

19.01.23

~~Proof (1H)~~

~~Main: $\alpha_p(e, U_{i-1}) = \text{active}$ or $\alpha_p(e, U_i) = \text{active}$ iff (1) $\exists S \subseteq r_{i-2}, e \in E(T_{p, U_{i-2}^S})$ or (2) $\exists S \subseteq r_{i-1}, e \in E(T_{p, U_{i-1}^S})$ or (3) $\exists S \subseteq r_{i+1}, e \in E(T_{p, U_{i+1}^S})$~~

~~Definition: The update sequence R_B induced by block sequence $B = (b_1, \dots, b_c)$ is defined as follows: Every block $b \in b_i$ is updated in rounds $i, i+1, i+2, i \in [1]$.~~

~~Lemma: A block sequence $B = \{b_1, \dots, b_c\}$ is feasible iff the induced update sequence $R_B = \{r_1, \dots, r_{c+2}\}$ is feasible.~~

~~Proof~~

~~(1) We show that r_i satisfies the consistency rule, (2) r_{i+1} satisfies the consistency rule iff inequality \sim is satisfied for i and every edge e , and (3) r_{i+2} satisfies the consistency rule iff r_{i+1} satisfies the consistency rule.~~

~~Lemma: For every $P \in \mathcal{P}$, every $i \in [1+2]$, and every $S \subseteq r_i$, P is brannient for U_i^S .~~

~~Proof~~

~~We show the following. Let $P \in \mathcal{P}$.~~

~~(1) For every $S \subseteq r_i$, P is brannient for $U_i^S = S$.~~

~~(2) For every $i \in [1]$, for every $S \subseteq r_{i+1}$, P is brannient for U_i^S .~~

~~(3) For every $S \subseteq r_{i+2}$, P is brannient for U_{i+2}^S iff P is brannient for $U_{i+2}^{23} = U_{i+1}^{ren}$.~~

~~(1). Let $S \subseteq r_i \subseteq \bigcup_{e \in \mathcal{E}} V(P^e - P^0) \times \{P\}$. Hence $\alpha_p(S, 1)$ still contains the unique (s, t) -flow P^0 .~~

~~(2). Suppose not. Then let $i \in [1]$ be minimum and $S \subseteq r_{i+1}$ be minimal such that P is not brannient for U_{i+1}^S . Since $U_{i+1}^{23} = U_i^{r_i}$ and i is minimum, $S \neq \{3\}$. Then let (u, P') be any element in S .~~

~~Since S is minimal, $P' = P$ and P is brannient for $U_{i+1}^{S - \{(u, P)\}}$. We consider the case $u \in V(P$ (type-3)~~

~~Main: For every $P \in \mathcal{P}$, $i \in [1+2]$, $S \subseteq r_i$, and type-1 update $u \in S$, P is brannient for U_i^S iff P is brannient for U_i^{S-k} .~~

~~Hence v is the start of some P -block b .~~

~~Corollary: For every $P \in \mathcal{P}$ and every $i \in [1]$, P is brannient for $U(B_i)$.~~

~~Proof~~

~~Invoke above lemma for $P, i+1$, and $S := r_{i+1}|_{\leq i}$, that is r_{i+1} restricted to blocks in b_{i-1} and b_i .~~

ignoring capacity constraints

Definition:

$$\alpha_p((u,v), B) = \begin{cases} \text{active if } b(u, p) \notin B \text{ and } (u,v) \in E(P^0) \\ \text{active if } b(u, p) \in B \text{ and } (u,v) \in E(P^n) \\ \text{inactive otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Lemma: $e \in E(T_{p, u(B)})$ iff $\alpha_p(e, B) = \text{active}$.

Definition: A node sequence $B = (b_1, \dots, b_c)$ is feasible if for every $i \in [c]$ and every $e \in E_i$,

$$c(e) \geq \sum_{p: \alpha_p(e, B_{i-1}) = \text{active or } \alpha_p(e, B_i) = \text{active}} d_p.$$

Lemma: A node sequence $B = (b_1, \dots, b_c)$ is feasible iff the induced update sequence $R_B = (r_1, \dots, r_{c+2})$

is feasible.

Proof: