

Spectral methods and the weak formulation

Working with bases of functions

18.303 Linear Partial Differential Equations: Analysis and Numerics

Introduction

- The main idea of spectral methods is to represent the functions we work with in a given basis

Introduction

- The main idea of spectral methods is to represent the functions we work with in a given basis
- We choose a basis that has a sparse inner product matrix $\Phi_{i,j} = \langle \phi_i, \phi_j \rangle$, most often just a diagonal $\Phi_{i,j} = a_i \delta_i^j$

Introduction

- The main idea of spectral methods is to represent the functions we work with in a given basis
- We choose a basis that has a sparse inner product matrix $\Phi_{i,j} = \langle \phi_i, \phi_j \rangle$, most often just a diagonal $\Phi_{i,j} = a_i \delta_i^j$
- Furthermore, we want our differential operations to have a sparse representation in this basis i.e. the matrix L defined by $\langle \phi_i, \mathcal{L}\phi_j \rangle = L_{i,j}$ has to be sparse

Introduction

- The main idea of spectral methods is to represent the functions we work with in a given basis
- We choose a basis that has a sparse inner product matrix $\Phi_{i,j} = \langle \phi_i, \phi_j \rangle$, most often just a diagonal $\Phi_{i,j} = a_i \delta_i^j$
- Furthermore, we want our differential operations to have a sparse representation in this basis i.e. the matrix L defined by $\langle \phi_i, \mathcal{L}\phi_j \rangle = L_{i,j}$ has to be sparse
- This far we have used the eigenbasis, which always gives a diagonal L but this is not always possible or easy to do

Introduction

- The main idea of spectral methods is to represent the functions we work with in a given basis
- We choose a basis that has a sparse inner product matrix $\Phi_{i,j} = \langle \phi_i, \phi_j \rangle$, most often just a diagonal $\Phi_{i,j} = a_i \delta_i^j$
- Furthermore, we want our differential operations to have a sparse representation in this basis i.e. the matrix L defined by $\langle \phi_i, \mathcal{L}\phi_j \rangle = L_{i,j}$ has to be sparse
- This far we have used the eigenbasis, which always gives a diagonal L but this is not always possible or easy to do
- This is the case with difficult boundary conditions and nonlinear (partial) differential equations

Introduction

- The main idea of spectral methods is to represent the functions we work with in a given basis
- We choose a basis that has a sparse inner product matrix $\Phi_{i,j} = \langle \phi_i, \phi_j \rangle$, most often just a diagonal $\Phi_{i,j} = a_i \delta_i^j$
- Furthermore, we want our differential operations to have a sparse representation in this basis i.e. the matrix L defined by $\langle \phi_i, \mathcal{L}\phi_j \rangle = L_{i,j}$ has to be sparse
- This far we have used the eigenbasis, which always gives a diagonal L but this is not always possible or easy to do
- This is the case with difficult boundary conditions and nonlinear (partial) differential equations
- The upside of spectral methods is that they many times converge *exponentially* to the solution when the data we are dealing with is smooth enough

Introduction

- The main idea of spectral methods is to represent the functions we work with in a given basis
- We choose a basis that has a sparse inner product matrix $\Phi_{i,j} = \langle \phi_i, \phi_j \rangle$, most often just a diagonal $\Phi_{i,j} = a_i \delta_i^j$
- Furthermore, we want our differential operations to have a sparse representation in this basis i.e. the matrix L defined by $\langle \phi_i, \mathcal{L}\phi_j \rangle = L_{i,j}$ has to be sparse
- This far we have used the eigenbasis, which always gives a diagonal L but this is not always possible or easy to do
- This is the case with difficult boundary conditions and nonlinear (partial) differential equations
- The upside of spectral methods is that they many times converge *exponentially* to the solution when the data we are dealing with is smooth enough
- This amounts to high efficiency since the derivatives are evaluated accurately even with a small number of modes (the basis needs to be truncated for computations)

Many of the bases arise as the eigenbases of the Laplacian Δ . Some examples include

- Sine and cosine bases for 0 boundaries and periodic domains

There are also a bunch of polynomial bases e.g.

Many of the bases arise as the eigenbases of the Laplacian Δ . Some examples include

- Sine and cosine bases for 0 boundaries and periodic domains
- Bessel functions for cylindrical domains

There are also a bunch of polynomial bases e.g.

Many of the bases arise as the eigenbases of the Laplacian Δ . Some examples include

- Sine and cosine bases for 0 boundaries and periodic domains
- Bessel functions for cylindrical domains
- Spherical harmonics for a Laplacian on the 2-sphere

There are also a bunch of polynomial bases e.g.

Many of the bases arise as the eigenbases of the Laplacian Δ . Some examples include

- Sine and cosine bases for 0 boundaries and periodic domains
- Bessel functions for cylindrical domains
- Spherical harmonics for a Laplacian on the 2-sphere

There are also a bunch of polynomial bases e.g.

- Chebyshev and Legendre polynomials for a closed interval $x \in (-1, 1)$

Many of the bases arise as the eigenbases of the Laplacian Δ . Some examples include

- Sine and cosine bases for 0 boundaries and periodic domains
- Bessel functions for cylindrical domains
- Spherical harmonics for a Laplacian on the 2-sphere

There are also a bunch of polynomial bases e.g.

- Chebyshev and Legendre polynomials for a closed interval $x \in (-1, 1)$
- Laguerre polynomials for $x \in \mathbb{R}_+$

Many of the bases arise as the eigenbases of the Laplacian Δ . Some examples include

- Sine and cosine bases for 0 boundaries and periodic domains
- Bessel functions for cylindrical domains
- Spherical harmonics for a Laplacian on the 2-sphere

There are also a bunch of polynomial bases e.g.

- Chebyshev and Legendre polynomials for a closed interval $x \in (-1, 1)$
- Laguerre polynomials for $x \in \mathbb{R}_+$
- Hermite polynomials for $x \in \mathbb{R}$

Weak formulation

This far we have considered differential equations

$$\mathcal{L}u(\mathbf{x}) = f(\mathbf{x}),$$

on some domain $\mathbf{x} \in \Omega$ with boundary conditions

$$\mathcal{G}u = b(\mathbf{x})$$

on the boundary $\mathbf{x} \in \partial\Omega$. We say that the functions fulfilling the boundary condition are in some vector space V .

Weak formulation

This far we have considered differential equations

$$\mathcal{L}u(\mathbf{x}) = f(\mathbf{x}),$$

on some domain $\mathbf{x} \in \Omega$ with boundary conditions

$$\mathcal{G}u = b(\mathbf{x})$$

on the boundary $\mathbf{x} \in \partial\Omega$. We say that the functions fulfilling the boundary condition are in some vector space V .

The weak formulation states that we can write the first equation as

$$\langle \varphi, \mathcal{L}u \rangle = \langle \varphi, f \rangle$$

for all $\varphi \in V'$.

Weak formulation

This far we have considered differential equations

$$\mathcal{L}u(\mathbf{x}) = f(\mathbf{x}),$$

on some domain $x \in \Omega$ with boundary conditions

$$\mathcal{G}u = b(\mathbf{x})$$

on the boundary $x \in \partial\Omega$. We say that the functions fulfilling the boundary condition are in some vector space V .

The weak formulation states that we can write the first equation as

$$\langle \varphi, \mathcal{L}u \rangle = \langle \varphi, f \rangle$$

for all $\varphi \in V'$.

The functions φ are **test functions** and it suffices that $V' = C_0^\infty$ i.e. the space of smooth functions (infinitely differentiable) whose all derivatives and values are 0 at the boundary.

After a lot of mathematical details we can say that the solution to the weak problem agrees almost everywhere (everywhere except possibly at isolated points) to the solution of the original problem.

After a lot of mathematical details we can say that the solution to the weak problem agrees almost everywhere (everywhere except possibly at isolated points) to the solution of the original problem.

Let's assume for the time being that we have some basis functions $\{\phi_i\}$ that satisfy the boundary conditions. We can approximate both the test functions and the u in this basis writing

$$u(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \hat{u}_j \phi_j(\mathbf{x}), \quad \varphi(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \hat{\varphi}_i \phi_i(\mathbf{x}).$$

After a lot of mathematical details we can say that the solution to the weak problem agrees almost everywhere (everywhere except possibly at isolated points) to the solution of the original problem.

Let's assume for the time being that we have some basis functions $\{\phi_i\}$ that satisfy the boundary conditions. We can approximate both the test functions and the u in this basis writing

$$u(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \hat{u}_j \phi_j(\mathbf{x}), \quad \varphi(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \hat{\varphi}_i \phi_i(\mathbf{x}).$$

The weak form becomes

$$\sum_{i,j=0}^{N-1} \hat{\varphi}_i \hat{u}_j \langle \phi_i, \mathcal{L} \phi_j \rangle = \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \hat{\varphi}_i \langle \phi_i, f \rangle$$

for all $\hat{\varphi}_i$. The only way the equation holds is if

$$\sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \hat{u}_j \langle \phi_i, \mathcal{L} \phi_j \rangle = \langle \phi_i, f \rangle$$

for all i . We assume here that $\mathcal{L} : V_N \rightarrow V_N$ when restricted to just N modes.

We write again $L = \langle \phi_i, \mathcal{L}\phi_j \rangle$. This becomes a matrix equation

$$L\hat{\mathbf{u}} = \hat{\mathbf{f}},$$

where L is some square matrix with dimensions $N \times N$.

We write again $L = \langle \phi_i, \mathcal{L}\phi_j \rangle$. This becomes a matrix equation

$$L\hat{\mathbf{u}} = \hat{\mathbf{f}},$$

where L is some square matrix with dimensions $N \times N$.

What do we get from solving this equation?

We write again $L = \langle \phi_i, \mathcal{L}\phi_j \rangle$. This becomes a matrix equation

$$L\hat{u} = \hat{f},$$

where L is some square matrix with dimensions $N \times N$.

What do we get from solving this equation? The expansion coefficients for u .

We write again $L = \langle \phi_i, \mathcal{L}\phi_j \rangle$. This becomes a matrix equation

$$L\hat{\mathbf{u}} = \hat{\mathbf{f}},$$

where L is some square matrix with dimensions $N \times N$.

What do we get from solving this equation? The expansion coefficients for u .

This is called the **Galerkin method** for solving a linear PDE. The error of the solution $\epsilon = u - u_N$ satisfies

$$\langle \phi_k, \mathcal{L}\epsilon \rangle = \langle \phi_k, \mathcal{L}u \rangle - \langle \phi_k, \mathcal{L}u_N \rangle = \langle \phi_k, f \rangle - \langle \phi_k, f_N \rangle = 0.$$

We write again $L = \langle \phi_i, \mathcal{L}\phi_j \rangle$. This becomes a matrix equation

$$L\hat{u} = \hat{f},$$

where L is some square matrix with dimensions $N \times N$.

What do we get from solving this equation? The expansion coefficients for u .

This is called the **Galerkin method** for solving a linear PDE. The error of the solution $\epsilon = u - u_N$ satisfies

$$\langle \phi_k, \mathcal{L}\epsilon \rangle = \langle \phi_k, \mathcal{L}u \rangle - \langle \phi_k, \mathcal{L}u_N \rangle = \langle \phi_k, f \rangle - \langle \phi_k, f_N \rangle = 0.$$

This means that the error lives in the orthogonal space so solving in the truncated space V_N is the best projection of the solution onto $V_N \subset V$.

What if L is not invertible?

What if L is not invertible?

This might happen if the operator \mathcal{L} maps some basis functions to zero.

What if L is not invertible?

This might happen if the operator \mathcal{L} maps some basis functions to zero.

The solution is to lift the condition for satisfying the boundary conditions. Then we can fix the coefficients of modes that are in the null space of \mathcal{L} .

What if L is not invertible?

This might happen if the operator \mathcal{L} maps some basis functions to zero.

The solution is to lift the condition for satisfying the boundary conditions. Then we can fix the coefficients of modes that are in the null space of \mathcal{L} .

This is better illustrated with an example.

Example

Let the differential operator be $\mathcal{L} = \frac{d^2}{dx^2}$ on the interval $x \in (-1, 1)$ and assume we have $u(-1) = u_-$ and $u(1) = u_+$. Let our basis functions be some polynomials for which the degree of ϕ_n is n .

Example

Let the differential operator be $\mathcal{L} = \frac{d^2}{dx^2}$ on the interval $x \in (-1, 1)$ and assume we have $u(-1) = u_-$ and $u(1) = u_+$. Let our basis functions be some polynomials for which the degree of ϕ_n is n .

We calculate the matrix element

$$L_{i,j} = \langle \phi_i, \mathcal{L}\phi_j \rangle = \int_{-1}^1 \phi_i(x) \phi_j''(x) dx.$$

Example

Let the differential operator be $\mathcal{L} = \frac{d^2}{dx^2}$ on the interval $x \in (-1, 1)$ and assume we have $u(-1) = u_-$ and $u(1) = u_+$. Let our basis functions be some polynomials for which the degree of ϕ_n is n .

We calculate the matrix element

$$L_{i,j} = \langle \phi_i, \mathcal{L}\phi_j \rangle = \int_{-1}^1 \phi_i(x) \phi_j''(x) dx.$$

$$L_{i,j} = \phi_i(x) \phi_j'(x) \Big|_{x=-1}^1 - \int_{-1}^1 \phi_i'(x) \phi_j'(x) dx.$$

Example

Let the differential operator be $\mathcal{L} = \frac{d^2}{dx^2}$ on the interval $x \in (-1, 1)$ and assume we have $u(-1) = u_-$ and $u(1) = u_+$. Let our basis functions be some polynomials for which the degree of ϕ_n is n .

We calculate the matrix element

$$L_{i,j} = \langle \phi_i, \mathcal{L}\phi_j \rangle = \int_{-1}^1 \phi_i(x) \phi_j''(x) dx.$$

$$L_{i,j} = \phi_i(x) \phi_j'(x) \Big|_{x=-1}^1 - \int_{-1}^1 \phi_i'(x) \phi_j'(x) dx.$$

Setting $j = 0$ chooses the first column of the matrix. Since the degree of ϕ_0 is zero, the matrix elements are zero. This means that we can't solve for the coefficient \hat{u}_0 .

Example

Let the differential operator be $\mathcal{L} = \frac{d^2}{dx^2}$ on the interval $x \in (-1, 1)$ and assume we have $u(-1) = u_-$ and $u(1) = u_+$. Let our basis functions be some polynomials for which the degree of ϕ_n is n .

We calculate the matrix element

$$L_{i,j} = \langle \phi_i, \mathcal{L}\phi_j \rangle = \int_{-1}^1 \phi_i(x) \phi_j''(x) dx.$$

$$L_{i,j} = \phi_i(x) \phi_j'(x) \Big|_{x=-1}^1 - \int_{-1}^1 \phi_i'(x) \phi_j'(x) dx.$$

Setting $j = 0$ chooses the first column of the matrix. Since the degree of ϕ_0 is zero, the matrix elements are zero. This means that we can't solve for the coefficient \hat{u}_0 .

It turns out the Null space of L has dimension 2. From the boundary conditions we have

$$u_- = \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \hat{u}_j \phi(-1), \quad u_+ = \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \hat{u}_j \phi(1).$$

Adding these equations solves the coefficients uniquely.