

# Measure Theory

Felix Chen

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Measure spaces</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1	The definition of measure and its properties	1
1.2	Outer measure	4
1.3	Measure extension	7

## §1 Measure spaces

### §1.1 The definition of measure and its properties

The concept of “measure” is frequently used in our everyday life: length, area, weight and even probability. They all share a similarly: the measure of a whole is equal to the sum of the measure of each part.

In the language of mathematics, let  $\mathcal{E}$  be a collection of sets, and there’s a function  $\mu : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  which stands for the measure.

**countable additivity**: Let  $A_1, A_2, \dots \in \mathcal{E}$  be pairwise disjoint sets, and  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i \in \mathcal{E}$ , then

$$\mu\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu(A_i).$$

**Definition 1.1** (Measure). Suppose  $\emptyset \in \mathcal{E}$ , if a non-negative function

$$\mu : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$$

satisfies countable additivity, and  $\mu(\emptyset) = 0$ , then we say  $\mu$  is a **measure** on  $\mathcal{E}$ .

If  $\mu(A) < \infty$  for all  $A \in \mathcal{E}$ , we say  $\mu$  is finite. (In practice we’ll just simplify this to  $\mu(X) < \infty$ )

If  $\exists A_1, A_2, \dots \in \mathcal{E}$  are pairwise disjoint sets, s.t.

$$X = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n, \quad \mu(A_n) < \infty, \forall n.$$

Then we say  $\mu$  is  $\sigma$ -finite.

There’s a weaker version of countable additivity, that is **finite additivity**: If  $A_1, \dots, A_n \in \mathcal{E}$ , pairwise disjoint, and  $\sum A_i \in \mathcal{E}$ ,

$$\mu\left(\sum_{i=1}^n A_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^n \mu(A_i),$$

then we say  $\mu$  is finite additive.

Subtractivity:  $\mu(B - A) = \mu(B) - \mu(A)$ , where  $A, B, B - A \in \mathcal{E}$ , and  $\mu(A) < \infty$ .

**Proposition 1.2**

Measure satisfies finite additivity and subtractivity.

**Example 1.3** (Counting measure)

Let  $\mu(A) = \#A$ ,  $\forall A \in \mathcal{T}_X$ . Then  $\mu$  is a measure.

**Example 1.4** (Point measure)

Let  $(X, \mathcal{F})$  be a measurable space, define  $\delta_x(A) = \mathbf{I}_A(x)$ . Then we can define a measure

$$\mu(A) = \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \delta_{x_i}(A)$$

**Example 1.5** (Length)

Let  $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{Q}_{\mathbb{R}} = \{(a, b] : a, b \in \mathbb{R}, a \leq b\}$ , then  $\mu((a, b]) = b - a$  gives a measure.

Another classical example is the so-called “coin space”:

Let  $X = \{x = (x_1, x_2, \dots) : x_i \in \{0, 1\}, \forall n\}$ .

$$C_{i_1, \dots, i_n} := \{x : x_1 = i_1, \dots, x_n = i_n\},$$

Let

$$\mathcal{Q} = \{\emptyset, X\} \cup \{C_{i_1, \dots, i_n} : n \in \mathbb{N}, i_1, \dots, i_n \in \{0, 1\}\}$$

be a semi-ring. Then  $\mu(C_{i_1, \dots, i_n}) = \frac{1}{2^n}$  gives a measure.

We need to check the countable additivity, but actually this can be realized as a compact space and the  $C$ 's are open sets, so in fact we only need to check finite additivity. (Or we can prove this explicitly)

Another more complex example: finite markov chain.

**Proposition 1.6**

Let  $X = \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{R}}$ .  $F : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is non-decreasing, right continuous, then  $\mu((a, b]) = F(b) - F(a)$  gives a measure on  $\mathcal{E}$ .

*Proof.* First  $\mu(\emptyset) = 0$ , suppose

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (a_i, b_i] = (a, b].$$

Since every partial sum has measure at most  $F(b_{n+1}) - F(a_1) < F(b) - F(a)$ ,

$$\implies \sum_{i=1}^n \mu((a_i, b_i]) \leq \mu((a, b]).$$

For the reversed inequality, first we prove that for intervals

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^n (c_i, d_i] \supseteq (a, b] \implies \sum_{i=1}^n \mu((c_i, d_i]) \geq \mu((a, b]).$$

This can be easily proved by induction, WLOG  $b_{n+1} = \max_i b_i$ .

Our idea is to extend each  $(a_i, b_i]$  a little bit to apply above inequality.

For all  $\varepsilon > 0$ , take  $\delta_i > 0$  s.t.

$$\tilde{b}_i := b_i + \delta_i, \quad F(\tilde{b}_i) - F(b_i) \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

Hence for all  $\delta > 0$ ,  $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} (a_i, \tilde{b}_i) \supseteq [a + \delta, b]$ , by compactness exists a finite open cover.

$$F(b) - F(a + \delta) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \left( F(\tilde{b}_i) - F(a_i) \right) \leq \varepsilon + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (F(b) - F(a)).$$

Let  $\varepsilon, \delta \rightarrow 0$  to conclude. □

**Definition 1.7** (Measure space). A triple  $(X, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$  is called a **measure space**, if  $(X, \mathcal{F})$  is a measurable space and  $\mu$  is a measure on  $\mathcal{F}$ .

If  $N \in \mathcal{F}$  s.t.  $\mu(N) = 0$ , we say  $N$  is a **null set**.

A probability space is a measure space  $(X, \mathcal{F}, P)$  with  $P(X) = 1$ .

**Example 1.8** (Discrete measure)

If  $X$  is countable,  $p : X \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ ,  $\mu(A) := \sum_{x \in A} p(x)$  is a measure.

There are other important properties which we think a sensible measure would have:

- Monotonicity: If  $A, B \in \mathcal{E}$ ,  $A \subset B$ , then  $\mu(A) \leq \mu(B)$ .
- Countable subadditivity:  $A_1, A_2, \dots \in \mathcal{E}$ ,

$$\mu \left( \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i \right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu(A_i).$$

- Lower continuity:  $A_1, A_2, \dots \in \mathcal{E}$  and  $A_n \uparrow A \in \mathcal{E}$ .

$$\mu(A) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(A_n).$$

- Similarly there's upper continuity (which requires  $\mu(A_1) < \infty$ ).

**Theorem 1.9**

The measure on a semi-ring has all the above properties.

*Proof.* In fact,

- Finite additivity  $\implies$  monotonicity, subtractivity;
- Countable additivity  $\implies$  subadditivity, upper and lower continuity.

Here we only prove the subadditivity, since others are trivial.  
 Let  $A_1, A_2, \dots \in \mathcal{Q}$ , and  $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i \in \mathcal{Q}$ .

$$B_n := A_n \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{n-1} A_i \in r(\mathcal{Q}) \implies B_n = \sum_{k=1}^{k_n} C_{n,k}, \quad C_{n,k} \in \mathcal{Q}.$$

$$A_n \setminus B_n \in r(\mathcal{Q}) \implies A_n \setminus B_n = \sum_{l=1}^{l_n} D_{n,l}, \quad D_{n,l} \in \mathcal{Q}.$$

Thus by countable additivity,

$$\begin{aligned} \mu\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i\right) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(B_n) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{k_n} \mu(C_{n,k})\right) \\ &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{k_n} \mu(C_{n,k}) + \sum_{l=1}^{l_n} \mu(D_{n,l})\right) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(A_n). \end{aligned}$$

Using similar technique we can deduce the upper and lower continuity. □

### Theorem 1.10

Let  $\mu$  be a set function on a ring with finite additivity, then  $1 \iff 2 \iff 3 \implies 4 \implies 5$ .

- $\mu$  is countably additive;
- $\mu$  is countably subadditive;
- $\mu$  is lower continuous;
- $\mu$  is upper continuous;
- $\mu$  is continuous at  $\emptyset$ .

## §1.2 Outer measure

Once we construct a measure on a semi-ring, we want to extend it to a  $\sigma$ -algebra. Since we can't directly do this, we shall relax some of our restrictions, say reduce countable additivity to subadditivity.

**Definition 1.11** (Outer measure). Let  $\tau : \mathcal{T} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  satisfying:

- $\tau(\emptyset) = 0$ ;
- If  $A \subset B \subset X$ , then  $\tau(A) \leq \tau(B)$ ;
- (Countable subadditivity)  $\forall A_1, A_2, \dots \in \mathcal{T}$ , we have

$$\tau\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n\right) \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \tau(A_n).$$

We call  $\tau$  an **outer measure** on  $X$ .

It's easier to extend a measure on semi-ring to an outer measure:

**Theorem 1.12**

Let  $\mu$  be a non-negative set function on a collection  $\mathcal{E}$ , where  $\emptyset \in \mathcal{E}$  and  $\mu(\emptyset) = 0$ . Let

$$\tau(A) := \inf \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(B_n) : B_n \in \mathcal{E}, \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n \supseteq A \right\}, \quad \forall A \in \mathcal{T}.$$

By convention,  $\inf \emptyset = \infty$ . ( $\mu$  need not be a measure!)

Then  $\tau$  is called the outer measure generated by  $\mu$ .

*Proof.* Clearly  $\tau(\emptyset) = 0$ , and  $\tau(A) \leq \tau(B)$  for  $A \subset B$ .

$$\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n \supseteq B \implies \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n \supseteq A.$$

For all  $A_1, A_2, \dots \in \mathcal{T}$ , WLOG  $\tau(A_n) < \infty$ . Take  $B_{n,k}$  s.t.  $\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} B_{n,k} \supseteq A_n$ , such that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu(B_{n,k}) < \tau(A_n) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2^n}.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} & \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} B_{n,k} \supseteq A_n, \\ \tau \left( \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \right) & \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu(B_{n,k}) + \varepsilon \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \tau(A_n) + \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

□

**Example 1.13**

Let  $\mathcal{E} = \{X, \emptyset\}$ ,  $\mu(X) = 1$ ,  $\mu(\emptyset) = 0$ . Then  $\tau(A) = 1$ ,  $\forall A \neq \emptyset$ .

**Example 1.14**

Let  $X = \{a, b, c\}$ ,  $\mathcal{E} = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{a, b\}, \{c\}\}$ .  $\mu(A) = \#A$  for  $A \in \mathcal{E}$ .

Here something strange happens:  $\tau(\{b\}) = 2$  instead of 1, and  $\tau(\{b, c\}) = 3$  instead of 2.

In the above example, we found the set  $\{b\}$  somehow behaves badly: if we divide  $\{a, b\}$  to  $\{a\} + \{b\}$ , the outer measure is not the sum of two smaller measure.

Hence we want to get rid of this kind of inconsistency to get a proper measure:

**Definition 1.15** (Measurable sets). Let  $\tau$  be an outer measure, if a set  $A$  satisfies *Caratheodory condition*:

$$\tau(D) = \tau(D \cap A) + \tau(D \cap A^c), \quad \forall D \in \mathcal{T},$$

we say  $A$  is **measurable**.

**Remark 1.16** — In order to prove  $A$  measurable, we only need to check

$$\tau(D) \geq \tau(D \cap A) + \tau(D \cap A^c), \quad \forall D \in \mathcal{F}.$$

Let  $\mathcal{F}_\tau$  be the collection of all the  $\tau$  measurable sets,

**Definition 1.17** (Complete measure space). Let  $(X, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$  be a measure space, if for all null set  $A$ , and  $\forall B \subset A, B \in \mathcal{F} \implies \mu(B) = 0$ , we say  $(X, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$  is **complete**.

**Theorem 1.18** (Caratheodory's theorem)

Let  $\tau$  be an outer measure, then  $\mathcal{F} := \mathcal{F}_\tau$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra, and  $(X, \mathcal{F}, \tau)$  is a complete measure space.

*Proof.* First we prove  $\mathcal{F}$  is an algebra:

Note  $\emptyset \in \mathcal{F}$ , and  $\mathcal{F}$  is closed under complements.

For measurable sets  $A_1, A_2$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(D) &= \tau(D \cap A_1) + \tau(D \cap A_1^c) \\ &= \tau(D \cap A_1 \cap A_2) + \tau(D \cap (A_1 \cap A_2)^c) + \tau(D \cap A_1^c) \\ &= \tau(D \cap (A_1 \cap A_2)) + \tau(D \cap (A_1 \cap A_2)^c). \end{aligned}$$

So  $A_1 \cap A_2$  is measurable.

Secondly, we prove  $\mathcal{F}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra.

Let  $A_1, A_2, \dots \in \mathcal{F}$ ,

$$B_n := A_n \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{n-1} A_i \in \mathcal{F},$$

Then  $B_i$  pairwise disjoint and  $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i$ . Let  $B_f = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i$ .

It's sufficient to prove

$$\tau(D) \geq \tau(D \cap B_f) + \tau(D \cap B_f^c).$$

Let  $D_n = \sum_{i=1}^n B_i \cap D$ ,  $D_f = D \cap B_f$ ,  $D_\infty = D \setminus D_f$ .

Since  $B_i$  are measurable,

$$\tau(D) = \tau(D_n) + \tau(D \setminus D_n) \geq \tau(D_n) + \tau(D_\infty) = \sum_{i=1}^n \tau(D \cap B_i) + \tau(D_\infty).$$

Now we take  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$\tau(D) \geq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \tau(D \cap B_i) + \tau(D_\infty) \geq \tau\left(D \cap \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i\right) + \tau(D_\infty).$$

Where the last step follows from countable subadditivity.

This implies  $B_f$  measurable  $\implies \mathcal{F}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra.

Next we prove  $\tau|_{\mathcal{F}}$  is a measure: Just let  $D = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i$  in the previous equation.

Last we prove  $(X, \mathcal{F}, \tau)$  is complete:

If  $\tau(A) = 0$ ,  $\tau(D) \geq \tau(D \cap A) + \tau(D \cap A^c)$ . Thus  $A \in \mathcal{F}$ . □

### §1.3 Measure extension

**Definition 1.19** (Measure extension). Let  $\mu, \nu$  be measures on  $\mathcal{E}$  and  $\overline{\mathcal{E}}$ , and  $\mathcal{E} \subset \overline{\mathcal{E}}$ . If

$$\nu(A) = \mu(A), \quad \forall A \in \mathcal{E},$$

we say  $\nu$  is an extension of  $\mu$  on  $\overline{\mathcal{E}}$ .

If we start from a measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathcal{E}$ , ideally,  $\mu$  can generate an outer measure  $\tau$ , and we can take  $\mathcal{F}_\tau$  to construct a measure space.

However, things could go wrong:

**Example 1.20**

Let  $X = \{a, b, c\}$ ,  $\mathcal{E} = \{\emptyset, \{a, b\}, \{b, c\}, X\}$  with

$$\mu(\emptyset) = 0, \mu(\{a, b\}) = 1, \mu(\{b, c\}) = 1, \mu(X) = 2.$$

Then  $\mu$  is a measure on  $\mathcal{E}$ , and the outer measure

$$\tau(\emptyset) = 0.$$

Observe that  $\mathcal{F}_\tau = \{\emptyset, X\}$ , so in this case  $\tau|_{\mathcal{F}}$  is the trivial measure.

**Example 1.21**

Let  $X = \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\mathcal{E} = \{(a, b] : a < b, a, b \in \mathbb{R}\}$ . Let  $\mu(\emptyset) = 0$ , and  $\mu(A) = \infty$  for  $A \neq \emptyset$ .

Then  $\mu$  can be extended to the Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra on  $\mathbb{R}$  with  $\mu_\alpha = \sum_{q \in \mathbb{Q}} \alpha \delta_q$ ,  $\forall \alpha \geq 0$ . So the extension is not unique.

Therefore in order to get a “proper” extension, we must put some requirements on both the starting collection and the set function  $\mu$ .

**Proposition 1.22**

Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be a  $\pi$  system. If two measures  $\mu, \nu$  on  $\sigma(\mathcal{P})$  satisfying

$$\mu|_{\mathcal{P}} = \nu|_{\mathcal{P}}, \quad \mu|_{\mathcal{P}} \text{ is } \sigma\text{-finite},$$

Then  $\mu = \nu$ .

*Proof.* Let  $A_1, A_2, \dots \in \mathcal{P}$  s.t.  $X = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$  and  $\mu(A_n) < \infty$ .

Fix  $n$ , let  $B = A_n$ , we want to prove that

$$\mu(B \cap A) = \nu(B \cap A), \quad \forall A \in \sigma(\mathcal{P}).$$

Let  $B \in \mathcal{P}$  with  $\mu(B) < \infty$ ,

$$\mathcal{L} := \{A \in \sigma(\mathcal{P}) : \mu(A \cap B) = \nu(A \cap B)\}.$$

We'll prove  $\mathcal{L}$  is a  $\lambda$  system, so that  $\mathcal{L} \supseteq \sigma(\mathcal{P})$ .

Suppose  $A_1, A_2 \in \mathcal{L}$  and  $A_1 \supseteq A_2$ , by  $\mu(B) < \infty$ ,

$$\mu((A_1 - A_2)B) = \mu(A_1B) - \mu(A_2B) = \nu(A_1B - A_2B) = \nu((A_1 - A_2)B).$$

So  $A_1 - A_2 \in \mathcal{L}$ .

Let  $A_1, A_2, \dots \in \mathcal{L}$  and  $A_n \uparrow A$ , then

$$\mu(AB) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(A_nB) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \nu(A_nB) = \nu(AB).$$

Which implies  $A \in \mathcal{L}$ .

Hence  $\sigma(\mathcal{P}) \subset \mathcal{L}$ , i.e.

$$\mu(A \cap A_n) = \nu(A \cap A_n), \quad \forall A \in \sigma(\mathcal{P}).$$

Therefore

$$\mu(A) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(A \cap A_n) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \nu(A \cap A_n) = \nu(A), \quad \forall A \in \sigma(\mathcal{P}).$$

□

### Example 1.23

In probability, let  $\mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{E}_2$  be collections of sets. We say they're independent if

$$P(AB) = P(A)P(B), \quad \forall A \in \mathcal{E}_1, B \in \mathcal{E}_2.$$

By the previous theorem we can derive  $\lambda(\mathcal{E}_1), \lambda(\mathcal{E}_2)$  are independent.

If  $A_1, A_2, \dots$  satisfy

$$P(A_{i_1} \cdots A_{i_k}) = P(A_{i_1}) \cdots P(A_{i_k}),$$

we say they are independent.

Let  $\{1, 2, \dots\} = I + J$ , then the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by

$$\mathcal{E}_1 = \{A_\alpha \mid \alpha \in I\}, \quad \mathcal{E}_2 = \{A_\alpha \mid \alpha \in J\}$$

are independent.

### Theorem 1.24 (Measure extension theorem)

Let  $\mu$  be a measure on a semi-ring  $\mathcal{Q}$ ,  $\tau$  is the outer measure generated by  $\mu$ . We have

$$\sigma(\mathcal{Q}) \in \mathcal{F}_\tau, \quad \tau|_{\mathcal{Q}} = \mu.$$

**Remark 1.25** — Any measure on a semi-ring  $\mathcal{Q}$  can extend to the  $\sigma(\mathcal{Q})$ , and if  $\mu$  is  $\sigma$ -finite, the extension is unique.

*Proof.* For any  $A \in \mathcal{Q}$ , let  $B_1 = A$ ,  $B_n = \emptyset, n \geq 2$ . Then  $\tau(A) \leq \sum \mu(B_n) = \mu(A)$ .

On the other hand, if  $A_1, A_2, \dots \in \mathcal{Q}$  s.t.  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \supseteq A$ , then

$$\mu(A) = \mu\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(AA_n)\right) \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(AA_n) \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(A_n).$$



Thus  $\tau(A) = \mu(A)$ , where we used the fact that  $\mu$  is countable subadditive.

Next we prove  $A \in \mathcal{F}_\tau$ . We need to show that

$$\tau(D) \geq \tau(D \cap A) + \tau(D \cap A^c).$$

WLOG  $\tau(D) < \infty$ . Take  $B_1, B_2, \dots \in \mathcal{Q}$  s.t.

$$\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n \supseteq D, \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(B_n) < \tau(D) + \varepsilon.$$

Denote  $\hat{D} := B_n \in \mathcal{Q}$  for a fixed  $n$ . Suppose  $\hat{D} \cap A^c = \hat{D} \setminus A = \sum_{i=1}^n C_i$ .

$$\mu(\hat{D}) = \mu(\hat{D} \cap A) + \sum_{i=1}^n \mu(C_i) \geq \tau(\hat{D} \cap A) + \tau(\hat{D} \cap A^c).$$

Apply this inequality to each  $B_n$ ,

$$\tau(D) + \varepsilon > \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\tau(B_n \cap A) + \tau(B_n \cap A^c)) \geq \tau(D \cap A) + \tau(D \cap A^c).$$

this implies  $\tau(D) \geq \tau(D \cap A) + \tau(D \cap A^c) \implies A \in \mathcal{F}_\tau$ .

At last by Caratheodory's theorem,  $\tau$  is a measure on  $\mathcal{F}_\tau \supseteq \sigma(\mathcal{Q})$ . □

### Theorem 1.26 (Equi-measure hull)

Let  $\tau$  be the outer measure generated by  $\mu$ ,

- $\forall A \in \mathcal{F}_\tau, \exists B \in \sigma(\mathcal{Q})$  s.t.  $B \supseteq A$  and  $\tau(A) = \tau(B)$ ;
- If  $\mu$  is  $\sigma$ -finite, then  $\tau(B \setminus A) = 0$ .

**Remark 1.27** — This theroem states that  $\mathcal{F}_\tau$  is just  $\sigma(\mathcal{Q})$  appended with null sets.

*Proof.* If  $\tau(A) = \infty$ ,  $B = X$  suffices.

By definition, there exists  $B_n = \bigcup_{k=1}^{k_n} B_{n,k} \supseteq A$  s.t.  $\tau(B_n) < \tau(A) + \frac{1}{n}$ . Let  $B = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n$ , we must have  $\tau(B) = \tau(A)$ .

Now for the second part, let  $X = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$ ,  $A_n \in \mathcal{Q}$ ,  $\mu(A_n) < \infty$ .

Since  $A = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A A_n$ , we have

$$A A_n \in \mathcal{F}_\tau, \quad \tau(A A_n) \leq \tau(A_n) = \mu(A_n) < \infty.$$

Let  $B_n \in \sigma(\mathcal{Q})$  s.t.  $B_n \supseteq A A_n$  and  $\tau(B_n) = \tau(A A_n) < \infty$ . Let  $B := \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n$  we have

$$\tau(B - A) = \tau\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (B_n - A A_n)\right) \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \tau(B_n - A A_n) = 0.$$

□

Let  $\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{F}$  be the ring, algebra,  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by  $\mathcal{Q}$ , respectively. The outer measure  $\tau$  restricts to a measure on each of these collections, denoted by  $\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3$ . Each  $\mu_i$  can generate an outer measure  $\tau_i$ , but actually they're all the same as our original  $\tau$ , since  $\tau_i$  are “build up” from  $\tau$ , intuitively  $\tau_i$  cannot be any better than  $\tau$ . (The proof says exactly the same thing, so I'll omit it)

**Proposition 1.28**

Let  $\mu$  be a measure on an algebra  $\mathcal{A}$ .  $\tau$  is the outer measure generated by  $\mu$ , for all  $A \in \sigma(\mathcal{A})$ , if  $\tau(A) < \infty$ , then  $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists B \in \mathcal{A}$  s.t.  $\tau(A \Delta B) < \varepsilon$ .

**Remark 1.29** — In practice we often replace  $\tau$  with a  $\sigma$ -finite measure  $\mu$  on  $\sigma(\mathcal{A})$ . (Here  $\sigma$ -finite is on  $\mathcal{A}$ )

*Proof.* Choose  $B_1, B_2, \dots \in \mathcal{A}$  s.t.

$$\hat{B} := \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n \supseteq A, \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(B_n) < \tau(A) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

Let  $N$  be a sufficiently large number,  $B := \bigcup_{n=1}^N B_n \in \mathcal{A}$ ,

$$\tau(A \setminus B) \leq \tau\left(\bigcup_{n=N+1}^{\infty} B_n\right) \leq \sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} \tau(B_n) \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

As  $\tau(B \setminus A) \leq \tau(\hat{B} \setminus A) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ ,  $\tau(A \Delta B) < \varepsilon$ . □

**Example 1.30**

Consider the Bernoulli test, recall  $C_{i_1, \dots, i_n}$  we defined earlier. A measure(probability)  $\mu$  is defined on the semi-ring  $\{C_{i_1, \dots, i_n}\} \cup \{\emptyset, X\}$ , then it can extend uniquely to the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by it. This is how the probability of Bernoulli test comes from.