

# Finite differences in higher dimensions

Laplace and Poisson equations

18.303 Linear Partial Differential Equations: Analysis and Numerics

## 1d Poisson equation

### Poisson's equation with Dirichlet boundaries

$$\frac{d^2 u(x)}{dx^2} = f(x),$$
  
  $u(0) = u_0, \ u(L) = u_{N+1}$ 

Here  $x \in (0, L)$ . We discretize the space x as before:  $x_k = k\Delta x$  and  $u_k = u(x_k)$ , where k = 1, 2, ..., N and  $\Delta x = L/(N+1)$ .

We define the Dirichlet Laplacian

$$D^{(x)} = \frac{1}{\Delta x^2} \begin{pmatrix} -2 & 1 & & & & \\ 1 & -2 & 1 & & & & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & & \\ & & & 1 & -2 & 1 \\ & & & & 1 & -2 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N}.$$

1

$$D^{(x)}\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{f},$$

but we are missing something?

$$D^{(x)}\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{f},$$

but we are missing something? Boundaries.

$$D^{(x)}\mathbf{u}=\mathbf{f},$$

but we are missing something? Boundaries.

Looking at the first equation we have

$$\frac{1}{\Delta x^2}(u_0 - 2u_1 + u_2) = f_1$$

while the last equation gives

$$\frac{1}{\Delta x^2}(u_{N-1}-2u_N+u_{N+1})=f_N.$$

$$D^{(x)}\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{f},$$

but we are missing something? Boundaries.

Looking at the first equation we have

$$\frac{1}{\Delta x^2}(u_0 - 2u_1 + u_2) = f_1$$

while the last equation gives

$$\frac{1}{\Delta x^2}(u_{N-1}-2u_N+u_{N+1})=f_N.$$

We can move the known constants to the RHS of the equation. Now we get

$$D^{(x)}\mathbf{u}=\mathbf{f}_{\mathrm{B}},$$

where

$$f_{\text{B}} = f - b. \label{eq:fb}$$

$$D^{(x)}\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{f},$$

but we are missing something? Boundaries.

Looking at the first equation we have

$$\frac{1}{\Delta x^2}(u_0 - 2u_1 + u_2) = f_1$$

while the last equation gives

$$\frac{1}{\Delta x^2}(u_{N-1}-2u_N+u_{N+1})=f_N.$$

We can move the known constants to the RHS of the equation. Now we get

$$D^{(x)}\mathbf{u}=\mathbf{f}_{\mathrm{B}},$$

where

$$f_{\text{B}}=f-b. \\$$

The first entry of  $\mathbf{b} = u_0/\Delta x^2$  and the last one is  $u_{N+1}/\Delta x^2$ . Apart from that, it's zero.

How does one solve for the Laplace equation

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}^2 u(x)}{\mathrm{d} x^2} = 0.$$

in this setting?

How does one solve for the Laplace equation

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}^2 u(x)}{\mathrm{d} x^2} = 0.$$

in this setting?

We can simply set f = 0 and since  $D^{(x)}$  is invertible, the equation can be solved.

## Two dimensions

Now we have

$$\Delta u(x,y) = f(x,y),$$

$$u(x,0) = u^{(b)}(x), u(x,L_y) = u^{(t)}(x),$$

$$u(0,y) = u^{(l)}(y), u(L_x,y) = u^{(r)}(y).$$

4

## Two dimensions

Now we have

$$\Delta u(x, y) = f(x, y),$$
  
 $u(x, 0) = u^{(b)}(x), u(x, L_y) = u^{(t)}(x),$   
 $u(0, y) = u^{(l)}(y), u(L_x, y) = u^{(r)}(y).$ 

We discretize the space:  $x_i = x(i\Delta x)$ ,  $y_j = y(j\Delta y)$ ,  $u_{i,j} = u(x_i, y_j)$  and so on. Here i=1,2,...,N and j=1,2,3,...,M.  $\Delta x = L_x/(N+1)$  and  $\Delta y = L_y/(M+1)$ .

4

We can write the Laplace equation in indices as

$$\frac{1}{\Delta x^2}(u_{i-1,j}-2u_{i,j}+u_{i+1,j})+\frac{1}{\Delta y^2}(u_{i,j-1}-2u_{i,j}+u_{i,j+1})=f_{i,j}.$$

Here again i = 1, 2, ..., N and j = 1, 2, 3, ..., M. What do we do with boundaries?

We can write the Laplace equation in indices as

$$\frac{1}{\Delta x^2}(u_{i-1,j}-2u_{i,j}+u_{i+1,j})+\frac{1}{\Delta y^2}(u_{i,j-1}-2u_{i,j}+u_{i,j+1})=f_{i,j}.$$

Here again i = 1, 2, ..., N and j = 1, 2, 3, ..., M. What do we do with boundaries?

We solve them in a similar way. The boundary vector is in indices

$$\frac{1}{\Delta x^2} (\delta_i^1 u_{0,j} + \delta_i^N u_{N+1,j}) + \frac{1}{\Delta y^2} (\delta_j^1 u_{i,0} + \delta_j^M u_{i,N+1}) = \frac{1}{\Delta x^2} (\delta_i^1 u_j^{(1)} + \delta_i^N u_j^{(r)}) + \frac{1}{\Delta y^2} (\delta_j^1 u_i^{(b)} + \delta_j^M u_i^{(t)})$$

We can write the Laplace equation in indices as

$$\frac{1}{\Delta x^2}(u_{i-1,j}-2u_{i,j}+u_{i+1,j})+\frac{1}{\Delta y^2}(u_{i,j-1}-2u_{i,j}+u_{i,j+1})=f_{i,j}.$$

Here again i = 1, 2, ..., N and j = 1, 2, 3, ..., M. What do we do with boundaries?

We solve them in a similar way. The boundary vector is in indices

$$\frac{1}{\Delta x^2} (\delta_i^1 u_{0,j} + \delta_i^N u_{N+1,j}) + \frac{1}{\Delta y^2} (\delta_j^1 u_{i,0} + \delta_j^M u_{i,N+1}) = \frac{1}{\Delta x^2} (\delta_i^1 u_j^{(l)} + \delta_i^N u_j^{(r)}) + \frac{1}{\Delta y^2} (\delta_j^1 u_i^{(b)} + \delta_j^M u_i^{(t)})$$

This will give a boundary matrix  $b_{i,j}$  that has the discretized boundary function values on the boundary. Now the source for the equation  $f^{(B)} = f - b$ .

$$\frac{1}{\Delta x^2}(u_{i-1,j}-2u_{i,j}+u_{i+1,j})-\frac{2u_{i,j}}{\Delta y^2}+\frac{1}{\Delta y^2}(u_{i,j-1}+u_{i,j+1})=f_{i,j}^{(B)}.$$

We notice that we have four terms for the column j on the left and two other terms for columns j-1 and j+1. Which linear operator gives the term in the first parentheses?

$$\frac{1}{\Delta x^2}(u_{i-1,j}-2u_{i,j}+u_{i+1,j})-\frac{2u_{i,j}}{\Delta y^2}+\frac{1}{\Delta y^2}(u_{i,j-1}+u_{i,j+1})=f_{i,j}^{(B)}.$$

We notice that we have four terms for the column j on the left and two other terms for columns j-1 and j+1. Which linear operator gives the term in the first parentheses? It is exactly the matrix  $D^{(x)}$ .

$$\frac{1}{\Delta x^2}(u_{i-1,j}-2u_{i,j}+u_{i+1,j})-\frac{2u_{i,j}}{\Delta y^2}+\frac{1}{\Delta y^2}(u_{i,j-1}+u_{i,j+1})=f_{i,j}^{(B)}.$$

We notice that we have four terms for the column j on the left and two other terms for columns j-1 and j+1. Which linear operator gives the term in the first parentheses? It is exactly the matrix  $D^{(x)}$ .

Let us denote the *j*th column of *u* as  $\mathbf{u}_j$  and the same for  $f^{(B)}$ . Now we have

$$D^{(x)}\mathbf{u}_{j} - \frac{2}{\Delta y^{2}}\mathbf{u}_{j} + \frac{1}{\Delta y^{2}}(\mathbf{u}_{j-1} + \mathbf{u}_{j+1}) = \mathbf{f}_{j}^{(B)}.$$

6

$$\frac{1}{\Delta x^2}(u_{i-1,j}-2u_{i,j}+u_{i+1,j})-\frac{2u_{i,j}}{\Delta y^2}+\frac{1}{\Delta y^2}(u_{i,j-1}+u_{i,j+1})=f_{i,j}^{(B)}.$$

We notice that we have four terms for the column j on the left and two other terms for columns j-1 and j+1. Which linear operator gives the term in the first parentheses? It is exactly the matrix  $D^{(x)}$ .

Let us denote the *j*th column of u as  $\mathbf{u}_j$  and the same for  $f^{(B)}$ . Now we have

$$D^{(x)}\mathbf{u}_{j} - \frac{2}{\Delta y^{2}}\mathbf{u}_{j} + \frac{1}{\Delta y^{2}}(\mathbf{u}_{j-1} + \mathbf{u}_{j+1}) = \mathbf{f}_{j}^{(B)}.$$

Let us define  $I_y = I/\Delta y^2$ . Now we have

$$l_y u_{j-1} + \underbrace{\left(D^{(x)} - 2l_y\right)}_{=B} u_j + l_y u_{j+1} = f_j^{(B)}.$$

6

$$l_y \mathbf{u}_{j-1} + B \mathbf{u}_j + l_y \mathbf{u}_{j+1} = \mathbf{f}_j^{(B)}.$$

$$I_y \mathbf{u}_{j-1} + B \mathbf{u}_j + I_y \mathbf{u}_{j+1} = \mathbf{f}_j^{(B)}.$$

We can make a matrix of matrices A called a block matrix. The equation will look like this

$$AU=F^{(B)},$$

where

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} B & I_y & & & \\ I_y & B & I_y & & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & I_y & B & I_y \\ & & & I_y & B \end{pmatrix}$$

$$I_{y}\mathbf{u}_{j-1} + B\mathbf{u}_{j} + I_{y}\mathbf{u}_{j+1} = \mathbf{f}_{j}^{(B)}.$$

We can make a matrix of matrices A called a block matrix. The equation will look like this

$$AU=F^{(B)},$$

where

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} B & I_y & & & \\ I_y & B & I_y & & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & I_y & B & I_y \\ & & & I_y & B \end{pmatrix}$$

Note that this has the Dirichlet conditions in it. How many Bs are there in A?

$$I_y \mathbf{u}_{j-1} + B \mathbf{u}_j + I_y \mathbf{u}_{j+1} = \mathbf{f}_j^{(B)}.$$

We can make a matrix of matrices A called a block matrix. The equation will look like this

$$AU=F^{(B)},$$

where

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} B & I_y & & & \\ I_y & B & I_y & & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & I_y & B & I_y \\ & & & I_y & B \end{pmatrix}$$

Note that this has the Dirichlet conditions in it. How many Bs are there in A? The dimension of y discretization i.e. M. A is called a block tridiagonal matrix.

$$AU = F^{(B)}$$
.

How should we interpret U and  $F^{(B)}$ ? If we write A by just filling in the matrices inside it, it will give A the dimensions  $NM \times NM$ . We can then express U as

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} u_{1,1} \\ u_{2,1} \\ \vdots \\ u_{N,1} \\ u_{1,2} \\ \vdots \\ u_{N,M} \end{pmatrix}.$$

$$AU = F^{(B)}$$
.

How should we interpret U and  $F^{(B)}$ ? If we write A by just filling in the matrices inside it, it will give A the dimensions  $NM \times NM$ . We can then express U as

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} u_{1,1} \\ u_{2,1} \\ \vdots \\ u_{N,1} \\ u_{1,2} \\ \vdots \\ u_{N,M} \end{pmatrix}.$$

In indices we have

$$U_{N(j-1)+i}=u_{i,j}.$$

$$AU = F^{(B)}$$
.

How should we interpret U and  $F^{(B)}$ ? If we write A by just filling in the matrices inside it, it will give A the dimensions  $NM \times NM$ . We can then express U as

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} u_{1,1} \\ u_{2,1} \\ \vdots \\ u_{N,1} \\ u_{1,2} \\ \vdots \\ u_{N,M} \end{pmatrix}.$$

In indices we have

$$U_{N(j-1)+i} = u_{i,j}$$
.

The vector  $F^{(B)}$  is flattened in the same way.

• Notice that for general Dirichlet (or Neumann) boundary conditions we can't use separation of variables.

- Notice that for general Dirichlet (or Neumann) boundary conditions we can't use separation of variables.
- This makes it hard to solve these problems analytically.

- Notice that for general Dirichlet (or Neumann) boundary conditions we can't use separation of variables.
- This makes it hard to solve these problems analytically.
- Moreover, it can be hard to express *u* in any known eigenbasis making it hard to use spectral methods.

- Notice that for general Dirichlet (or Neumann) boundary conditions we can't use separation of variables.
- This makes it hard to solve these problems analytically.
- Moreover, it can be hard to express *u* in any known eigenbasis making it hard to use spectral methods.
- Finite difference methods are easy to implement for simple geometries.

- Notice that for general Dirichlet (or Neumann) boundary conditions we can't use separation of variables.
- This makes it hard to solve these problems analytically.
- Moreover, it can be hard to express *u* in any known eigenbasis making it hard to use spectral methods.
- Finite difference methods are easy to implement for simple geometries.
- The discrete linear equations can be solved efficiently using existing packages.

- Notice that for general Dirichlet (or Neumann) boundary conditions we can't use separation of variables.
- This makes it hard to solve these problems analytically.
- Moreover, it can be hard to express *u* in any known eigenbasis making it hard to use spectral methods.
- Finite difference methods are easy to implement for simple geometries.
- The discrete linear equations can be solved efficiently using existing packages.
- · Can be extended to non-uniform meshes but that requires quite a bit of effort.