

07.02.23

$$F \in P^0 \cup P^u$$

Lemma: Let $P \in \mathcal{P}$. Then, if there is an (s, t) -flow $F \in P^0 \cup P^u$ in G , then for every P -node b , $F|_b$ is a $(f(b), \varepsilon(b))$ -flow in $G|_b$.

Lemma: Let $P \in \mathcal{P}$. If for every P -node b , F_b is a $(f(b), \varepsilon(b))$ -flow in $G|_b$, then $\bigcup_b F_b$ is an (s, t) -flow in G .

$F = P^0|_b$ or $F = P^u|_b$? Lemma: Let $P \in \mathcal{P}$ and b be a P -node, and F be a $(f(b), \varepsilon(b))$ -flow in $G|_b$. Then $F \in P^0$ or $F \in P^u$.

Lemma: Let b be a P -node. Then:

(i) If for every edge $e \in E(P^0|_b)$, $\alpha_P(e, U) = \text{active}$, then $P^0|_b$ is a $(f(b), \varepsilon(b))$ -flow in $\alpha_P(U, G|_b)$.

(ii)

Proof. (i).

... Since S is minimal, $P' = P$ and P is transient for $U_{i+1}^{S - \varepsilon(v, P)}$. For every P -node b other than $b(v, P)$, we have by lemma ~, there is a $(f(b), \varepsilon(b))$ -flow F_b in $\alpha_P(U_{i+1}^S, G|_b)$ (since, for every edge $e \in E(P|_b)$, $\alpha_P(e, U_{i+1}^S) = \alpha_P(e, U_{i+1}^{S - \varepsilon(v, P)})$). We show that $P^u|_{b(v, P)}$ is a $(f(b(v, P)), \varepsilon(b(v, P)))$ -flow in $\alpha_P(U_{i+1}^S, G|_{b(v, P)})$. Then, by lemma ~, $\bigcup_b F_b \cup P^u|_{b(v, P)}$ is an (s, t) -flow in $\alpha_P(U_{i+1}^S, G)$ which contradicts that P is not transient for U_{i+1}^S .

We show that for every edge $e \in E(P^u|_{b(v, P)})$, $\alpha_P(e, U_{i+1}^S) = \text{active}$, which then, by lemma ~, implies the claim. We show that for every vertex $u \in V(P^u) \cap b(v, P)$, $(u, P) \in U_{i+1}^S$.

We first show that $v = f(b(v, P))$ by showing that (v, P) is a type-2 update.

Suppose (v, P) is a type-1 update. By definition of R_S , $(f(b(v, P)), P) \notin U_{i+1}^{S - \varepsilon(v, P)}$. Hence, by lemma ~ (i), P is transient for $U_{i+1}^{S - \varepsilon(v, P)} \cup \{(v, P)\} = U_{i+1}^S$, a contradiction.

Suppose (v, P) is a type-3 update. By definition of R_S , $(f(b(v, P)), P) \in U_{i+1}^{S - \varepsilon(v, P)}$. Hence, by lemma ~ (i), P is transient for $U_{i+1}^{S - \varepsilon(v, P)} \cup \{(v, P)\} = U_{i+1}^S$, a contradiction.

We conclude that (v, P) is a type-2 update. Hence, by definition of R_S , every type-1 update $(u, P) \in U_{i+1}^S$ has $u \in b(v, P)$. Hence, for every vertex $u \in V(P^u) = V(P^u - P^0) \cap b(v, P) \cup V(P^0 \cap P^u) \cap b(v, P) = V(P^u - P^0) \cap b(v, P) \cup \{v\}$, $(u, P) \in U_{i+1}^S$.

We need something like $e \in E(P^u)$ iff $\text{tail}(e) \in V(P^u)$ and $e = e(\text{tail}(e))$.

Proof of Lemma 1 "... then the induced update sequence $\mathcal{R}_S = (r_1, \dots, r_{2+2})$ is feasible."

By Lemma ~, for every $P \in \mathcal{P}$, $i \in [1+2]$, $S \subseteq r_i$, P is transient for U_i^S . Hence it is sufficient to show the following. Let $e \in E_k$ be an edge. Then:

- (1) For every $S \subseteq r_1$, $c(e) \geq \sum_{P: P: e \in E(T_{P, U_1^S})} d_P$.
- (2) For every $i \in [1]$ and $S \subseteq r_{i+1}$, $c(e) \geq \sum_{P: e \in E(T_{P, U_{i+1}^S})} d_P$.
- (3) For every $S \subseteq r_{2+2}$, $c(e) \geq \sum_{P: e \in E(T_{P, U_{2+2}^S})} d_P$.

Proof (1). By definition of \mathcal{R}_S , r_1 contains only type-1 updates. Hence, by (repeated application of) Lemma ~ (i),

$$T_{P, U_1^S} = T_{P, S} = T_{P, \mathcal{R}_1} = P^0 \text{ for every } P.$$

(3). By definition of \mathcal{R}_S , r_{2+2} contains only type-3 updates (and r_{2+1} contains the corresponding type-2 updates). Hence, by (repeated application of) Lemma ~ (ii), $T_{P, U_{2+2}^S} = T_{P, U_{2+1}^{r_{2+2}}} = P^u$ for every P .

(2). Let $i \in [1]$ and $S \subseteq r_{i+1}$.

Claim: $\forall e \in E(T_{P, U_{i+1}^S})$, then $\alpha_P(e, B_{i+1}) = \text{active}$ or $\alpha_P(e, B_i) = \text{active}$.

$$\text{Fact: } U(B_i) = U_{i-1}^i \cup r_i \cup r_{i+1} \cup r_{i+2} \cup r_{i+3}$$

Proof of Claim ~.

Let $e \in E(P^0)$. Hence, by Lemma ~ (i), $e \in E(T_{P, U_{i+1}^{S \cup r_{i+1}}})$. By Fact ~, $U(B_{i+1}) = U_{i-1}^{i-1} \cup r_i \cup r_{i+1} \cup r_{i+2} \cup r_{i+3} = U_{i-1}^{r_{i+2}} \cup r_{i+1} \cup r_{i+3} \subseteq U_i^i \cup r_{i+1} \cup r_{i+3} = U_{i+1}^{r_{i+1}} \subseteq U_{i+1}^{S \cup r_{i+1}}$. Hence, by Lemma ~ (i), $e \in E(T_{P, U(B_{i+1})})$. Hence, by

Lemma ~, $\alpha_P(e, B_{i+1}) = \text{active}$.

Let $e \in E(P^u)$. By Lemma ~ (ii), $e \in E(T_{P, U_{i+1}^{S \cup r_{i+1}}})$. By Fact ~, $U_{i+1}^{S \cup r_{i+1}} \subseteq U_{i+1}^{r_{i+1} \cup r_{i+2}} = U_{i-1}^i \cup r_i \cup r_{i+1} \cup r_{i+2} \subseteq U(B_i)$.

Hence, by Lemma ~ (ii), $e \in E(T_{P, U(B_i)})$. Hence, by Lemma ~, $\alpha_P(e, B_i) = \text{active}$.

Now, by Claim ~, $\sum_{P: e \in E(T_{P, U_{i+1}^S})} d_P \leq \sum_{P: \alpha_P(e, B_{i+1}) = \text{active} \text{ or } \alpha_P(e, B_i) = \text{active}} d_P \leq c(e)$.

We probably need to prove this via induction!
Also, notation $r_{i+1,2,3}$

07.02.23

Lemma: If there is a feasible update sequence, then there is a feasible block sequence.

Proof.

Let R be a feasible update sequence. We apply lemma 2 to obtain a feasible update sequence $R' = (r_1, \dots, r_\ell)$ which updates every block in at most 3 consecutive rounds. We define the block sequence $B_{R'} = (b_1, \dots, b_\ell)$ induced by R' as follows: For every $i \in [1]$, we set $b_i := \{b : (f(b), P(b)) \in r_i\}$. We show that $B_{R'}$ is feasible by contradiction.

Suppose not. Then let $e \in E$ and $i \in [1]$ such that $c(e) < \sum_{P: \alpha_P(e, B_{i-1}) = \text{active or } \alpha_P(e, B_i) = \text{active}} d_P$.

We construct a set $S_e \subseteq r_i$ such that $c(e) < \sum_{P: e \in E(T_{P, U_i^e})} d_P$ which contradicts the feasibility of R' .

We set $S_e := \{ \}$. Intuitively, $S_e \subseteq r_i$ can be thought of as the worst-case set of updates for edge e .

More precisely, S_e contains all type-2 updates corresponding to blocks $b \in b_i$ such that $\alpha_{P(b)}(e, B_{i-1}) = \text{inactive}$ and $\alpha_{P(b)}(e, B_i) = \text{active}$ and none of the type-2 updates corresponding to blocks $b \in b_i$ such that $\alpha_{P(b)}(e, B_{i-1}) = \text{active}$ and $\alpha_{P(b)}(e, B_i) = \text{inactive}$. More formally, we set $S_e := \{ (f(b), P(b)) : b \in b_i \text{ and } e \in E(P(b)^u) \}$.

Claim: (i) If $\alpha_P(e, B_{i-1}) = \text{active}$, then $e \in E(T_{P, U_i^e})$, and (ii) if $\alpha_P(e, B_i) = \text{active}$, then $e \in E(T_{P, U_i^e})$.

Proof.

(i). Suppose $\alpha_P(e, B_{i-1}) = \text{active}$. Hence, by lemma ~, $e \in E(T_{P, U_{i-1}^e})$. We consider the case

We set $b := b(\text{tail}(e), P)$. We consider the cases $b \in B_{i-1}$, $b \in b_i$, and $b \notin B_i$.

Case $b \in B_{i-1}$. By assumption and definition of α_P , $e \in E(P^u)$. Moreover, by definition of B_i ,

$(f(b), P) \in U_i^{e,2}$. Hence, by lemma ~ (ii), $e \in E(T_{P, U_i^e})$. Hence, by lemma ~ (ii), $e \in E(T_{P, U_i^e})$.

Case $b \in b_i$. Hence $b \notin B_{i-1}$. By assumption and definition of α_P , $e \in E(P^o)$. Hence, by definition of S_e ,

$(f(b), P) \notin S_e$. Hence $(f(b), P) \notin U_i^{e,2}$. Hence, by lemma ~ (ii, iii), $e \in E(T_{P, U_i^e})$.

Case $b \notin B_i$. Hence $b \notin B_{i-1}$ and, by definition of B_i , $(f(b), P) \notin U_i^{e,1}$. Hence $e \in E(P^o)$. Hence, by lemma ~ (i),

$e \in E(T_{P, U_i^e})$. Hence, by lemma ~ (i), $e \in E(T_{P, U_i^e})$.

(iii). Suppose $\alpha_P(e, B_i) = \text{active}$. We set $b := b(\text{tail}(e), P)$ and consider the cases $b \in B_{i-1}$, $b \in b_i$, and $b \notin B_i$.

Case $b \in B_{i-1}$. Hence $e \in E(P^u)$ and $(f(b), P) \in U_i^{e,2}$. Hence, by lemma ~ (i, ii), $e \in E(T_{P, U_i^e})$. Hence, by

lemma ~ (ii), $e \in E(T_{P, U_i^e})$.

Case $b \in b_i$. Hence $b \in B_i$. Hence $e \in E(P^u)$. Hence $(f(b), P) \in S_e$. Hence $(f(b), P) \notin U_i^{e,2}$. Hence, by lemma ~ (i, iii),

$e \in E(T_{P, U_i^e})$.

have $b \notin B_i$. Hence $e \in E(P^0)$ and, by definition of B_{x_i} , $(f(b), P) \notin U_i^*$. Hence, by lemma \sim (ii, iii), $e \in E(T_{P, U_i^*})$.

Hence, by lemma \sim (i), $e \in E(T_{P, U_i^*})$.

Now, by Claim \sim , $\sum_{P: \alpha_P(e, B_{i-1}) = \text{active or } \alpha_P(e, B_i) = \text{active}} d_P \leq \sum_{P: e \in E(T_{P, U_i^*})} d_P \leq c|e|$.

Lemma: There is a learnable update sequence iff there is a learnable block sequence.

Proof

Follows immediately from lemma \sim and \sim .