Duration: 1 hour 15 min

Examiners: M.Stumm, A. Goel

Please fill your student number and name below and then read the instructions below carefully.

<b>Student Number:</b>	_		 			 						
First Name:	∟		 			 				 		1
Last Name:		1	ı	ı	1	ı	ı	ı	1	1	ı	

### **Instructions**

### **Examination Aids: No examination aids are allowed.**

Do not turn this page until you have received the signal to start.

Do not remove any sheets from this test book. Answer all questions in the space provided. No additional sheets are permitted. Use the last blank page as scratch space. This page will not be marked.

This exam consists of 5 questions on 11 pages (including this page). The value of each part of each question is indicated. The total value of all questions is 42.

For the written answers, explain your reasoning clearly. Be as brief and specific as possible. Clear, concise answers will be given higher marks than vague, wordy answers. Marks will be deducted for incorrect statements in an answer. Please write legibly!

Work independently.

### MARKING GUIDE

01:	(	10)

Q2:\_\_\_\_\_(10)

Q3:\_\_\_\_\_(5)

Q4:\_\_\_\_\_(7)

Q5:\_\_\_\_\_(10)

TOTAL:\_\_\_\_\_ (42)

# **Question 1. Multiple Choice** [10 MARKS]

For each of the questions below, circle the correct answer. There is only one correct answer.

1) Which of the following is responsible for translating a process's virtual memory address into physical memory address?

Midterm Exam

- A. Compiler
- B. Kernel
- C. Hardware
- D. Memory
- 2) Which of the following will *not* happen during a system call?
- A. Kernel saves all the general purpose registers
- B. Processor saves the program counter
- C. Processor executes the syscall instruction
- D. In Lab 3, when a thread invokes a system call, another thread runs
- 3) Which of the following may not happen during a context switch between two processes?
- A. Kernel saves general purpose registers
- B. Processor saves the program counter
- C. Processor executes the syscall instruction
- D. Kernel restores the MMU registers
- 4) Which of the following *isn't* provided in the original Unix Operating System?
- A. execv()
- B. fork()
- C. getpid()
- D. pthread\_create()
- 5) Which of the following will *not* cause a process to trap into the kernel?
- A. Save the current execution context
- B. Execute an illegal instruction
- C. Access kernel space memory
- D. Write to a peripheral device register

- 6) Which of the following is *not* the purpose of a system call?
- A. Allow a process to create kernel threads
- B. Allow a process to invoke the C printf function
- C. Allow the C printf function to perform its operation
- D. Allow processes to communicate with each other
- 7) Which of the following is *false* when deadlock happens?
- A. Some processes in the system can still make progress
- B. The wait-for dependency graph must contain a cycle
- C. Resolving the deadlock requires killing all the deadlocked processes
- D. Deadlock could have been caused by synchronization primitives other than locks
- 8) Which of the following is *not* a requirement for a mutual exclusion solution?
- A. No two threads are simultaneously in the critical section
- B. A thread outside a critical section may not block another thread
- C. A thread must contain a critical section
- D. A thread should not wait forever to enter its critical section
- 9) Which of the following will *not* occur for the Unix wait system call?
- A. Parent waits for child to exit
- B. Parent exits before child exits
- C. Child waits for parent to exit
- D. Child exits before parent exits
- 10) When critical sections are long and contended, the best type of mutual exclusion lock is:
- A. Atomic instruction
- B. Interrupt disabling
- C. Spin lock
- D. Blocking lock

## **Question 2. Synchronization** [10 MARKS]

Mark has created a simple function called add that adds 1 to each element of a global array called Array. All the elements of Array are initialized to 0. Assume size is the size of the array (a constant). Also, assume that the only way that Array is updated is using the add function.

An invariant (constraint) that should hold when the add function is not executing is that all elements in the array have the same value. Mark is a meticulous programmer and uses the assert function in the add function to check the invariant, i.e., that all the elements of the array have the same value:

**Part (a)** [2 MARKS] When Mark runs the add function within a single thread, it works as expected. Mark has recently learned how threads can be used to speed up programs and decides to create two threads, each of which run the add function. Mark finds that his multi-threaded program crashes randomly. Provide a scenario that could lead to a crash.

**Part (b)** [2 MARKS] Mark has learned that locks can fix random crashes and decides to fix the problem by using locks as follows:

Will his solution fix the random crashes? If so, briefly explain why. If not, provide a scenario that could lead to a crash.

**Part (C)** [3 MARKS] Mark has recently learned that using fine-grained locks can provide better parallelism. He decides to create a lock for each element of the array and lock this element when updating it, as shown below.

```
int Array[size];
lock l[size];
add() {
    int i;
    for (int i = 0; i < size; i++) {
       // lock current element
        lock(1[i]);
        if (i < size - 1) { // no check needed for last element
            // check that current element is the same as the next element
            assert(Array[i] == Array[i + 1]);
        }
        // then update current element
        Array[i] += 1;
        // unlock current element
        unlock(l[i]);
    }
}
```

Does this fine-grained locking solution work? If so, briefly explain why. If not, provide a scenario that could lead to unexpected behavior.

**Part (D)** [3 MARKS] As Mark learns more about fine-grained locking, he decides to use the strange locking scheme shown below.

```
int Array[size];
lock l[size];
add() {
    int i;
   // lock first element
    lock(1[0]);
    for (int i = 0; i < size; i++) {
        if (i < size - 1) { // no check needed for last element
            // lock next element
            lock(l[i+1]);
           // check that current element is the same as the next element
            assert(Array[i] == Array[i + 1]);
        }
        // then update current element
        Array[i] += 1;
        // unlock current element
        unlock(l[i]);
    }
}
```

Does this fine-grained locking solution work? If so, briefly explain why. If not, provide a scenario that could lead to unexpected behavior.

# Question 3. Unix Processes [5 MARKS]

When you run the cockroach function, it seems to slow your machine down.

```
void cockroach()
{
    for (int i = 0; i < 20; i++) {
        fork();
    }
}</pre>
```

## **Part (a)** [2 MARKS]

How many processes does the cockroach function create? Explain why.

## **Part (b)** [3 MARKS]

Write a function similar to the cockroach function that creates only 20 processes. You may only use the fork, wait, execv and exit system calls. Long solutions will be penalized.

```
void well_behaved_process()
{
    for (int i = 0; i < 20; i++) {
      // Add your solution here</pre>
```

```
}
```

# **Question 4.** Unix Processes [7 MARKS]

The power program accepts two numbers, a base and an exponent, as command-line arguments. This program should output the base to the power of the exponent, followed by the base to the power of the exponent -1, followed by the base to the power of the exponent -2, and so on. This should repeat until 1 is printed. You may assume that the two arguments are non-negative numbers. A sample output of the power program is shown below:

```
> ./power 2 3
8
4
2
1
```

Below, we have provided a partial listing of the power program. Complete the program so that it works correctly. You can only add code in the locations indicated by **bold comments** below, and you cannot comment out any code. Your solution *must* invoke the execv system call shown in the code. Long solutions will be penalized.

```
int
main(int argc, char **argv)
{
   int base = atoi(argv[1]);
   int exp = atoi(argv[2]);
   // your code here (if needed)
```

```
if (exp > 0) {
    char exp_buffer[20];
    // snprintf converts the number exp - 1 to a string,
    // which is stored in exp_buffer
    snprintf(exp_buffer, 20, "%d", exp - 1);
    char *args[4] = {argv[0], argv[1], exp_buffer, NULL};
    execv("./power", args);
}
// your code here (if needed)
```

```
return 0;
}
```

# **Question 5. Scheduling** [10 MARKS]

Consider the 8 threads shown below, with their associated arrival and processing times:

Job	Arrival Time	<b>Processing Time</b>	Job	Arrival Time	<b>Processing Time</b>
A	0	6	Е	8	1
В	2	3	F	9	2
С	3	1	G	12	1
D	5	4	Н	13	2

You realize that shortest remaining time (SRT) scheduling can pre-empt processes frequently thus reducing throughput. You design a variant of SRT scheduling called shortest remaining time with time slice (SRTT) scheduling. SRTT scheduling uses shortest remaining time scheduling, but has a time slice of 2 time units. Thus threads are not pre-empted unless they have run at least 2 time units.

When there is a tie, assume first-come-first-served (FIFO) scheduling. If a thread finishes without using up its time slice, scheduling occurs immediately. Also assume that threads arrive just before the time slice expires.


Show the sequence of threads that run in each time unit on a single processor using SRTT scheduling in the boxes shown below. Under each box, provide your reason for scheduling the thread using letters S, F, and T:

1) S: Shortest remaining time

2) F: tie, so <u>F</u>IFO scheduling

3) T: thread is not pre-empted due to  $\underline{T}$ ime slice





[Use the space below for rough work.]