = \mu(A_1B) - \mu(A_2B) = \nu(A_1B - A_2B) = \nu((A_1 - A_2)B).$$

So  $A_1 - A_2 \in \mathcal{L}$ .

Let  $A_1, A_2, \dots \in \mathcal{L}$  and  $A_n \uparrow A$ , then

$$\mu(AB) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \mu(A_n B) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \nu(A_n B) = \nu(AB).$$

Which implies  $A \in \mathcal{L}$ .

Hence  $\sigma(\mathscr{P}) \subset \mathscr{L}$ , i.e.

$$\mu(A \cap A_n) = \nu(A \cap A_n), \quad \forall A \in \sigma(\mathscr{P}).$$

Therefore

$$\mu(A) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(A \cap A_n) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \nu(A \cap A_n) = \nu(A), \quad \forall A \in \sigma(\mathscr{P}).$$

## Example 0.14

In probability, let  $\mathscr{E}_1, \mathscr{E}_2$  be collections of sets. We say they're independent if

$$P(AB) = P(A)P(B), \quad \forall A \in \mathcal{E}_1, B \in \mathcal{E}_2.$$

By the previous theorem we can derive  $\lambda(\mathscr{E}_1), \lambda(\mathscr{E}_2)$  are independent.

If  $A_1, A_2, \ldots$  satisfy

$$P(A_{i_1} \cdots A_{i_k}) = P(A_{i_1}) \cdots P(A_{i_k}),$$

we say they are independent.

Let  $\{1, 2, \dots\} = I + J$ , then the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by

$$\mathscr{E}_1 = \{ A_\alpha \mid \alpha \in I \}, \quad \mathscr{E}_2 = \{ A_\alpha \mid \alpha \in J \}$$

are independent.

#### **Theorem 0.15** (Measure extension theorem)

Let  $\mu$  be a measure on a semi-ring  $\mathcal{Q}$ ,  $\tau$  is the outer measure generated by  $\mu$ . We have

$$\sigma(\mathcal{Q}) \in \mathscr{F}_{\tau}, \quad \tau|_{\mathcal{Q}} = \mu.$$

**Remark 0.16** — Any measure on a semi-ring  $\mathcal{Q}$  can extend to the  $\sigma(\mathcal{Q})$ , and if  $\mu$  is  $\sigma$ -finite, the extension is unique.

*Proof.* For any  $A \in \mathcal{Q}$ , let  $B_1 = A$ ,  $B_n = \emptyset$ ,  $n \ge 2$ . Then  $\tau(A) \le \sum \mu(B_n) = \mu(A)$ . On the other hand, if  $A_1, A_2, \dots \in \mathcal{Q}$  s.t.  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \supseteq A$ , then

$$\mu(A) = \mu\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(AA_n)\right) \le \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(AA_n) \le \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(A_n).$$

CONTENTS Measure Theory

Thus  $\tau(A) = \mu(A)$ , where we used the fact that  $\mu$  is countable subadditive. Next we prove  $A \in \mathscr{F}_{\tau}$ . We need to show that

$$\tau(D) \ge \tau(D \cap A) + \tau(D \cap A^c).$$

WLOG  $\tau(D) < \infty$ . Take  $B_1, B_2, \dots \in \mathcal{Q}$  s.t.

$$\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n \supseteq D, \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(B_n) < \tau(D) + \varepsilon.$$

Denote  $\hat{D} := B_n \in \mathcal{Q}$  for a fixed n. Suppose  $\hat{D} \cap A^c = \hat{D} \setminus A = \sum_{i=1}^n C_i$ .

$$\mu(\hat{D}) = \mu(\hat{D} \cap A) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mu(C_i) \ge \tau(\hat{D} \cap A) + \tau(\hat{D} \cap A^c).$$

Apply this inequality to each  $B_n$ ,

$$\tau(D) + \varepsilon > \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\tau(B_n \cap A) + \tau(B_n \cap A^c)) \ge \tau(D \cap A) + \tau(D \cap A^c).$$

this implies  $\tau(D) \geq \tau(D \cap A) + \tau(D \cap A^c) \implies A \in \mathscr{F}_{\tau}$ .

At last by Caratheodory's theorem,  $\tau$  is a measure on  $\mathscr{F}_{\tau} \supseteq \sigma(\mathscr{Q})$ .

## **Theorem 0.17** (Equi-measure hull)

Let  $\tau$  be the outer measure generated by  $\mu$ ,

- $\forall A \in \mathscr{F}_{\tau}$ ,  $\exists B \in \sigma(\mathscr{Q})$  s.t.  $B \supset A$  and  $\tau(A) = \tau(B)$ ;
- If  $\mu$  is  $\sigma$ -finite, then  $\tau(B \setminus A) = 0$ .

**Remark 0.18** — This theroem states that  $\mathscr{F}_{\tau}$  is just  $\sigma(\mathscr{Q})$  appended with null sets.

*Proof.* If  $\tau(A) = \infty$ , B = X suffices.

By definition, there exists  $B_n = \bigcup_{k=1}^{k_n} B_{n,k} \supseteq A$  s.t.  $\tau(B_n) < \tau(A) + \frac{1}{n}$ . Let  $B = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n$ , we must have  $\tau(B) = \tau(A)$ .

Now for the second part, let  $X = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$ ,  $A_n \in \mathcal{Q}$ ,  $\mu(A_n) < \infty$ . Since  $A = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} AA_n$ , we have

$$AA_n \in \mathscr{F}_{\tau}, \quad \tau(AA_n) < \tau(A_n) = \mu(A_n) < \infty.$$

Let  $B_n \in \sigma(\mathcal{Q})$  s.t.  $B_n \supseteq AA_n$  and  $\tau(B_n) = \tau(AA_n) < \infty$ . Let  $B := \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n$  we have

$$\tau(B-A) = \tau\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (B_n - AA_n)\right) \le \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \tau(B_n - AA_n) = 0.$$

Let  $\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{F}$  be the ring, algebra,  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by  $\mathcal{Q}$ , respectively. The outer measure  $\tau$  restricts to a measure on each of these collections, denoted by  $\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3$ . Each  $\mu_i$  can generate an outer measure  $\tau_i$ , but actually they're all the same as our original  $\tau$ , since  $\tau_i$  are "build up" from  $\tau$ , intuitively  $\tau_i$  cannot be any better than  $\tau$ . (The proof says exactly the same thing, so I'll omit it)

#### Proposition 0.19

Let  $\mu$  be a measure on an algebra  $\mathscr{A}$ .  $\tau$  is the outer measure generated by  $\mu$ , for all  $A \in \sigma(\mathscr{A})$ , if  $\tau(A) < \infty$ , then  $\forall \varepsilon > 0$ ,  $\exists B \in \mathscr{A}$  s.t.  $\tau(A \Delta B) < \varepsilon$ .

**Remark 0.20** — In practice we often replace  $\tau$  with a  $\sigma$ -finite measure  $\mu$  on  $\sigma(\mathscr{A})$ . (Here  $\sigma$ -finite is on  $\mathscr{A}$ )

*Proof.* Choose  $B_1, B_2, \dots \in \mathscr{A}$  s.t.

$$\hat{B} := \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n \supseteq A, \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(B_n) < \tau(A) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

Let N be a sufficiently large number,  $B := \bigcup_{n=1}^{N} B_n \in \mathcal{A}$ ,

$$\tau(A \backslash B) \le \tau \left(\bigcup_{n=N+1}^{\infty} B_n\right) \le \sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} \tau(B_n) \le \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

As  $\tau(B \backslash A) \le \tau(\hat{B} \backslash A) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}, \ \tau(A \Delta B) < \varepsilon$ .

#### Example 0.21

Consider the Bernoulli test, recall  $C_{i_1,...,i_n}$  we defined earlier. A measure(probability)  $\mu$  is defined on the semi-ring  $\{C_{i_1,...,i_n}\} \cup \{\emptyset, X\}$ , then it can extend uniquely to the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by it. This is how the probability of Bernoulli test comes from.

Let  $(X, \mathcal{F}, P)$  be a probability space,  $A_1, A_2, \dots \in \mathcal{F}$ . We define the **tail**  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{F}$ :

$$\mathscr{G}_n := \sigma(\{A_{n+1}, A_{n+2}, \dots\}), \quad \mathscr{T} := \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathscr{G}_n.$$

Let  $f_1, f_2, \ldots$  be random variable, the tail  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by them is defined similarly:

$$\mathscr{G}_n := \sigma(\{f_{n+1}, f_{n+2}, \dots\}), \quad \mathscr{T} := \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathscr{G}_n.$$

#### Theorem 0.22 (Kolmogorov 0-1 law)

If  $A_1, A_2, \dots \in \mathscr{F}$  are independent, then  $P(A) \in \{0, 1\}, \forall A \in \mathscr{T}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\mathscr{F}_n := \sigma(\{A_1, \ldots, A_n\})$  and  $\mathscr{G}_n$ . They are clearly independent.

Note that  $\mathscr{A} := \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathscr{F}_n$  is an algebra.

Let  $\mathscr{H} := \sigma(\mathscr{A}) \supseteq \mathscr{G}_n \supseteq \mathscr{T}$ .

Hence  $\forall A \in \mathscr{T} \subset \mathscr{H}, \forall \varepsilon > 0$ , exists  $B \in \mathscr{A}$  s.t.  $P(A\Delta B) < \varepsilon$ , so

$$P(A) - P(AB) \le \varepsilon, \quad |P(A) - P(B)| \le \varepsilon.$$

Since  $B \in \mathscr{F}_n$  for some n, thus it is independent to A.

$$|P(A) - P(A)^2| < |P(A) - P(AB)| + |P(AB) - P(A)^2| < 2\varepsilon.$$

Let  $\varepsilon \to 0$ , we'll get  $P(A) \in \{0, 1\}$ .

**Remark 0.23** — When  $A_i$ 's are replace by random variables, this theorem also holds.

## Example 0.24

finite Markov chain

## §0.3 The completion of measure spaces

Let  $(X, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$  be a measure space, and

$$\widetilde{\mathscr{F}} := \{A \cup N : A \in \mathscr{F}, \exists B \in \mathscr{F} \ s.t. \ \mu(B) = 0, N \subset B\}.$$

Another way to define it is:  $\widetilde{\mathscr{F}} := \{A \setminus N\}$ , since

$$A \cup N = A + NA^c = (A \cup B) \setminus (BA^c \setminus N);$$

$$A \backslash N = A - NA = (A \backslash B) + (BA \backslash N).$$

In fact, we can do even more:  $\widetilde{\mathscr{F}} := \{A\Delta N\}.$ 

Next we define the measure

$$\widetilde{\mu}(A \cup N) := \mu(A), \quad \forall A \cup N \in \widetilde{\mathscr{F}}$$

We need to check several things:

- $\widetilde{\mathscr{F}}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra.
- $\widetilde{\mu}$  is well-defined.
- $(X, \widetilde{\mathscr{F}}, \widetilde{\mu})$  is a complete measure space.

**Remark 0.25** — The mesure  $\widetilde{\mu}$  is the *minimal complete extension* of  $\mu$ , i.e. if  $(X, \mathcal{G}, \nu)$  is another complete extension, then

$$\nu(B) = \mu(B) = 0 \implies \forall N \subset B, N \in \mathcal{G}, \nu(N) = 0.$$

$$\mu(A) = \nu(A) \le \nu(A \cup N) \le \nu(A) + \nu(N) = \nu(A).$$

Thus  $\mathscr{G} \supseteq \widetilde{\mathscr{F}}$  and  $\nu(A) = \widetilde{\mu}(A)$  for  $A \in \widetilde{\mathscr{F}}$ .

Therefore we call  $(X, \widetilde{\mathscr{F}}, \widetilde{\mu})$  the **completion** of  $(X, \mathscr{F}, \mu)$ .

Obviously  $\emptyset \in \widetilde{\mathscr{F}}$ ; For  $A \cup N \in \widetilde{\mathscr{F}}$ ,  $(A \cup N)^c = A^c - A^c N \in \widetilde{\mathscr{F}}$ .

$$\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (A_n \cup N_n) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \cup \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} N_n, \quad N = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} N_n \subset \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n.$$

Thus  $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}$  is a  $\sigma\text{-algebra}.$ 

For  $\widetilde{\mu}$ , if  $A_1 \cup N_1 = A_2 \cup N_2$ ,

$$\mu(A_1) = \mu(A_1 \cup B_2) > \mu(A_2).$$

Last we prove the countable additivity of  $\widetilde{\mu}$ . It's easy to check, so left out. For the completeness, if  $C \subset A \cup N$ ,  $\mu(A) = 0$ , then  $C \subset A \cup B$  which is null. Combining with the previous results we have

#### Theorem 0.26

Let  $\tau$  be the outer measure generated by  $\mu$ , a  $\sigma$ -finite measure on a semi-ring  $\mathcal{Q}$ . We have  $(X\mathscr{F}_{\tau},\tau)$  is the complet on of  $(X,\sigma(\mathcal{Q}),\tau)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\mathscr{F} = \sigma(\mathscr{Q})$ , we'll prove that  $\widetilde{\mathscr{F}} = \mathscr{F}_{\tau}$ .

Since  $(X, \mathscr{F}_{\tau}, \tau)$  is complete, we have  $\mathscr{F}_{\tau} \supseteq \widetilde{\mathscr{F}}$ .

For all  $C \in \mathscr{F}_{\tau}$ , it suffices to prove C = A + N for some  $A \in \mathscr{F}, N \subset B$  with B null.

Since  $C^c \in \mathscr{F}_{\tau}$ ,  $\exists B \in \mathscr{F}$  s.t.

$$B \supseteq C^c$$
,  $\tau(B \backslash C^c) = 0$ .

#### Example 0.27

Let  $F : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  be a non-decreasing, right continuous function (called a **quasi-distribution** function). Let  $\nu$  be the measure on  $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathbb{R}}$ ,

$$\nu: (a,b] \mapsto \max F(b) - F(a), 0.$$

Let  $\tau$  be the outer measure generated by  $\nu$ . We call the sets in  $\mathscr{F}_{\tau}$  to be the Lebesgue-Stieljes measurable sets (L-S measurable), if a measurable function

$$f: (\mathbb{R}, \mathscr{F}_{\tau}) \to (\mathbb{R}, \mathscr{B}_{\mathbb{R}}),$$

we say f is L-S measurable, and  $\tau|_{\mathscr{F}_{\tau}}$  is the L-S measure.

Since  $\mathscr{B}_{\mathbb{R}} = \sigma(\mathscr{Q})$ ,  $(\mathbb{R}, \mathscr{F}_{\tau}, \tau)$  is the completion of  $(\mathbb{R}, \mathscr{B}_{\mathbb{R}}, \tau)$ , and  $\mu = \tau \big|_{\mathscr{B}_{\mathbb{R}}}$  is the unique extension of  $\nu$ .

Conversely, given a measure  $\mu$  on  $(\mathbb{R}, \mathscr{B}_{\mathbb{R}})$ , if  $\mu((a,b]) < \infty$  for all a < b, then  $\mu = \mu_F$ , where

$$F = F_{\mu} : x \mapsto \mu((-\infty, x]), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

We say a probability on  $(\mathbb{R}, \mathscr{B}_{\mathbb{R}})$  is a **distribution**. Let  $F : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  be a quasi-distribution function, if F is normal:

$$F(-\infty) := \lim_{x \to -\infty} F(x) = 0, \quad F(+\infty) := \lim_{x \to +\infty} F(x) = 1,$$

then we say F is a distribution function (d.f.).

From this we know distribution and d.f. are one-to-one correspondent.

#### Theorem 0.28

Let  $g:(X,\mathscr{F})\to (Y,\mathscr{S}), \mu$  is a measure on  $\mathscr{F}$ . Let

$$\nu(B) := \mu(q^{-1}(B)) = \mu \circ q^{-1}(B), \quad \forall B \in \mathscr{S}.$$

Then  $\nu$  is a measure on  $\mathscr{S}$ .

*Proof.* Trivial. Just check the definition one by one.

Let  $(\Omega, \mathscr{F}, P)$  be a probability space,  $f: (\Omega, \mathscr{F}) \to (\mathbb{R}, \mathscr{B}_{\mathscr{R}})$ . We say

$$P \circ f^{-1} : B \mapsto P(f \in B)$$

is the **distribution** of f, denoted by  $\mu_f$ .

If  $\mu_f = \mu$ , we say f obeys the distribution  $\mu$ , denoted by  $f \sim \mu$ . Let  $F_f = F_{\mu_f}$  be the distribution function of f.

$$F_f := \mu_f((-\infty, x]) = P(f \le x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

We can also say f obeys  $F_f$ , denoted by  $f \sim F_f$ .

If  $F_f = F_g$ , then we say f and g is **identically distributed**, denoted by  $f \stackrel{d}{=} g$ . left-continuous inverse of d.f.

Any d.f. is the distribution function of some r.v.: Let  $\Omega = \mathbb{R}, \mathscr{F} = \mathscr{B}_{\mathbb{R}}, P = \mu_F, f = \mathrm{id}$ .