

Functionals and the variational derivative

Using energies to make sense of PDEs

18.303 Linear Partial Differential Equations: Analysis and Numerics

Assume we have a function $V \ni f: \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ that takes N -dimensional vectors and maps them to real numbers.

Assume we have a function $V \ni f: \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ that takes N -dimensional vectors and maps them to real numbers.

Functionals are a generalization of this concept. Formally we have $F: V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. We can write these using an integral as

$$F[f] = \int_{\Omega} g(f(\mathbf{x}), \dots) d\mathbf{x}.$$

Assume we have a function $V \ni f: \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ that takes N -dimensional vectors and maps them to real numbers.

Functionals are a generalization of this concept. Formally we have $F: V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. We can write these using an integral as

$$F[f] = \int_{\Omega} g(f(x), \dots) dx.$$

The function g can depend not only on $f(x)$ but it's derivatives (or more generally some linear operators acting on f).

Example

In Pset 2 we had the energy

$$H[u, v] = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 v(t, x)^2 + u'(t, x)^2 dx,$$

where $v = \partial_t u$.

Example

In Pset 2 we had the energy

$$H[u, v] = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 v(t, x)^2 + u'(t, x)^2 dx,$$

where $v = \partial_t u$.

This would be an example of a functional that can be evaluated for any $u, v \in V$. In this case the function g depends on the time and the spatial derivatives of u .

Example

In Pset 2 we had the energy

$$H[u, v] = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 v(t, x)^2 + u'(t, x)^2 dx,$$

where $v = \partial_t u$.

This would be an example of a functional that can be evaluated for any $u, v \in V$. In this case the function g depends on the time and the spatial derivatives of u .

Another example: consider a graph $\gamma = \{(x, f(x)) : x \in (0, 1)\}$. The length of the graph (curve) is given by

$$L_\gamma[f] = \int_0^1 \sqrt{1 + f'(x)^2} dx.$$

Derivatives of functions

Consider a function $f: \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. How can we make sense of the derivative ∇ ?

Derivatives of functions

Consider a function $f: \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. How can we make sense of the derivative ∇ ?

We can define the derivative as a directional derivative. We have

$$f(\mathbf{x} + \epsilon \mathbf{y}) = f(\mathbf{x}) + \epsilon \mathbf{y} \cdot \nabla f(\mathbf{x}) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2).$$

Derivatives of functions

Consider a function $f: \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. How can we make sense of the derivative ∇ ?

We can define the derivative as a directional derivative. We have

$$f(\mathbf{x} + \epsilon \mathbf{y}) = f(\mathbf{x}) + \epsilon \mathbf{y} \cdot \nabla f(\mathbf{x}) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2).$$

We can define the gradient of f at \mathbf{x} by

$$\langle \mathbf{y}, \nabla f(\mathbf{x}) \rangle = \mathbf{y} \cdot \nabla f(\mathbf{x}) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(\mathbf{x} + \epsilon \mathbf{y}) - f(\mathbf{x})}{\epsilon}$$

for all $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^N$.

Derivatives of functions

Consider a function $f: \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. How can we make sense of the derivative ∇ ?

We can define the derivative as a directional derivative. We have

$$f(\mathbf{x} + \epsilon \mathbf{y}) = f(\mathbf{x}) + \epsilon \mathbf{y} \cdot \nabla f(\mathbf{x}) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2).$$

We can define the gradient of f at \mathbf{x} by

$$\langle \mathbf{y}, \nabla f(\mathbf{x}) \rangle = \mathbf{y} \cdot \nabla f(\mathbf{x}) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(\mathbf{x} + \epsilon \mathbf{y}) - f(\mathbf{x})}{\epsilon}$$

for all $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^N$.

We can choose \mathbf{y} to be some basis vectors $\hat{\mathbf{x}}_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, N$ giving us the coordinate representation

$$\nabla f(\mathbf{x}) = (\partial_{x_1} f(\mathbf{x}), \partial_{x_2} f(\mathbf{x}), \dots, \partial_{x_N} f(\mathbf{x})).$$

Derivatives of functionals

We can generalize the notion of the gradient of a function. Let's define

$$\left\langle \phi, \frac{\delta F[f]}{\delta f} \right\rangle = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{F[f + \epsilon \phi] - F[f]}{\epsilon} = \left(\frac{dF[f + \epsilon \phi]}{d\epsilon} \right)_{\epsilon=0}$$

for all $\phi \in C_0^\infty$ (the space here is important).

Derivatives of functionals

We can generalize the notion of the gradient of a function. Let's define

$$\left\langle \phi, \frac{\delta F[f]}{\delta f} \right\rangle = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{F[f + \epsilon \phi] - F[f]}{\epsilon} = \left(\frac{dF[f + \epsilon \phi]}{d\epsilon} \right)_{\epsilon=0}$$

for all $\phi \in C_0^\infty$ (the space here is important).

In a similar way to a directional derivative, this measures the change of the functional F at f in the direction of ϕ .

Derivatives of functionals

We can generalize the notion of the gradient of a function. Let's define

$$\left\langle \phi, \frac{\delta F[f]}{\delta f} \right\rangle = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{F[f + \epsilon \phi] - F[f]}{\epsilon} = \left(\frac{dF[f + \epsilon \phi]}{d\epsilon} \right)_{\epsilon=0}$$

for all $\phi \in C_0^\infty$ (the space here is important).

In a similar way to a directional derivative, this measures the change of the functional F at f in the direction of ϕ .

Since the inner product is some integral

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \int_{\Omega} f(\mathbf{x})g(\mathbf{x})d\mathbf{x},$$

we can immediately see that $\delta F[f]/\delta f$ is a function (or a distribution). It is called the **variational derivative** or **functional derivative** of F .

Example

Let's consider the same functional as before i.e.

$$H[u, v] = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 v(t, x)^2 + u'(t, x)^2 dx.$$

Example

Let's consider the same functional as before i.e.

$$H[u, v] = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 v(t, x)^2 + u'(t, x)^2 dx.$$

Let's calculate

$$H[u, v + \epsilon \phi] = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 (v + \epsilon \phi)^2 + (u')^2 dx = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 v^2 + (u')^2 dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 2\epsilon \phi v dx + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2).$$

Example

Let's consider the same functional as before i.e.

$$H[u, v] = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 v(t, x)^2 + u'(t, x)^2 dx.$$

Let's calculate

$$H[u, v + \epsilon \phi] = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 (v + \epsilon \phi)^2 + (u')^2 dx = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 v^2 + (u')^2 dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 2\epsilon \phi v dx + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2).$$

We recognize the first term as $H[u, v]$ giving

$$H[u, v + \epsilon \phi] - H[u, v] = \epsilon \int_0^1 \phi v dx + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2) = \epsilon \langle \phi, v \rangle + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2).$$

Example

Let's consider the same functional as before i.e.

$$H[u, v] = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 v(t, x)^2 + u'(t, x)^2 dx.$$

Let's calculate

$$H[u, v + \epsilon \phi] = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 (v + \epsilon \phi)^2 + (u')^2 dx = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 v^2 + (u')^2 dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 2\epsilon \phi v dx + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2).$$

We recognize the first term as $H[u, v]$ giving

$$H[u, v + \epsilon \phi] - H[u, v] = \epsilon \int_0^1 \phi v dx + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2) = \epsilon \langle \phi, v \rangle + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2).$$

We can divide by ϵ and take the limit giving

$$\left\langle \phi, \frac{\delta H[u, v]}{\delta v} \right\rangle := \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{H[u, v + \epsilon \phi] - H[u, v]}{\epsilon} = \langle \phi, v \rangle.$$

$$\left\langle \phi, \frac{\delta H[u, v]}{\delta v} \right\rangle := \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{H[u, v + \epsilon \phi] - H[u, v]}{\epsilon} = \langle \phi, v \rangle .$$

$$\left\langle \phi, \frac{\delta H[u, v]}{\delta v} \right\rangle := \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{H[u, v + \epsilon \phi] - H[u, v]}{\epsilon} = \langle \phi, v \rangle .$$

Since this holds for all $\phi \in C_0^\infty$ we conclude that

$$\frac{\delta H[u, v]}{\delta v} = v$$

in a weak sense (almost everywhere). Note that in practice we collected all the terms proportional to ϵ . Also, this just gave us the usual derivative of $v^2/2$.

Another example

Let's define the potential energy part of the above energy as

$$U[u] = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 u'(x)^2 dx.$$

Another example

Let's define the potential energy part of the above energy as

$$U[u] = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 u'(x)^2 dx.$$

Let's calculate the functional derivative $\delta U / \delta u$. We have

$$U[u + \epsilon \phi] = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 (\partial_x(u + \epsilon \phi))^2 dx = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 (u')^2 dx + \epsilon \int_0^1 u' \phi' dx + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2).$$

Another example

Let's define the potential energy part of the above energy as

$$U[u] = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 u'(x)^2 dx.$$

Let's calculate the functional derivative $\delta U / \delta u$. We have

$$U[u + \epsilon \phi] = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 (\partial_x(u + \epsilon \phi))^2 dx = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 (u')^2 dx + \epsilon \int_0^1 u' \phi' dx + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2).$$

Again, we recognize the first part as U and we have

$$\frac{U[u + \epsilon \phi] - U[u]}{\epsilon} = \int_0^1 u' \phi' dx + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon).$$

We can use integration by parts for the first term on the right giving

$$\int_0^1 u' \phi' dx = (\phi(x)u'(x))_{x=0}^1 - \int_0^1 \phi u'' dx.$$

We can use integration by parts for the first term on the right giving

$$\int_0^1 u' \phi' dx = (\phi(x)u'(x))_{x=0}^1 - \int_0^1 \phi u'' dx.$$

The boundary term evaluates to 0 since $\phi \in C_0^\infty$. The remaining term can be written as an inner product giving

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{U[u + \epsilon \phi] - U[u]}{\epsilon} =: \left\langle \phi, \frac{\delta U}{\delta u} \right\rangle = - \langle \phi, u'' \rangle.$$

We can use integration by parts for the first term on the right giving

$$\int_0^1 u' \phi' dx = (\phi(x) u'(x))_{x=0}^1 - \int_0^1 \phi u'' dx.$$

The boundary term evaluates to 0 since $\phi \in C_0^\infty$. The remaining term can be written as an inner product giving

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{U[u + \epsilon \phi] - U[u]}{\epsilon} =: \left\langle \phi, \frac{\delta U}{\delta u} \right\rangle = - \langle \phi, u'' \rangle.$$

We conclude that

$$\frac{\delta U[u]}{\delta u} = -u''.$$

Notice that this is not the usual derivative of the integrand in the functional.

In general we have for a functional

$$F[f] = \int_{\Omega} g \left(\left(\prod_i \partial_{x_i}^{n_i} \right) f(\mathbf{x}) \right) d\mathbf{x}$$

In general we have for a functional

$$F[f] = \int_{\Omega} g \left(\left(\prod_i \partial_{x_i}^{n_i} \right) f(\mathbf{x}) \right) d\mathbf{x}$$

$$\frac{\delta F[f]}{\delta f}(\mathbf{x}) = (-1)^{\sum_i n_i} \left(\prod_i \partial_{x_i}^{n_i} \right) \left[\frac{\partial g}{\partial (\prod_i \partial_{x_i}^{n_i} f(\mathbf{x}))} \right]$$

and any linear combinations of these.

This formula is somewhat messy so let's give a simpler example.

Example

Let

$$F[f] = \int_{\Omega} g(f(\mathbf{x}), \nabla f(\mathbf{x}), \Delta f(\mathbf{x})) \, d\mathbf{x}.$$

Example

Let

$$F[f] = \int_{\Omega} g(f(\mathbf{x}), \nabla f(\mathbf{x}), \Delta f(\mathbf{x})) \, d\mathbf{x}.$$

Now,

$$\frac{\delta F}{\delta f}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{\partial g}{\partial f(\mathbf{x})} - \nabla \cdot \frac{\partial g}{\partial \nabla f(\mathbf{x})} + \Delta \frac{\partial g}{\partial \Delta f(\mathbf{x})}.$$

Here

$$\frac{\partial g}{\partial \nabla f(\mathbf{x})} = \left(\frac{\partial g}{\partial (\partial_{x_1} f(\mathbf{x}))}, \frac{\partial g}{\partial (\partial_{x_2} f(\mathbf{x}))}, \dots, \frac{\partial g}{\partial (\partial_{x_N} f(\mathbf{x}))} \right)^T.$$

Using variational derivatives

Let's use the energy we defined before but in a higher dimension:

$$U[u] = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \|\nabla u(\mathbf{x})\|^2 d\mathbf{x}.$$

Using variational derivatives

Let's use the energy we defined before but in a higher dimension:

$$U[u] = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \|\nabla u(\mathbf{x})\|^2 d\mathbf{x}.$$

Calculating the variational derivative gives

$$\frac{\delta U}{\delta u}(\mathbf{x}) = -\nabla \cdot (\nabla u(\mathbf{x})) = -\Delta u(\mathbf{x}).$$

Notice that $\|\nabla u(\mathbf{x})\|^2 = \nabla u(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \nabla u(\mathbf{x})$.

Using variational derivatives

Let's use the energy we defined before but in a higher dimension:

$$U[u] = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \|\nabla u(\mathbf{x})\|^2 d\mathbf{x}.$$

Calculating the variational derivative gives

$$\frac{\delta U}{\delta u}(\mathbf{x}) = -\nabla \cdot (\nabla u(\mathbf{x})) = -\Delta u(\mathbf{x}).$$

Notice that $\|\nabla u(\mathbf{x})\|^2 = \nabla u(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \nabla u(\mathbf{x})$.

We can now define for example the heat equation as

$$\partial_t u(t, \mathbf{x}) = -\frac{\delta U}{\delta u}(t, \mathbf{x}) = \Delta u(t, \mathbf{x}).$$

Let's look at the change of energy in time $\partial_t U[u]$. It turns out we can use a sort of chain rule

$$\partial_t U[u] = \left\langle \dot{u}, \frac{\delta U}{\delta u} \right\rangle.$$

You can compare this chain rule to a chain rule $\partial_t g(\mathbf{x}(t)) = \dot{\mathbf{x}} \cdot \nabla g(\mathbf{x}(t))$.

Let's look at the change of energy in time $\partial_t U[u]$. It turns out we can use a sort of chain rule

$$\partial_t U[u] = \left\langle \dot{u}, \frac{\delta U}{\delta u} \right\rangle.$$

You can compare this chain rule to a chain rule $\partial_t g(\mathbf{x}(t)) = \dot{\mathbf{x}} \cdot \nabla g(\mathbf{x}(t))$.

Plugging in the time evolution gives

$$\partial_t U[u] = - \left\langle \frac{\delta U}{\delta u}, \frac{\delta U}{\delta u} \right\rangle = - \left\| \frac{\delta U}{\delta u} \right\|^2 \leq 0.$$

Let's look at the change of energy in time $\partial_t U[u]$. It turns out we can use a sort of chain rule

$$\partial_t U[u] = \left\langle \dot{u}, \frac{\delta U}{\delta u} \right\rangle.$$

You can compare this chain rule to a chain rule $\partial_t g(\mathbf{x}(t)) = \dot{\mathbf{x}} \cdot \nabla g(\mathbf{x}(t))$.

Plugging in the time evolution gives

$$\partial_t U[u] = - \left\langle \frac{\delta U}{\delta u}, \frac{\delta U}{\delta u} \right\rangle = - \left\| \frac{\delta U}{\delta u} \right\|^2 \leq 0.$$

We immediately see that the energy is non-increasing in time. Notice that for this calculation we only used the fact that

$$\partial_t u(t, \mathbf{x}) = - \frac{\delta U}{\delta u}$$

without specifying the form of U . It follows that this is true for *all* dynamics that can be written like this using some functional U !

For many physical problems we have similar results. E.g. for the wave equation we have

$$\dot{v} = -\frac{\delta H}{\delta u} = -\frac{\delta U}{\delta u} = \Delta u$$

$$\dot{u} = \frac{\delta H}{\delta v} = v.$$

For many physical problems we have similar results. E.g. for the wave equation we have

$$\dot{v} = -\frac{\delta H}{\delta u} = -\frac{\delta U}{\delta u} = \Delta u$$
$$\dot{u} = \frac{\delta H}{\delta v} = v.$$

It follows that we can replace \dot{v} by \ddot{u} in the first equation giving the wave equation. These sort of dynamics will conserve H in time (you can try this at home) and are called **Hamiltonian** (physics) or **symplectic** (mathematics).

For many physical problems we have similar results. E.g. for the wave equation we have

$$\dot{v} = -\frac{\delta H}{\delta u} = -\frac{\delta U}{\delta u} = \Delta u$$
$$\dot{u} = \frac{\delta H}{\delta v} = v.$$

It follows that we can replace \dot{v} by \ddot{u} in the first equation giving the wave equation. These sort of dynamics will conserve H in time (you can try this at home) and are called **Hamiltonian** (physics) or **symplectic** (mathematics).

The reason we introduced these techniques is that using such energies as measures for stability and other kind of sanity checks with numerics is extremely helpful, especially for non-linear systems.