thys

mitjakrebs

June 26, 2022

Contents

| | | 0.0.1 | Adaptors | 1 |
|---|------|--------------|--|---|
| 1 | Maj | p | 11 | L |
| | 1.1 | Mediu | m level | 2 |
| | | 1.1.1 | Adjacency structure | 2 |
| | | 1.1.2 | Directed adjacency structure | 9 |
| | | 1.1.3 | Undirected adjacency structure | 1 |
| | | 1.1.4 | Directed graphs | 1 |
| 2 | Que | eue | 2 4 | 1 |
| 3 | BFS | \mathbf{S} | 25 | 5 |
| | 3.1 | Specifi | cation of the algorithm | 5 |
| | 3.2 | Verific | ation of the correctness of the algorithm | 7 |
| | | 3.2.1 | Assumptions on the input | 7 |
| | | 3.2.2 | Loop invariants | 7 |
| | | 3.2.3 | Termination | 7 |
| | | 3.2.4 | Correctness | 3 |
| 4 | Alte | ernatin | ag BFS 40 |) |
| | 4.1 | Specifi | cation of the algorithm |) |
| | 4.2 | Verific | ation of the correctness of the algorithm 41 | 1 |
| | | 4.2.1 | Assumptions on the input 41 | 1 |
| | | 4.2.2 | Loop invariants | 2 |
| | | 4.2.3 | Termination | 3 |
| | | 4.2.4 | Correctness | 7 |
| | 4.3 | Impler | mentation of the algorithm | 3 |
| | 4.4 | Impler | mentation of the algorithm 49 | 9 |
| | 15 | | | 1 |

| 5 | | rtest augmenting path algorithm 55 |
|-----|--------|---|
| | 5.1 | Specification of the algorithm |
| | 5.2 | Verification of the correctness of the algorithm |
| | | 5.2.1 Assumptions on the input |
| | | 5.2.2 Loop invariants |
| | | 5.2.3 Termination |
| | | 5.2.4 Correctness |
| | 5.3 | Implementation of the algorithm |
| | | 5.3.1 Undirected graphs |
| 6 | Gra | aph 68 |
| | 6.1 | High level |
| | | Dgraph |
| | npor | |
| | | C.DDFS |
| be | gin | |
| tyı | e-sy | $\mathbf{monym} 'a \ vertex = 'a$ |
| An | edge | e in a directed graph is a pair of vertices. |
| typ | e-sy | $\mathbf{monym} \ 'a \ edge = ('a \ vertex \times 'a \ vertex)$ |
| tyı | oe-sy | \mathbf{monym} 'a $dgraph = 'a$ $edge$ set |
| | | $dgraph = G :: 'a \ dgraph$ |
| Let | t us i | dentify a couple of special types of graphs. |
| | | Ginite-dgraph = dgraph G for $G +nes finite-edges: finite G$ |
| | | (in finite-dgraph) finite-vertices: finite $(dVs G)$ |
| | | $imple\text{-}dgraph = dgraph \ G \ \mathbf{for} \ G +$ $\mathbf{nos} \ no\text{-}loop: \ (u, \ v) \in G \Longrightarrow u \neq v$ |
| | | $symmetric\text{-}dgraph = dgraph \ G \ \mathbf{for} \ G +$ $symmetric: (u, v) \in G \longleftrightarrow (v, u) \in G$ |
| ene | | |
| | • | Dpath |
| iı | npor | |
| | Dgra | |
| | | s.Berge-to-DDFS |
| | | s.Mitja-to-DDFS s.Noschinski-to-DDFS |
| be | | ວ.1າບວດແພວກປ-ເປ-DDL ລ |
| ~ ~ | D*** | |

```
A directed path (dpath and dpath-bet) is a sequence v_0, \ldots, v_k of vertices such that (v_{i-1}, v_i) is an edge for every i = 1, \ldots, k.
```

```
type-synonym 'a dpath = 'a list
```

lemmas dpath-induct = edges-of-dpath.induct

```
lemma dpath-rev-induct: assumes P [] assumes \bigwedge v. P [v] assumes \bigwedge v v' l. P (l @ [v]) \Longrightarrow P (l @ [v, v']) shows P p
```

The length of a *dpath* is the number of its edges.

```
abbreviation dpath-length :: 'a dpath \Rightarrow nat where dpath-length p \equiv length (edges-of-dpath p)
```

A simple directed path is a directed path in which all vertices are distinct. Any directed path can be transformed into a directed simple path via function *dpath-bet-to-distinct*.

```
lemma distinct-dpath-length-le-dpath-length:

assumes dpath-bet G p u v

shows dpath-length (dpath-bet-to-distinct G p) \leq dpath-length p
```

A vertex v is reachable from a vertex u if and only if there is a directed path from u to v.

```
lemma reachable-iff-dpath-bet:
shows reachable G u v \longleftrightarrow (\exists p. dpath-bet G p u v)

lemma reachable-trans:
assumes reachable G u v
assumes reachable G v w
shows reachable G u w

end
theory Graph-Ext
imports
AGF.Berge
begin

type-synonym 'a vertex = 'a

An edge in an undirected graph is a set of vertices.
```

type-synonym 'a $edge = 'a \ vertex \ set$

```
type-synonym 'a graph = 'a edge set
```

Since this definition allows for hyperedges, we define a graph, as opposed to a hypergraph, as follows.

```
locale graph =
 fixes G :: 'a graph
 assumes graph: \forall e \in G. \exists u \ v. \ e = \{u, v\}
lemma (in graph) graph-subset:
 assumes G' \subseteq G
 shows graph G'
lemma graphs-eqI:
 assumes graph G1
 assumes graph G2
 assumes \bigwedge u \ v. \ \{u, v\} \in G1 \longleftrightarrow \{u, v\} \in G2
 shows G1 = G2
locale finite-graph = graph G for G +
 assumes finite-edges: finite G
lemma (in finite-graph) finite-vertices:
 shows finite (Vs G)
end
0.0.1 Adaptors
```

```
theory Graph-Adaptor
 imports
   ../Directed-Graph/Dgraph
   ../Undirected	ext{-}Graph/Graph	ext{-}Ext
begin
```

An undirected graph can be viewed as a symmetric directed graph. Session AGF shows how to transform a graph into a symmetric dgraph. We extend, or rather redo, (parts of) their theory. Our issue with their theory is that the lemmas are inside a locale that assumes that the graph does not have loops. Most-if not all-of the lemmas hold even if the graph contains loops, though.

```
definition (in graph) dEs :: 'a dgraph where
  dEs \equiv \{(u, v). \{u, v\} \in G\}
lemma (in graph) dEs-symmetric:
 shows (u, v) \in dEs \longleftrightarrow (v, u) \in dEs
context finite-graph
begin
```

```
sublocale F: finite-dgraph dEs
end
end
theory Path
 imports
   Graph-Ext
   ../../Misc-Ext
begin
A path (path and walk-betw) is a sequence v_0, \ldots, v_k of vertices such that
\{v_{i-1}, v_i\} is an edge for every i = 1, \ldots, k.
type-synonym 'a path = 'a list
lemma pathI:
 assumes set (edges\text{-}of\text{-}path\ p) \subseteq G
 assumes set p \subseteq Vs G
 shows path G p
lemma walk-betw-induct [consumes 1]:
 assumes walk-betw G u p v
 assumes \bigwedge v. P[v]
 assumes \bigwedge u \ v \ vs. \ P \ (v \ \# \ vs) \Longrightarrow P \ (u \ \# \ v \ \# \ vs)
 shows P p
lemma walk-betw-induct-2 [consumes 1]:
 assumes walk-betw G u p v
 assumes P[v]
 assumes \bigwedge u. P[u, v]
 assumes \bigwedge u \ x \ xs. \ P \ (x \# xs @ [v]) \Longrightarrow P \ (u \# x \# xs @ [v])
 shows P p
We can concatenate paths.
lemma walk-betw-appendI:
 assumes walk-betw G u p v
 assumes walk-betw G \ v \ p' \ w
 shows walk-betw G u ((butlast p @ [v]) @ tl p') w
lemma edges-of-path-append:
 assumes walk-betw G u p v
 assumes walk-betw G v p' w
 shows edges-of-path ((butlast p @ [v]) @ tl p') = edges-of-path p @ edges-of-path
lemma walk-betw-Cons-snocI:
 assumes walk-betw G v p x
 assumes \{u, v\} \in G
 assumes \{x, y\} \in G
```

```
shows
```

```
walk-betw G u (u \# p @ [y]) y \{u, v\} \in set (edges-of-path <math>(u \# p @ [y])) \{x, y\} \in set (edges-of-path (u \# p @ [y]))
```

And we can split paths.

fun is-path-vertex-decomp :: 'a graph \Rightarrow 'a path \Rightarrow 'a path \times 'a path \Rightarrow bool where

is-path-vertex-decomp G p v $(q, r) \longleftrightarrow p = q @ tl r \land (\exists u w. walk-betw <math>G u q v \land walk-betw G v r w)$

definition path-vertex-decomp :: 'a graph \Rightarrow 'a path \Rightarrow 'a path \times 'a path where

 $path\text{-}vertex\text{-}decomp\ G\ p\ v \equiv SOME\ qr.\ is\text{-}path\text{-}vertex\text{-}decomp\ G\ p\ v\ qr$

```
abbreviation closed-path :: 'a graph \Rightarrow 'a path \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow bool where closed-path G c v \equiv walk-betw G v \in v \land Suc \ 0 < length \ c
```

fun is-closed-path-decomp :: 'a graph \Rightarrow 'a path \Rightarrow 'a path \times 'a path \times 'a path \Rightarrow bool where

```
\begin{array}{l} \textit{is-closed-path-decomp} \ \textit{G} \ \textit{p} \ (\textit{q}, \ \textit{r}, \ \textit{s}) \longleftrightarrow \\ \textit{p} = \textit{q} \ @ \ \textit{tl} \ \textit{r} \ @ \ \textit{tl} \ \textit{s} \ \land \\ (\exists \ \textit{u} \ \textit{v} \ \textit{w}. \ \textit{walk-betw} \ \textit{G} \ \textit{u} \ \textit{q} \ \textit{v} \land \textit{closed-path} \ \textit{G} \ \textit{r} \ \textit{v} \land \textit{walk-betw} \ \textit{G} \ \textit{v} \ \textit{s} \ \textit{w}) \land \\ \textit{distinct} \ \textit{q} \end{array}
```

definition closed-path-decomp :: 'a graph \Rightarrow 'a path \Rightarrow 'a path \times 'a path \times 'a path where

closed-path- $decomp\ G\ p \equiv SOME\ qrs.\ is-closed$ -path- $decomp\ G\ p\ qrs$

A simple path is a path in which all vertices are distinct.

```
definition distinct-path :: 'a graph \Rightarrow 'a path \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow bool where distinct-path G p u v \equiv walk-betw G u p v \land distinct p
```

A vertex v is reachable from a vertex u if and only if there is a path from u to v.

```
definition reachable :: 'a graph \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow bool where reachable G u v \equiv \exists p. walk-betw G u p v
```

The length of a path is the number of its edges.

```
abbreviation path-length :: 'a path \Rightarrow nat where path-length p \equiv length \ (edges-of-path \ p)
```

end

```
theory Path-Adaptor
imports
../Directed-Graph/Dpath
```

```
Graph-Adaptor\\../Undirected-Graph/Path\\ \mathbf{begin}
```

Since undirected and directed paths are defined in a very similar way, it is no surprise that the transition between them is very smooth.

```
lemmas path-induct = dpath-induct
lemmas path-rev-induct = dpath-rev-induct

lemma (in graph) path-length-eq-dpath-length:
    shows path-length p = dpath-length p

lemma (in graph) path-iff-dpath:
    shows path G p \longleftrightarrow dpath dEs p

lemma (in graph) walk-betw-iff-dpath-bet:
    shows walk-betw G u p v \longleftrightarrow dpath-bet dEs p u v

lemma (in graph) reachable-iff-reachable:
    shows reachable G u v \longleftrightarrow Noschinski-to-DDFS.reachable dEs u v

end
theory Odd-Cycle
imports
    Path
begin
```

We redefine odd-length cycles—compared to the definition in session AGF—to also include loops for the following reason. We show that to find a shortest alternating path it suffices to consider a finite number of alternating paths. For this, we show that if there are no odd-length cycles, we can transform any alternating path into a simple alternating path by repeatedly removing cycles. If we do not consider loops as odd cycles, however, and hence do not exclude them, removing a single loop may destroy the alternation of the path.

```
definition odd\text{-}cycle where odd\text{-}cycle p \equiv odd (path\text{-}length p) \land hd p = last p end theory Alternating\text{-}Path imports .../Adaptors/Path\text{-}Adaptor Odd\text{-}Cycle begin
```

An alternating path w.r.t. a matching M is a path that alternates between edges in M and edges not in M. We generalize this definition to arbitrary

```
predicates P, Q: alt-list ?a1.0 ?a2.0 ?a3.0 = ((\exists P1 P2. ?a1.0 = P1 \land ?a2.0)
= P2 \land ?a3.0 = []) \lor (\exists P1 \ x \ P2 \ l. ?a1.0 = P1 \land ?a2.0 = P2 \land ?a3.0 = P2 \land ?
x \# l \land P1 \ x \land alt\text{-list} \ P2 \ P1 \ l). The special case of an alternating path
w.r.t. a matching M can then be obtained by instantiating the predicates
as follows: alt\text{-}path \equiv \lambda M p. \ alt\text{-}list \ (\lambda e. \ e \notin M) \ (\lambda e. \ e \in M) \ (edges\text{-}of\text{-}path
p).
definition alt-path :: ('a \ set \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow ('a \ set \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow 'a \ graph \Rightarrow 'a \ path \Rightarrow
'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow bool \text{ where}
    alt-path P \ Q \ G \ p \ u \ v \equiv alt-list P \ Q \ (edges-of-path p) \land walk-betw G \ u \ p \ v
lemma two-alt-pathsD:
    assumes alt-path P Q G p u v
    assumes alt-path P Q G q u v
    assumes \neg (\exists c. path G c \land odd\text{-}cycle c)
    shows odd (path-length p) = odd (path-length q)
As is the case for paths, we can reverse alternating paths.
lemma alt-path-rev-oddI:
    assumes alt-path P Q G p u v
    assumes odd (path-length p)
    shows alt-path P Q G (rev p) v u
\mathbf{lemma} \ \mathit{alt-path-rev-even}I\colon
    assumes alt-path P Q G p u v
    assumes even (path-length p)
    shows alt-path Q P G (rev p) v u
lemma alt-path-revI:
    assumes alt-path P Q G p u v
    shows alt-path P Q G (rev p) v u \vee alt-path Q P G (rev p) v u
And we can split alternating paths.
lemma alt-path-pref:
    assumes alt-path P Q G (p @ v \# q) u w
    shows alt-path P \ Q \ G \ (p \ @ \ [v]) \ u \ v
lemma alt-path-pref-2:
    assumes alt-path P Q G (p @ q) u w
    assumes p \neq []
    shows alt-path P Q G p u (last p)
lemma alt-path-suf:
    assumes alt-path P (Not \circ P) G (p @ [v, v'] @ q) u w
    assumes P \{v, v'\}
    shows alt-path P (Not \circ P) G ([v, v'] @ q) v w
```

```
lemma alt-path-suf-2:
    assumes alt-path P (Not \circ P) G (p @ [v, v'] @ q) u w
    assumes \neg P {v, v'}
    shows alt-path (Not \circ P) P G ([v, v'] @ q) v w

lemma alt-path-subst-pref:
    assumes alt-path P Q G (p @ v \# q) u w
    assumes alt-path P Q G p' u v
    assumes \neg (\exists c. path G c \land odd-cycle c)
    shows alt-path P Q G (p' @ q) u w

definition distinct-alt-path :: ('a set \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow ('a set \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow 'a graph \Rightarrow 'a
path \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow bool where
    distinct-alt-path P Q G p u v \equiv alt-path P Q G p u v \land distinct p
```

A simple alternating path (*distinct-alt-path*) is an alternating path in which all vertices are distinct.

```
lemma (in finite-graph) distinct-alt-paths-finite: shows finite { p. distinct-alt-path P Q G p u v}
```

If there are no odd-length cycles, we can transform any alternating path into a simple alternating path by repeatedly removing cycles. Removing an odd-length cycle, however, may destroy the alternation of the path.

```
lemma (in graph) distinct-alt-path-alt-path-to-distinct: assumes alt-path P \ Q \ G \ p \ u \ v assumes \neg \ (\exists \ c. \ path \ G \ c \land odd\text{-}cycle \ c) shows distinct-alt-path P \ Q \ G \ (path\text{-}to\text{-}distinct \ p) \ u \ v
```

Finally, we define reachability via alternating paths in the natural way.

```
definition alt-reachable :: ('a set \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow ('a set \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow 'a graph \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow bool where alt-reachable P Q G u v \equiv \exists p. alt-path P Q G p u v

end
theory Shortest-Path
imports
Path
begin
```

```
definition dist :: 'a graph \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow enat where dist G u v \equiv INF p \in \{p. walk-betw G u p v\}. enat (path-length p)
```

abbreviation is-shortest-path :: 'a graph \Rightarrow 'a path \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow bool where is-shortest-path G p u v \equiv walk-betw G u p v \wedge path-length p = dist G u v

```
end
theory Shortest-Alternating-Path
 imports
    Alternating-Path
    Shortest-Path
begin
We generalize the notion of shortest paths to alternating paths in the natural
way.
definition alt-dist :: ('a set \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow ('a set \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow 'a graph \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a
enat where
  alt-dist P Q G u v \equiv INF p \in \{p. alt-path P Q G p u v\}. enat (path-length p)
definition is-shortest-alt-path :: ('a \ set \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow ('a \ set \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow 'a \ graph \Rightarrow
'a path \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow bool where
  is-shortest-alt-path P \ Q \ G \ p \ u \ v \equiv path-length p = alt-dist P \ Q \ G \ u \ v \wedge alt-path
P Q G p u v
lemma alt-dist-le-alt-path-length:
  assumes alt-path P Q G p u v
  shows alt-dist P Q G u v \leq path-length p
{f lemma} alt-dist-alt-reachable-conv:
  shows alt-dist P Q G u v \neq \infty = alt\text{-reachable } P Q G u v
lemma (in graph) alt-dist-eq-shortest-distinct-alt-path-length:
  assumes \neg (\exists c. path G c \land odd\text{-}cycle c)
  shows
   alt-dist P Q G u v =
    (INF p \in \{p. distinct-alt-path P Q G p u v\}. enat (path-length p))
lemma (in finite-graph) is-shortest-alt-pathE:
  assumes alt-reachable P Q G u v
  assumes \neg (\exists c. path G c \land odd\text{-}cycle c)
  obtains p where is-shortest-alt-path P Q G p u v
Again, we can reverse shortest alternating paths.
lemma (in finite-graph) is-shortest-alt-path-revI:
 assumes is-shortest-alt-path P Q G p u v
 assumes \neg (\exists c. path G c \land odd\text{-}cycle c)
  shows is-shortest-alt-path P \ Q \ G \ (rev \ p) \ v \ u \ \lor \ is-shortest-alt-path \ Q \ P \ G \ (rev \ p)
p) v u
And we can split shortest alternating paths.
lemma (in finite-graph) is-shortest-alt-path-pref:
 assumes is-shortest-alt-path P \ Q \ G \ (p @ v \# q) \ u \ w
```

```
assumes \neg (\exists c. path G c \land odd-cycle c) shows is-shortest-alt-path P Q G (p @ [v]) u v 

lemma (in finite-graph) is-shortest-alt-path-suf: assumes is-shortest-alt-path P Q G (p @ v # q) u w assumes \neg (\exists c. path G c \land odd-cycle c) shows is-shortest-alt-path P Q G (v # q) v w \lor is-shortest-alt-path Q P G (v # q) v w \lor lemma (in finite-graph) is-shortest-alt-path-snoc-snocD: assumes is-shortest-alt-path P Q G (p @ [v, w]) u w assumes \neg (\exists c. path G c \land odd-cycle c) shows alt-dist P Q G u w = alt-dist P Q G u v + 1
```

end

1 Map

This section considers maps from three levels of abstraction.

```
theory Map-Specs-Ext
imports
../Misc-Ext
HOL-Data-Structures.Map-Specs
begin
```

On the high level, a map is a function (map). On the medium level, a map is specified via the interfaces Map and Map-by-Ordered. We extend theory HOL-Data-Structures.Map-Specs.

```
lemma map\text{-}of\text{-}eq\text{-}Some\text{-}imp\text{-}mem\text{:}
  assumes map\text{-}of\ l\ a = Some\ b
  shows (a,\ b) \in set\ l

lemma map\text{-}of\text{-}eq\text{-}Some\text{-}if\text{-}mem\text{:}}
  assumes sorted1\ l
  assumes (a,\ b) \in set\ l
  shows map\text{-}of\ l\ a = Some\ b

lemma map\text{-}of\text{-}eq\text{-}Some\text{-}iff\text{-}mem\text{:}}
  assumes sorted1\ l
  shows map\text{-}of\ l\ a = Some\ b \longleftrightarrow (a,\ b) \in set\ l

lemma (in Map\text{-}by\text{-}Ordered) mem\text{-}inorder\text{-}iff\text{-}lookup\text{-}eq\text{-}Some\text{:}}
  assumes invar\ m
  shows lookup\ m\ a = Some\ b \longleftrightarrow (a,\ b) \in set\ (inorder\ m)

lemma (in Map\text{-}by\text{-}Ordered) set\text{-}inorder\text{-}delete\text{-}cong\text{:}}
  assumes invar\ m
```

```
shows set (inorder (delete a m)) = set (inorder m) - (case lookup m a of None
\Rightarrow {} | Some b \Rightarrow {(a, b)})
lemma (in Map-by-Ordered) set-inorder-update-cong:
 assumes invar m
  shows set (inorder (update a \ b \ m)) = set (inorder m) - (case lookup m \ a of
None \Rightarrow \{\} \mid Some \ y \Rightarrow \{(a, y)\}) \cup \{(a, b)\}
We define the domain and range of a map.
definition (in Map) dom :: m \Rightarrow a set where
  dom \ m \equiv \{a. \ lookup \ m \ a \neq None\}
lemma (in Map-by-Ordered) dom-inorder-cong:
 assumes invar m
 shows dom \ m = fst \ `set \ (inorder \ m)
lemma (in Map-by-Ordered) finite-dom:
  assumes invar m
 shows finite (dom m)
definition (in Map) ran :: 'm \Rightarrow 'b \ set where
  ran \ m \equiv \{b. \ \exists \ a. \ lookup \ m \ a = Some \ b\}
lemma (in Map-by-Ordered) finite-ran:
 assumes invar m
 shows finite (ran m)
```

On the low level, the interfaces Map and Map-by-Ordered are implemented via red-black trees.

 \mathbf{end}

1.1 Medium level

1.1.1 Adjacency structure

```
theory Adjacency
imports
HOL-Data-Structures.Set-Specs
../../Map/Map-Specs-Ext
../../Orderings-Ext
begin
```

As mentioned above, a graph on the high level of abstraction is a set of edges. Hence, we would expect a graph to provide basic set operations such as insert, delete, union, intersection, and difference. Moreover, many graph algorithms, including breadth-first and depth-first search, involve iterating, or folding, over all vertices adjacent to a given vertex. Thus, we would have

liked to specify a graph on the medium level of abstraction via the following locales.

```
locale Adjacency-Structure =
     fixes empty :: 'g
    fixes insert :: 'a::linorder \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'g \Rightarrow 'g
    fixes delete :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'g \Rightarrow 'g
    fixes adj :: 'a \Rightarrow 'g \Rightarrow 'a \ list
    fixes inv :: 'g \Rightarrow bool
    assumes adj-empty: adj \ v \ empty = []
    assumes adj-insert:
         inv \ G \land Sorted\text{-}Less.sorted (adj \ u \ G) \Longrightarrow
           adj \ u \ (insert \ v \ w \ G) = (if \ u = v \ then \ ins-list \ w \ (adj \ u \ G) \ else \ adj \ u \ G)
    assumes adj-delete:
         inv \ G \land Sorted\text{-}Less.sorted \ (adj \ u \ G) \Longrightarrow
           adj \ u \ (delete \ v \ w \ G) = (if \ u = v \ then \ List-Ins-Del. del-list \ w \ (adj \ u \ G) \ else \ adj
u(G)
    assumes inv-empty: inv empty
   assumes inv-insert: inv G \wedge Sorted-Less.sorted (adj u G) \Longrightarrow inv (insert u v G)
   assumes inv-delete: inv G \land Sorted-Less.sorted (adj u G) \Longrightarrow inv (delete u v G)
locale Finite-Adjacency-Structure = Adjacency-Structure where insert = insert
     insert :: 'a::linorder \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'g \Rightarrow 'g +
    assumes finite-domain-tbd: inv G \Longrightarrow finite \{v. \ adj \ v \ G \neq []\}
locale Adjacency-Structure-2 = Adjacency-Structure where insert for
     insert :: 'a::linorder \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'g \Rightarrow 'g +
    fixes union :: 'g \Rightarrow 'g \Rightarrow 'g
    fixes difference :: 'g \Rightarrow 'g \Rightarrow 'g
    assumes adj-union:
          [ inv G1; Sorted-Less.sorted (adj v G1); inv G2; Sorted-Less.sorted (adj v G2)
           adj \ v \ (union \ G1 \ G2) = fold \ ins-list \ (adj \ v \ G2) \ (adj \ v \ G1)
    assumes adj-difference:
         [ inv G1; Sorted-Less.sorted (adj v G1); inv G2; Sorted-Less.sorted (adj v G2)
           adj \ v \ (difference \ G1 \ G2) = fold \ List-Ins-Del. del-list \ (adj \ v \ G2) \ (adj \ v \ G1)
    assumes inv-union: inv G1 \Longrightarrow inv \ G2 \Longrightarrow inv \ (union \ G1 \ G2)
    assumes inv-difference: inv G1 \Longrightarrow inv G2 \Longrightarrow inv (difference G1 G2)
\textbf{locale} \ \textit{Finite-Adjacency-Structure-2} = \textit{Adjacency-Structure-2} \ \textbf{where} \ \textit{insert} = \textit{in-property} \ \textit{inserty} = \textit{i
sert for
     insert :: 'a::linorder \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'g \Rightarrow 'g +
    assumes finite-domain-tbd: inv G \Longrightarrow finite \{v. \ adj \ v \ G \neq []\}
```

Unfortunately, we were not able to refactor in time the entire formalization such that it uses locale *Finite-Adjacency-Structure-2* instead of the following one.

locale adjacency =

```
M: Map-by-Ordered where
  empty = Map\text{-}empty and
  update = Map-update and
  delete = Map-delete and
  lookup = Map-lookup and
  inorder = Map-inorder and
  inv = Map-inv +
  S: Set-by-Ordered where
  empty = Set\text{-}empty and
  insert = Set-insert and
  delete = Set-delete and
  isin = Set-isin and
  inorder = Set-inorder and
  inv = Set-inv for
  Map-empty and
  Map\text{-}update :: 'a::linorder \Rightarrow 's \Rightarrow 'm \Rightarrow 'm \text{ and }
  Map-delete and
  Map-lookup and
  Map-inorder and
  Map-inv and
  Set-empty and
  Set-insert :: 'a \Rightarrow 's \Rightarrow 's and
  Set-delete and
  Set-isin and
  Set-inorder and
  Set-inv
definition (in adjacency) invar :: m \Rightarrow bool where
  invar G \equiv M.invar G \land Ball (M.ran G) S.invar
definition (in adjacency) adjacency-list :: m \Rightarrow a \Rightarrow a list where
  adjacency-list G u \equiv case Map-lookup G u of None \Rightarrow [] | Some <math>s \Rightarrow Set-inorder
lemma (in adjacency) finite-adjacency:
 shows finite (set (adjacency-list G(v))
lemma (in adjacency) distinct-adjacency-list:
 assumes invar G
 shows distinct (adjacency-list G v)
```

This locale specifies a graph as a *Map-by-Ordered* mapping a vertex to its adjacency, which is specified as a *Set-by-Ordered*.

We define graph operations insert, delete, union, as well as difference, and show that they correspond to the respective set operations in terms of adjacency.adjacency-list. Let us first look at inserting an edge into a graph.

definition (in adjacency) insert :: $'a \times 'a \Rightarrow 'm \Rightarrow 'm$ where

```
insert \ p \ G \equiv
  let u = fst p; v = snd p
  in let s = case \; Map\text{-lookup} \; G \; u \; of \; None \Rightarrow Set\text{-empty} \; | \; Some \; s' \Rightarrow s'
     in Map-update u (Set-insert v s) G
lemma (in adjacency) invar-insert:
 assumes invar G
 shows invar (insert p G)
lemma (in adjacency) adjacency-list-insert-cong:
 assumes invar G
 shows
   adjacency-list (insert p G) w =
    (if \ w = fst \ p \ then \ ins-list \ (snd \ p) \ (adjacency-list \ G \ w) \ else \ adjacency-list \ G \ w)
lemma (in adjacency) adjacency-insert-cong:
 assumes invar G
 shows
   set (adjacency-list (insert p G) u) =
    set (adjacency-list\ G\ u) \cup (if\ u = fst\ p\ then\ \{snd\ p\}\ else\ \{\})
lemma (in adjacency) invar-fold-insert:
 assumes invar G
 shows invar (fold insert l G)
lemma (in adjacency) adjacency-fold-insert-cong:
 assumes invar G
 shows
   set (adjacency-list (fold insert l G) v) =
    set (adjacency-list G v) \cup (\bigcup p \in set l. if <math>v = fst p then \{snd p\} else \{\})
definition (in adjacency) insert' :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'm \Rightarrow 'm where
 insert' \equiv \mathit{curry\ insert}
lemma (in adjacency) invar-insert':
 assumes invar G
 shows invar (insert' u v G)
lemma (in adjacency) adjacency-list-insert'-cong:
 assumes invar G
 shows
   adjacency\text{-}list\ (insert'\ u\ v\ G)\ w =
    (if w = u then ins-list v (adjacency-list G w) else adjacency-list G w)
lemma (in adjacency) adjacency-insert'-cong:
 assumes invar G
 shows
   set (adjacency-list (insert' u v G) w) =
    set (adjacency-list\ G\ w) \cup (if\ w = u\ then\ \{v\}\ else\ \{\})
```

```
lemma (in adjacency) invar-fold-insert':
 assumes invar G
 shows invar (fold (insert' u) l G)
lemma (in adjacency) adjacency-fold-insert'-cong:
 assumes invar G
 shows
   set\ (adjacency-list\ (fold\ (insert'\ u)\ l\ G)\ v) =
    set (adjacency-list\ G\ v) \cup (\bigcup w \in set\ l.\ if\ v = u\ then\ \{w\}\ else\ \{\})
Next, let us look at deleting an edge from a graph.
definition (in adjacency) delete :: 'a \times 'a \Rightarrow 'm \Rightarrow 'm where
  delete \ p \ G \equiv
  case Map-lookup G (fst p) of
    None \Rightarrow G \mid
    Some s \Rightarrow Map-update (fst p) (Set-delete (snd p) s) G
lemma (in adjacency) invar-delete:
 assumes invar G
 shows invar (delete p G)
lemma (in adjacency) adjacency-list-delete-cong:
 assumes invar G
 shows
   adjacency-list (delete p G) w =
      (if \ w = fst \ p \ then \ List-Ins-Del. del-list \ (snd \ p) \ (adjacency-list \ G \ w) \ else
adjacency-list G(w)
definition (in adjacency) delete' :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'm \Rightarrow 'm where
  delete' \equiv curry \ delete
lemma (in adjacency) invar-delete':
 assumes invar G
 shows invar (delete' u v G)
lemma (in adjacency) adjacency-list-delete'-cong:
 assumes invar G
 shows
   adjacency-list (delete' u v G) w =
    (if \ w = u \ then \ List-Ins-Del. del-list \ v \ (adjacency-list \ G \ w) \ else \ adjacency-list \ G
w)
Let us now look at computing the union two graphs.
definition (in adjacency) insert-2 :: 'a \times 's \Rightarrow 'm \Rightarrow 'm where
  insert-2 p G \equiv
  let v = fst p; s = snd p
```

```
in let s' = case \ Map-lookup \ G \ v \ of \ None \Rightarrow s \mid Some \ s'' \Rightarrow fold \ Set-insert
(Set-inorder s) s''
     in\ Map\text{-}update\ v\ s'\ G
lemma (in adjacency) invar-insert-2:
 assumes invar G
 assumes S.invar (snd p)
 shows invar (insert-2 p G)
lemma (in adjacency) adjacency-insert-2-cong:
 assumes invar G
 assumes S.invar (snd p)
 shows
   set (adjacency-list (insert-2 p G) u) =
    set (adjacency\text{-}list\ G\ u) \cup (if\ u = fst\ p\ then\ S.set\ (snd\ p)\ else\ \{\})
lemma (in adjacency) invar-fold-insert-2:
 assumes invar G
 assumes Ball\ (set\ l)\ (S.invar\ \circ\ snd)
 shows invar (fold insert-2 l G)
lemma (in adjacency) adjacency-fold-insert-2-cong:
  assumes invar G
 assumes Ball\ (set\ l)\ (S.invar\ \circ\ snd)
 shows
   set (adjacency-list (fold insert-2 \ l \ G) \ v) =
    set (adjacency-list G v) \cup (\bigcup p \in set \ l. \ if \ v = fst \ p \ then \ S.set \ (snd \ p) \ else \ \{\})
definition (in adjacency) union :: 'm \Rightarrow 'm \Rightarrow 'm where
  union G1 G2 \equiv fold insert-2 (Map-inorder G2) G1
lemma (in adjacency) invar-union:
 assumes invar G1
 assumes invar G2
 shows invar (union G1 G2)
lemma (in adjacency) adjacency-union-cong:
 assumes invar G1
 assumes invar G2
 shows
   set (adjacency-list (union G1 G2) v) =
    set (adjacency-list G1 v) \cup set (adjacency-list G2 v)
Finally, let us look at computing the difference of two graphs.
definition (in adjacency) delete-2 :: 'a \times 's \Rightarrow 'm \Rightarrow 'm where
  delete-2 p G \equiv
  let v = fst p; s = snd p
  in case Map-lookup G v of
```

```
None \Rightarrow G
      Some s' \Rightarrow Map-update v (fold Set-delete (Set-inorder s) s') G
lemma (in adjacency) invar-delete-2:
 assumes invar G
 shows invar (delete-2 p G)
lemma (in adjacency) adjacency-delete-2-cong:
 assumes invar G
 shows
   set (adjacency-list (delete-2 p G) u) =
    set\ (adjacency-list\ G\ u) - (if\ u = fst\ p\ then\ S.set\ (snd\ p)\ else\ \{\})
lemma (in adjacency) invar-fold-delete-2:
 assumes invar G
 assumes Ball\ (set\ l)\ (S.invar\ \circ\ snd)
 shows invar (fold delete-2 l G)
lemma (in adjacency) adjacency-fold-delete-2-cong:
 assumes invar G
 assumes Ball\ (set\ l)\ (S.invar\ \circ\ snd)
 shows
   set (adjacency-list (fold delete-2 l G) v) =
    set (adjacency-list G(v)) – (\bigcup p \in set(l)) if v = fst(p) then S.set(snd(p)) else \{\})
definition (in adjacency) difference :: m \Rightarrow m \Rightarrow m \Rightarrow m where
 difference G1 G2 \equiv fold delete-2 (Map-inorder G2) G1
lemma (in adjacency) invar-difference:
 assumes invar G1
 assumes invar G2
 shows invar (difference G1 G2)
lemma (in adjacency) adjacency-difference-cong:
 assumes invar G1
 assumes invar G2
 shows
   set (adjacency-list (difference G1 G2) v) =
    set (adjacency-list G1 v) - set (adjacency-list G2 v)
We show that our specifications of operations insert and delete satisfy all
assumptions of locale Finite-Adjacency-Structure.
context adjacency
begin
sublocale G: Finite-Adjacency-Structure where
 empty = Map-empty and
 insert = insert' and
```

```
delete = delete' and adj = (\lambda v \ G. \ adjacency\text{-}list \ G \ v) and inv = invar end
```

 $\quad \mathbf{end} \quad$

1.1.2 Directed adjacency structure

```
theory Directed-Adjacency
imports
Adjacency
../Directed-Graph/Dgraph
../Directed-Graph/Dpath
begin
```

An adjacency structure specified via the locale adjacency naturally induces a directed graph, where we have an edge from vertex u to vertex v if and only if v is contained in the adjacency of u.

```
definition (in adjacency) dE :: 'm \Rightarrow ('a \times 'a) set where dE \ G \equiv \{(u, v). \ v \in set \ (adjacency\text{-}list \ G \ u)\}

definition (in adjacency) dV :: 'm \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set where}
dV \ G \equiv dVs \ (dE \ G)

lemma (in adjacency) mem-adjacency-iff-edge:
shows v \in set \ (adjacency\text{-}list \ G \ u) \longleftrightarrow (u, v) \in dE \ G

lemma (in adjacency) finite-dE:
```

```
assumes invar G
 shows finite (dE G)
lemma (in adjacency) adjacency-subset-dV:
 shows set (adjacency-list G \ v) \subseteq dV \ G
lemma (in adjacency) finite-dV:
 assumes invar G
 shows finite (dV G)
We show that graph operations union and difference correspond to the re-
spective set operations in terms of adjacency.dE.
lemma (in adjacency) dE-union-cong:
 assumes invar G1
 assumes invar G2
 shows dE (union G1 G2) = dE G1 \cup dE G2
lemma (in adjacency) dV-union-cong:
 assumes invar G1
 assumes invar G2
 shows dV (union G1 G2) = dV G1 \cup dV G2
lemma (in adjacency) finite-dE-union:
 assumes invar G1
 assumes invar G2
 shows finite (dE (union G1 G2))
lemma (in adjacency) finite-dV-union:
 assumes invar G1
 assumes invar G2
 shows finite (dV (union G1 G2))
lemma (in adjacency) dE-difference-cong:
 assumes invar G1
 assumes invar G2
 shows dE (difference G1 G2) = dE G1 - dE G2
lemma (in adjacency) finite-dE-difference:
 assumes invar G1
 assumes invar G2
 shows finite (dE (difference G1 G2))
lemma (in adjacency) finite-dV-difference:
 assumes invar G1
 assumes invar G2
 shows finite (dV (difference G1 G2))
```

end

1.1.3 Undirected adjacency structure

```
theory Undirected-Adjacency
 imports
   Adjacency
   AGF.Berge
   ../ Undirected-Graph/Graph-Ext
begin
If the adjacency structure is symmetric, then it induces an undirected graph.
locale adjacency' = adjacency where
  Map-update = Map-update for
  Map\text{-}update :: 'a::linorder \Rightarrow 't \Rightarrow 'm \Rightarrow 'm +
 fixes G :: 'm
 assumes invar: invar G
\mathbf{locale}\ symmetric\text{-}adjacency = adjacency'\ \mathbf{where}
  Map-update = Map-update for
 Map-update :: 'a::linorder \Rightarrow 't \Rightarrow 'm \Rightarrow 'm +
 assumes symmetric: v \in set (adjacency-list G u) \longleftrightarrow u \in set (adjacency-list G
definition (in adjacency) E :: 'm \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set set } \mathbf{where}
 E G \equiv \{\{u, v\} \mid u \ v. \ v \in set \ (adjacency-list \ G \ u)\}
definition (in adjacency) V :: 'm \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set where}
  V G \equiv Vs (E G)
lemma (in adjacency) finite-E:
 assumes invar G
 shows finite (E G)
lemma (in symmetric-adjacency) mem-adjacency-iff-edge:
 shows v \in set \ (adjacency-list \ G \ u) \longleftrightarrow \{u, v\} \in E \ G
lemma (in symmetric-adjacency) mem-adjacency-iff-edge-2:
 shows u \in set \ (adjacency-list \ G \ v) \longleftrightarrow \{u, v\} \in E \ G
lemma (in adjacency) finite-V:
 assumes invar G
 shows finite (V G)
context adjacency'
begin
sublocale finite-graph E G
We redefine graph operation insert such that it maintains symmetry.
definition (in adjacency) insert-edge :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'm \Rightarrow 'm where
  insert-edge u \ v \ G \equiv insert' \ v \ u \ (insert' \ u \ v \ G)
```

```
lemma (in adjacency) invar-insert-edge:
 assumes invar\ G
 shows invar (insert-edge u v G)
lemma (in adjacency) adjacency-insert-edge-cong:
 assumes invar G
 shows
   set (adjacency-list (insert-edge u v G) w) =
    set (adjacency-list G(w) \cup (if(w) = u) then \{v\} else if w = v then \{u\} else \{\})
lemma (in adjacency) E-insert-edge-cong:
 assumes invar G
 shows E (insert-edge u \ v \ G) = E \ G \cup \{\{u, v\}\}
lemma (in adjacency) invar-fold-insert-edge:
 assumes invar G
 shows invar (fold (insert-edge u) l G)
lemma (in adjacency) adjacency-fold-insert-edge-cong:
 assumes invar G
 shows
   set\ (adjacency-list\ (fold\ (insert-edge\ u)\ l\ G)\ v) =
    set (adjacency-list G v) \cup
    (\bigcup w \in set \ l. \ if \ v = u \ then \ \{w\} \ else \ if \ v = w \ then \ \{u\} \ else \ \{\})
lemma (in adjacency) E-fold-insert-edge-cong:
 assumes invar G
 shows E (fold (insert-edge u) l G) = E G \cup {{u, v} v \in set l}
Next, we show that graph operations union and difference correspond to the
respective set operations in terms of adjacency. E, and that they maintain
symmetry.
lemma (in adjacency) E-union-cong:
 assumes invar G1
 assumes invar G2
 shows E (union G1 G2) = E G1 \cup E G2
lemma (in adjacency) V-union-cong:
 assumes invar G1
 assumes invar G2
 shows V (union G1 G2) = V G1 \cup V G2
lemma (in adjacency) finite-V-union:
 assumes invar G1
 assumes invar G2
 shows finite (V (union G1 G2))
```

```
lemma (in adjacency) symmetric-adjacency-union:
 assumes symmetric-adjacency' G1
 assumes symmetric-adjacency' G2
 shows symmetric-adjacency' (union G1 G2)
lemma (in adjacency) symmetric-adjacency-difference:
 assumes symmetric-adjacency' G1
 assumes symmetric-adjacency' G2
 shows symmetric-adjacency' (difference G1 G2)
lemma (in adjacency) E-difference-cong:
 assumes symmetric-adjacency' G1
 assumes symmetric-adjacency' G2
 shows E (difference G1 G2) = E G1 - E G2
lemma (in adjacency) finite-V-difference:
 assumes invar G1
 assumes invar G2
 shows finite (V (difference G1 G2))
theory Shortest-Dpath
 imports
   ../../Misc-Ext
   Ports.Mitja-to-DDFS
   Ports. Noschinski-to-DDFS
   Weighted-Dpath
begin
We extend theory Ports.Mitja-to-DDFS and formalize shortest directed paths.
definition \delta :: 'a dgraph \Rightarrow 'a weight-fun \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow enat where
 \delta \ G \ f \ u \ v \equiv INF \ p \in \{p. \ dpath-bet \ G \ p \ u \ v\}. \ enat \ (dpath-weight \ f \ p)
definition is-shortest-dpath :: 'a dgraph \Rightarrow 'a weight-fun \Rightarrow 'a dpath \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a
\Rightarrow bool \text{ where}
 is-shortest-dpath G f p u v \equiv dpath-bet G p u v \wedge dpath-weight f p = \delta G f u v
definition dist :: 'a dgraph \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow enat where
  dist G \ u \ v \equiv INF \ p \in \{p. \ dpath-bet \ G \ p \ u \ v\}. \ enat \ (dpath-length \ p)
theorem dist-eq-\delta:
 shows dist G = \delta G (\lambda-. 1)
lemma (in finite-dgraph) dist-le-dpath-length:
 assumes dpath-bet G p u v
 shows dist G u v \leq dpath{-length p}
lemma (in finite-dgraph) is-shortest-dpath-if-reachable-2:
 assumes reachable G u v
```

```
obtains p where dpath-bet\ G\ p\ u\ v dpath-length\ p=dist\ G\ u\ v lemma (in finite-dgraph) is-shortest-dpathE-2: assumes dpath-bet\ G\ (p\ @\ [v]\ @\ q)\ u\ w \land dpath-length\ (p\ @\ [v]\ )=dist\ G\ u\ v obtains dpath-bet\ G\ (p\ @\ [v])\ u\ v \land dpath-length\ (p\ @\ [v])=dist\ G\ u\ v dpath-bet\ G\ (v\ \#\ q)\ v\ w \land dpath-length\ (v\ \#\ q)=dist\ G\ v\ w dist\ G\ u\ w=dist\ G\ u\ v+dist\ G\ v\ w lemma (in finite-dgraph) dist-triangle-inequality-edge: assumes (v,\ w)\in G shows dist\ G\ u\ w\leq dist\ G\ u\ v+1 end
```

1.1.4 Directed graphs

```
theory Directed-Graph
imports
Shortest-Dpath
begin
```

end

2 Queue

This section considers first-in first-out queues from three levels of abstraction.

```
theory Queue-Specs
imports Main
begin
```

On the high level, a queue is a list (list). On the medium level, a queue is specified via the following interface.

```
locale Queue =
fixes empty :: 'q
fixes is\text{-}empty :: 'q \Rightarrow bool
fixes snoc :: 'q \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'q
fixes head :: 'q \Rightarrow 'a
fixes tail :: 'q \Rightarrow 'q
fixes invar :: 'q \Rightarrow bool
fixes iist :: 'q \Rightarrow 'a list
assumes list\text{-}empty: list \ empty = Nil
assumes is\text{-}empty: invar \ q \implies is\text{-}empty \ q = (list \ q = Nil)
assumes list\text{-}snoc: invar \ q \implies list \ (snoc \ q \ x) = list \ q \ @ [x]
```

```
assumes list-head: \llbracket invar q; list q \neq Nil \rrbracket \Longrightarrow head q = hd (list q) assumes list-tail: \llbracket invar q; list q \neq Nil \rrbracket \Longrightarrow list (tail q) = tl (list q) assumes invar-empty: invar empty assumes invar-snoc: invar q \Longrightarrow invar (snoc q x) assumes invar-tail: \llbracket invar q; list q \neq Nil \rrbracket \Longrightarrow invar (tail q)
```

end

3 BFS

This section specifies and verifies breadth-first search (BFS). More specifically, we verify that given a directed graph G and a source vertex s, the output of the algorithm induces a breadth-first tree T of G w.r.t. s, that is, T consists of the vertices reachable from s in G, and for every vertex v in T, T contains a unique simple path from s to v that is also a shortest path from s to v in G.

```
 \begin{array}{l} \textbf{theory} \ BFS \\ \textbf{imports} \\ .../Graph/Adjacency/Directed-Adjacency \\ .../Graph/Directed-Graph/Directed-Graph \\ .../Map/Map-Specs-Ext \\ Parent-Relation \\ .../Queue/Queue-Specs \\ \textbf{begin} \end{array}
```

3.1 Specification of the algorithm

```
record ('q, 'm) state =
  queue :: 'q
 parent :: 'm
locale bfs =
  G: adjacency  where Map-update = Map-update +
  P: Map where
  empty = P-empty and
  update = P-update and
  delete = P-delete and
  lookup = P-lookup and
  invar = P-invar +
  Q: Queue where
  empty = Q-empty and
  is\text{-}empty = Q\text{-}is\text{-}empty and
  snoc = Q-snoc and
  head = Q-head and
  tail = Q-tail and
  invar = Q-invar and
  list = Q-list for
  Map\text{-}update :: 'a::linorder \Rightarrow 's \Rightarrow 'n \Rightarrow 'n and
```

```
P\text{-}empty and P\text{-}update :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'm \Rightarrow 'm and P\text{-}delete and P\text{-}lookup and P\text{-}invar and Q\text{-}empty and Q\text{-}is\text{-}empty and Q\text{-}s\text{-}empty and Q\text{-}head and Q\text{-}tail and Q\text{-}tail and Q\text{-}invar and Q\text{-}list
```

Our specification of BFS maintains a first-in first-out queue, initialized to contain the source vertex src, and a parent map, initialized to the empty map. As long as the queue is not empty, the algorithm pops the head u of the queue, and for every adjacent vertex v, discovers v if it hasn't been discovered yet, where discovering v entails adding v to the queue as well as setting v's parent to u.

```
definition init :: 'a \Rightarrow ('q, 'm) state where
  init\ src \equiv
   ||queue|| = Q-snoc Q-empty src,
    parent = P\text{-}empty
definition DONE :: ('q, 'm) \ state \Rightarrow bool \ \mathbf{where}
  DONE \ s \longleftrightarrow Q-is-empty (queue s)
definition is-discovered :: 'a \Rightarrow 'm \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow bool where
  is-discovered src m\ v \longleftrightarrow v = src \lor P-lookup m\ v \ne None
definition discover :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow ('q, 'm) \ state \Rightarrow ('q, 'm) \ state where
  discover\ u\ v\ s \equiv
   ||queue = Q\text{-}snoc (queue s)||v,
    parent = P\text{-}update\ v\ u\ (parent\ s)
definition traverse-edge :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow ('q, 'm) \ state \Rightarrow ('q, 'm) \ state where
  traverse-edge src\ u\ v\ s \equiv
   if \neg is-discovered src (parent s) v then discover u v s
   else s
function (domintros) loop :: 'n \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow ('q, 'm) \ state \Rightarrow ('q, 'm) \ state where
  loop \ G \ src \ s =
   (if \neg DONE s
    then let
          u = Q-head (queue s);
          q = Q-tail (queue s)
         in loop G src (fold (traverse-edge src u) (G.adjacency-list G u) (s(|queue :=
q())
```

```
else s)

definition bfs :: 'n \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'm where
bfs \ G \ src \equiv parent \ (loop \ G \ src \ (init \ src))

abbreviation fold :: 'n \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow ('q, 'm) \ state \Rightarrow ('q, 'm) \ state where
fold \ G \ src \ s \equiv
List.fold
(traverse-edge \ src \ (Q-head \ (queue \ s)))
(G.adjacency-list \ G \ (Q-head \ (queue \ s)))
(s(queue := Q-tail \ (queue \ s)))

abbreviation T :: 'm \Rightarrow 'a \ dgraph \ where
T \ m \equiv \{(u, v). \ P-lookup \ m \ v = Some \ u\}
end
```

3.2 Verification of the correctness of the algorithm

3.2.1 Assumptions on the input

Algorithm bfs.bfs expects a directed graph G and a source vertex src in G as input, and the correctness theorem will assume such an input. We remark that the assumption that src is indeed a vertex in G is for the purpose of convenience. Let us formally specify these assumptions.

```
locale bfs-valid-input = bfs where Map-update = Map-update and P-update = P-update and Q-snoc = Q-snoc for Map-update :: 'a::linorder \Rightarrow 's \Rightarrow 'n \Rightarrow 'n and P-update :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'm \Rightarrow 'm and Q-snoc :: 'q \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'q + fixes G:: 'n fixes src:: 'a assumes invar-G: G.invar G assumes src-mem-dV: src \in G.dV G
```

Graph G is represented as an adjacency, that is, as a Map-by-Ordered mapping a vertex to its adjacency, which is represented as a Set-by-Ordered.

3.2.2 Loop invariants

Unfolding the definition of algorithm bfs.bfs, we see that recursive function bfs.loop lies at the heart of the algorithm. It expects an input $\langle G, src, s \rangle$, which constitutes the program state, such that

• G, src satisfy the assumptions specified above, and

• s comprises a queue and a parent map satisfying the assumptions stated below.

As s is the only state variable that is subject to change from one iteration to the next, the following assumptions constitute the (non-trivial) loop invariants of bfs.loop.

```
abbreviation (in bfs-valid-input) white :: ('q, 'm) state \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow bool where
  white s v \equiv \neg is-discovered src (parent s) v
abbreviation (in bfs-valid-input) gray :: ('q, 'm) state \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow bool where
  gray \ s \ v \equiv is\text{-}discovered \ src \ (parent \ s) \ v \land v \in set \ (Q\text{-}list \ (queue \ s))
abbreviation (in bfs-valid-input) black :: ('q, 'm) state \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow bool where
  black s \ v \equiv is-discovered src (parent s) v \land v \notin set (Q-list (queue s))
abbreviation (in bfs) rev-follow :: 'm \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a \ dpath where
  rev-follow m \ v \equiv rev \ (parent.follow \ (P-lookup m) \ v)
abbreviation (in bfs-valid-input) d :: 'm \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow nat where
  d \ m \ v \equiv dpath-length \ (rev-follow \ m \ v)
locale bfs-invar =
  bfs-valid-input where P-update = P-update and Q-snoc = Q-snoc +
  parent P-lookup (parent s) for
  P-update :: 'a::linorder \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'm \Rightarrow 'm and
  Q-snoc :: 'q \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'q and
  s :: ('q, 'm) \ state +
  assumes invar-queue: Q-invar (queue s)
  assumes invar-parent: P-invar (parent s)
  assumes parent-src: P-lookup (parent s) src = None
 assumes parent-imp-edge: P-lookup (parent s) v = Some \ u \Longrightarrow (u, v) \in G.dE \ G
  assumes not-white-if-mem-queue: v \in set (Q-list (queue s)) \Longrightarrow \neg white s v
  assumes not-white-if-parent: P-lookup (parent s) v = Some \ u \Longrightarrow \neg \ white \ s \ u
  assumes black-imp-adjacency-not-white: [(u, v) \in G.dE \ G; \ black \ s \ u] \implies \neg
white s v
  assumes queue-sorted-wrt-d: sorted-wrt (\lambda u \ v. \ d \ (parent \ s) \ u \le d \ (parent \ s) \ v)
(Q-list\ (queue\ s))
  assumes d-last-queue-le:
    \neg Q-is-empty (queue s) \Longrightarrow
     d \ (parent \ s) \ (last \ (Q\text{-}list \ (queue \ s))) \leq d \ (parent \ s) \ (Q\text{-}head \ (queue \ s)) \ + \ 1
  assumes d-triangle-inequality:
    \llbracket dpath\text{-bet }(G.dE\ G)\ p\ u\ v; \neg\ white\ s\ u; \neg\ white\ s\ v\ \rrbracket \Longrightarrow
     d (parent s) v \leq d (parent s) u + dpath-length p
```

As mentioned above, state s comprises a queue, represented as a Queue, and a map, represented as a Map.

Invariant bfs-invar.black-imp-adjacency-not-white says that all vertices ad-

jacent to a black vertex have been discovered.

For a vertex v, let d(v) = d (state.parent s) v denote the distance from the source src to v induced by the current state s.

Let $\langle v_1, \ldots, v_k \rangle$ be the contents of the queue, where v_1 is the head. Then invariant bfs-invar.queue-sorted-wrt-d says that $d(v_i) \leq d(v_{i+1})$ for all i < k. And invariant bfs-invar.d-last-queue-le says that $d(v_k) \leq d(v_1) + 1$. That is, the current queue holds at most two distinct d values.

Finally, invariant bfs-invar.d-triangle-inequality says that d satisfies a variant of the triangle inequality. More specifically, if there is a path in G between two vertices u, v that both have been discovered by the algorithm, then their d values differ by at most the length of that path.

To verify the correctness of loop bfs.loop, we need to show that

- 1. the loop invariants are satisfied prior to the first iteration of the loop, and that
- 2. the loop invariants are maintained.

Let us start with the former, that is, let us prove that the initial configurations of the queue–containing only the source vertex *src*–and parent map—the empty map—satisfy the loop invariants.

```
lemma (in bfs-valid-input) bfs-invar-init:
shows bfs-invar'' (init src)
```

Let us now verify that the loop invariants are maintained, that is, if they hold at the start of an iteration of loop *bfs.loop*, then they will also hold at the end. For this, let us first look at how the different subroutines change the queue and parent map.

```
lemma (in bfs) queue-discover-cong [simp]:
    shows queue (discover u v s) = Q-snoc (queue s) v

lemma (in bfs) parent-discover-cong [simp]:
    shows parent (discover u v s) = P-update v u (parent s)

lemma (in bfs) queue-traverse-edge-cong:
    shows queue (traverse-edge src u v s) = (if \neg is-discovered src (parent s) v then Q-snoc (queue s) v else queue s)

lemma (in bfs) list-queue-traverse-edge-cong:
    assumes Q-invar (queue s)
    shows
    Q-list (queue (traverse-edge src u v s)) =
```

```
Q-list (queue s) @ (if \neg is-discovered src (parent s) v then [v] else [])
lemma (in bfs) lookup-parent-traverse-edge-cong:
 assumes P-invar (parent s)
 shows
   P-lookup (parent (traverse-edge src u v s)) =
    override\hbox{-} on
     (P-lookup\ (parent\ s))
     (\lambda-. Some u)
     (if \neg is\text{-}discovered\ src\ (parent\ s)\ v\ then\ \{v\}\ else\ \{\})
lemma (in bfs) T-traverse-edge-cong:
 assumes P-invar (parent s)
 shows T (parent (traverse-edge src u v s)) = T (parent s) \cup (if \neg is-discovered
src (parent s) v then \{(u, v)\} else \{\})
lemma (in bfs) list-queue-fold-cong:
 assumes Q-invar (queue s)
 assumes P-invar (parent s)
 assumes distinct l
 shows
   Q-list (queue (List.fold (traverse-edge src u) l s)) =
    Q-list (queue s) @ filter (Not \circ is-discovered src (parent s)) l
lemma (in bfs) list-queue-fold-cong-2:
 assumes G.invar G
 assumes Q-invar (queue s)
 assumes P-invar (parent s)
 assumes \neg DONE s
 shows
   Q-list (queue (fold G \ src \ s)) =
    Q-list (Q-tail (queue\ s)) @
    filter (Not \circ is-discovered src (parent s)) (G.adjacency-list G (Q-head (queue
s)))
lemma (in bfs) lookup-parent-fold-cong:
 assumes P-invar (parent s)
 assumes distinct l
 shows
   P-lookup (parent (List.fold (traverse-edge src u) l s)) =
    override\hbox{-} on
     (P	ext{-lookup }(parent \ s))
     (\lambda-. Some u)
     (set (filter (Not \circ is\text{-}discovered src (parent s)) l))
lemma (in bfs) lookup-parent-fold-cong-2:
 assumes G.invar G
 assumes P-invar (parent s)
 shows
```

```
P-lookup (parent (fold G \ src \ s)) =
    override\hbox{-} on
     (P-lookup\ (parent\ s))
     (\lambda-. Some (Q-head (queue\ s)))
      (set (filter (Not \circ is-discovered src (parent s)) (G.adjacency-list G (Q-head
(queue\ s)))))
lemma (in bfs-invar) lookup-parent-fold-cong:
 shows
    P-lookup (parent (fold G \ src \ s)) =
    override\hbox{-} on
     (P-lookup\ (parent\ s))
     (\lambda-. Some (Q-head (queue\ s)))
      (set (filter (Not \circ is-discovered src (parent s)) (G.adjacency-list G (Q-head)))
(queue\ s)))))
lemma (in bfs) T-fold-cong:
 assumes P-invar (parent s)
 assumes distinct l
  shows T (parent (List.fold (traverse-edge src u) l s)) = T (parent s) \cup {(u, v)
|v. v \in set \ l \land \neg is\text{-}discovered src (parent s) \ v\}
lemma (in bfs) T-fold-cong-2:
  assumes G.invar G
 assumes P-invar (parent s)
 shows
    T (parent (fold G src s)) =
     T (parent s) \cup
     \{(Q\text{-}head\ (queue\ s),\ v)\ | v.\ v\in set\ (G.adjacency\text{-}list\ G\ (Q\text{-}head\ (queue\ s)))\ \land\ 
\neg is-discovered src (parent s) v}
lemma (in bfs-invar) T-fold-cong:
 shows
    T (parent (fold G src s)) =
    T (parent s) \cup
     \{(Q\text{-}head\ (queue\ s),\ v)\ | v.\ v\in set\ (G.adjacency\text{-}list\ G\ (Q\text{-}head\ (queue\ s)))\ \land\ 
\neg is-discovered src (parent s) v}
Next, we verify the maintenance of the loop invariants one by one.
locale bfs-invar-not-DONE = bfs-invar where P-update = P-update and Q-snoc
= Q-snoc for
 P-update :: 'a::linorder \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'm \Rightarrow 'm and
 Q-snoc :: 'q \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'q +
assumes not\text{-}DONE: \neg DONE s
lemma (in bfs-invar-not-DONE) follow-invar-parent-fold:
 shows follow-invar (P-lookup (parent (fold G src s)))
```

```
shows Q-invar (queue (fold G src s))
lemma (in bfs-invar) invar-parent-fold:
 shows P-invar (parent (fold G src s))
lemma (in bfs-invar) parent-src-fold:
 shows P-lookup (parent (fold G \ src \ s)) src = None
lemma (in bfs-invar-not-DONE) parent-imp-edge-fold:
 assumes P-lookup (parent (fold G src s)) v = Some \ u
 shows (u, v) \in G.dE G
lemma (in bfs-invar-not-DONE) list-queue-fold-cong:
 shows
   Q-list (queue (fold G \operatorname{src} s)) =
    Q-list (Q-tail (queue\ s)) @
    filter (Not \circ is-discovered src (parent s)) (G.adjacency-list G (Q-head (queue
s)))
lemma (in bfs-invar-not-DONE) not-white-if-mem-queue-fold:
 assumes v \in set (Q\text{-}list (queue (fold } G src s)))
 shows \neg white (fold G \ src \ s) v
lemma (in bfs-invar-not-DONE) not-white-if-parent-fold:
 assumes P-lookup (parent (fold G src s)) v = Some u
 shows \neg white (fold G \ src \ s) u
lemma (in bfs-valid-input) vertex-color-induct [case-names white gray black]:
 assumes white s \ v \Longrightarrow P \ s \ v
 assumes gray s v \Longrightarrow P s v
 assumes black s \ v \Longrightarrow P \ s \ v
 shows P s v
lemma (in bfs-invar-not-DONE) black-imp-adjacency-not-white-fold:
 assumes black (fold G src s) u
 assumes (u, v) \in G.dE G
 shows \neg white (fold G \ src \ s) v
lemma (in bfs-invar) not-white-imp-lookup-parent-fold-eq-lookup-parent:
 assumes \neg white s v
 shows P-lookup (parent (fold G src s)) v = P-lookup (parent s) v
\mathbf{lemma} (in \mathit{bfs-invar-not-DONE}) \mathit{not-white-imp-rev-follow-fold-eq-rev-follow}:
 assumes \neg white s v
 shows rev-follow (parent (fold G src s)) v = rev-follow (parent s) v
lemma (in bfs-invar-not-DONE) queue-sorted-wrt-d-fold:
 shows sorted-wrt (\lambda u \ v. \ d \ (parent \ (fold \ G \ src \ s)) \ u \leq d \ (parent \ (fold \ G \ src \ s))
```

lemma (in bfs-invar-not-DONE) invar-queue-fold:

```
v) (Q-list (queue (fold G src s)))

lemma (in bfs-invar-not-DONE) d-last-queue-le-fold:

assumes \neg Q-is-empty (queue (fold G src s))

shows d (parent (fold G src s)) (last (Q-list (queue (fold G src s)))) \leq d (parent (fold G src s)) (Q-head (queue (fold G src s))) + 1
```

The last invariant, bfs-invar.d-triangle-inequality, is, at least in our minds, the most interesting one. Our first attempt at this invariant was the following: If a vertex v has been discovered, then the path from src to v induced by the parent map $(\lambda va. rev (parent.follow (state.parent s v) va))$ is a shortest path in graph G. This invariant was so strong, however, that it did not require using the induction hypothesis (of the induction rule given by bfs.loop) to prove one of the two implications of the correctness theorem. Indeed, the following invariant is sufficient to prove the implication, provided that it can be maintained: If there is an edge (u, v) in graph G such that both u and v have been discovered, then $d(v) \leq d(u) + 1$. However, we were not able to prove that this invariant can be maintained without requiring an additional invariant. Therefore, we generalized the invariant from edges to arbitrary paths in graph G, yielding invariant bfs-invar.d-triangle-inequality. We realized only recently that this generalization is strong enough to imply our first attempt, that is, we now have an invariant that is at least as strong as an invariant we deemed too strong.

```
lemma (in bfs-invar) white-imp-gray-ancestor:
 assumes dpath-bet (G.dE G) p u w
 assumes \neg white s u
 assumes white s w
 obtains v where
   v \in set p
   gray \ s \ v
proof (induct p arbitrary: w rule: dpath-rev-induct)
 case 1
 thus ?case
   by simp
next
 case 2
 thus ?case
   using hd-of-dpath-bet' last-of-dpath-bet
   by (fastforce intro: list-length-1)
 case (3 \ v \ v' \ l)
 show ?case
 proof (induct s v rule: vertex-color-induct)
   case white
   have dpath-bet (G.dE G) (l @ [v]) u v
     using 3.prems(2)
```

```
by (intro dpath-bet-pref) simp
   with 3.prems(1)
   \mathbf{show}~? case
     using 3.prems(3) white
     by (force intro: 3.hyps)
  \mathbf{next}
   case gray
   thus ?case
     by (auto intro: 3.prems(1))
 next
   \mathbf{case}\ black
   have (v, w) \in G.dE G
     using 3.prems(2)
     by (auto simp add: dpath-bet-def intro: dpath-snoc-edge-2)
   thus ?case
     using black black-imp-adjacency-not-white 3.prems(4)
     by blast
 qed
qed
lemma (in bfs-invar-not-DONE) d-triangle-inequality-fold:
 assumes dpath-p: dpath-bet (G.dE~G)~p~u~v
 assumes not-white-fold-u: \neg white (fold G src s) u
 assumes not-white-fold-v: \neg white (fold G src s) v
 shows d (parent (fold G src s)) v \leq d (parent (fold G src s)) u + dpath-length p
proof -
  consider
   (white-white) white s u \wedge white s v
   (white-not-white) white s u \land \neg white s v \mid
   (gray-white) gray s u \wedge white s v \mid
   (black-white) black s u \wedge white s v
   (not\text{-}white\text{-}not\text{-}white) \neg white \ s \ u \land \neg \ white \ s \ v
   by fast
  thus ?thesis
 proof (cases)
   case white-white
   hence d (parent (fold G src s)) v = d (parent (fold G src s)) (Q-head (queue
s)) + 1
     using not-white-fold-v
     by (intro white-not-white-foldD(3)) simp
   also have ... = d (parent (fold G src s)) u
     using white-white not-white-fold-u
     by (intro\ white-not-white-foldD(3)[symmetric])\ simp
   finally show ?thesis
     \mathbf{by} \ simp
  next
   case white-not-white
   hence dpath-Cons: dpath-bet (G.dE\ G) (Q\text{-head}\ (queue\ s)\ \#\ p) (Q\text{-head}\ (queue\ s)\ \#\ p)
s)) v
```

```
using not-white-fold-u white-not-white-foldD(1) dpath-p
     by (auto simp add: G.mem-adjacency-iff-edge intro: dpath-bet-ConsI)
   have d (parent (fold G src s)) v = d (parent s) v
     using white-not-white
     by (simp add: not-white-imp-rev-follow-fold-eq-rev-follow)
   also have ... \leq d \ (parent \ s) \ (Q-head \ (queue \ s)) + dpath-length \ (Q-head \ (queue \ s))
s) \# p
     using not-white-head-queue white-not-white dpath-Cons
     by (auto intro: d-triangle-inequality)
   \textbf{also have} \ ... = d \ (\textit{parent s}) \ (\textit{Q-head} \ (\textit{queue s})) + 1 + \textit{dpath-length p}
     using dpath-p
     by (simp add: dpath-length-Cons)
   also have ... = d (parent (fold G src s)) (Q-head (queue s)) + 1 + dpath-length
p
     using not-white-head-queue
     by (simp add: not-white-imp-rev-follow-fold-eq-rev-follow)
   also have ... = d (parent (fold G src s)) u + dpath-length <math>p
     using white-not-white not-white-fold-u
     by (simp add: white-not-white-foldD(3))
   finally show ?thesis
 \mathbf{next}
   case gray-white
   hence d (parent (fold G src s)) v = d (parent (fold G src s)) (Q-head (queue
s)) + 1
     using not-white-fold-v
     by (intro white-not-white-foldD(3)) simp
   also have ... = d (parent s) (Q-head (queue s)) + 1
     using not-white-head-queue
     by (auto simp add: not-white-imp-rev-follow-fold-eq-rev-follow)
   also have ... \leq d \ (parent \ s) \ u + 1
     using gray-white
     by (intro mem-queue-imp-d-ge add-right-mono) simp
   also have ... = d (parent (fold G src s)) u + 1
     using gray-white
     by (simp add: not-white-imp-rev-follow-fold-eq-rev-follow)
   also have ... \leq d (parent (fold G src s)) u + dpath-length <math>p
     \mathbf{using}\ \mathit{dpath-p}\ \mathit{gray-white}
     by (fastforce intro: dpath-length-geq-1I add-left-mono)
   finally show ?thesis
  next
   case black-white
   then obtain w where
     w \in set \ p \ \mathbf{and}
     gray-w: gray s w
     using dpath-p
     by (elim white-imp-gray-ancestor) simp+
   then obtain q r where
```

```
p = q @ tl r  and
     dpath-q: dpath-bet (G.dE~G)~q~u~w and
     dpath-r: dpath-bet (G.dE~G)~r~w~v
     using dpath-p
     by (auto simp add: in-set-conv-decomp elim: dpath-bet-vertex-decompE)
   hence dpath-length-p: dpath-length p = dpath-length q + dpath-length r
     by (auto dest: dpath-betD(2-4) intro: dpath-length-append-2)
   have d (parent (fold G src s)) v = d (parent (fold G src s)) (Q-head (queue s))
+ 1
     using black-white not-white-fold-v
     by (intro white-not-white-foldD(3)) simp
   also have \dots = d (parent s) (Q-head (queue s)) + 1
     using not-white-head-queue
     by (auto simp add: not-white-imp-rev-follow-fold-eq-rev-follow)
   also have ... \leq d \ (parent \ s) \ w + 1
     using qray-w
     by (intro mem-queue-imp-d-ge add-right-mono) simp
   also have ... \leq d (parent s) u + dpath{-length q + 1}
     using dpath-q black-white gray-w
     by (auto intro: d-triangle-inequality add-right-mono)
   also have ... \leq d \ (parent \ s) \ u + dpath{-length} \ p
     using dpath-r gray-w black-white dpath-length-geq-11
     by (fastforce simp add: dpath-length-p)
   also have ... = d (parent (fold G src s)) u + dpath-length <math>p
     using black-white
     by (simp add: not-white-imp-rev-follow-fold-eq-rev-follow)
   finally show ?thesis
 next
   case not-white-not-white
   hence d (parent (fold G src s)) v = d (parent s) v
     by (simp add: not-white-imp-rev-follow-fold-eq-rev-follow)
   also have ... \leq d (parent s) u + dpath-length p
     using dpath-p not-white-not-white
     by (intro d-triangle-inequality) simp+
   also have ... = d (parent (fold G src s)) u + dpath-length <math>p
     using not-white-not-white
     by (simp add: not-white-imp-rev-follow-fold-eq-rev-follow)
   finally show ?thesis
 qed
qed
lemma (in bfs-invar-not-DONE) bfs-invar-fold:
 shows bfs-invar'' (fold G src s)
```

3.2.3 Termination

Before we can prove the correctness of loop bfs.loop, we need to prove that it terminates on appropriate inputs.

```
lemma (in bfs) loop-dom:
 assumes G.invar G
 assumes Q-invar (queue s)
 assumes P-invar (parent s)
 assumes set (Q-list (queue\ s)) \subseteq G.dV\ G
 assumes P.dom\ (parent\ s)\subseteq G.dV\ G
 shows loop\text{-}dom\ (G,\ src,\ s)
proof (induct \ card \ (G.dV \ G) + length \ (Q-list \ (queue \ s)) - card \ (P.dom \ (parent
s))
     arbitrary: s
     rule: less-induct)
 case less
 show ?case
 proof (cases DONE s)
   {\bf case}\ {\it True}
   thus ?thesis
    by (blast intro: loop.domintros)
 next
   case False
   let ?u = Q-head (queue s)
   let ?q = Q-tail (queue s)
    let ?S = set (filter (Not \circ is-discovered src (parent s)) (G.adjacency-list G
(Q-head\ (queue\ s))))
   have length (Q-list (queue (fold G src s))) = length (Q-list ?q) + card ?S
     using less.prems(1-3) False G. distinct-adjacency-list
     by (simp add: list-queue-fold-conq-2 distinct-card[symmetric])
   moreover have card (P.dom (parent (fold G src s))) = card (P.dom (parent
s)) + card ?S
     using less.prems(1, 3, 5)
     by (intro loop-dom-aux)
   ultimately have
    card (G.dV G) + length (Q-list (queue (fold G src s))) - card (P.dom (parent
(fold\ G\ src\ s))) =
      card (G.dV G) + length (Q-list ?q) + card ?S - (card (P.dom (parent s)))
+ card ?S)
    by presburger
   also have ... = card (G.dV G) + length (Q-list ?q) - card (P.dom (parent s))
    also have ... < card (G.dV G) + length (Q-list (queue s)) - card (P.dom)
(parent s)
     using less.prems False
     by (intro loop-dom-aux-2)
   finally have
    card (G.dV G) + length (Q-list (queue (fold G src s))) - card (P.dom (parent
```

```
(fold\ G\ src\ s))) <
      card (G.dV G) + length (Q-list (queue s)) - card (P.dom (parent s))
   thus ?thesis
     using less.prems
   by (intro invar-queue-fold-2 invar-parent-fold-2 queue-fold-subset-dV dom-parent-fold-subset-dV-2
less.hyps loop.domintros)
  qed
qed
lemma (in bfs-invar) not-white-imp-dpath-rev-follow:
 assumes \neg white s v
 shows dpath-bet (G.dE G) (rev-follow (parent s) v) src v
3.2.4
        Correctness
We are now finally ready to prove the correctness of algorithm bfs.bfs.
abbreviation (in bfs) dist :: 'n \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow enat where
  dist G \equiv Shortest-Dpath.dist (G.dE G)
abbreviation (in bfs) is-shortest-dpath :: 'n \Rightarrow 'a \ list \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow bool where
 is-shortest-dpath G p u v \equiv dpath-bet (G.dE G) p u v \land dpath-length p = dist G
locale bfs-invar-DONE = bfs-invar where P-update = P-update and Q-snoc = bfs-invar-DONE
Q-snoc for
  P-update :: 'a::linorder \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'm \Rightarrow 'm and
  Q-snoc :: 'q \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'q +
 assumes DONE: DONE s
context bfs-valid-input
begin
sublocale finite-dgraph G.dE G
end
lemma (in bfs-invar) distinct-rev-follow:
 shows distinct (rev-follow (parent s) v)
lemma (in bfs-invar-DONE) white-imp-not-reachable:
 assumes white s v
 shows \neg reachable (G.dE G) src v
lemma (in bfs-valid-input) loop-complete:
 assumes bfs-invar'' s
 assumes \neg is-discovered src (parent (loop G src s)) v
 shows \neg reachable (G.dE G) src v
\mathbf{lemma} (in \mathit{bfs-invar-DONE}) \mathit{not-white-imp-d-le-dist}:
```

```
assumes \neg white s v
 shows d (parent s) v \leq dist G src v
lemma (in bfs-invar-DONE) not-white-imp-is-shortest-dpath:
  assumes \neg white s v
 shows is-shortest-dpath G (rev-follow (parent s) v) src v
lemma (in bfs-valid-input) loop-sound:
  assumes bfs-invar'' s
  assumes is-discovered src (parent (loop G src s)) v
 shows is-shortest-dpath G (rev-follow (parent (loop G src s)) v) src v
abbreviation (in bfs) is-shortest-dpath-Map :: 'n \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'm \Rightarrow bool where
  is-shortest-dpath-Map G src m \equiv
  \forall v. (is\text{-}discovered \ src \ m \ v \longrightarrow is\text{-}shortest\text{-}dpath \ G \ (rev\text{-}follow \ m \ v) \ src \ v) \ \land
      (\neg is\text{-}discovered\ src\ m\ v \longrightarrow \neg\ reachable\ (G.dE\ G)\ src\ v)
lemma (in bfs-valid-input) loop-correct:
  assumes bfs-invar'' s
 shows is-shortest-dpath-Map G src (parent (loop G src s))
lemma (in bfs-valid-input) bfs-correct:
  shows is-shortest-dpath-Map G src (bfs G src)
theorem (in bfs) bfs-correct:
  assumes bfs-valid-input' G src
 shows is-shortest-dpath-Map G src (bfs G src)
{f theory}\ Shortest	ext{-}Path	ext{-}Adaptor
 imports
    Path-Adaptor
   ../Directed-Graph/Shortest-Dpath
   ../ Undirected-Graph/Shortest-Path
begin
abbreviation is-shortest-dpath :: 'a dgraph \Rightarrow 'a dpath \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow bool where
   is-shortest-dpath G p u v \equiv dpath-bet G p u v \wedge dpath-length p = Short-
est-Dpath.dist G u v
lemma (in graph) dist-eq-dist:
  shows dist\ G\ u\ v = Shortest-Dpath.dist\ dEs\ u\ v
lemma (in graph) is-shortest-path-iff-is-shortest-dpath:
  \mathbf{shows}\ \textit{is-shortest-path}\ \textit{G}\ \textit{p}\ \textit{u}\ \textit{v} = \textit{is-shortest-dpath}\ \textit{dEs}\ \textit{p}\ \textit{u}\ \textit{v}
end
```

4 Alternating BFS

This section specifies and verifies a modified BFS that alternates between edges in two given graphs.

```
\begin{tabular}{ll} \textbf{theory} & \textit{Alternating-BFS} \\ \textbf{imports} \\ & ../\textit{Graph/Undirected-Graph/Shortest-Alternating-Path} \\ & ../\textit{BFS/Undirected-BFS} \\ \end{tabular}
```

4.1 Specification of the algorithm

```
locale alt\text{-}bfs = bfs where Map\text{-}update = Map\text{-}update and P\text{-}update = P\text{-}update and Q\text{-}snoc = Q\text{-}snoc for Map\text{-}update :: 'a\text{::}linorder \Rightarrow 's \Rightarrow 'n \Rightarrow 'n and P\text{-}update :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'm \Rightarrow 'm and Q\text{-}snoc :: 'q \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'q begin
```

Apart from enforcing alternation, the algorithm works identically to BFS.

```
thm init-def
```

```
thm DONE-def
```

thm is-discovered-def

thm discover-def

thm traverse-edge-def

And we enforce alternation by checking, when determining the vertices adjacent to a vertex u, how u was reached from its parent. If it was reached via an edge in G1, then we consider only vertices adjacent to u in G2 and vice versa.

```
definition P :: 'n \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow bool where P \ G \ u \ v \equiv case \ Map-lookup \ G \ u \ of \ None \Rightarrow False \ | \ Some \ s \Rightarrow Set-isin \ s \ v definition P' :: 'n \Rightarrow 'a \ option \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow bool where P' \ G \ uo \ v \equiv case \ uo \ of \ None \Rightarrow False \ | \ Some \ u \Rightarrow P \ G \ u \ v definition adjacency :: 'n \Rightarrow 'n \Rightarrow ('q, 'm) \ state \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a \ list where adjacency \ G1 \ G2 \ s \ v \equiv if \ P' \ G2 \ (P-lookup \ (parent \ s) \ v) \ v \ then \ G.adjacency-list \ G1 \ v else \ G.adjacency-list \ G2 \ v
```

```
function (domintros) alt-loop :: 'n \Rightarrow 'n \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow ('q, 'm) \ state \Rightarrow ('q, 'm) \ state
where
  alt	ext{-loop}\ G1\ G2\ src\ s =
  (if \neg DONE s
    then let
          u = Q-head (queue s);
          q = Q-tail (queue s)
        in alt-loop G1 G2 src (List.fold (traverse-edge src u) (adjacency G1 G2 s u)
(s(|queue := q|))
    else s)
definition alt-bfs :: 'n \Rightarrow 'n \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'm where
  alt-bfs G1 G2 src \equiv parent (alt-loop G1 G2 src (init src))
abbreviation alt-fold :: 'n \Rightarrow 'n \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow ('q, 'm) \ state \Rightarrow ('q, 'm) \ state where
  alt-fold G1 G2 src s \equiv
   List.fold
    (traverse-edge\ src\ (Q-head\ (queue\ s)))
    (adjacency\ G1\ G2\ s\ (Q-head\ (queue\ s)))
    (s(queue := Q-tail (queue s)))
```

4.2 Verification of the correctness of the algorithm

4.2.1 Assumptions on the input

end

Algorithm alt-bfs.alt-bfs expects two undirected graphs G1 and G2 such that G1's and G2's edges are disjoint and the union of G1 and G2 does not contain any odd-length cycles, as well a source vertex src in G2 as input, and the correctness theorem will assume such an input. We remark that the assumption that G1's and G2's edges are disjoint is for the purpose of convenience. More specifically, when determining the vertices adjacent to a vertex u, with this assumption it is sufficient to check whether the edge from u's parent to u is in G1 or G2.

Let us now formally specify our assumptions on the input.

```
locale alt-bfs-valid-input = alt-bfs where Map-update = Map-update and P-update = P-update and Q-snoc = Q-snoc for Map-update :: 'a::linorder <math>\Rightarrow 's \Rightarrow 'n \Rightarrow 'n and P-update :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'm \Rightarrow 'm and Q-snoc :: 'q \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'q + fixes G1 \ G2 :: 'n fixes src :: 'a assumes invar-G1: G.invar \ G1 assumes invar-G2: G.invar \ G2 assumes G1-symmetric: v \in set (G.adjacency-list \ G1 \ u) \longleftrightarrow u \in set (G.adjacency-list \ G1 \ u)
```

```
G1 v
 assumes G2-symmetric: v \in set (G.adjacency-list \ G2 \ u) \longleftrightarrow u \in set (G.adjacency-list \ G2 \ u)
G2 v
  assumes E1-E2-disjoint: G.E.G1 \cap G.E.G2 = \{\}
 assumes no-odd-cycle: \neg (\exists c. path (G.E (G.union G1 G2)) c \land odd-cycle c)
 assumes src\text{-}mem\text{-}V2: src \in G.V G2
context alt-bfs-valid-input
begin
sublocale G1: symmetric-adjacency where G = G1
sublocale G2: symmetric-adjacency where G = G2
end
abbreviation (in alt-bfs-valid-input) G:: 'n where
  G \equiv G.union \ G1 \ G2
lemma (in alt-bfs-valid-input) invar-G:
 shows G.invar G
context alt-bfs-valid-input
begin
sublocale G: symmetric-adjacency where G = G
end
4.2.2 Loop invariants
The loop invariants of alt-bfs.alt-loop are very similar to those of bfs.loop.
abbreviation (in alt-bfs-valid-input) d::'m \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow nat where
  d \ m \ v \equiv path-length \ (rev-follow \ m \ v)
abbreviation (in alt-bfs-valid-input) P'' :: 'a \ set \Rightarrow bool \ \mathbf{where}
  P^{\prime\prime} e \equiv e \in G.E G2
abbreviation (in alt-bfs-valid-input) alt :: ('q, 'm) state \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow bool where
  alt s \ u \ v \equiv P' \ G2 \ (P\text{-lookup (parent } s) \ u) \ u \longleftrightarrow \neg P \ G2 \ u \ v
abbreviation (in alt-bfs-valid-input) Q :: ('q, 'm) \ state \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a \ set \Rightarrow bool
  Q \ s \ v \equiv if \ P' \ G2 \ (P\text{-lookup (parent s) } v) \ v \ then \ (Not \circ P'') \ else \ P''
abbreviation (in alt-bfs-valid-input) white :: ('q, 'm) state \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow bool where
  white s \ v \equiv \neg \ is-discovered src (parent s) v
abbreviation (in alt-bfs-valid-input) gray :: ('q, 'm) state \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow bool where
  gray s \ v \equiv is-discovered src \ (parent \ s) \ v \land v \in set \ (Q-list (queue \ s))
```

```
abbreviation (in alt-bfs-valid-input) black :: ('q, 'm) state \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow bool where
  black\ s\ v \equiv is\text{-}discovered\ src\ (parent\ s)\ v \land v \notin set\ (Q\text{-}list\ (queue\ s))
locale alt-bfs-invar =
  alt-bfs-valid-input where P-update = P-update and Q-snoc = Q-snoc +
  parent P-lookup (parent s) for
  P-update :: 'a::linorder \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'm \Rightarrow 'm and
  Q-snoc :: 'q \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'q and
  s :: ('q, 'm) \ state +
  assumes invar-queue: Q-invar (queue s)
  assumes invar-parent: P-invar (parent s)
  assumes parent-src: P-lookup (parent s) src = None
  assumes parent-imp-alt: P-lookup (parent s) v = Some \ u \Longrightarrow alt \ s \ u \ v
  assumes parent-imp-edge: P-lookup (parent s) v = Some \ u \Longrightarrow \{u, v\} \in G.E \ G
  assumes not-white-if-mem-queue: v \in set (Q-list (queue s)) \Longrightarrow \neg white s v
  assumes not-white-if-parent: P-lookup (parent s) v = Some \ u \Longrightarrow \neg \ white \ s \ u
 assumes black-imp-adjacency-not-white: \llbracket alt\ s\ u\ v; \{u,v\} \in G.E.G; black\ s\ u\ \rrbracket
\implies \neg \ white \ s \ v
  assumes queue-sorted-wrt-d: sorted-wrt (\lambda u \ v. \ d \ (parent \ s) \ u \le d \ (parent \ s) \ v)
(Q-list\ (queue\ s))
  assumes d-last-queue-le:
    \neg Q-is-empty (queue s) \Longrightarrow
     d (parent s) (last (Q-list (queue s))) \leq d (parent s) (Q-head (queue s)) + 1
  assumes d-triangle-inequality:
    \llbracket alt\text{-path } (Q \ s \ u) \ (Not \circ Q \ s \ u) \ (G.E \ G) \ p \ u \ v; \neg \ white \ s \ u; \neg \ white \ s \ v \ \rrbracket \Longrightarrow
     d (parent s) v \leq d (parent s) u + path-length p
```

Compared to bfs.loop, we need one additional invariant, alt-bfs-invar.parent-imp-alt, which captures alternation.

Let us verify that the loop invariants of *alt-bfs.alt-loop* are satisfied prior to the first iteration of the loop.

```
\begin{array}{l} \textbf{lemma (in} \ alt\text{-}bfs\text{-}valid\text{-}input) \ alt\text{-}bfs\text{-}invar\text{-}init:} \\ \textbf{shows} \ alt\text{-}bfs\text{-}invar^{\prime\prime} \ (init \ src) \end{array}
```

Let us now verify that the loop invariants are maintained. For this, let us first look at how the different subroutines change the queue and parent map.

```
lemma (in alt-bfs) list-queue-alt-fold-cong: assumes G.invar G1 assumes G.invar G2 assumes Q-invar (queue\ s) assumes P-invar (parent\ s) assumes \neg\ DONE\ s shows Q-list\ (queue\ (alt-fold\ G1\ G2\ src\ s)) = Q-list\ (Q-tail\ (queue\ s))\ @
```

```
filter (Not o is-discovered src (parent s)) (adjacency G1 G2 s (Q-head (queue
s)))
lemma (in alt-bfs) lookup-parent-alt-fold-cong:
 assumes G.invar G1
 assumes G.invar G2
 assumes P-invar (parent s)
 shows
   P-lookup (parent (alt-fold G1 G2 src s)) =
    override\hbox{-} on
     (P	ext{-}lookup\ (parent\ s))
     (\lambda-. Some (Q-head (queue\ s)))
     (set (filter (Not ∘ is-discovered src (parent s)) (adjacency G1 G2 s (Q-head
(queue\ s)))))
lemma (in alt-bfs-invar) lookup-parent-alt-fold-cong:
 shows
   P-lookup (parent (alt-fold G1 G2 src s)) =
    override-on
     (P-lookup\ (parent\ s))
     (\lambda-. Some (Q-head (queue\ s)))
     (set (filter (Not ∘ is-discovered src (parent s)) (adjacency G1 G2 s (Q-head
(queue\ s)))))
lemma (in alt-bfs) T-alt-fold-cong:
 assumes G.invar G1
 assumes G.invar G2
 assumes P-invar (parent s)
 shows
   T (parent (alt-fold G1 G2 src s)) =
    T (parent s) \cup
    \{(Q\text{-}head\ (queue\ s),\ v)\ | v.\ v\in set\ (adjacency\ G1\ G2\ s\ (Q\text{-}head\ (queue\ s)))\ \land
\neg is-discovered src (parent s) v}
lemma (in alt-bfs-invar) T-fold-cong:
 shows
   T (parent (alt-fold G1 G2 src s)) =
    T (parent s) \cup
    \{(Q\text{-}head\ (queue\ s),\ v)\ | v.\ v\in set\ (adjacency\ G1\ G2\ s\ (Q\text{-}head\ (queue\ s)))\ \land
\neg is-discovered src (parent s) v}
Next, we verify the maintenance of the loop invariants one by one.
locale alt-bfs-invar-not-DONE = alt-bfs-invar where P-update = P-update and
Q-snoc = Q-snoc for
  P-update :: 'a::linorder \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'm \Rightarrow 'm and
  Q-snoc :: 'q \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'q +
assumes not\text{-}DONE: \neg DONE s
```

```
lemma (in alt-bfs-invar-not-DONE) follow-invar-parent-alt-fold:
 shows follow-invar (P-lookup (parent (alt-fold G1 G2 src s)))
lemma (in alt-bfs-invar-not-DONE) invar-queue-alt-fold:
 shows Q-invar (queue (alt-fold G1 G2 src s))
lemma (in alt-bfs-invar) invar-parent-alt-fold:
 shows P-invar (parent (alt-fold G1 G2 src s))
lemma (in alt-bfs-invar) parent-src-alt-fold:
 shows P-lookup (parent (alt-fold G1 G2 src s)) src = None
lemma (in alt-bfs-invar-not-DONE) parent-imp-alt-alt-fold:
 assumes P-lookup (parent (alt-fold G1 G2 src s)) v = Some \ u
 shows alt (alt-fold G1 G2 src s) u v
lemma (in alt-bfs-invar-not-DONE) parent-imp-edge-alt-fold:
 assumes P-lookup (parent (alt-fold G1 G2 src s)) v = Some \ u
 shows \{u, v\} \in G.E G
lemma (in alt-bfs-invar-not-DONE) list-queue-alt-fold-cong:
 shows
   Q-list (queue (alt-fold G1 G2 src s)) =
    Q-list (Q-tail (queue\ s)) @
    filter (Not o is-discovered src (parent s)) (adjacency G1 G2 s (Q-head (queue
s)))
lemma (in alt-bfs-invar-not-DONE) black-imp-adjacency-not-white-alt-fold:
 assumes alt (alt-fold G1 G2 src s) u v
 assumes \{u, v\} \in G.E G
 assumes black (alt-fold G1 G2 src s) u
 shows \neg white (alt-fold G1 G2 src s) v
lemma (in alt-bfs-invar-not-DONE) queue-sorted-wrt-d-alt-fold:
 shows sorted-wrt (\lambda u \ v. \ d (parent (alt-fold G1 G2 src s)) u \leq d (parent (alt-fold
G1 G2 src s)) v) (Q-list (queue (alt-fold G1 G2 src s)))
lemma (in alt-bfs-invar-not-DONE) d-last-queue-le-alt-fold:
 assumes \neg Q-is-empty (queue (alt-fold G1 G2 src s))
 shows
   d \ (parent \ (alt\text{-}fold \ G1 \ G2 \ src \ s)) \ (last \ (Q\text{-}list \ (queue \ (alt\text{-}fold \ G1 \ G2 \ src \ s)))) \le
    d (parent (alt-fold G1 G2 src s)) (Q-head (queue (alt-fold G1 G2 src s))) + 1
lemma (in alt-bfs-invar) alt-path-rev-follow-snocI:
 assumes alt-path P'' (Not \circ P'') (G.E.G.) (rev-follow (parent s) u) src u
 assumes \{u, v\} \in G.E G
 assumes alt s u v
 assumes \neg white s u
 shows alt-path P'' (Not \circ P'') (G.E.G.) (rev-follow (parent s) u @ [v]) src v
```

```
lemma (in alt-bfs-invar) alt-path-rev-follow-appendI:
 assumes alt-path: alt-path (Q \ s \ u) \ (Not \circ Q \ s \ u) \ (G.E \ G) \ (p \ @ \ [v, \ w]) \ u \ w
 assumes not-white: \neg white s u
 shows alt-path P'' (Not \circ P'') (G.E.G.) (but last (rev-follow (parent s) u) @ p @
[v, w] src w
lemma (in alt-bfs-invar) alt-path-snoc-snocD:
 assumes alt-path: alt-path P'' (Not \circ P'') (G.E G) (p @ [u, v]) src v
 assumes not-white: \neg white s u
 shows
   \{u, v\} \in G.E G
   alt\ s\ u\ v
lemma (in alt-bfs-invar) white-imp-gray-ancestor:
 assumes alt-path (Q s u) (Not \circ Q s u) (G.E G) p u w
 assumes \neg white s u
 assumes white s w
 obtains v where
   v \in set p
   gray \ s \ v
lemma (in alt-bfs-valid-input) parent-imp-d:
 assumes Parent-Relation.parent (P-lookup (parent \ s))
 assumes P-lookup (parent s) v = Some u
 shows d (parent s) v = d (parent s) u + 1
lemma (in alt-bfs-invar-not-DONE) d-triangle-inequality-alt-fold:
 assumes alt-path-p: alt-path (Q (alt-fold G1 G2 src s) u) (Not \circ Q (alt-fold G1
G2 \ src \ s) \ u) \ (G.E \ G) \ p \ u \ v
 assumes not-white-alt-fold-u: \neg white (alt-fold G1 G2 src s) u
 assumes not-white-alt-fold-v: \neg white (alt-fold G1 G2 src s) v
 shows d (parent (alt-fold G1 G2 src s)) v \leq d (parent (alt-fold G1 G2 src s)) u
+ path-length p
lemma (in alt-bfs-invar-not-DONE) alt-bfs-invar-alt-fold:
 shows alt-bfs-invar'' (alt-fold G1 G2 src s)
```

4.2.3 Termination

Before we can prove the correctness of loop *alt-bfs.alt-loop*, we need to prove that it terminates on appropriate inputs.

```
lemma (in alt-bfs) alt-loop-dom:

assumes G.invar G1

assumes G.invar G2

assumes Q.invar (queue s)

assumes P.invar (parent s)

assumes set (Q.list (queue s)) \subseteq G.V (G.union G1 G2)
```

```
assumes P.dom (parent s) \subseteq G.V (G.union G1 G2) shows alt-loop-dom (G1, G2, src, s)
```

4.2.4 Correctness

```
We are now finally ready to prove the correctness of algorithm alt-bfs.alt-bfs.
locale alt-bfs-invar-DONE = alt-bfs-invar where P-update = P-update and Q-snoc
= Q-snoc for
  P-update :: 'a::linorder \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'm \Rightarrow 'm and
  Q-snoc :: 'q \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'q +
 assumes DONE: DONE s
lemma (in alt-bfs-invar-DONE) white-imp-not-alt-reachable:
 assumes white s v
 shows \neg alt-reachable P'' (Not \circ P'') (G.E G) src v
lemma (in alt-bfs-invar-DONE) not-white-imp-d-le-alt-dist:
 assumes \neg white s v
 shows d (parent s) v \leq alt\text{-}dist P'' (Not \circ P'') (G.E G) src v
lemma (in alt-bfs-invar-DONE) not-white-imp-is-shortest-alt-path:
 assumes \neg white s v
 shows is-shortest-alt-path P'' (Not \circ P'') (G.E.G.) (rev-follow (parent s) v) src v
lemma (in alt-bfs-valid-input) alt-loop-sound:
 assumes alt-bfs-invar'' s
 assumes is-discovered src (parent (alt-loop G1 G2 src s)) v
  shows is-shortest-alt-path P'' (Not \circ P'') (G.E.G.) (rev-follow (parent (alt-loop
G1 \ G2 \ src \ s)) \ v) \ src \ v
lemma (in alt-bfs-valid-input) alt-loop-complete:
 assumes alt-bfs-invar'' s
 assumes \neg is-discovered src (parent (alt-loop G1 G2 src s)) v
 shows \neg alt-reachable P'' (Not \circ P'') (G.E G) src v
abbreviation (in alt-bfs) is-shortest-alt-path-Map :: ('a \ set \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow 'n \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow
'm \Rightarrow bool \text{ where}
 is-shortest-alt-path-Map Q G src m \equiv
    is-discovered src m\ v \longrightarrow is-shortest-alt-path Q\ (Not\ \circ\ Q)\ (G.E\ G)\ (rev-follow
m \ v) \ src \ v \ \land
    \neg is-discovered src m v \longrightarrow \neg alt-reachable Q (Not \circ Q) (G.E G) src v
lemma (in alt-bfs-valid-input) correctness:
 assumes alt-bfs-invar'' s
 shows is-shortest-alt-path-Map P'' G src (parent (alt-loop G1 G2 src s))
```

lemma (in alt-bfs-valid-input) alt-bfs-correct:

```
shows is-shortest-alt-path-Map P'' G src (alt-bfs G1 G2 src)

theorem (in alt-bfs) alt-bfs-correct:
   assumes alt-bfs-valid-input' G1 G2 src
   shows is-shortest-alt-path-Map (\lambda e.\ e\in G.E\ G2) (G.union\ G1\ G2) src (alt-bfs G1\ G2\ src)

lemma (in alt-bfs-invar) hd-rev-follow-eq-src:
  assumes \neg white s\ v
   shows hd (rev-follow (parent s) v) = src
end
```

4.3 Implementation of the algorithm

```
theory Alternating-BFS-Partial imports
Alternating-BFS
begin
```

As is the case for BFS, we verified only partial termination and correctness of loop *alt-bfs.alt-loop*, since we assumed an appropriate input as specified via locale *alt-bfs-valid-input*. To obtain executable code, we make this explicit and use a partial function.

```
partial-function (in alt-bfs) (tailrec) alt-loop-partial where
      alt-loop-partial G1 G2 src\ s =
       (if \neg DONE s
          then\ let
                          u = Q-head (queue s);
                          q = Q-tail (queue s)
                       in alt-loop-partial G1 G2 src (List.fold (traverse-edge src u) (adjacency G1
 G2 \ s \ u) \ (s(|queue := q|))
          else\ s)
definition (in alt-bfs) alt-bfs-partial :: 'n \Rightarrow 'n \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'm where
      alt-bfs-partial~G1~G2~src \equiv parent~(alt-loop-partial~G1~G2~src~(init~src))
lemma (in alt-bfs-valid-input) alt-loop-partial-eq-alt-loop:
     assumes alt-bfs-invar'' s
    shows alt-loop-partial G1 G2 src s = alt-loop G1 G2 src s = alt-
lemma (in alt-bfs-valid-input) alt-bfs-partial-eq-alt-bfs:
     shows alt-bfs-partial G1 G2 src = alt-bfs G1 G2 src
theorem (in alt-bfs-valid-input) alt-bfs-partial-correct:
     shows is-shortest-alt-path-Map P'' G src (alt-bfs-partial G1 G2 src)
corollary (in alt-bfs) alt-bfs-partial-correct:
     assumes alt-bfs-valid-input' G1 G2 src
```

shows is-shortest-alt-path-Map ($\lambda e.\ e \in G.E\ G2$) (G.union G1 G2) src (alt-bfs-partial G1 G2 src)

end

4.4 Implementation of the algorithm

```
\begin{array}{c} \textbf{theory} \ BFS\text{-}Partial\\ \textbf{imports}\\ BFS\\ \textbf{begin} \end{array}
```

One point to note is that we verified only partial termination and correctness of loop *bfs.loop*, since we assumed an appropriate input as specified via locale *bfs-valid-input*. To obtain executable code, we make this explicit and use a partial function.

```
partial-function (in bfs) (tailrec) loop-partial where
 loop-partial G src s =
  (if \neg DONE s
   then let
        u = Q-head (queue s);
        q = Q-tail (queue s)
       in loop-partial G src (List.fold (traverse-edge src u) (G.adjacency-list G u)
(s(|queue := q|))
   else s)
definition (in bfs) bfs-partial :: 'n \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'm where
 bfs-partial G src \equiv parent (loop-partial G src (init src))
lemma (in bfs-valid-input) loop-partial-eq-loop:
 assumes bfs-invar'' s
 shows loop-partial G src s = loop G src s
lemma (in bfs-valid-input) bfs-partial-eq-bfs:
 shows bfs-partial G src = bfs G src
theorem (in bfs-valid-input) bfs-partial-correct:
 shows is-shortest-dpath-Map G src (bfs-partial G src)
corollary (in bfs) bfs-partial-correct:
 assumes bfs-valid-input' G src
 shows is-shortest-dpath-Map G src (bfs-partial G src)
end
theory Queue
 imports Queue-Specs
begin
```

On the low level, this interface is implemented using a pair of lists. Our

implementation is based on Okasaki, C. (1999). Purely functional data structures. Cambridge University Press.

```
type-synonym 'a queue = 'a \ list \times 'a \ list
```

```
definition empty :: 'a \ queue \ \mathbf{where} empty = ([], [])
```

fun is-empty :: 'a queue
$$\Rightarrow$$
 bool where is-empty $(f, -) \longleftrightarrow f = []$

fun queue :: 'a queue
$$\Rightarrow$$
 'a queue where queue ([], r) = (rev r , []) | queue (f , r) = (f , r)

fun
$$snoc :: 'a \ queue \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a \ queue \ \mathbf{where}$$

 $snoc \ (f, \ r) \ x = \ queue \ (f, \ x \ \# \ r)$

fun
$$head :: 'a \ queue \Rightarrow 'a \ \mathbf{where}$$

 $head \ (x \# f, \cdot) = x$

fun
$$tail :: 'a \ queue \Rightarrow 'a \ queue \ \mathbf{where}$$

 $tail \ (x \# f, r) = queue \ (f, r)$

fun
$$invar :: 'a \ queue \Rightarrow bool \ \mathbf{where}$$
 $invar \ ([], \ r) \longleftrightarrow r = [] \ |$
 $invar \ (f, \ r) = True$

fun
$$list :: 'a \ queue \Rightarrow 'a \ list \ \mathbf{where}$$

 $list \ (f, \ r) = f \ @ \ (rev \ r)$

interpretation Q: Queue where

```
empty = empty and
is-empty = is-empty and
snoc = snoc and
head = head and
tail = tail and
invar = invar and
list = list
```

end

4.5 Low level

```
theory Adjacency-Impl
imports
Adjacency
Directed-Adjacency
Undirected-Adjacency
HOL-Data-Structures.RBT-Map
```

```
HOL-Data-Structures.RBT-Set2 begin
```

On the medium level of abstraction, we specified a graph via the interface adjacency. We now show that, on the low level, this interface can be implemented via red-black trees.

```
global-interpretation G: adjacency where
 Map\text{-}empty = empty \text{ and }
 Map-update = update and
 Map-delete = RBT-Map.delete and
 Map-lookup = lookup and
 Map-inorder = inorder and
 Map-inv = rbt and
 Set-empty = empty and
 Set-insert = insert and
 Set-delete = delete and
 Set-isin = isin and
 Set-inorder = inorder and
 Set	ext{-}inv = rbt
 defines invar = G.invar
 and adjacency-list = G.adjacency-list
 and insert = G.insert
 and insert' = G.insert'
 and insert-2 = G.insert-2
 and delete-2 = G.delete-2
 and union = G.union
 {\bf and} \ \textit{difference} = \textit{G.difference}
 and dE = G.dE
 and dV = G.dV
 and E = G.E
 and V = G.V
 and insert\text{-}edge = G.insert\text{-}edge
end
theory BFS-Impl
 imports
   BFS-Partial
   HOL-Data-Structures.RBT-Set2
   ../Queue/Queue
   .../Graph/Adjacency/Adjacency-Impl
begin
```

We now show that our specification of BFS in locale *bfs* can be implemented via red-black trees.

```
global-interpretation B: bfs where Map-empty = empty and Map-update = update and Map-delete = RBT-Map. delete and Map-lookup = lookup and
```

```
Map-inorder = inorder and
 Map-inv = rbt and
 Set-empty = empty and
 Set-insert = RBT-Set.insert and
 Set-delete = delete and
 Set-isin = isin and
 Set-inorder = inorder and
 Set-inv = rbt and
 P-empty = empty and
 P-update = update and
 P-delete = RBT-Map.delete and
 P-lookup = lookup and
 P-invar = M.invar and
 Q-empty = Queue.empty and
 Q-is-empty = is-empty and
 Q-snoc = snoc and
 Q-head = head and
 Q-tail = tail and
 Q-invar = Queue.invar and
 Q-list = list
 defines init = B.init
 and DONE = B.DONE
 and is-discovered = B.is-discovered
 and discover = B.discover
 and traverse\text{-}edge = B.traverse\text{-}edge
 and loop-partial = B.loop-partial
 and bfs-partial = B.bfs-partial
declare B.loop-partial.simps [code]
end
theory Alternating-BFS-Impl
 imports
   Alternating	ext{-}BFS	ext{-}Partial
   ../BFS/BFS-Impl
begin
```

We now show that our specification of the modified BFS in locale alt-bfs can be implemented via red-black trees.

```
global-interpretation A: alt-bfs where
 Map\text{-}empty = empty \text{ and }
 Map-update = update and
 Map-delete = RBT-Map.delete and
 Map-lookup = lookup and
 Map-inorder = inorder and
 Map-inv = rbt and
 Set-empty = empty and
 Set-insert = RBT-Set.insert and
 Set-delete = delete and
```

```
Set-isin = isin and
 Set-inorder = inorder and
 Set-inv = rbt and
 P-empty = empty and
 P-update = update and
 P-delete = RBT-Map. delete and
 P-lookup = lookup and
 P-invar = M.invar and
 Q-empty = Queue.empty and
 Q-is-empty = is-empty and
 Q-snoc = snoc and
 Q-head = head and
 Q-tail = tail and
 Q-invar = Queue.invar and
 Q-list = list
 defines P = A.P
 and P' = A.P'
 and adjacency = A.adjacency
 and alt-loop-partial = A.alt-loop-partial
 and alt-bfs-partial = A.alt-bfs-partial
declare A.alt-loop-partial.simps [code]
end
theory Augmenting-Path
 imports
   Alternating-Path
begin
```

A free vertex w.r.t. a matching M is a vertex not incident to any edge in M, and an augmenting path w.r.t. M is an alternating path w.r.t. M whose endpoints are distinct free vertices. Session AGF introduces the following two definitions: augmenting-path ?M $?p \equiv 2 \leq length$ $?p \wedge Berge.alt-path$?M $?p \wedge hd$ $?p \notin Vs$?M $\wedge last$ $?p \notin Vs$?M, and $augpath \equiv \lambda E$ M p. path E $p \wedge distinct$ $p \wedge augmenting-path$ M p. We extend their formalization and show that augmenting paths can be reversed.

```
lemma augmenting-path-revI:
   assumes augmenting-path M p
   shows augmenting-path M (rev p)

lemma augpath-revI:
   assumes augpath G M p
   shows augpath G M (rev p)

end
theory Bipartite-Graph
imports
```

```
Odd\text{-}Cycle \ .../Adaptors/Path\text{-}Adaptor \ begin
```

A bipartite graph is an undirected graph G whose set of vertices Vs G can be partitioned into two sets L, R such that every edge in G has an endpoint in L and an endpoint in R.

```
locale bipartite-graph = graph G for G + fixes L R :: 'a set assumes L-union-R-eq-Vs: L \cup R = Vs G assumes L-R-disjoint: L \cap R = \{\} assumes endpoints: \{u, v\} \in G \Longrightarrow u \in L \longleftrightarrow v \in R
```

Equivalently, a bipartite graph is an undirected graph whose set of vertices can be partitioned into two independent sets. We only show one implication.

```
lemma (in bipartite-graph) L-independent:

shows \forall u \in L. \forall v \in L. \{u, v\} \notin G

lemma (in bipartite-graph) R-independent:

shows \forall u \in R. \forall v \in R. \{u, v\} \notin G

lemma (in bipartite-graph) no-loop:

shows \{v, v\} \notin G
```

Equivalently, a bipartite graph is an undirected graph that does not contain any odd-length cycles. Again, we only show one implication.

```
lemma (in bipartite-graph) nth-mem-L-iff-even: assumes path G p assumes hd p \in L assumes i < length p shows p ! i \in L \longleftrightarrow even i lemma (in bipartite-graph) nth-mem-R-iff-even: assumes path G p assumes hd p \in R assumes i < length p shows p ! i \in R \longleftrightarrow even i theorem (in bipartite-graph) no-odd-cycle: shows \neg (\exists c. path G c \land odd-cycle c)
```

end

5 Shortest augmenting path algorithm

This section specifies an algorithm that solves the maximum cardinality matching problem in bipartite graphs, and verifies its correctness.

The algorithm is based on Berge's theorem, which states that a matching M is maximum if and only if there is no augmenting path w.r.t. M. This immediately suggests the following algorithm for finding a maximum matching: repeatedly find an augmenting path and augment the matching until there are no augmenting paths. We claim that the algorithm specified below, in each iteration, finds not just any augmenting path but a shortest one. We do not verify this claim, however, as the distinction is not relevant for the correctness of the algorithm.

Hence, the algorithm takes the same general approach as the Edmonds-Karp algorithm, which solves the maximum flow problem, to which the maximum cardinality matching problem reduces.

```
\begin{tabular}{ll} \textbf{theory} & Edmonds\text{-}Karp\\ \textbf{imports}\\ & .../Alternating\text{-}BFS/Alternating\text{-}BFS\\ & .../Graph/Undirected\text{-}Graph/Augmenting\text{-}Path\\ & .../Graph/Undirected\text{-}Graph/Bipartite\text{-}Graph\\ \begin\\ \end{tabular}
```

5.1 Specification of the algorithm

```
locale edmonds-karp =
  alt-bfs where
  Map-update = Map-update and
  P-update = P-update +
  M: Map-by-Ordered where
  empty = M-empty and
  update = M-update and
  delete = M-delete and
  lookup = M-lookup and
  inorder = M-inorder and
  inv = M-inv for
  Map-update :: 'a::linorder \Rightarrow 's \Rightarrow 'n \Rightarrow 'n and
  P-update :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'm \Rightarrow 'm and
  M-empty and
  M-update :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'm \Rightarrow 'm and
  M-delete and
  M-lookup and
  M-inorder and
  M-inv
begin
definition is-free-vertex :: m \Rightarrow a \Rightarrow bool where
```

is-free-vertex M $v \equiv M$ -lookup M v = None

```
definition free-vertices :: 's \Rightarrow 'm \Rightarrow 'a \ list \ \mathbf{where} free-vertices V \ M \equiv filter \ (is-free-vertex \ M) \ (Set-inorder \ V)
```

To find an augmenting path, we use a modified BFS local.alt-bfs, which takes two graphs G1, G2 as well as a source vertex src as input and outputs a parent relation such that any path from src induced by the parent relation is a shortest alternating path, that is, it alternates between edges in G2 and G1 and is shortest among all such paths.

Let $(L \cup R, G)$ be a bipartite graph and M be a matching in G. Recall that an augmenting path in G w.r.t. M is a path between two free vertices that alternates between edges not in M and edges in M. Since G is bipartite, any such path is between a free vertex in L and a free vertex in R (every augmenting path in a bipartite graph has odd length, and every path of odd length starting at a vertex in L ends at a vertex in R). This suggests to let S be a free vertex S in S be the graph comprising all edges contained in S and S be the graph comprising all other edges.

As there may not be an augmenting path starting at v but one starting at another free vertex in L and local.alt-bfs takes only a single source vertex as input, we augment our input for local.alt-bfs as follows. Let G' be the graph comprising all edges contained in M and G'' be the graph comprising all other edges. We add a new vertex s to G' and connect it to all free vertices in L. Let p be a path in graph G, that is, not containing s. We then have that p is an augmenting path from a free vertex in L if and only if s # p is a path alternating between edges in G' and G'', ending at a free vertex in R

Moreover, we add another new vertex t to graph G' and connect all free vertices in R to it. Again, let p be a path in graph G, that is, containing neither s nor t. We then have that p is an augmenting path from a free vertex in L if and only if s # p @ [t] is a path alternating between edges in G' and G''.

We now choose the input for local.alt-bfs as follows. We set G1 to be G'', that is, the graph comprising all edges in graph G not in matching M, G2 to be G', that is, the graph comprising all edges in M as well as two new vertices s, t such that s is connected to all free vertices in L and all free vertices in R are connected to t, and src to be s.

```
definition G2-1 :: 'm \Rightarrow 'n where G2-1 M \equiv List.fold G.insert (M-inorder M) Map-empty
```

Graph G2-1 is the graph induced by the current matching M.

```
definition G2-2:: 's \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'm \Rightarrow 'n where G2-2 \ L \ s \ M \equiv List.fold \ (G.insert-edge \ s) \ (free-vertices \ L \ M) \ (G2-1 \ M)
```

Graph G2-2 connects vertex s in graph G2-1 to every free vertex in L.

```
definition G2-3:: 's \Rightarrow 's \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'm \Rightarrow 'n where G2-3 \ L \ R \ s \ t \ M \equiv List.fold \ (G.insert-edge \ t) \ (free-vertices \ R \ M) \ (G2-2 \ L \ s \ M)
```

Graph G2-3 connects every free vertex in R to vertex t in graph G2-2.

```
definition G2:: 's \Rightarrow 's \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'm \Rightarrow 'n where G2 \equiv G2\text{-}3
```

```
definition G1 :: 'n \Rightarrow 'n \Rightarrow 'n where G1 \equiv G.difference
```

As described above, the algorithm repeatedly finds an augmenting path and augments the matching until there are no augmenting paths. And there are no augmenting paths if

- 1. either side of the bipartite graph contains no free vertex, or
- 2. local.alt-bfs does not find an alternating path between vertices s and t.

```
definition done-1:: 's \Rightarrow 's \Rightarrow 'm \Rightarrow bool where done-1 L R M \equiv free-vertices L M = [] \lor free-vertices R M = []
```

```
definition done-2 :: 'a \Rightarrow 'm \Rightarrow bool where done-2 \ t \ m \equiv P-lookup \ m \ t = None
```

```
fun augment :: 'm \Rightarrow 'a \ path \Rightarrow 'm \ where augment M \ [] = M \ | augment M \ [u, v] = (M\text{-update } v \ u \ (M\text{-update } u \ v \ M)) \ | augment M \ (u \# v \# w \# ws) = augment \ (M\text{-update } v \ u \ (M\text{-update } u \ v \ (M\text{-delete } w \ M))) \ (w \# ws)
```

```
\mathbf{function}\ (\mathit{domintros})\ \mathit{loop'}\ \mathbf{where}
```

```
loop' G L R s t M = \\ (if done-1 L R M then M \\ else if done-2 t (alt-bfs (G1 G (G2 L R s t M)) (G2 L R s t M) s) then M \\ else loop' G L R s t (augment M (butlast (tl (rev-follow (alt-bfs (G1 G (G2 L R s t M)) (G2 L R s t M) s) t)))))
```

definition edmonds-karp :: $'n \Rightarrow 's \Rightarrow 's \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'm$ where edmonds-karp $G \ L \ R \ s \ t \equiv loop' \ G \ L \ R \ s \ t \ M$ -empty

```
abbreviation m\text{-}tbd :: 'n \Rightarrow 's \Rightarrow 's \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'm \Rightarrow 'm \text{ where} m\text{-}tbd \ G \ L \ R \ s \ t \ M \equiv let \ G2 = G2 \ L \ R \ s \ t \ M \ in \ alt\text{-}bfs \ (G1 \ G \ G2) \ G2 \ s
```

```
abbreviation p\text{-}tbd :: 'n \Rightarrow 's \Rightarrow 's \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'm \Rightarrow 'a \ path \ \mathbf{where}
p\text{-}tbd \ G \ L \ R \ s \ t \ M \equiv butlast \ (tl \ (rev\text{-}follow \ (m\text{-}tbd \ G \ L \ R \ s \ t \ M) \ t))
abbreviation M\text{-}tbd :: 'm \Rightarrow 'a \ graph \ \mathbf{where}
M\text{-}tbd \ M \equiv \{\{u, v\} \mid u \ v. \ M\text{-}lookup \ M \ u = Some \ v\}
abbreviation P\text{-}tbd :: 'a \ path \Rightarrow 'a \ graph \ \mathbf{where}
P\text{-}tbd \ p \equiv set \ (edges\text{-}of\text{-}path \ p)
abbreviation is\text{-}symmetric\text{-}Map :: 'm \Rightarrow bool \ \mathbf{where}
is\text{-}symmetric\text{-}Map \ M \equiv \forall u \ v. \ M\text{-}lookup \ M \ u = Some \ v \longleftrightarrow M\text{-}lookup \ M \ v = Some \ u
```

end

5.2 Verification of the correctness of the algorithm

5.2.1 Assumptions on the input

Algorithm edmonds-karp.edmonds-karp expects an input $\langle G, L, R, s, t \rangle$ such that

- $(L \cup R, G)$ is a bipartite graph, and
- s and t are two new vertices, that is, vertices not in G,

and the correctness theorem will assume such an input. Let us formally specify these assumptions.

```
locale edmonds-karp-valid-input = edmonds-karp where

Map\text{-}update = Map\text{-}update and

P\text{-}update = P\text{-}update for

Map\text{-}update = M\text{-}update for

Map\text{-}update :: 'a::linorder \Rightarrow 's \Rightarrow 'n \Rightarrow 'n and

P\text{-}update :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'm \Rightarrow 'm and

M\text{-}update :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'm \Rightarrow 'm +

fixes G:: 'n

fixes L R:: 's

fixes s t :: 'a

assumes symmetric\text{-}adjacency\text{-}G: G.symmetric\text{-}adjacency' G

assumes bipartite\text{-}graph: bipartite\text{-}graph (G.E.G) (G.S.set L) (G.S.set R)

assumes s\text{-}not\text{-}mem\text{-}V: s \notin G.V.G

assumes s\text{-}not\text{-}mem\text{-}V: t \notin G.V.G

assumes s\text{-}not\text{-}mem\text{-}V: s \neq G.V.G

assumes s\text{-}not\text{-}mem\text{-}V: s \neq G.V.G

assumes s\text{-}not\text{-}mem\text{-}V: s \neq G.V.G
```

As is the case for locale alt-bfs, graph G is represented as an adjacency, that is, as a Map-by-Ordered mapping a vertex to its adjacency, which

is represented as a Set-by-Ordered. And sets L and R are represented as Set-by-Ordereds.

5.2.2 Loop invariants

Unfolding the definition of algorithm edmonds-karp. edmonds-karp, we see that recursive function edmonds-karp. loop' lies at the heart of the algorithm. It expects an input $\langle G, L, R, s, t, M \rangle$, which constitutes the program state, such that

- G, L, R, s, t satisfy the assumptions specified above, and
- M is a matching in G.

Let us now formally specify the assumptions on M. As M is the only state variable that is subject to change from one iteration to the next, these assumptions constitute the (non-trivial) loop invariants of edmonds-karp.loop'.

```
locale edmonds-karp-invar = edmonds-karp-valid-input where Map-update = Map-update and P-update = P-update for Map-update :: 'a::linorder <math>\Rightarrow 's \Rightarrow 'n \Rightarrow 'n and P-update :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'm \Rightarrow 'm and M-update :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'm \Rightarrow 'm
```

Matching M is represented as a Map-by-Ordered mapping a vertex to another vertex—its match.

```
lemma (in edmonds-karp-invar) matching-M-tbd:
    shows matching (M-tbd M)
lemma (in edmonds-karp-invar) graph-matching-M-tbd:
    shows graph-matching (G.E.G) (M-tbd M)
```

To verify the correctness of loop edmonds-karp.loop', we need to show that

1. the loop invariants are satisfied prior to the first iteration of the loop, and that

2. the loop invariants are maintained.

Let us start with the former, that is, let us prove that the empty matching satisfies the loop invariants.

```
 \begin{array}{l} \textbf{lemma (in } \textit{edmonds-karp-valid-input) } \textit{edmonds-karp-invar-empty:} \\ \textbf{shows } \textit{edmonds-karp-invar'' } \textit{M-empty} \end{array}
```

Let us now verify that the loop invariants are maintained, that is, if they hold at the start of an iteration of loop *edmonds-karp.loop'*, then they will also hold at the end. For this, we verify the correctness of the body of the loop, that is,

- 1. if there is an augmenting path, then the algorithm will find one, and
- 2. given an augmenting path, the algorithm correctly augments the current matching.

Let us start with the former.

```
locale edmonds-karp-invar-not-done-1 = edmonds-karp-invar where Map-update = Map-update and P-update = P-update for Map-update :: 'a::linorder <math>\Rightarrow 's \Rightarrow 'n \Rightarrow 'n and P-update :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'm \Rightarrow 'm and M-update :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'm \Rightarrow 'm + 1 assumes not-done-1: \neg done-1: P M

locale edmonds-ext{-}linorder and P-ext{-}linorder and ext{-}linorder and ext{-}li
```

Assuming appropriate input for algorithm *alt-bfs.alt-bfs*, the statement follows from the correctness of *alt-bfs.alt-bfs*. Hence, we mainly have to show that our construction of *edmonds-karp.G1*, *edmonds-karp.G2* is correct and that it satisfies the input assumptions of *alt-bfs.alt-bfs*.

We first prove that graph edmonds-karp. G2 comprises all edges in the current matching M as well as vertices s, t that are connected to all free vertices in L, R, respectively.

lemma (in edmonds-karp) E2-1-cong:

M-update :: $'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'm \Rightarrow 'm +$

assumes not-done-2: $\neg done$ -2 t (m-tbd G L R s t M)

```
assumes M.invar\ M shows G.E\ (G2-1\ M) = M-tbd\ M
\begin{array}{l} \textbf{lemma}\ (\textbf{in}\ edmonds-karp)\ E2-2-cong:\\ \textbf{shows}\ G.E\ (G2-2\ L\ s\ M) = G.E\ (G2-1\ M)\ \cup\ \{\{s,\ v\}\ | v.\ v\in set\ (free-vertices\ L\ M)\} \end{array}
\begin{array}{l} \textbf{lemma}\ (\textbf{in}\ edmonds-karp)\ E2-3-cong:\\ \textbf{shows}\ G.E\ (G2-3\ L\ R\ s\ t\ M) = G.E\ (G2-2\ L\ s\ M)\ \cup\ \{\{t,\ v\}\ | v.\ v\in set\ (free-vertices\ R\ M)\} \end{array}
\begin{array}{l} \textbf{lemma}\ (\textbf{in}\ edmonds-karp)\ E2-cong:\\ \textbf{assumes}\ M.invar\ M\\ \textbf{shows}\\ G.E\ (G2\ L\ R\ s\ t\ M) = \\ M-tbd\ M\ \cup\\ \{\{s,\ v\}\ | v.\ v\in set\ (free-vertices\ L\ M)\}\ \cup\\ \{\{s,\ v\}\ | v.\ v\in set\ (free-vertices\ R\ M)\} \end{array}
```

Next, we show that graph *edmonds-karp.G1* comprises all edges not in the current matching.

```
lemma (in edmonds-karp) E1-cong:

assumes G.symmetric-adjacency' G

assumes G.symmetric-adjacency' G'

shows G.E (G1 G G') = G.E G - G.E G'
```

One point to note is that, given graphs edmonds-karp.G1, edmonds-karp.G2, algorithm alt-bfs alt-bfs finds alternating paths in the union of edmonds-karp.G1 and edmonds-karp.G2. We, on the other hand, are interested in paths in the input graph G, which, due to our augmentation by vertices s and t, is not equal to the union of edmonds-karp.G1 and edmonds-karp.G2. So let us relate the union to the input graph.

```
 \begin{array}{l} \textbf{lemma (in } edmonds\text{-}karp\text{-}invar) \ E\text{-}union\text{-}G1\text{-}G2\text{-}cong:} \\ \textbf{shows} \\ G.E.\ (G.union\ (G1\ G\ (G2\ L\ R\ s\ t\ M))\ (G2\ L\ R\ s\ t\ M)) = \\ G.E.\ G\ \cup\ \{\{s,\ v\}\ | v.\ v\ \in\ set\ (free\text{-}vertices\ L\ M)\}\ \cup\ \{\{t,\ v\}\ | v.\ v\ \in\ set\ (free\text{-}vertices\ R\ M)\} \\ \textbf{lemma (in } edmonds\text{-}karp\text{-}invar\text{-}not\text{-}done\text{-}1)\ V\text{-}union\text{-}G1\text{-}G2\text{-}cong:} \\ \textbf{shows}\ G.V\ (G.union\ (G1\ G\ (G2\ L\ R\ s\ t\ M))\ (G2\ L\ R\ s\ t\ M)) = G.V\ G\ \cup\ \{s\} \\ \cup\ \{t\} \end{array}
```

We are now ready to show that $\langle edmonds-karp. G1, edmonds-karp. G2, s \rangle$ constitutes a valid input for algorithm alt-bfs. alt-bfs.

lemma (in edmonds-karp-invar-not-done-1) alt-bfs-valid-input:

```
shows alt-bfs-valid-input' (G1 G (G2 L R s t M)) (G2 L R s t M) s
```

Hence, by the soundness of algorithm *alt-bfs.alt-bfs*, any path from vertex *s* induced by the parent relation output by *alt-bfs.alt-bfs* is a shortest alternating path in the union of graphs *edmonds-karp.G1* and *edmonds-karp.G2*.

```
lemma (in edmonds-karp-invar-not-done-1) is-shortest-alt-path-rev-follow: assumes P-lookup (m-tbd G L R s t M) v \neq None shows is-shortest-alt-path (\lambda e.\ e \in G.E\ (G2\ L\ R\ s\ t\ M)) (Not \circ (\lambda e.\ e \in G.E\ (G2\ L\ R\ s\ t\ M))) (G.E\ (G.union\ (G1\ G\ (G2\ L\ R\ s\ t\ M)))\ (G2\ L\ R\ s\ t\ M))) (rev\text{-}follow\ (m\text{-}tbd\ G\ L\ R\ s\ t\ M)\ v)\ s\ v
```

By our construction of graphs edmonds-karp.G1 and edmonds-karp.G2, we can use this—as described above—to obtain an augmenting path in graph G w.r.t. the current matching M.

```
 \begin{array}{l} \textbf{lemma (in } \textit{edmonds-karp-invar-not-done-2) } \textit{augmenting-path-p-tbd:} \\ \textbf{shows } \textit{augmenting-path } \textit{(M-tbd } \textit{M) (p-tbd } \textit{G } \textit{L } \textit{R } \textit{s } \textit{t } \textit{M)} \\ \end{array}
```

```
lemma (in edmonds-karp-invar-not-done-2) augpath-p-tbd:
shows augpath (G.E G) (M-tbd M) (p-tbd G L R s t M)
```

Having found an augmenting path P in graph G w.r.t. the current matching M, we now verify that the algorithm correctly augments M by P, that is, we show that function edmonds-karp.augment implements the symmetric difference $M \oplus P$.

```
lemma (in edmonds-karp) M-tbd-augment-cong:
assumes M.invar\ M
assumes is-symmetric-Map\ M
assumes augmenting-path (M-tbd M) p
assumes distinct\ p
assumes even\ (length\ p)
shows M-tbd (augment\ M\ p) = M-tbd M\oplus P-tbd p
```

Having verified the correctness of the body of loop edmonds-karp.loop', we are now finally able to show that the loop invariants are maintained.

```
lemma (in edmonds-karp-invar-not-done-2) edmonds-karp-invar-augment: shows edmonds-karp-invar'' (augment M (p-tbd G L R s t M))
```

5.2.3 Termination

Before we can prove the correctness of loop edmonds-karp.loop', we need to prove that it terminates on appropriate inputs. For this, we show that the size of matching M increases from one iteration to the next.

```
lemma (in edmonds-karp-valid-input) loop'-dom:
 assumes edmonds-karp-invar'' M
 shows loop'-dom (G, L, R, s, t, M)
\operatorname{proof} (induct card (G.E G) - card (M-tbd M) arbitrary: M rule: less-induct)
 let ?G2 = G2 L R s t M
 let ?G1 = G1 G ?G2
 let ?m = alt\text{-}bfs ?G1 ?G2 s
 have m: ?m = m-tbd G L R s t M
   by metis
 show ?case
 proof (cases done-1 L R M)
   \mathbf{case} \ \mathit{True}
   thus ?thesis
    by (blast intro: loop'.domintros)
 next
   case not-done-1: False
   show ?thesis
   proof (cases done-2 t ?m)
    case True
    thus ?thesis
      by (blast intro: loop'.domintros)
   next
    case False
    let ?p = butlast (tl (rev-follow ?m t))
    have p: ?p = p\text{-}tbd \ G \ L \ R \ s \ t \ M
      by metis
    let ?M = augment M ?p
    have edmonds-karp-invar-not-done-2: edmonds-karp-invar-not-done-2" M
      using less.prems not-done-1 False
      unfolding m
      by (intro edmonds-karp-invar-not-done-2I-2)
    hence augpath-p: augpath (G.E\ G) (M-tbd\ M) ?p
      unfolding m
      by (intro edmonds-karp-invar-not-done-2.augpath-p-tbd)
    show ?thesis
    proof (rule loop'.domintros, rule less.hyps, goal-cases)
      have card (M-tbd M) < card (M-tbd ?M)
      moreover have card (M-tbd ?M) \leq card (G.E G)
      ultimately show ?case
        by linarith
    next
      case 2
```

```
thus ?case
unfolding p
using edmonds-karp-invar-not-done-2
by (intro edmonds-karp-invar-not-done-2.edmonds-karp-invar-augment)
qed
qed
qed
qed
```

5.2.4 Correctness

We are now finally ready to prove the correctness of algorithm edmonds-karp.edmonds-karp. We still need to show that if the algorithm doesn't find an augmenting path, then the current matching M is already maximum.

```
then the current matching M is already maximum.
abbreviation is-maximum-matching :: 'a graph \Rightarrow 'a graph \Rightarrow bool where
  is-maximum-matching G M \equiv graph-matching G M \wedge (\forall M'. graph-matching G
M' \longrightarrow card M' \leq card M
locale edmonds-karp-invar-done-1 = edmonds-karp-invar where
  Map-update = Map-update and
  P-update = P-update and
  M-update = M-update for
  Map\text{-}update :: 'a::linorder \Rightarrow 's \Rightarrow 'n \Rightarrow 'n and
  P-update :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'm \Rightarrow 'm and
  M-update :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'm \Rightarrow 'm +
 assumes done-1: done-1 L R M
lemma (in edmonds-karp-invar-done-1) is-maximum-matching-M-tbd:
 shows is-maximum-matching (G.E G) (M-tbd M)
locale edmonds-karp-invar-done-2 = edmonds-karp-invar-not-done-1 where
  Map-update = Map-update and
  P-update = P-update and
  M-update = M-update for
  Map\text{-}update :: 'a::linorder \Rightarrow 's \Rightarrow 'n \Rightarrow 'n and
  P-update :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'm \Rightarrow 'm and
```

```
lemma (in edmonds-karp-invar-done-2) is-maximum-matching-M-tbd: shows is-maximum-matching (G.E.G) (M-tbd)
```

M-update :: $'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'm \Rightarrow 'm +$

assumes done-2: done-2 t $(m-tbd \ G \ L \ R \ s \ t \ M)$

Otherwise, we augment matching M by the augmenting path found as verified above, and it follows by induction (via the induction rule given by function edmonds-karp.loop') that the algorithm outputs a maximum matching.

 $\mathbf{lemma} \ (\mathbf{in} \ edmonds\text{-}karp\text{-}valid\text{-}input) \ is\text{-}maximum\text{-}matching\text{-}M\text{-}tbd\text{-}loop':}$

```
assumes edmonds-karp-invar'' M
 shows is-maximum-matching (G.E G) (M-tbd (loop' G L R s t M))
proof (induct rule: edmonds-karp-induct[OF assms])
 case (1 G L R s t M)
 show ?case
 proof (cases done-1 L R M)
   {f case} True
   with 1.prems
   have edmonds-karp-invar-done-1' G L R s t M
    by (intro edmonds-karp-invar-done-1I)
   thus ?thesis
    by
      (intro edmonds-karp-invar-done-1.is-maximum-matching-M-tbd)
      (simp add: edmonds-karp-invar-done-1.loop'-psimps)
 next
   case not-done-1: False
   show ?thesis
   proof (cases done-2 t (m-tbd G L R s t M))
    case True
    with 1.prems not-done-1
    have edmonds-karp-invar-done-2' G L R s t M
      by (intro edmonds-karp-invar-done-2I-2)
    thus ?thesis
      by
        (intro edmonds-karp-invar-done-2.is-maximum-matching-M-tbd)
        (simp add: edmonds-karp-invar-done-2.loop'-psimps)
   next
    case False
    with 1.prems not-done-1
    have edmonds-karp-invar-not-done-2' G L R s t M
      by (intro edmonds-karp-invar-not-done-2I-2)
    thus ?thesis
      using not-done-1 False
      by
         simp add: edmonds-karp-invar-not-done-2.loop'-psimps
         dest: 1.hyps
         intro: edmonds-karp-invar-not-done-2.edmonds-karp-invar-augment)
   qed
 qed
qed
We finally have everything to state and prove the correctness theorem for
algorithm edmonds-karp.edmonds-karp.
\mathbf{lemma} \ (\mathbf{in} \ edmonds\text{-}karp\text{-}valid\text{-}input) \ edmonds\text{-}karp\text{-}correct:
 shows is-maximum-matching (G.E G) (M-tbd (edmonds-karp G L R s t))
theorem (in edmonds-karp) edmonds-karp-correct:
 assumes edmonds-karp-valid-input' G L R s t
```

```
shows is-maximum-matching (G.E G) (M-tbd (edmonds-karp G L R s t))
```

end

5.3 Implementation of the algorithm

```
theory Edmonds-Karp-Partial
 imports
   .../Alternating-BFS/Alternating-BFS-Partial
   Edmonds-Karp
   ../BFS/Parent-Relation-Partial
begin
One point to note is that we verified only partial termination and correct-
ness of loop edmonds-karp.loop', since we assumed an appropriate input as
specified via locale edmonds-karp-valid-input. To obtain executable code,
we make this explicit and use a partial function.
partial-function (in edmonds-karp) (tailrec) loop'-partial where
 loop'-partial G L R s t M =
  (if done-1 L R M then M
   else if done-2 t (alt-bfs-partial (G1 G (G2 L R s t M)) (G2 L R s t M) s) then
M
     else loop'-partial G L R s t (augment M (butlast (tl (Parent-Relation-Partial.rev-follow
(P-lookup\ (alt-bfs-partial\ (G1\ G\ (G2\ L\ R\ s\ t\ M))\ (G2\ L\ R\ s\ t\ M)\ s))\ t)))))
definition (in edmonds-karp) edmonds-karp-partial where
 edmonds-karp-partial G L R s t \equiv loop'-partial G L R s t M-empty
lemma (in edmonds-karp-valid-input) loop'-partial-eq-loop':
 assumes edmonds-karp-invar'' M
 shows loop'-partial G L R s t M = loop' G L R s t M
lemma (in edmonds-karp-valid-input) edmonds-karp-partial-eq-edmonds-karp:
 shows edmonds-karp-partial G L R s t = edmonds-karp G L R s t
\mathbf{lemma} \ (\mathbf{in} \ edmonds\text{-}karp\text{-}valid\text{-}input) \ edmonds\text{-}karp\text{-}partial\text{-}correct} :
 shows is-maximum-matching (G.E.G) (M-tbd (edmonds-karp-partial G.L.R.s.t))
theorem (in edmonds-karp) edmonds-karp-partial-correct:
 assumes edmonds-karp-valid-input' G L R s t
 shows is-maximum-matching (G.E.G) (M-tbd (edmonds-karp-partial G.L.R.s.t))
```

end

 ${\bf theory}\ {\it Edmonds-Karp-Impl}$

imports

 $../Alternating-BFS/Alternating-BFS-Impl\\ Edmonds-Karp-Partial$

begin

We now show that our specification of the Edmonds-Karp algorithm in locale edmonds-karp can be implemented via red-black trees.

```
{\bf global\text{-}interpretation}\ \textit{E: edmonds-karp}\ {\bf where}
```

Map-empty = empty and Map-update = update and Map-update = update

 ${\it Map-delete} = {\it RBT-Map.delete}$ and

Map-lookup = lookup and Map-inorder = inorder and

Map-inv = rbt and

Set-empty = empty and

Set-insert = RBT-Set.insert and

Set-delete = delete and

Set-isin = isin and

Set-inorder = inorder and

Set-inv = rbt and

P-empty = empty and

P-update = update and

P-delete = RBT-Map.delete and

P-lookup = lookup and

P-invar = M.invar and

Q-empty = Queue.empty and

Q-is-empty = is-empty and

Q-snoc = snoc and

Q-head = head and

Q-tail = tail and

Q-invar = Queue.invar and

Q-list = list and

M-empty = empty and

M-update = update and

M-delete = RBT-Map.delete and

M-lookup = lookup and

M-inorder = inorder and

M-inv = rbt

defines is-free-vertex = E.is-free-vertex

and free-vertices = E.free-vertices

and G2-1 = E.G2-1

and G2-2 = E.G2-2

and G2-3 = E.G2-3

and G2 = E.G2

and G1 = E.G1

and done-1 = E.done-1

and done-2 = E.done-2

and augment = E.augment

and loop'-partial = E.loop'-partial

```
and edmonds-karp-partial = E.edmonds-karp-partial
```

```
\begin{array}{ll} \textbf{declare} \ \textit{rev-follow-partial.simps} \ [\textit{code}] \\ \textbf{declare} \ \textit{E.loop'-partial.simps} \ [\textit{code}] \end{array}
```

end

5.3.1 Undirected graphs

```
theory Undirected-Graph
imports
Augmenting-Path
Bipartite-Graph
Shortest-Alternating-Path
begin
```

end

6 Graph

```
theory Graph
imports
Adjacency/Adjacency
Adjacency-Impl
Directed-Graph/Directed-Graph
Undirected-Graph/Undirected-Graph
begin
```

This section considers graphs from three levels of abstraction. On the high level, a graph is a set of edges (graph for undirected graphs, and dgraph for directed graphs). On the medium level, a graph is specified via the interface adjacency. On the low level, this interface is then implemented via red-black trees.

6.1 High level

For the high level of abstraction, we extend the archive of graph formalizations AGF, which formalizes both directed (dgraph) and undirected (graph) graphs as sets of edges. The set of vertices of a graph is then defined as the union of all endpoints of all edges in the graph $(dVs ?dG \equiv \bigcup \{\{v1, v2\} | v1 v2. v1 \rightarrow ?dGv2\}$ for directed graphs, and $Vs ?E \equiv \bigcup ?E$ for undirected graphs). Let us first look at directed graphs.

end